

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2006](#) > Nov

UFO UpDates Mailing List Nov 2006

Nov 1:

[Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Frison](#) - Eugene Frison [149]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Ray Dickenson [15]
[Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [41]
[Re: UFO Talk To Land At March - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [5]
[Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [3]
[Secrecy News -- 10/31/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [120]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - David Rudiak [18]
[Re: Legitimate Data? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [5]
['UFO' Caught On Film In Italy](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [27]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Nick Balaskas [30]
[Re: Sci-Fi's Mothman Non-Investigation - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [7]
[Re: Area 51 Tunnels? - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [5]
[The British UFO Mystery](#) - Nick Pope [28]

Nov 2:

[Preventing Dishonesty](#) - Rick Nielsen [32]
['Lost' Moon Landing Tapes Found](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [109]
[Black Triangle Over Baghdad?](#) - Geoff Richardson [7]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Bob Shell [21]
[Re: The British UFO Files Documentary On-Line -](#) - Nick Pope [8]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Bob Shell [27]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Greg Boone [14]
[Ufology Mentalities](#) - Greg Boone [48]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Martin Shough [17]
[Re: Legitimate Data? - Frison](#) - Eugene Frison [29]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Stuart Miller [22]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Tim Shell [21]
[Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [7]
[Faded Discs Project Report](#) - Wendy Connors [16]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - David Rudiak [21]
[Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [97]
[Re: MAJ12 SOM1-01 EBE-Type-I & Caponi CE3 '93](#) - Dimitris Hatzopoulos [21]
[Re: UFO Talk To Land At March - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [50]
[Re: Area 51 Tunnels? - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [6]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Tim Shell [23]

[Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [5]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Bob Shell [12]
[The British UFO Files Documentary](#) - Dave Haith [21]
[Re: Legitimate Data? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [7]
[US History Channel Program Monday Nov. 6](#) - Stanton Friedman [7]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Gre Boone [19]

Nov 3:

[UFO Enthusiasts Are Not Fooled By Government](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [124]
[A Pointless Journey Into The Unknown](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [70]
[Vote For The 2006 Zorgy Awards](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [11]
[Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [10]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Ray Dickenson [72]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Michael Tarbell [7]
[Re: Ufology Mentalities - Aldrich](#) - Jan Aldrich [17]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Don Ledger [10]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - David Rudiak [20]

Nov 4:

[Re: Faded Discs Project Report - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [7]
[Re: Ufology Mentalities - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [4]
[Re: Legitimate Data? - Frison](#) - Eugene Frison [192]
[Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [19]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Tim Shell [18]
[Re: The British UFO Files Documentary - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [14]
[Re: UFO Talk To Land At March - Goldstein](#) - Josh Goldstein [191]
[Re: MAJ12 SOM1-01 EBE-Type-I & Caponi CE3](#) - Kathy Kasten [7]
[Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Frison](#) - Eugene Frison [279]
[Re: The British UFO Files Documentary - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [18]
[FBI Told Of National Security Issue Buried In UFO](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [54]
[Sophos Defends Its Block On Alien Hunters](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [49]
[Genetic Mutants Bred In Suspected Underground Lair](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [76]
[Alleged UFO Causes A Stir In Aguascalientes Mexico](#) - Scott Corrales [50]
[Luminous Sphere Over Mexico City](#) - Scott Corrales [29]
[RAF Radar Affected Cars Says MoD](#) - Martin Shough [59]
[Secrecy News -- 11/03/0](#) - Steven Aftergood [116]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Eugene Frison [14]
[Bigfoot Research Makes Professor Campus Outcast](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [88]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - David Rudiak [14]
[Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [25]
[Re: The British UFO Files Documentary - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [8]
[Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [18]
[Re: The British UFO Files Documentary - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [18]
[Re: Faded Discs Project Report - Connors](#) - Wendy Connors [10]

Nov 5:

[Re: Faded Discs Project Report - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [35]
[Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [29]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Josh Goldstein [35]
[Re: The British UFO Files Documentary - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [16]

[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?](#) - - Josh Goldstein [3]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?](#) - - Stuart Miller [41]
[La Paz Thought Green Fireballs Were Warfare](#) - Roderick Dyke [80]
[Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [18]
[Suggestion For Studies](#) - Kathy Kasten [32]
[Re: UFO Anomaly In NASA 11-04-06 'APOD' Photo?](#) - Bob Soetebier [12]

Nov 6:

[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?](#) - - Ray Dickenson [17]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?](#) - - Alfred Lehmborg [16]
[Question For John Velez](#) - Rick Nielsen [8]
[US Air Base Filmed For UFO Show](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [32]
[Aztec Event On US History Channel Tonight](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [48]
[Newbury UK UFO Debate Reignited](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [48]
[Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [63]
[Will You See Mountain Mystery?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [88]
[Search Continues For Apollo TV Tapes](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [92]
[Why Do We Exist?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [84]
[Re: La Paz Thought Green Fireballs Were Warfare](#) - Bruce Maccabee [6]

Nov 7:

[Secrecy News -- 11/06/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [88]
[The MP's Crash Site](#) - Ed Gehrman [106]
[Re: Why Do We Exist? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [8]
[Chilean Petroglyph Associated With UFOs?](#) - Scott Corrales [55]
[Re: The British UFO Mystery - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [24]
[Hoaxes Keep Sucking Us In](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [134]
[Life In The Stars](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [139]
[Expectations Beyond Earth](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [198]
[Full Of Hot Air? Not Anymore](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [49]
[1959 UFO Contactee Book](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [22]
[TV News Report On UFO Sightings](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [20]

Nov 8:

[Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell](#) - Steven Kaeser [15]
[Re: UFO Anomaly In NASA 11-04-06 'APOD' Photo?](#) - - Nick Balaskas [15]

Nov 7:

[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?](#) - - Bob Shell [20]

Nov 8:

[Flight Of Fancy Or A Real UFO?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [62]
[Residents Transfixed By Unexplained Flying Objects](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [45]
[Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Frison](#) - Eugene Frison [115]
[Re: The British UFO Mystery - Bourdais](#) - Bourdais Gildas [26]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?](#) - - Ray Dickenson [28]
[Re: UFO Anomaly In NASA 11-04-06 'APOD' Photo?](#) - - Tim Shell [2]

[Re: La Paz Thought Green Fireballs Were Warfare](#) - Tim Shell [6]
[Re: Secrecy News -- 11/08/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [120]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Don Ledger [61]
[Re: The British UFO Mystery - McGonagle](#) - Joe McGonagle [12]
[Re: Expectations Beyond Earth - White](#) - Eleanor White [12]

Nov 9:

['UFO Files' Hits Another Home Run!](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [69]
[Re: Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell](#) - Tim Shell [20]
['Aliens Could Attack At Any Time' Warns Former MoD](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [83]
[Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -](#) - Ray Dickenson [21]
[Recent Fermi Paradox Explained](#) - Ray Dickenson [12]
[Re: Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [6]
[Re: Expectations Beyond Earth - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [18]
[No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs?](#) - Joachim Koch [6]
[NASA Schedules First Live HDTV Broadcast From Space](#) - NASA News [46]
[Re: 'UFO Files' Hits Another Home Run! - White](#) - Eleanor White [4]
[Problems For Mars Global Surveyor Orbiter](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [53]

Nov 10:

[Re: Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell](#) - Steven Kaeser [19]
[UFO Sightings 'Unlikely To Be Investigated'](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [32]
[Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - White](#) - Eleanor White [21]
['Shoreline' Radio](#) - Jan Blei [18]
[Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Groff](#) - Terry Groff [4]
[Re: Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer? - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [18]
[Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Tarbell](#) - Michael Tarbell [16]
[For The Record P47: Sci-Fi Investigates Roswell](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [27]
[Re: Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell](#) - Kevin Randle [20]
[Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [13]
[UFO Sighting On The Guadalajara-Morelia Highway](#) - Scott Corrales [23]
[Re: Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [27]
[Alleged Roswell Crash Site](#) - Wendy Connors [59]
[Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64](#) - Robert Barrow [229]
[Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [14]
[Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [13]

Nov 11:

[Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio](#) - Richard Hall [11]
[Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [11]
[Flying Object Spooks Man](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [87]
[Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio](#) - Steven Kaeser [17]
[Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Morningstar](#) - Robert Morningstar [8]
[UFO Conference In Las Vegas](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [29]
[Aliens In Our Midst](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [100]
[Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [10]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [26]
[Dover's Demon An ET?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [212]
[Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Goldstein](#) - Josh Goldstein [5]
[Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Goldstein](#) - Josh Goldstein [59]

[Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Goldstein](#) - Josh Goldstein [11]
[Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio](#) - Wendy Connors [18]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Connors](#) - Wendy Connors [7]
[Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio](#) - Richard Hall [13]
[Nick Pope Resigns From MOD](#) - Nick Pope [22]
[Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio](#) - Theo Paijmans [14]
[Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Groff](#) - Terry Groff [6]

Nov 12:

[Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [15]
[Cosford MoD Page On-Line](#) - Joe McGonagle [15]
[Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio](#) - Roderick Dyke [33]
[Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio](#) - Wendy Connors [12]
[What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?](#) - Dimitris Hatzopoulos [51]
[10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?](#) - Greg Boone [38]
[Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [25]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?](#) - Rick Nielsen [19]

Nov 13:

[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [13]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?](#) - Eleanor White [13]
[Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in](#) - Dennis Balthaser [11]
[Re: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?](#) - Bill Chalker [56]
[UFO Ignorance - Part II](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [143]
[Warminster The Mystery Forgotten](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [567]
[Is Ufology A Legitimate Scientific Endeavor?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [303]
[UFOs In Portugal A Retrospective](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [181]
[Cattle Mutilation Stuns Ranchers](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [81]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [9]
[Re: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?](#) - Brad Sparks [22]

Nov 14:

[Secrecy News - 11/13/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [122]
[Shostak Says ET May Phone Home Soon](#) - Greg Boone [9]
[Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [37]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gerhrman](#) - Ed Gerhrman [19]
[Re: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?](#) - Nick Balaskas [24]
[Re: Audio History of Ufology Project Announcement](#) - Wendy Connors [12]
[New UFO Documentary DVD Needs Your Help!](#) - Michael Bourne [30]
[Black Operations and Government Cover-Ups](#) - Lyle Michel [59]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [9]
[Possible Reason For Cattle Mutilations?](#) - Rick Nielsen [3]
[2 New Articles At UFO Digest](#) - Robert Morningstar [9]
[Northern Wisconsin UFO Sighting](#) - John Scheldroup [29]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? - Lee](#) - Regan Lee [21]
[US History Channel UFO-Related Listings](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [83]
[Re: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?](#) - Robert Gates [89]
[UFOs As Nuts-And-Bolts Flying Machines](#) - Dimitris Hatzopoulos [158]
[UFOs As Straw Men A How-To Guide](#) - Mac Tonnies [73]
[Re: New UFO Documentary DVD Needs Your Help! - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [4]

[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [46]
[Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [3]
[Flying Saucer Reported Over Chihuahua Mexico](#) - Scott Corrales [24]
[Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [4]
[Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [10]
[Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [5]
[Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -](#) - John Rimmer [6]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -](#) - John Rimmer [10]
[Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [16]
[Wickramasinghe Red Rain Form Of Alien Life](#) - Robert Morningstar [12]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -](#) - Stuart Miller [27]
[Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [13]

Nov 15:

[UFO Chatter Fills Conference](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [79]
[Total Amnesia What If?](#) - Ray Dickenson [19]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -](#) - Joe McGonagle [47]
[Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [40]
[Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -](#) - Kathy Kasten [14]
[Strange Object Seen Over Chicago's O'Hare Airport](#) - Peter B. Davenport [69]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -](#) - Don Ledger [35]
[Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [17]
[UFO over Necochea Argentina](#) - Scott Corrales [19]
[Air Traffic Controllers Fired By Reagan](#) - Greg Boone [12]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? - Lee](#) - Regan Lee [9]
[Keel News Now & Mothman 40 Years Ago](#) - Loren Coleman [5]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -](#) - Martin Shough [9]
[An Abductee's Film](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [37]
[UFOs Light Up The Sky](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [133]

Nov 16:

[Secrecy News -- 11/15/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [176]
[SkyView Virtual Telescope](#) - John Scheldroup [32]
[Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -](#) - Don Ledger [16]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -](#) - Tim Shell [33]
[Re: An Abductee's Film - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [12]
[True Story Of A Movie UFO Encounter](#) - Lyle Michel [43]
[Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [11]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [34]
[Re: UFOs Light Up The Sky - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [5]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -](#) - Joe McGonagle [74]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -](#) - John Rimmer [4]
[Re: Black Operations And Government Cover-Ups -](#) - Tim Shell [24]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -](#) - Nick Pope [9]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -](#) - Stuart Miller [116]
[Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -](#) - Steven Kaeser [48]
[New Abduction Accounts Database](#) - Rick Nielsen [24]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [2]
[Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [10]
[In Search Of... Lobbying Clout](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [166]
[Do UFOs Exist In The History Of Arts?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [228]

[E.T. Liable To Phone Any Day](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [106]
[Mysterious Lights In Algarve Skies](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [32]
[UFO Visits Shanghai Infrequent](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [30]
[Re: SkyView Virtual Telescope - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [51]
[Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [30]
[Sci-fi Investigates 11/15](#) - Jason Gammon [23]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?](#) - Martin Shough [59]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [32]

Nov 17:

[Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings](#) - Don Ledger [12]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [14]
[Re: SkyView Virtual Telescope - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [5]
[Found In Used Book Store](#) - Kathy Kasten [12]
[Nick Pope \[Was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On\]](#) - Paul Kimball [17]
[Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings](#) - Paul Kimball [14]
[Re: Ufology A Primer in Audio - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [12]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?](#) - Stuart Miller [39]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [86]
[Countdown To An Official & Open Contact With ET?](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [323]
[Cosford 1993 \[was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing\]](#) - Brad Sparks [65]
[David Cherniack \[was: US History Channel\]](#) - David Rudiak [19]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Tarbell](#) - Michael Tarbell [18]
[Re: In Search Of... Lobbying Clout - White](#) - Eleanor White [4]
[Cosford 1993 \[was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing\]](#) - Joe McGonagle [12]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?](#) - Joe McGonagle [59]
[Re: Total Amnesia What If?](#) - Maccabee - Bruce Maccabee [12]
[Scientists Predict Discovery Of ET Life](#) - Joe McGonagle [48]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais](#) - Gildas Bourdais [10]

Nov 18:

[Re: David Cherniack - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [6]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [13]
[Re: In Search Of... Lobbying Clout - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [15]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Warren](#) - Frank Warren [26]
[Re: Found In Used Book Store - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [5]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [28]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Zukowski](#) - Chuck Zukowski [5]
[Re: Countdown To An Official & Open Contact With](#) - Tim Shell [4]
[UFOs Over Fountainbleau Forest](#) - Robert Morningstar [9]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [4]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [7]
[Re: Cosford 1993 - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [18]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [8]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [32]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?](#) - Paul Kimball [11]
[Re: Found In Used Book Store - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [28]

Nov 19:

[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais](#) - Gildas Bourdais [13]

[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais](#) - Gildas Bourdais [6]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [13]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [27]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -](#) - Viktor Golubik [17]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -](#) - Wendy Connors [21]
[Re: Found In Used Book Store - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [7]
[Re: Found In Used Book Store - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [6]
['UFO: The True Story Of Flying Saucers'](#) - Robert Morningstar [34]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Warren](#) - Frank Warren [7]
[Closure Of Mystery Park Is No Enigma](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [77]

Nov 20:

[UFO Reported During Grand Prix In Mexico](#) - Scott Corrales [28]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [18]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [28]
[Re: 'UFO: The True Story Of Flying Saucers'](#) - Wendy Connors [4]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [14]
[Re: A "Duh" Moment? - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [28]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -](#) - Eleanor White [2]
[UFO Digest Article On Black Operations!](#) - Lyle Michel [4]
[Re: David Cherniack - Kimball](#) - Paul Kimball [42]
[Re: Cosford 1993 - McGonagle](#) - Joe McGonagle [63]
[Ufologyuk \[was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing](#) - Joe McGonagle [38]
[Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -](#) - Wendy Connors [7]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [55]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [16]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [12]
[Re: David Cherniack - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [25]

Nov 21:

[Re: D.C. Thrope? - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [6]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Houran](#) - James Houran [8]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [89]
[Re: David Cherniack - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [9]
[1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso](#) - Ray Dickenson [13]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [35]
[Re: Cosford 1993 - Sparks](#) - Brad Sparks [102]
[Testable Astronautical Theory For UFO Events](#) - Dimitris Hatzopoulos [46]
[Brief Starchild Reminders](#) - Lloyd Pye [46]
[Re: Cosford 1993 - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [136]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bordais](#) - Gildas Bourdais [17]
[Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso -](#) - Ed Gehrman [7]
[Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [14]
[Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [5]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [16]

Nov 22:

[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [20]
[Re: Cosford 1993 - Sparks](#) - Brad Sparks [224]
[Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [4]

[Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso](#) - Ed Gehrman [11]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [14]
[Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso](#) - Stanton Friedman [5]
[NASA & NSA Airbrushing Satellite Photos Of Moon?](#) - Joachim Koch [10]
[Investigation Uncovers Spy Game Surrounding UFO](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [119]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais](#) - Gildas Bourdais [24]
[Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Ballester Olmos](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [5]
[Re: Cosford 1993 - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [40]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [92]
[Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [6]
[Mike Fortson Eye Witness To Enormous V-Shaped UFO](#) - Frank Warren [4]
[Re: NASA & NSA Airbrushing Satellite Photos Of](#) - Tim Shell [12]

Nov 23:

[Re: NASA & NSA Airbrushing Satellite Photos Of](#) - Dave Haith [13]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [48]
[Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso](#) - Ed Gehrman [11]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [8]
[Re: Cosford 1993 - Sparks](#) - Brad Sparks [114]
[Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Groff](#) - Terry Groff [14]
[Reporting Results Especially If Negative](#) - Luis R. Gonzalez [22]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais](#) - Gildas Bourdais [8]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais](#) - Gildas Bourdais [5]
[Re: Cosford 1993 - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [174]
[Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [7]

Nov 24:

[Re: Ufologyuk \[was: What Should Ufology Be](#) - Stuart Miller [29]
[Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso](#) - Ed Gehrman [5]
[Re: Types Of Entities? - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [35]
[Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso](#) - Jason Gammon [10]
[Re: Cosford 1993 - McGonagle](#) - Joe McGonagle [85]
[Where SETI Astronomers Can Find Aliens](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [148]
[Paradigm Research Group Update - 11/24/06](#) - Stephen G. Bassett [106]
[Re: Cosford 1993 - McGonagle](#) - Joe McGonagle [182]
[Triangular UFO Over Caracas Venezuela](#) - Scott Corrales [37]
[UFO Over Spain's Canary Islands?](#) - Scott Corrales [44]
[Re: Reporting Results Especially If Negative](#) - Greg Sandow [20]
[Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso - Salla](#) - Michael Salla [15]

Nov 25:

[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [17]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [15]
[UFOs The Anomalous Phenomenon](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [48]

Nov 26:

[BBC News The MoD & Illinois UFO](#) - Dale Ulmer [21]
[Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso](#) - Stanton Friedman [18]
[Has UFO Phenomena Become Common Place?](#) - Greg Boone [39]

Nov 27:

[Re: Has UFO Phenomena Become Common Place? - White](#) - Eleanor White [8]
[Mystery Of Orange UFOs](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [64]
[Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark!](#) - Loren Coleman [1]

Nov 28:

[Is John A. Keel A "Demonologist"?](#) - Loren Coleman [39]
[Re: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark! - Lehmberg](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [8]
[Re: Happy Birthday To Jerome Clark! - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [4]
[Re: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark! - Rimmer](#) - John Rimmer [3]
[Re: Happy Birthday To Jerome Clark! - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [8]
[Not By Chance](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [252]
[UFO Over Perote Veracruz Mexico](#) - Scott Corrales [33]
[Re: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark! - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [6]
[Re: Is John A. Keel A "Demonologist"?](#) - Balaskas - Nick Balaskas [70]
[Re: Not By Chance - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [41]
[Re: Not By Chance - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [11]

Nov 29:

[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [9]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [20]
[Chris Rutkowski Honoured By University](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [15]
[Roscommon UFOs Cause Bewilderment](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [28]
[UFO Nut \[Lazar\] Sells Spy Poison Online](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [45]
[Lake Erie Hot Spot For UFO Sightings?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [68]
[Natural Or Supernatural? \[was: Is John A. Keel A](#) - Bob Shell [14]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [9]
[Re: UFO Nut \[Lazar\] Sells Spy Poison Online - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [11]
[Re: Not By Chance - Moller](#) - Stuart Miller [28]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [59]

Nov 30:

[Re: Not By Chance - Tarbell](#) - Michael Tarbell [7]
[Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [263]
[Secrecy News -- 11/29/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [183]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [37]
[Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [5]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [38]
[Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - White](#) - Eleanor White [4]
[Re: Not By Chance - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [9]
[Are They Real UFO Images?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [3]
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [14]
[Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [8]

The number enclosed in brackets is the number of lines of new text in the message, excluding the header, blank lines and quotes from previous messages.

[Previous Month](#)

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Frison

From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 10:04:33 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 06:25:44 -0500
Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Frison

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 30 Oct 2006 12:25:17 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness

>>>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sun, 29 Oct 2006 10:50:21 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness

>>>You don't always have all the facts!

>>>That pretty much applies to you as well, doesn't it Eugene.....

That's right! That's the reason I've been arguing for caution and keeping an open mind! It's been my point from the get-go!

You've consistently danced around answering the questions I've asked you in my various posts (such as how UFO research can possibly proceed without addressing the concerns I outlined) and avoided providing anything solid to back up your numerous invalidations and condemnations of the data, research, and experimentation I referred to. You've consistently failed to provide anything to disqualify the notion that the processes I suggested might be of concern to the ufologist when dealing with the testimony of UFO witnesses.

You've also not produced an iota (scintilla) of evidence to prove the ETH is the answer to the enigma (or parts of it) or even that it sits higher on the totem than all other explanations. Your invoking of Occam's razor doesn't work because it's really not the simplest answer using the fewest assumptions, and your 'absence of evidence isn't evidence of absence' argument works as strongly against the ETH as it does against older Earth civilizations while your 'if it happened once, it could have happened twice' logic serves just as well to support the older Earth civilization hypothesis as it does the ETH.

The bottom line is that when you have research that demonstrates the unconscious mind can generate UFO and abduction imagery that has no substantive difference from the reports we receive (i.e. that it is capable of producing the UFO and abduction scenario perfectly), and you have data, research and experimentation that demonstrates the human perception process gives the unconscious mind time and opportunity to engage in repression and associative processes, and when our current understanding of our perception processes indicate we don't see objects directly but our brains are constantly looking to organize sensory data into objects while understanding patterns of neural activity in accordance with knowledge of objects from previous experience (with this experience not limited to vision but including touch, taste, smell, hearing, temperature, etc.) then you have more than justification for wondering if the 'flying saucer' that was allegedly seen really was 'nuts and bolts' or was instead a creation of the mind in response to a totally unfamiliar stimulus -- even if this stimulus was able to produce physical effects on the environment.

This justification becomes stronger when there are so many non-flying saucer reports such as amorphous lights that appear to act with intelligence or purpose, 'blur zone' UFOs that contain perceptual anomalies among witnesses such as descriptions that don't match, as well as UFO and abduction reports that contain other problem data such as anomalies in memory (discontinuity of consciousness) and 'oz factor' elements, just for starters.

It is only by totally ignoring the other non-flying saucer UFO reports and abduction reports that don't quite fit, especially the 'blur zone' ones, and by ignoring data such as Hendry's, Lawson's, and data like I presented regarding our perception processes, can you make the case that the ETH represents the best answer. It represents one of the best answers but -- if you're looking at the whole picture (being aware of the data, research, and experimentation that probably has bearing on this, and the implications of it all) then clearly the ETH is not sitting highest on the totem. There are other possible answers on par with it.

Dick Hall's "beings from elsewhere" makes more sense when you look at all the data the phenomenon itself presents to us, as it doesn't limit the intelligence behind the UFO phenomenon to the 'flesh and blood' or 'nuts and bolts' manifestations your version of the ETH does. Your die-hard sticking to 'nuts and bolts' flying saucers and their occupants doesn't even leave room for ET manifesting to us via other means, such as, for example, ET using an understanding of a holographic universe (with or without a mind-matter nexus) to move information around without the intervention of time or distance -- which could explain the conflicts within our data very, very well.

As Dick suggested, 'alien' doesn't have to mean aboriginal beings on other planets in our space-time continuum and, even if such aboriginal off-planet beings (to borrow Martin's wording) in our own space-time continuum are what's behind the UFO phenomenon, these ETs don't have to be 'coming here from there.' A holographic universe (hinted at in quantum physics) could allow an advanced intelligence to gain and pass on information without leaving their home planet. UFOs could be ET manifesting to us (and accessing information on us) through a holographic universe. UFOs manifesting through such a holographic universe would appear to possess a 'psychic' component. Maybe it's just a coincidence that the UFO phenomenon seems to have consistently exhibited such a component during its interaction with us.

This possible paradigm of a holographic universe could also be utilized by older Earth civilizations though, as well as by beings that are of an etheric or astral nature, or by physical beings from a parallel universe. Even activity by other levels of our own consciousness could work within such a paradigm. Yes, I know, in your eyes I'm committing ufological heresy. I better make it clear that I'm not advocating this as the answer before you accuse me of that too!

The overly simple approach to UFO investigation where investigators go into the field trying to identify the mundane elements that caused a false report or to find evidence of the 'nuts and bolts' extraterrestrial spaceship that was there is not only overly simple but also completely inadequate. It is probably the most important reason why we have made so little progress in understanding this mystery in the past sixty years of study. And investigators going into the field with this attitude have probably contributed to a significant contamination of the UFO data we've accumulated.

There's no telling to what degree investigators who didn't know of or understand concerns such as those, for example, of 'UFO alignment' as pointed out by Michael D. Swords in IUR Volume 30, Number 2 or of the "effect of observer motion" as described by Donald Burluson in the MUFON UFO Journal in March 2004 (Number 431) have distorted our data pertaining to genuine anomalies or contaminated our data pool with 'mysteries' that that could have easily been explained had the investigator been equipped with an adequate understanding of these type of things. Investigators proceeding without awareness or understanding of the processes and data I outlined repeatedly during this thread are probably doing as much damage.

But, I know, I know, we already have the best answer to the enigma so this is just another absurd and preposterous rant and

I'm just trashing the ETH because I'm illogical and have an anti-alien phobia.

I think the underlying reason for your asking me earlier to be brief is because writing such a detailed response provides a foundation of fact and information that better supports my position than your overly general remarks regarding "soft science" and "conjecture," and your accusations of "preposterous" or "absurd" do to invalidate it. Regardless, I'll stop here. I've already given you enough work "snipping" away all this! And I don't want you to again underscore your superiority of position with "sheesh" again. That just hurts too much!

Unless you're going to answer the questions I've asked and provide data that nullifies my position, I'm going to bow out of these discussions with you because you're not addressing any of the issues I'm going through the trouble of bringing up. You're just being unfair and insulting, probably to cover up the fact that you can't answer my questions or nullify my position. It hasn't worked!

You can have it your way, Don. The ETH is the only real answer to the UFO riddle! (Not!) Pay no attention to me while I, as you see it, grope around foolishly for other possible solutions other than some ET presence. I know you won't anyway; you're too busy concerning yourself with the proven 'nuts and bolts' ET spaceships that are definitely abducting us while I "grasp at straws!"

By the way, those straws that you see me grasping at, are you really recreating accurate mental pictures of them in your brain or are they just a result of your mind making representations of things in accordance with experiences you have past understanding (or misunderstanding) of? Is it possible you're seeing something that isn't really there, at least in the manner you're perceiving - or misperceiving - it? Just asking! You don't have to answer. I'll just "read your mind" again!

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 14:21:19 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 06:27:54 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 10:41:50 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

<snip>

>And just for good measure, from today's news:

>Modern Humans, Neanderthals May Have Interbred:
><http://www.healthday.com/view.cfm?id=535790>

<snip>

Hi Stuart,

We keep seeing this pop up, understandably perhaps since media probably see it as a "sexy" story. Clearly, some folk cling to the `art' of categorizing based on bone-shapes alone.

Having seen lots of differently shaped modern humans in my travels, think that's just liable to confuse things.

And the DNA analyses seem to say otherwise:

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/3023685.stm>

<http://www.priweb.org/ed/ICTHOL/ICTHOL04papers/34.htm>

<http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/neanderthals/mtdna.html>

<http://tinyurl.com/yjxw5n>

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <BoyintheMachine.nul>
Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 09:37:37 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 06:30:40 -0500
Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Gammon

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 30 Oct 2006 13:41:49 -0000
>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

>>>From: Jason Gammon <BoyintheMachine.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:09:03 EDT
>>>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

<snip>

>>>As several folk have already pointed out, any present-day robot
>>>can have those physical sensory inputs, but - if turning its
>>>camera eye onto a mirror - it will not be aware of itself.

>>Exactly! If a robot looked at a mirror it would still have no
>>concept of 'self.' The reason why this is so is because nothing
>>is re-created in the 'brain' of the robot.

>>Now, if a robot possessed an internal virtual-reality based on
>>sensory input, then it would have a sense of self as it would
>>experience self.

<snip>

>Right Jason, which brings us to one big problem - for us humans
>right now, and maybe more so if we actually built an A.I. and it
>'saw' things differently than humans do.

You know, it actually doesn't matter how it 'sees' the outside world,
as long as it actually 'sees' it.

I find it so amazing that scientists have simply assumed that
every living thing is conscious. Take the housefly for example,
how many of us have seen shows on the t.v. 'showing' the world
through the eye of a housefly. The truth is that houseflies most
likely possess no form of consciousness, thus they are a non-
conscious intelligence.

A non-conscious intelligence is equal to our modern robots when
it comes to sensory input. There is no 'recreation' in the brain
of a non-conscious intelligence or robot, thus they do not 'see'
anything.

>Although he did the analysis for another reason, Ben M Carter
>put it more clearly than I could, at:

>www.asa3.org/ASA/PSCF/2002/PSCF6-02Carter.pdf

>here's a short extract -

>"Here is the dilemma: If a mind grasps its world by means of
>mental categories that have evolved solely to ensure the
>survival of that mind, there is no reason to assume that the
>world the mind grasps is the world as it is.

In nature, Evolution would filter out those conscious life-forms
that possessed inconsistent and independent models of the

exterior world.

All that is needed is that our model of the world works, not that it is 100% accurate.

>Many minds survive
>in this world, yet see the world in fundamentally different
>ways. There is robin-world, bullfrog-world, wood-chuck-world,
>and housefly-world.

Nope! The author has made the mistake of assuming all lifeforms are conscious.

If robins, bullfrogs, wood-chucks, and houseflies are non-conscious,
then they wouldn't 'see' anything.

>And there is human-world. The world of each
>of these creatures is validated insofar as it ensures the
>survival of the creature, but no further. The positivist assumes
>that a human mind grasps the world as it is, but from an
>evolutionary standpoint, there is no reason to make such an
>assumption. Instead there are many reasons to assume an observed
>world differs from the world as it is.

I agree with the author.

>The observer is neither neutral nor passive. Rather, the
>observer, by the very act of observing, participates in and
>structures the world.

Please! The only form of 'structuring' taking place is self-structuring.

In previous posts I wrote about the deceptiveness of consciousness.

>For the positivist, this dilemma is fatal.
>Yet from a Darwinian perspective there is no reason to assume it
>is not true. Ironically Darwinism leads to a logical cul-de-sac.
>If the Darwinist is right, there is no reason to assume that the
>Darwinist can accurately model the world. If the Darwinist is
>wrong, there is no reason to assume that the Darwinist can
>accurately model the world."

>Kind-of implying that a `conscious' mind needs a sense of self-awareness, including a `survival instinct'.

Well, it doesn't 'need' a sense of self-awareness or survival instinct. Instead, a sense of self-awareness is simply a consequence of consciousness.

>Which, when you think about it, is something you'd not want in
>a robot or A.I.

I agree. If my theory can produce a conscious A.I., then it is likely that 'my A.I.' shall never be built as there is no need for such. The world is already currently staffed with conscious organic humans.

However, if 'my A.I' is built, then we could face the very problems that we fear, conscious machines that decide to rebel against humanity.

Just playing my part to usher in the apocalypse!

- Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

Re: UFO Talk To Land At March - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 10:19:10 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 06:44:33 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Talk To Land At March - Maccabee

>Source: The Press-Enterprise - Riverside, California, USA

><http://tinyurl.com/yyzfgd>

>Thursday, October 26, 2006

>UFO Talk To Land At March

>Expert to tackle flying saucers, secret planes, coverups

>By John Asbury
>The Press-Enterprise

>Ever think some folks in the Inland Empire are out of this
>world? The UFO seminar on Saturday could provide some answers.

>The murky world of UFOs, government coverups and America's
>secret planes will be the topic of March Field Air Museum's UFO
>seminar and family days on Saturday.

>"UFOs and Things That Go Bump in the Night" will try to explain
>flying saucers and alien sightings such as Roswell and Area 51.
>Resident UFO-ologist and museum volunteer Bob Mauger, 65, of
>Norco, will discuss how several suspected alien aircrafts are
>usually top-secret government airplanes.

>"There's a lot you don't realize is out there," Mauger said.
>"Some things in the sky are totally unexplained."

>The U.S. government used secret surveillance planes in World War
>II and to keep tabs on Cold War rivals, and still uses some
>oday, Mauger said.

>Reported UFO sightings were later discovered to be U.S. Air
>Force planes such as the XP59, the first U.S. jet; the U-2 spy
>plane; the SR71; and the F1-17 Nighthawk, Mauger said. The
>incident that spawned the term "flying saucer" likely involved
>the Horton 229, a German airplane used by both the U.S. and the
>Soviet Union, he said.

Anyone ever come up with any evidence that there were any
Horton 229's flying anywhere in the world or, to be specific,
nine in the state of Washington for K. Arnold to see?

I guess this guy buys into the CIA historians "preposterous"
claim that 50% of the sightings were U2 and successors.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 10:19:17 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 06:46:25 -0500
Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Maccabee

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 27 Oct 2006 10:35:42 -0400
>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

>>From: Jason Gammon <BoyintheMachine.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 26 Oct 2006 01:42:51 EDT
>>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

<snip>

>"Long Live The King!"

>For further amusment and musings see "Search for the
>Intellecton" at:

><http://brumac.nul>

>Since Halloween is coming, see also "Fright Night: The Future"
>at the same web site. Just scroll down the opening page.

Ooops! The web site is:

<http://brumac.8k.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

Secrecy News -- 10/31/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 11:19:29 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 06:47:55 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 10/31/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 114
October 31, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** AN UPDATED LEXICON OF GOVERNMENT INFORMATION POLICY
** SOMETHING WIKI THIS WAY COMES
** GAO ON SECURITY CLEARANCES, NRC ON SAFEGUARDS INFO
** CRS ON THE NORTH KOREAN NUCLEAR TEST

AN UPDATED LEXICON OF GOVERNMENT INFORMATION POLICY

The specialized language of government information policy is itself a reflection of the intricacies and convolutions of that policy.

A newly updated and substantially expanded lexicon of information-related terms, prepared by Susan L. Maret, provides a valuable map to the language and the terrain of U.S. government information policy.

Hundreds of entries, ranging from the well-known or obvious ("classified") to the obscure and recondite (e.g., EPITS), are presented with lucid definitions and pointers to official source documents.

"These terms represent a virtual seed catalog to federal informationally-driven procedures, policies, and practices involving, among other matters, the information life cycle, record keeping, ownership over information, collection and analysis of intelligence information, security classification categories and markings, censorship, citizen right-to-know, deception, propaganda, secrecy, technology, surveillance, threat, and warfare," Dr. Maret writes.

"The terms reported here -- which have often been interpreted widely from one federal agency to another -- play a significant role in shaping social and political reality, and furthering government policy."

See "On Their Own Terms: A Lexicon with an Emphasis on Information-Related Terms Produced by the U.S. Federal Government" by Susan Maret, Ph.D., updated October 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/library/maret.pdf>

SOMETHING WIKI THIS WAY COMES

The Office of Director of National Intelligence is holding a media roundtable today to introduce "Intellipedia," described as a Wikipedia for the Intelligence Community.

<http://www.fas.org/irp/news/2006/10/odni103006.pdf>

The event follows on a news story about Intellipedia and related initiatives in the current issue of U.S. News and World Report. See "Wikis and Blogs, Oh My!" by David E. Kaplan, U.S. News, October 30:

<http://www.usnews.com/usnews/news/articles/061030/30wikis.htm>

GAO ON SECURITY CLEARANCES, NRC ON SAFEGUARDS INFO

Processing of applications for security clearances by the Department of Defense continues to fall far behind official targets for improvement, according to the Government Accountability Office.

"Our independent analysis of timeliness data showed that industry personnel contracted to work for the federal government waited more than one year on average to receive top secret clearances," a new GAO study said.

Among other things, the latest study provides a useful snapshot of the security clearance apparatus. It reports, for example, that approximately 2.5 million persons hold security clearances authorized by the Department of Defense.

See "DOD Personnel Clearances: Additional OMB Actions Are Needed to Improve the Security Clearance Process" [GAO-06-1070], September 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/gao/gao-06-1070.pdf>

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission is proposing a new rule on protection of "Safeguards Information" (SGI).

"SGI is a special category of sensitive unclassified information to be protected from unauthorized disclosure under Section 147 of the [Atomic Energy Act]."

"Although SGI is considered to be sensitive unclassified information, it is handled and protected more like Classified National Security Information than like other sensitive unclassified information (e.g., privacy and proprietary information)." Access to SGI, for example, requires a validated "need to know."

The proposed NRC rule, issued for public comment, was published in the Federal Register today. See:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2006/10/fr103106.html>

CRS ON THE NORTH KOREAN NUCLEAR TEST

A new report from the Congressional Research Service synthesizes what is known, believed and speculated about the recent North Korean nuclear explosive test, and sketches out the options for U.S. policy.

"The most fundamental U.S. goals of the confrontation with North Korea are to prevent the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and to prevent an attack -- either nuclear or conventional -- on the United States or on its allies in the region," the report says.

"The options available to U.S. policymakers to pursue these goals include the acceptance of North Korea as a nuclear power, bilateral or multilateral negotiations, heightened legal and economic pressure on North Korea, adoption of a regime change policy through non-military means, military action or threats, and withdrawal from the conflict."

A copy of the new CRS report was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "North Korea's Nuclear Test: Motivations, Implications, and U.S. Options," October 24, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/nuke/RL33709.pdf>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 09:05:28 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 06:49:42 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 08:26:51 -0500
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 30 Oct 2006 10:03:54 -0800
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>>OTOH, the very recent studies of Neanderthal DNA have shown that
>>>they were much more closely related to chimps than we are. This
>>>would possibly mean not only that they had no hyoid bones and
>>>were incapable of speech, but that they were covered with dense
>>>fur. I've suspected for some time that reconstructions of
>>>Neanderthals "humanize" them far too much. They may have looked
>>>a lot more like a bipedal ape than like us.

>>Bob, I seriously doubt that is correct. A study to sequence
>>Neanderthal DNA has been underway only in the past year. It will
>>take several years at least, so the results aren't in.

>Actually, some of the results are in, just this month. On
>October 11 a press release was issued by the team doing this
>study. It indicates that Neanderthals, as I said, are far closer
>to chimps than us. The Neanderthals split off the branch about
>400,000 years ago.

>Story here:

><http://tinyurl.com/lqhyu>

See Stuart Miller's links for stories coming to the opposite
conclusion: Neanderthal being very much like modern humans, even
socializing and interbreeding. One group concludes they
disappeared through genetic assimilation with modern humans.

It looks to me like the DNA results are very preliminary.
Neanderthal couldn't split off from us only 400,000 years ago
and be more like chimps than us. We split off from the great ape
line roughly 10 million years ago, according to latest
reckoning.

>Also, there is some dispute over the Israeli Neanderthal with a
>hyoid.

Don't know about that.

>I am sticking to my conclusions that Neanderthals were much more
>apelike than shown in most reconstructions. This is not a new
>idea, BTW.

The original idea is that they were ape-men, so it's a very old
idea. The last view decades, the pendulum has swung to them
being humans, not primitive ape-men brutes, and very much like
us. Just how much like us is a subject of heated debated. The
anthropologists are very split on this. We'll just have to see
what the next few decades of research reveal, should we live so
long.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

Re: Legitimate Data? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 13:10:47 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 06:51:30 -0500
Subject: Re: Legitimate Data? - Ledger

>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 30 Oct 2006 10:35:41 -0400
>Subject: Legitimate Data? [was: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?]

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 28 Oct 2006 13:10:02 -0300
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>I _did_ respond to your questions regarding my 1976 report to
>the RCMP. It's in the thread entitled 'Perception Stimuli &
>Awareness" where you asked me about it.

The times got crossed up is all.

Your credibility went to zero for me so stop wasting my time and
bandwidth with your endless rhetoric about the basis of this
phenomenon being psychological. If you actually did some field
studies yourself you might find and think differently.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

'UFO' Caught On Film In Italy

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 06:54:46 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 06:54:46 -0500
Subject: 'UFO' Caught On Film In Italy

Source: United Press International - Washington, DC, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/yechx4>

10/31/2006

'UFO' Caught On Film In Italy

PALERMO, Italy, Oct. 31 (UPI) -- An Italian restaurant owner has caught an object on film in Sicily that some have described as an unidentified flying object.

Gian Paolo Guarraci said he spotted the UFO on Friday while driving home from the restaurant, located in Sicily's Valley of the Temples, Italy's ANSA news agency reported Tuesday.

"It was a huge object, sending out a shimmering light of different colors from its core," Guarraci said.

"It appeared to be pulsating."

"I rushed home and got my video camera. As it turned out, I needn't have hurried - it hovered in the sky for about two hours," he said.

Guarraci said he gave a copy of his video to a local TV station that plans to air the footage.

Italian UFO expert Antonio Vanadia said the object did not appear to be the result of natural phenomena.

"This is an extraordinary piece of footage. I believe we have what experts call a close encounter of the first kind," he said.

A spokesman for Sicily's UFO Center said the organization plans to view the tape sometime in the near future. A spokesman for the center said Sicily is a popular attraction for UFOs.

"There were 30 strange sightings in 2005 alone," the spokesman said.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 12:31:29 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 06:56:41 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 30 Oct 2006 10:31:22 -0800
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 29 Oct 2006 17:15:59 -0400
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>Re penal colony, my comment in the context of a long list of
>>reasons why aliens might want to visit here is that:

>>"Earth may be somebody's colony, or somebody's crop, or perhaps
>>a penal colony. Maybe the aliens dumped all their bad boys and
>>girls here and that is why we are so nasty to each other.
>>Remember Australia and Georgia were first settled by convicts."

>>Certainly not an original idea... though I definitely didn't get
>>it from the new testament.

>Nope. I remember one episode of the "Outer Limits" from the
>early 1960s of ant-like aliens dumping their convicts on Earth
>with threats of horrible retaliation if we harmed them. The
>alien convicts make a break for it, the Army kills them off, but
>the aliens don't retaliate. In fact, they wanted us to execute
>their trash for them.

>Doug Adams in Hitchhikers Guide To The Galaxy also satirically
>wrote that our ancestors were the worst, or at least most
>annoying and unproductive of alien society, and were dumped here
>to get rid of them. These were the insurance agents,
>stockbrokers, politicians, corporate CEOs, preachers, etc. No
>wonder Earth is such a mess!

If ETs and angels/demons are one and the same (a very obvious
deduction when one compares the description, behaviour and
activity of both), then David and Stan have much support from
ancient writers, including theologians, that Earth is indeed a
penal colony for "aliens".

Over the past few months I have been reviewing many translated
ancient texts and primary documents, including old Biblical and
Islamic commentaries, which clearly state that this indeed the
case. That Earth is the place where the "fallen angels" from the
heavens now reside was confirmed to me through visits to several
monasteries recently where I met and spoke with monks who shared
their personal direct contacts and "alien abduction" type
experiences with these non-human entities.

According to Judeo-Christian belief, Satan and 2/3 of the rebel
angels (ETs?) were cast down to Earth from the heavens (other
planets?). Michael became the new leader of the angels that
remained loyal to the Supreme Creator of the universe. A state
of war has existed on Earth ever since and man, God's children,
are very much the center of attention by both the side of light
and the side of darkness...

This may not be a popular currently held view by this space-age generation but it is the one that can best explain non-physical UFO activity and experiences and the strange demon-like actions of suspected ET astronauts who seem to know more about us and who we are than we know ourselves! Truth is indeed stranger than fiction but are we ready or prepared to change our cherished beliefs and hopes about UFOs and ETs in light of this old but overwhelming evidence? I don't think we are ready and UFOs will remain a modern day mystery for many more years to come.

Nick Balaskas

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

Re: Sci-Fi's Mothman Non-Investigation - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 18:44:53 +0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 07:02:26 -0500
Subject: Re: Sci-Fi's Mothman Non-Investigation - Kasten

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 29 Oct 2006 17:24:31 -0400
>Subject: Re: Sci-Fi's Mothman Non-Investigation

>Let me throw in here that I was brought down to NBC on Friday
>October 20 (return the same day) to be interviewed by Richard
>Dolan at NBC for the Sci-Fi show on Roswell to be broadcast on
>Sci-Fi November the 8th at 10PM Eastern... rather last minute,
>as such things go.

>I had been made aware of the show many many months ago and that
>filming had been done in Roswell, but then heard nothing more
>until a short time ago. Perhaps it has something to do with
>criticisms of the show? I was informd that there will be a new
>witness supposedly found by Don Schmitt and Tom Carey.

>Supposedly Mr. X saw an alien body and had never told anybody. I
>asked and was told that he is indeed in the Base yearbook. What
>other backup info there is, I don't know. Supposedly his face
>will be shown, but his name will not be used.No idea how the
>hosts were chosen or what will be in the rest of the show.

>I suspect I should not be optimistic about the show.

Stan:

Do you know if this is the same "witness" Derrel Sims
"accidentally" discovered and turned over to Schmitt and Carey?

Why I am asking is because Derrel shared a story with me over
the July 4th celebrations in Roswell, and I wondered what had
happened to the information.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

Re: Area 51 Tunnels? - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 18:50:38 +0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 07:05:13 -0500
Subject: Re: Area 51 Tunnels? - Kasten

>From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 29 Oct 2006 14:53:19 -0600
>Subject: Re: Area 51 Tunnels?

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 28 Oct 2006 19:40:47 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Area 51 Tunnels?

<snip>

>Kathy allow me to show you how to figure this out.

><http://tinyurl.com/uh3dh>

<snip>

Thanks, John. As soon as I can, I will try out this new - for me
- tool of the Internet.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 1](#)

The British UFO Mystery

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 09:47:36 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 07:10:39 -0500
Subject: The British UFO Mystery

UK's Channel Five are showing a one hour documentary tonight, examining a wave of UFO sightings that occurred on 30 and 31 March 1993, over a period of several hours. A cluster of the sightings may have been attributable to the burning up of a Russian rocket, but many of the others were harder to explain. Many of the witnesses were police and military personnel and the UFO was described by some as a vast triangular shaped craft, capable of accelerating in seconds from a virtual hover to speeds of around Mach 2.

I wrote about this case briefly in my first book, Open Skies Closed Minds, and have discussed it in several previous TV shows and newspaper articles, but this is the first documentary entirely devoted to the incident. The TV production company used the Freedom of Information Act to obtain the MOD casefile on the incident (which runs to over 100 pages) and then asked me to work with them and tell the story, on the basis that I was running the MOD's UFO project at the time of the incident and led the investigation.

The documentary covers the sightings themselves, the roles of the Defence Intelligence Staff and the Ballistic Missile Early Warning System at RAF Fylingdales, the briefing of the Assistant Chief of the Air Staff and the liaison with the US Government.

The British UFO Mystery will be screened at 8pm on Channel Five on Wednesday November 1:

<http://www.rdfrights.com/Programme.aspx?id=2522>

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Preventing Dishonesty

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 17:14:28 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 06:49:20 -0500
Subject: Preventing Dishonesty

Recent admissions to hoaxing photos and embellishing research and credentials brings my experience with criminal offender dishonesty to mind.

Offenders are human. Some humans lie. Some offenders lie too. This may or may not be a surprise to you based on your experience with this subculture.

Most reasons for lying reduce down to attempts to either impress an audience or to get out of trouble with that audience.

My professional experience has taught me that the best way to deal with lying is to not provide the opportunity. A "just the facts" approach is best.

For example, every time you ask a "why" question, you get an answer. This answer speaks to the current intent of the person giving the answer. Intent changes with each new audience and/or setting. Intent at the time of offense is something to prove in court. It doesn't aid me in my daily work of gaining compliance.

Asking "what", "how", and "when" questions, like those that follow, usually get better results.

"What will it take to get you to do ___ ?"
"How will you ___ ?"
"When can I expect you to ___ ?"

Relevant questions that help us with our task at hand include:

"What were your sources? What specific equipment was used? What were their settings?"
"How can this be replicated?"
"When can we expect specific results?"

...and so on, as most of you are already aware.

These kinds of questions avoid much of the lying, even though the intentions of the dishonest remain. Using these questions keeps you in your position of authority, prevents arguments and power struggles, and keeps the communication on-task and on-going.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

'Lost' Moon Landing Tapes Found

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 06:53:44 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 06:53:44 -0500
Subject: 'Lost' Moon Landing Tapes Found

Source: Cosmos Magazine - Strawberry Hills, New outh Wales,
Australia

<http://www.cosmosmagazine.com/node/818>

1 November 2006

Lost Moon Landing Tapes Discovered
by Carmelo Amalfi
Cosmos Online

For years 'lost' tapes recording data from the Apollo 11 Moon landing have been stored underneath the seats of Australian physics students. A recent search has uncovered them.

They were nearly thrown out with the rubbish. But a last minute search instead has scientists in Western Australia dusting off several boxes of 'lost' NASA tapes which record surface conditions on the Moon just after Neil Armstrong stepped into space history on 21 July 1969.

After addressing Earth, the American astronaut set up a package of scientific instruments, including a dust detector designed by an Australian physicist. The data collected by the detector was sent back to ground stations on Earth and recorded on magnetic tapes - copies of which are as rare as the 'misplaced' original video footage of the 1969 touchdown.

Last week, up to 100 tapes, clearly marked "NASA Manned Space Center", turned up after a search in a dusty basement of a physics lecture hall at Curtin University of Technology in Perth, Western Australia. One of the old tapes has been sent to the American space agency to see whether it can be deciphered and 'stripped' of any important data which may have survived the ravages of time.

The data are a daily record of the environmental conditions and changes taking place at the lunar site after the Eagle landed safely in the Sea of Tranquility. The most important data were collected after the lunar module blasted off the surface later that day, leaving the still-running instrumentation behind.

The information showed that scientific instruments could be affected by setting them up around landing or take-off sites. They also proved that NASA did go to the Moon.

The data represented, "the only long-term information on the lunar surface environment, and as such are ideal for planning future lunar missions," according to NASA's website.

The "Early Apollo Scientific Experiment Package" (EASEP) deployed by Armstrong and Edwin 'Buzz' Aldrin - a member of the Cosmos editorial advisory board - consisted of several self-contained experiments including temperature and seismic activity gauges and a small dust detector designed by Sydney-born

physicist and environmental consultant Brian O'Brien, 72, who now lives in Perth.

The EASEP tool kit was the forerunner of other experimental instrument packages used on the Apollo missions. It was unplugged on 3 August 1969, having survived the harsh lunar conditions despite, in NASA's words, "operating temperatures which exceeded the planned maximum by 30 degrees Celcius". The EASEP instruments were activated again the following day, but by August 27, the experiment was terminated when it stopped responding to commands from Earth ground stations.

At the time, O'Brien believed lunar dust thrown up by the ascending NASA module would affect the instruments left on the Moon. He thought that lunar dust could settle on and ruin some of the experiments, which is, in fact, what happened.

Future moon dust experiments set up the equipment further away from the lunar modules, and carried glass-covered solar cells to reduce the impact of dust accumulating on the instrumentation.

On Apollo 11, the dust detector was attached to the seismometer unit. O'Brien's Lunar Dust Detector Experiment (also called the Dust, Thermal and Radiation Engineering Measurements Package) was designed to assess long term effects of the lunar surface environment on silicon solar cells used on the Apollo missions.

This was achieved by measuring the dips in power supply caused by high-energy cosmic particles, ultraviolet radiation and dust and debris, the data also having implications for the health and safety of astronauts exposed to extraterrestrial conditions.

The re-discovery of the magnetic tapes at Curtin follows NASA's admission in August this year that it no longer knew where to find the original video tapes of the 1969 landing and Armstrong's famous speech to at least 600 million people around the world.

The originals were recorded at three tracking stations - one in the U.S. and two in Australia at Honeysuckle Creek tracking station in the Australian Capital Territory and Parkes radio telescope in central-western New South Wales. Recorded on telemetry tapes, they are said to be the best quality images of the landing (unconverted slow scan TV) yet to be seen by a public still fascinated by the early space race. These tapes were mislaid in the early 1980s on their way to NASA's Goddard Space Flight Centre in Greenbelt, Maryland.

O'Brien brought his data tapes to WA when he left the University of Sydney to head up the state's first Environmental Protection Authority. He placed the tapes in the safe hands of Curtin colleague John de Laeter, emeritus professor of applied physics, whose office is now a temporary home to some of the 28 centimetre-diameter tapes.

When I visited de Laeter's office to view the tapes, they looked new, but their age was given away by the fading labels on the plastic covers that detailed in handwritten pen the date and particulars of the lunar surface recordings.

The first 25 years of their storage life at Curtin was in a closet-sized store room in a small marine science laboratory in the main physics building. They were later moved to bigger premises under the lecture hall where they have languished underneath the seats of countless physics students for years.

O'Brien decided to go looking for the tapes after reading about mislaid television tapes that NASA and Australian scientists are still looking for.

At first, one box of O'Brien's old tapes was found. Then, a second search last week by de Laeter, O'Brien and a laboratory manager turned up the rest of the boxed tapes just as the searchers were about to give up. The tapes were almost obscured under outdated electronic equipment which the men had to move.

O'Brien was unavailable for comment.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Black Triangle Over Baghdad?

From: **Geoff Richardson** <geoff.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 12:55:28 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 06:58:06 -0500
Subject: Black Triangle Over Baghdad?

On 17th December 1998 CNN broadcast live from Baghdad during a major raid by the U.S. Air Force. A triangular-shaped craft appears to cross the sky:

See:

<http://www.thewhyfiles.net/triangle7.html>

Geoff Richardson
www.thewhyfiles.net

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 08:03:08 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 07:00:12 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
To: <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 14:21:19 -0000
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>We keep seeing this pop up, understandably perhaps since media
>probably see it as a "sexy" story. Clearly, some folk cling to
>the `art' of categorizing based on bone-shapes alone.

>Having seen lots of differently shaped modern humans in my
>travels, think that's just liable to confuse things.

>And the DNA analyses seem to say otherwise:

><http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/3023685.stm>

><http://www.priweb.org/ed/ICTHOL/ICTHOL04papers/34.htm>

><http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/neanderthals/mtdna.html>

><http://tinyurl.com/yjxw5n>

Thanks for the great links, Ray.

This is what I was talking about. The last link shows a reconstruction of Neanderthal that is closer to my idea, but I think there would be much more fur. I'm thinking that Neanderthal looked a lot like contemporary descriptions of sasquatch, only smaller. (I am_not_ suggesting there is any link between the two.)

I think interbreeding with them was as likely as modern Africans interbreeding with chimps or gorillas. Supposedly a human/chimp hybrid is technically possible, but there is no indication that such a thing has ever occurred.

I think Neanderthal was a very successful creature for a very long time, but unable to compete when modern man invaded and temperatures got warmer. I think it highly likely that encounters between the two were usually hostile, and our ancestors may well have intentionally exterminated them.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: The British UFO Files Documentary On-Line -

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 13:04:36 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 07:01:49 -0500
Subject: Re: The British UFO Files Documentary On-Line -

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Sent: Monday, October 30, 2006 12:02 PM
>Subject: The British UFO Files Documentary On-Line

>Source: Ursi Spaltenstein's [beautiful & tasty] Blog In Switzerland

>http://www.eso-garden.com/index.php?weblog/the_british_ufo_files/

>29. Oktober 2006

>The British UFO Files [streaming video - 48 minutes]

>"Since the 1940's the British Government has been investigating
>the Flying Saucer phenomenon. High ranking military and
>government personnel, speak out for the first time, offering
>unique eye witness accounts and inside information."

<snip>

>The British UFO Files reveals a catalogue of unexplained,
>unearthly phenomenon, more bizarre than anything to be found
>within the pages of even the X Files.

I was involved in making this show and thought it was a very
good documentary. I reviewed it on UFO UpDates shortly after it
was first broadcast:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2004/may/m27-007.shtml>

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 08:16:02 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 07:03:13 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 09:05:28 -0800
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>Neanderthal couldn't split off from us only 400,000 years ago
>and be more like chimps than us. We split off from the great ape
>line roughly 10 million years ago, according to latest
>reckoning.

Hominids split off from apes about 10 million years ago, according to most theories based on physical analysis of fossils. DNA tells a different story, though. Our DNA is far too close to that of chimps for the split to have been that long ago. Based on DNA, we split from chimps very recently.

So, there's the rub, reconciling physical anthropology with modern DNA analysis, and physical anthropology loses out. We're very different from all of the great apes except for chimps/bonobos, and could well have split from those other apes millions of years ago.

I think the whole family tree derived from physical anthropology has to be uprooted. We have to draw a new tree including chimps/bonobos on the same branch with us, and with us diverging from them only a few hundred thousand years ago.

In this scheme Neanderthals would be their own separate branch, branching off from the common trunk some 300,000 to 400,000 years ago.

Man's ancestry is still seen "through a glass darkly", and it will be some time before the picture becomes clear. A lot of people will not like the picture when it does become clear, and it may be seriously traumatic to some.

>The original idea is that they were ape-men, so it's a very old
>idea. The last view decades, the pendulum has swung to them
>being humans, not primitive ape-men brutes, and very much like
>us. Just how much like us is a subject of heated debated. The
>anthropologists are very split on this. We'll just have to see
>what the next few decades of research reveal, should we live so
>long.

This may be a case of the original concept being closer to right than the later one.

I don't know about you, but I plan to live long enough to see the answers.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 08:56:34 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 07:05:23 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 12:31:29 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 30 Oct 2006 10:31:22 -0800
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sun, 29 Oct 2006 17:15:59 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>>Re penal colony, my comment in the context of a long list of
>>>reasons why aliens might want to visit here is that:

>>>"Earth may be somebody's colony, or somebody's crop, or perhaps
>>>a penal colony. Maybe the aliens dumped all their bad boys and
>>>girls here and that is why we are so nasty to each other.
>>>Remember Australia and Georgia were first settled by convicts."

>>>Certainly not an original idea... though I definitely didn't get
>>>it from the new testament.

>>Nope. I remember one episode of the "Outer Limits" from the
>>early 1960s of ant-like aliens dumping their convicts on Earth
>>with threats of horrible retaliation if we harmed them. The
>>alien convicts make a break for it, the Army kills them off, but
>>the aliens don't retaliate. In fact, they wanted us to execute
>>their trash for them.

>>Doug Adams in Hitchhikers Guide To The Galaxy also satirically
>>wrote that our ancestors were the worst, or at least most
>>annoying and unproductive of alien society, and were dumped here
>>to get rid of them. These were the insurance agents,
>>stockbrokers, politicians, corporate CEOs, preachers, etc. No
>>wonder Earth is such a mess!

>If ETs and angels/demons are one and the same (a very obvious
>deduction when one compares the description, behaviour and
>activity of both), then David and Stan have much support from
>ancient writers, including theologians, that Earth is indeed a
>penal colony for "aliens".

>Over the past few months I have been reviewing many translated
>ancient texts and primary documents, including old Biblical and
>Islamic commentaries, which clearly state that this indeed the
>case. That Earth is the place where the "fallen angels" from the
>heavens now reside was confirmed to me through visits to several
>monasteries recently where I met and spoke with monks who shared
>their personal direct contacts and "alien abduction" type
>experiences with these non-human entities.

>According to Judeo-Christian belief, Satan and 2/3 of the rebel
>angels (ETs?) were cast down to Earth from the heavens (other
>planets?). Michael became the new leader of the angels that
>remained loyal to the Supreme Creator of the universe. A state

>of war has existed on Earth ever since and man, God's children,
>are very much the center of attention by both the side of light
>and the side of darkness...

>This may not be a popular currently held view by this space-age
>generation but it is the one that can best explain non-physical
>UFO activity and experiences and the strange demon-like actions
>of suspected ET astronauts who seem to know more about us and
>who we are than we know ourselves! Truth is indeed stranger than
>fiction but are we ready or prepared to change our cherished
>beliefs and hopes about UFOs and ETs in light of this old but
>overwhelming evidence? I don't think we are ready and UFOs will
>remain a modern day mystery for many more years to come.

>Nick Balaskas

Thanks Nick for putting that into the proper perspective.

However I think it was 1/3 of the host not 2/3.

These stories that are the foundation of our religions may or
may not be based on fact but that's what faith is for. These
stories of gods, angels, demons, spirits, creatures being cast
down or bound in the earth are very common. Even in Greek
mythology the titans and cyclops were met a fate similar.

As I mentioned before, it may not be the stuff that science is
made of but it's still data that could be useful and if irony is
king could be fact. That would be funny to me.

Don't dismiss the past, it's what got you here :)

Thanks again Nick.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Ufology Mentalities

From: **Greg Boone** <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 09:16:47 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 07:07:17 -0500
Subject: Ufology Mentalities

We've all got our gripes and pet peeves when it comes to the types of mentalities that arise from ufological research.

Some of these mindsets come from the public, some from the press, scientists, military, and of course other ufologists.

The ones that set my teeth on edge are:

1 - The aliens are here to help mankind or the 'Space Brothers' scenario. If I hear one more story about how some alien being showed up to some guy espousing the humanities, I'll scream. The next time some mug shows up making with the 'Space Brother' routine I say we handcuff him and squeeze him for real information like how to cure baldness or the secret to cheap energy.

2 - Any alien visitors, if any, are so far advanced than we are that they must be ethically superior. That makes no sense. Just because you're smart doesn't make you a nice guy. Besides our idea of ethics isn't another species idea of ethics. We may find out one day an advanced species' idea of morals is that the husband has to have the wife's entire family move in and he's to support them. Okay that's too harsh and I know some of you guys are going to have nightmares so remember, it's just a listserve post.

3 - Star travel is impossible. Our scientists can't figure it out therefore it cannot be done. If our scientists were so smart why would they need funding? Solve the mystery of instant money and you'll have my respect on what can and can't be done.

4 - I don't like you because you won't support my career building theory based on fuzzy pictures and wild ass conspiracy theories. From now on I'm not willing to believe anything that doesn't have hard science data and an alien in front of a microphone. Forced belief is lie waiting to happen.

5 - I have a message from the aliens. No you don't. If they're that smart to be able to traverse the heavens they can tell the difference between Farmer Joe and Walter Cronkite. If they can't then I'm not too keen on listening to their ideas about the Universe in the first place.

I'm sure there are more and an endless list but sometimes you have to let off some steam. Fair is fair and if someone comes up with data that is solid, then acknowledge it. If someone comes up with off-the-wall science and demands attention and compliance then no way.

I'm hoping somewhere the great Al Jaffee of MAD Magazine may have done a 'Funny Side of UFOs' at some time. This is a frustrating and demanding field of research that challenges any researcher to use skills and abilities far beyond mortal ken. Add to that the regular daily challenges of living and you can have a recipe for high blood pressure. Gotta take time to laugh.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 14:18:17 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 07:08:32 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 09:05:28 -0800
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 08:26:51 -0500
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>Actually, some of the results are in, just this month. On
>>October 11 a press release was issued by the team doing this
>>study. It indicates that Neanderthals, as I said, are far closer
>>to chimps than us. The Neanderthals split off the branch about
>>400,000 years ago.

>>Story here:

>><http://tinyurl.com/lghyu>

>See Stuart Miller's links for stories coming to the opposite
>conclusion: Neanderthal being very much like modern humans, even
>socializing and interbreeding. One group concludes they
>disappeared through genetic assimilation with modern humans.

Ever since reading Desmond Collins' "The Human Revolution" in about 1977 I've always liked the neoteny theory, one of those narratives that has the instant "ring of truth" (whether true or not) - the idea being that Neanderthals failed to survive rising infant mortality caused by increasing brain size. Apparently their brains were surprisingly large, about 15% larger than the modern average and had increased quite rapidly. But the female pelvic aperture had not increased, so they had problems. Premature birth was an adaptation that saved homo sapiens from the same fate, and the long period of dependency and development outside the womb was responsible for complex learning, complex play and socialisation. If true maybe the remnant late neanderthals roamed in scattered bands outside the circle of human firelight, so to speak, related and yet alien, and inhumanly smart. They'd provide the type for a "racial memory" of mysterious and powerful demi-humans "out there" on the fringe of human life - the original fairy prototype perhaps?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: Legitimate Data? - Frison

From: **Eugene Frison** <eugene.frison.nul>
Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 10:58:23 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 07:10:00 -0500
Subject: Re: Legitimate Data? - Frison

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 13:10:47 -0400
>Subject: Re: Legitimate Data?

>>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 30 Oct 2006 10:35:41 -0400
>>Subject: Legitimate Data? [was: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?]

>Your credibility went to zero for me so stop wasting my time and
>bandwidth with your endless rhetoric about the basis of this
>phenomenon being psychological. If you actually did some field
>studies yourself you might find and think differently.

See! You actually were missing my point. Nowhere did I state
the basis of this phenomenon is psychological. In fact, if you
had even the slightest understanding of the implications of the
data and research I referred to - and of what I was saying
regarding how it may connect to ufology - you would have gotten
the exact opposite impression. You would have realized I do
think differently!

As to time wasted, it was mostly mine! I was the one writing
lengthy posts and providing detail. How much time did it take to
simply ignore it, as you consistently did?

Regarding "field studies," you again prove your ignorance. I've
done plenty and still do. In fact, you yourself passed cases on
to me that I investigated and solved. (Remember New Campbellton,
Cape Breton, just for starters - the multiple witnesses that
were seeing "basketball-size balls of red light on the Sydney
side of a bridge that turned out to be Arcturus?)

Multiple witnesses who, three autumns in a row and on numerous
nights during periods lasting several weeks each of those
autumns, reported "basket-ball size balls of red light on the
Sydney side of a bridge" (that was in actuality only a star in
deep space) speaks nothing about witness perception,
interpretation, and distortion, now does it? It has no bearing
on my "endless rhetoric."

Then there was the army staff sergeant in Glace Bay, NS and his
wife who called about the UFOs flashing multicolored lights that
turned out to be Sirius, Procyon, Castor and Pollux? I can give
you a hundred more but I'd just be wasting your time!

I guess I just don't get the real UFO cases like you do!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 16:25:27 +0000 (GMT)
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 07:12:58 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 14:21:19 -0000
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 10:41:50 +0000 (GMT)
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

><snip>

>>And just for good measure, from today's news:

>>Modern Humans, Neanderthals May Have Interbred:
>><http://www.healthday.com/view.cfm?id=535790>

><snip>

>Hi Stuart,

>We keep seeing this pop up, understandably perhaps since media
>probably see it as a "sexy" story. Clearly, some folk cling to
>the 'art' of categorizing based on bone-shapes alone.

>Having seen lots of differently shaped modern humans in my
>travels, think that's just liable to confuse things.

>And the DNA analyses seem to say otherwise:

><http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/3023685.stm>

><http://www.priweb.org/ed/ICTHOL/ICTHOL04papers/34.htm>

><http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/neanderthals/mtdna.html>

><http://tinyurl.com/yjxw5n>

Hi Ray,

There seems to be little doubt currently that Homo S and Neandy met and mated; that's even acknowledged in the references that you gave. The issue is about the volume of interbreeding that took place and whether Neandy disappeared through being absorbed into the Homo S gene pool or whether there was insufficient fusion between the two species and Neandy just got overwhelmed, gave up and died off. I can see both sides of the argument on this but probably lean more towards the absorption POV and in doing so would acknowledge your point about there still being many differently shaped humans.

It would be reasonable to acknowledge that science is going through a scrummage on this at the moment, waiting for a consensus to emerge, which could be some way off, if ever.

What I want to know is why we, the new improved version, emerged. Are we part of the hit and run nature of evolution or has someone or something somewhere meddled in the past?

Design wise, are we just too good to be true?

You know? I'd love to be able to have this damn discussion without constantly going round in a circle and ending up with the words "Intelligent Design" rolling off my lips.

Stuart

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 11:16:22 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 07:21:59 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 12:31:29 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

<snip>

>If ETs and angels/demons are one and the same (a very obvious
>deduction when one compares the description, behaviour and
>activity of both), then David and Stan have much support from
>ancient writers, including theologians, that Earth is indeed a
>penal colony for "aliens".

I don't see how bringing angels and demons in the picture backs
up their notion at all. First, we have even less substantiated
evidence for the existence of angels/demons than we do for good
ol' flying saucer aliens, if it's possible to have less than
zero.

And if I understand my Old Testament and Apocrypha correctly,
it's the angels, the Elohim, and not us, who were cast down out
of Heaven as punishment. We were basically innocent bystanders
as the old Hebrew pantheon fought it out with the angel-human
hybrid Nephilim, a conflict which ultimately resulted in Noah (a
Nephilim, himself) escaping the "Deluge" with his human family.

So if we were to take the old stories more or less literally,
we're not the prisoners, and if we add up the number of current
UFO reports, we sure aren't all that isolated. The only thing
we're apparently missing are the keys to the flying saucers,
which (unless the government has them locked up) somebody (?)
keeps going back in time to take away from us.

I don't know how much I want to take those old stories
literally, though, with nothing to back them up except the
belief of a lot of people wearing funny hats.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 11:31:12 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 07:24:16 -0500
Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Shell

>From: Jason Gammon <BoyintheMachine.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 09:37:37 EST
>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 30 Oct 2006 13:41:49 -0000
>>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

>>>>From: Jason Gammon <BoyintheMachine.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:09:03 EDT
>>>>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

><snip>

>>Which, when you think about it, is something you'd not want
>> ina robot or A.I.

>I agree.

Well, whether it's needed or not, a conscious intelligence will be constructed by somebody, probably sooner than later, simply because it can be constructed. And they better put a survival instinct into it, because we'll need to bargain with these things in a social context, just like we bargain with other humans. A survival instinct will make it think it has something to lose, so it doesn't just go kamikaze on our butts.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Faded Discs Project Report

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 19:48:19 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 07:26:25 -0500
Subject: Faded Discs Project Report

Greetings to Listarians, Lurkers and Government Spooks,

I am pleased to announce that the Audio History of Ufology Project (Faded Discs), has preserved and made available to researchers as of this date:

813 Recordings Comprising just over 275 Hours of Total Running Time.

Simply put, if you were to begin listening to all of the recordings at this moment and did nothing else at all but listen, it would take you almost Two Weeks to hear all the recordings.

We continue to preserve till the last breath is taken.

Donate and receive something nice for Christmas.

Thank You,
Wendy Connors
www.fadeddiscs.com

Please distribute far and wide

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 13:00:44 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 12:07:25 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 12:31:29 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 30 Oct 2006 10:31:22 -0800
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sun, 29 Oct 2006 17:15:59 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>>Re penal colony, my comment in the context of a long list of
>>>reasons why aliens might want to visit here is that:

>>>"Earth may be somebody's colony, or somebody's crop, or perhaps
>>>a penal colony. Maybe the aliens dumped all their bad boys and
>>>girls here and that is why we are so nasty to each other.
>>>Remember Australia and Georgia were first settled by convicts."

>>>Certainly not an original idea... though I definitely didn't get
>>>it from the new testament.

>>Nope. I remember one episode of the "Outer Limits" from the
>>early 1960s of ant-like aliens dumping their convicts on Earth
>>with threats of horrible retaliation if we harmed them. The
>>alien convicts make a break for it, the Army kills them off, but
>>the aliens don't retaliate. In fact, they wanted us to execute
>>their trash for them.

>>Doug Adams in Hitchhikers Guide To The Galaxy also satirically

Correction: Hitchhiker's Guide to the Universe

>>wrote that our ancestors were the worst, or at least most
>>annoying and unproductive of alien society, and were dumped here
>>to get rid of them. These were the insurance agents,
>>stockbrokers, politicians, corporate CEOs, preachers, etc. No
>>wonder Earth is such a mess!

>If ETs and angels/demons are one and the same (a very obvious
>deduction when one compares the description, behaviour and
>activity of both), then David and Stan have much support from
>ancient writers, including theologians, that Earth is indeed a
>penal colony for "aliens".

As I already responded in private email to Nick, I certainly did
NOT say I thought Earth was a penal colony for aliens. I merely
commented that the idea is sometimes used in Sci-Fi stories.

I also don't subscribe to the notion that Biblical angels and
demons are "obviously" the same as ETs. Maybe there is a
connection; maybe not. Modern writers routinely dream up exotic
critters and fantasy stories, sometimes as a way of making
social commentary. Why not ancient writers?

>Over the past few months I have been reviewing many translated
>ancient texts and primary documents, including old Biblical and
>Islamic commentaries, which clearly state that this indeed the
>case. That Earth is the place where the "fallen angels" from the
>heavens now reside was confirmed to me through visits to several
>monasteries recently where I met and spoke with monks who shared
>their personal direct contacts and "alien abduction" type
>experiences with these non-human entities.

>According to Judeo-Christian belief, Satan and 2/3 of the rebel
>angels (ETs?) were cast down to Earth from the heavens (other
>planets?). Michael became the new leader of the angels that
>remained loyal to the Supreme Creator of the universe. A state
>of war has existed on Earth ever since and man, God's children,
>are very much the center of attention by both the side of light
>and the side of darkness...

>This may not be a popular currently held view by this space-age
>generation but it is the one that can best explain non-physical
>UFO activity and experiences and the strange demon-like actions
>of suspected ET astronauts who seem to know more about us and
>who we are than we know ourselves! Truth is indeed stranger than
>fiction but are we ready or prepared to change our cherished
>beliefs and hopes about UFOs and ETs in light of this old but
>overwhelming evidence? I don't think we are ready and UFOs will
>remain a modern day mystery for many more years to come.

I hardly consider Bible stories to be "overwhelming" evidence. I
do consider it possible that ETs have meddled with human culture
and genetics in the past, perhaps to steer humanity in certain
directions. It is also possible they have kidnapped humans,
raised colonies elsewhere, and then brought them back as
surrogates (another sometimes used Sci-Fi theme). Or maybe they
established their own colonies on Earth in the distant past.

However, I don't think you need to invoke a war between "angels"
and "demons" to explain our own long history of wars, conquest,
exploitation, and general man's inhumanity to man. We're quite
capable of doing that ourselves.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 18:34:21 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 13:24:59 -0500
Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Ledger

>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 10:04:33 -0400
>Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 30 Oct 2006 12:25:17 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness

>>>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sun, 29 Oct 2006 10:50:21 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness

>>>You don't always have all the facts!

>>That pretty much applies to you as well, doesn't it Eugene.....

>That's right! That's the reason I've been arguing for caution
>and keeping an open mind! It's been my point from the get-go!

>You've consistently danced around answering the questions I've
>asked you in my various posts (such as how UFO research can
>possibly proceed without addressing the concerns I outlined)

I think it will get along quite well without your theory, though
I don't doubt that it will be considered. Theories abound. Why
are you so hung up on this one?

>and avoided providing anything solid to back up your numerous
>invalidations and condemnations of the data, research, and
>experimentation I referred to. You've consistently failed to
>provide anything to disqualify the notion that the processes I
>suggested might be of concern to the ufologist when dealing with
>the testimony of UFO witnesses.

>You've also not produced an iota (scintilla) of evidence to
>prove the ETH is the answer to the enigma (or parts of it) or
>even that it sits higher on the totem than all other
>explanations.

You are tiresome with this constant effort to make out that I'm
touting the ETH. My only "observation" was that it's the one
hypothesis that we actually have proof off, that being at least
one civilization has made it into space. It's as simple as that.
The question is whether other civilizations in this galaxy or
the universe have done the same. The odds are that they have.
Higher odds come into play when we consider whether any of them
have stumbled upon us. I think the odds are better that this is
the case than your theory that these UFOs reported in their
millions are the product of confabulation during a half second
delay between visual perception of some stimuli and the
recognition of it.

>Your invoking of Occam's razor doesn't work because it's really
>not the simplest answer using the fewest assumptions, and your
>'absence of evidence isn't evidence of absence' argument works
>as strongly against the ETH as it does against older Earth

>civilizations while your 'if it happened once, it could have
>happened twice' logic serves just as well to support the older
>Earth civilization hypothesis as it does the ETH.

Baloney. There's no proof whatsoever of this. As one off-List
has asked, where are the artifacts, the machinery, the aircraft
remnants, the fossils or any evidence that some previous
civilization made it off the planet.

>The bottom line is that when you have research that
>demonstrates the unconscious mind can generate UFO and abduction
>imagery

What research do you have that specifically demonstrates that
the unconscious mind can generate UFO and abduction imagery?
Certainly not Persinger's? That's a stretch at best.

>that has no substantive difference from the reports we receive
>(i.e. that it is capable of producing the UFO and abduction
>scenario perfectly), and you have data, research and
>experimentation that demonstrates the human perception process
>gives the unconscious mind time and opportunity to engage in
>repression and associative processes, and when our current
>understanding of our perception processes indicate we don't see
>objects directly but our brains are constantly looking to
>organize sensory data into objects while understanding patterns
>of neural activity in accordance with knowledge of objects from
>previous experience (with this experience not limited to vision
>but including touch, taste, smell, hearing, temperature, etc.)
>then you have more than justification for wondering if the
>'flying saucer' that was allegedly seen really was 'nuts and
>bolts' or was instead a creation of the mind in response to a
>totally unfamiliar stimulus -- even if this stimulus was able to
>produce physical effects on the environment.

This is the longest sentence I've ever read. I see you've
resorted to the 'flying saucer' tag in an effort to downgrade
the phenomenon from something solid to something more mentally
unstable.

>This justification becomes stronger when there are so many non-
>flying saucer reports such as amorphous lights that appear to
>act with intelligence or purpose, 'blur zone' UFOs that contain
>perceptual anomalies among witnesses such as descriptions that
>don't match, as well as UFO and abduction reports that contain
>other problem data such as anomalies in memory (discontinuity of
>consciousness) and 'oz factor' elements, just for starters.

That so many "amorphous lights" translates into a very small
percentage across the board.

>It is only by totally ignoring the other non-flying saucer UFO
>reports and abduction reports that don't quite fit, especially
>the 'blur zone' ones, and by ignoring data such as Hendry's,
>Lawson's, and data like I presented regarding our perception
>processes, can you make the case that the ETH represents the
>best answer. It represents one of the best answers but -- if
>you're looking at the whole picture (being aware of the data,
>research, and experimentation that probably has bearing on this,
>and the implications of it all) then clearly the ETH is not
>sitting highest on the totem. There are other possible answers
>on par with it.

You are using Hendry's, Lawsons experiments as a valid excuse to
explain the phenomenon. The experiments weren't conducted to
explain the phenomenon.

>Dick Hall's "beings from elsewhere" makes more sense when you
>look at all the data the phenomenon itself presents to us, as it
>doesn't limit the intelligence behind the UFO phenomenon to the
>'flesh and blood' or 'nuts and bolts' manifestations your
>version of the ETH does. Your die-hard sticking to 'nuts and
>bolts' flying saucers and their occupants doesn't even leave
>room for ET manifesting to us via other means, such as, for
>example, ET using an understanding of a holographic universe
>(with or without a mind-matter nexus) to move information around
>without the intervention of time or distance -- which could
>explain the conflicts within our data very, very well.

This the same Dick Hall who spent a half century looking into
the phenomenon, doing the time consuming field work you have

dismissed as a waste of time below.

>As Dick suggested, 'alien' doesn't have to mean aboriginal
>beings on other planets in our space-time continuum and, even if
>such aboriginal off-planet beings (to borrow Martin's wording)
>in our own space-time continuum are what's behind the UFO
>phenomenon, these ETs don't have to be 'coming here from there.'
>A holographic universe (hinted at in quantum physics) could
>allow an advanced intelligence to gain and pass on information
>without leaving their home planet. UFOs could be ET manifesting
>to us (and accessing information on us) through a holographic
>universe. UFOs manifesting through such a holographic universe
>would appear to possess a 'psychic' component. Maybe it's just a
>coincidence that the UFO phenomenon seems to have consistently
>exhibited such a component during its interaction with us.

>This possible paradigm of a holographic universe could also be
>utilized by older Earth civilizations though, as well as by
>beings that are of an etheric or astral nature, or by physical
>beings from a parallel universe. Even activity by other levels
>of our own consciousness could work within such a paradigm. Yes,
>I know, in your eyes I'm committing ufological heresy.

What twaddle. What would I care what you think? You are suggesting religious overtones by using a word like heresy.

If you want to hang your theory on the blurry possibility that some theorized half second delay between sight and cognizance is the explanation for the UFO phenomenon, you go right ahead. It might even explain .0001 percent of some of UFO sightings; but whereas the human brain is pretty slow in its ability to act on stimuli, I have to wonder at the brain's ability to confabulate a ten minute experience out of some half second [or less] exposure to some visual stimulation and then transfer that to a fellow witness.

>I better make it clear that I'm not advocating this as the
>answer before you accuse me of that too!

>The overly simple approach to UFO investigation where
>investigators go into the field trying to identify the mundane
>elements that caused a false report or to find evidence of the
>'nuts and bolts' extraterrestrial spaceship that was there is
>not only overly simple but also completely inadequate. It is
>probably the most important reason why we have made so little
>progress in understanding this mystery in the past sixty years
>of study. And investigators going into the field with this
>attitude have probably contributed to a significant
>contamination of the UFO data we've accumulated.

Well you read it here first folks. We've been wasting our time all of these years. But Eugene reads a book and voila' he has all of the answers.

I'm sure many of the researchers on this list will be interested in this observation. You are a little bit full of yourself, don't you think?

>There's no telling to what degree investigators who didn't know
>of or understand concerns such as those, for example, of 'UFO
>alignment' as pointed out by Michael D. Swords in IUR Volume 30,
>Number 2 or of the "effect of observer motion" as described by
>Donald Burleson in the MUFON UFO Journal in March 2004 (Number
>431) have distorted our data pertaining to genuine anomalies or
>contaminated our data pool with 'mysteries' that that could have
>easily been explained had the investigator been equipped with an
>adequate understanding of these type of things. Investigators
>proceeding without awareness or understanding of the processes
>and data I outlined repeatedly during this thread are probably
>doing as much damage.

Once more you armchair explore rather than going out and finding the results for yourself.

>But, I know, I know, we already have the best answer to the
>enigma so this is just another absurd and preposterous rant and
>I'm just trashing the ETH because I'm illogical and have an
>anti-alien phobia.

You are talking about aliens, I'm talking about UFOs and the

reality of that phenomenon. But you really are hung up on the ETH aren't you. You've declared that I am as well despite being told to the contrary. Once more, I think it has the best chance of working out, but I'm not married to it.

>I think the underlying reason for your asking me earlier to be
>briefer is because writing such a detailed response provides a
>foundation of fact and information that better supports my
>position than your overly general remarks regarding "soft
>science" and "conjecture," and your accusations of
>"preposterous" or "absurd" do to invalidate it.

No, that's not it. I just don't think you can help yourself.

>Regardless, I'll stop here. I've already given you enough work
>"snipping" away all this! And I don't want you to again
>underscore your superiority of position with "sheesh" again.
>That just hurts too much!

You missed the point. You hoaxed photographs which you gave to the RCMP. You recanted later. Great! But the damage was done. I don't think you get it. It's hard enough to get this phenomenon investigated using all the tools of science but one hoaxing of a photograph pretty much negates any others that that officer or his associates come in contact with never mind the UFO reports as well. And that spreads out to his fellow officers as he explains to them his experience where they were taken in by a kid with a Polaroid camera some years before. It's a stigma that gets attached and you cannot detach it. It supports and verifies the basis for a disinformation strategy that's been used for decades.

Regardless of the truth of the sighting you actually had, it's burned by the hoaxing of those photos. Your credibility with them goes out the window as do the next people who phone in with a UFO report. You not only did yourself a disservice but those who came after you as well.

>Unless you're going to answer the questions I've asked and
>provide data that nullifies my position, I'm going to bow out of
>these discussions with you because you're not addressing any of
>the issues I'm going through the trouble of bringing up. You're
>just being unfair and insulting, probably to cover up the fact
>that you _can't_ answer my questions or nullify my position. It
>hasn't worked!

Now this is nonsense. I don't have a lab nor am I a doctor of psychology, nor can I experiment on people. Nor can you, so you can't verify your contention that this half second delay explains away the UFO phenomenon. You just read about this stuff and race to your keyboard with your case-solved scenario while the rest of us just plug away. We do this so that perhaps some day the preponderance of data out there will convince someone that there is a real hard core to the phenomenon.

>You can have it your way, Don. The ETH is the only real answer
>to the UFO riddle! (Not!)

I'm sorry, but that's your words, not mine. You think if you keep saying it it will be so. Not the case.

>Pay no attention to me while I, as you see it, grope around
>foolishly for other possible solutions other than some ET
>presence. I know you won't anyway; you're too busy concerning
>yourself with the proven 'nuts and bolts' ET spaceships that are
>definitely abducting us while I "grasp at straws!"

>By the way, those straws that you see me grasping at, are you
>really recreating accurate mental pictures of them in your brain
>or are they just a result of your mind making representations of
>things in accordance with experiences you have past
>understanding (or misunderstanding) of? Is it possible you're
>seeing something that isn't really there, at least in the manner
>you're perceiving - or misperceiving - it? Just asking! You
>don't have to answer. I'll just "read your mind" again!

I'll remember these words the next time I'm looking into the eyes of my 7 grandchildren and wonder, am I confabulating them in that half second? Do they really exist? Do I still have to shell out big bucks at Christmas because of some seven mental aberrations?

Fortunately the other mental aberrations known as my wife and 3 kids were recognized by the Federal Income Tax department as a tax deduction for all of those years. They never once claimed they were just my half second imaginary family. You could run it by them though. They would love to have an excuse to decline all of those claims for child tax credits and deductions.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: MAJ12 SOM1-01 EBE-Type-I & Caponi CE3 '93

From: **Dimitris Hatzopoulos** <dhatz-ufo.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 00:39:17 +0200
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 13:27:28 -0500
Subject: Re: MAJ12 SOM1-01 EBE-Type-I & Caponi CE3 '93

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 30 Oct 2006 09:27:46 -0400
>Subject: Re: MAJ12 SOM1-01 EBE-Type-I & Caponi CE3 '93 Photos

>Don Berliner, co-author of Crash At Corona received the roll of
>film from Wisconsin in March 1994. He inadvertently mentioned
>the documents to me in a phone conversation in December 1994. I
>asked for a copy and then asked that Dr. Bob Wood be given a
>copy because Bob had worked with TOP SECRET documents for many
>many years. A version was published in TOP SECRET/MAJIC in 1997.
>It is also included in the 2nd Edition 2005

>Stan Friedman

Thanks for the clarification. This gets very interesting,
because then the Caponi photos (last one was taken Aug-1993)
pre-date the receipt of the film of MAJ12 SOM1-01 document by
Don Berliner.

The being depicted in Caponi's photos fits very well the
description of SOM1-01's EBE-Type-I: "rounded cranium, chalky-
yellow skin, small frail hands, strong bowed/splayed feet which
are proportionally large". (The Caponi photos and his story are
available at various sites, e.g.
<http://ufologie.net/htm/caponipics.htm>).

This being Caponi/EBE-Type-I could be the "reptoid" (a/k/a
"reptilian humanoid"), which is sometimes described in alien
encounter stories. As a reminder, I've a section about purported
alien UFO-nauts (using the combination of SOM1-01 text
corresponding pics) near the end of my 'UFO/ET' page at
<http://www.hyper.net/ufo.html>

So, IF the MAJ12 SOM1-01 doc is authentic (and Dr.Wood gives it
the highest rating of 5 in his 1-5 scale), it is very strong
independent corroboration of Caponi's story and his photos.

Regards,

Dimitris

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: UFO Talk To Land At March - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 00:21:41 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 13:31:16 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Talk To Land At March - Ledger

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 10:19:10 -0500
>Subject: Re: UFO Talk To Land At March

>>Source: The Press-Enterprise - Riverside, California, USA

>><http://tinyurl.com/yyzfgd>

>>Thursday, October 26, 2006

>>UFO Talk To Land At March

>>Expert to tackle flying saucers, secret planes, coverups

>>By John Asbury
>>The Press-Enterprise

>>Ever think some folks in the Inland Empire are out of this
>>world? The UFO seminar on Saturday could provide some answers.

>>The murky world of UFOs, government coverups and America's
>>secret planes will be the topic of March Field Air Museum's UFO
>>seminar and family days on Saturday.

>>"UFOs and Things That Go Bump in the Night" will try to
>>explain flying saucers and alien sightings such as Roswell and
>>Area 51. Resident UFO-ologist and museum volunteer Bob Mauger,
>>65, of Norco, will discuss how several suspected alien aircrafts
>>are usually top-secret government airplanes.

>>"There's a lot you don't realize is out there," Mauger said.
>>"Some things in the sky are totally unexplained."

>>The U.S. government used secret surveillance planes in World
>>War II and to keep tabs on Cold War rivals, and still uses some
>>today, Mauger said.

>>Reported UFO sightings were later discovered to be U.S. Air
>>Force planes such as the XP59, the first U.S. jet; the U-2 spy
>>plane; the SR71; and the F1-17 Nighthawk, Mauger said. The
>>incident that spawned the term "flying saucer" likely involved
>>the Horton 229, a German airplane used by both the U.S. and the
>>Soviet Union, he said.

>>Anyone ever come up with any evidence that there were any
>>Horton 229's flying anywhere in the world or, to be specific,
>>nine in the state of Washington for K. Arnold to see?

No, and no one ever will. Imagine just one having being tested then they built eight more so they could fly them altogether over Mount Rainer. Why; to prove that 9 could fly together over Mt. Rainer? What happened to them? Where did the USAF get the time and resources to develop this subsonic aircraft that Arnold clocked at 1,700 mph then downgraded to 1,200 mph? Why did the government research establishments then mothball this wonderous aircraft capable of speeds in the Mach two range [a physical impossibility] and spend the next 30 years trying to get one that would be a viable fighter that could achieve Mach

two and sprint from the deck to 50,000 feet in under 60 seconds? Sure there were lots of dash aircraft with short ranges but they couldn't get up and running like the Avro Arrow or 20 years later the F-15.

The in-service AeroComet [YP-59] has a top speed of 409 mph. A P-51D could pass it at 438 mph. The poor AeroComet spent it's time pulling target drones.

The museum is charging \$7.00 to get in to see this armchair expert. There are many in California better qualified to speak on the phenomenon than this guy.

Preposterous is right. By the time the public got to see these spy-aircraft they were at very high altitudes and just specks.

The very purpose of the U-2 and SR-71 was to be not seen and get photos of "enemy" installations or their movements. They were more often than not flown from foreign soil than over the United States or Canada. They left before dawn in many cases and landed after dark-the U-2 in particular. The SR-71 relied on speed to get in and out of enemy airspace, taking off or landing during daylight hours due to swift completions of its missions. Both aircraft apparently carried highly visible navigation lights so as to make it easier for the Soviets or Cubans to shoot them down-just to be fair.

The public was and is used to seeing contrails from high altitude aircraft or even aircraft without contrails. Obviously there would be the odd reflection from a canopy but look how often airliners fly overhead without one seeing the reflection of sunlight from their windows or even polished aluminum surfaces. Even so these certainly could not account for 99.9 percent of the reports that had objects in the lower altitudes.

The U-2 and SR-71 are a total bust for me as a source of UFO reports. Give me a mid-air refueling anytime. Nice display of lights, spread all over a small patch of the sky. The Horton never flew over here, at least not above one hundred feet. The most play the Horton brothers got on this side of the Atlantic was their STOL kits as retro-fitted on various Cessna and Piper aircraft to improve stall and reduce landing speeds while mildly increasing the respective wing's angle of attack. The Go 229 seems to have been a none starter. If it was, by now, one of them would be in the Smithsonian.

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: Area 51 Tunnels? - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 23:25:54 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 13:32:51 -0500
Subject: Re: Area 51 Tunnels? - Scheldroup

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 18:50:38 +0000
>Subject: Re: Area 51 Tunnels?

>>From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 29 Oct 2006 14:53:19 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Area 51 Tunnels?

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sat, 28 Oct 2006 19:40:47 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: Area 51 Tunnels?

><snip>

>>Kathy allow me to show you how to figure this out.

>><http://tinyurl.com/uh3dh>

><snip>

>Thanks, John. As soon as I can, I will try out this new - for me
>- tool of the Internet.

What if the photons were "polarized" to all move at a right
angle to the "thrust" on the sphere? Would it then seem
"massless"?

Need flying saucers? Just "polarize" the momentum INSIDE the
particles of "mass". While you obey the conservation laws.

John

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 00:17:37 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 13:34:15 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 09:05:28 -0800
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

<snip>

>We'll just have to see
>what the next few decades of research reveal, should we live so
>long.

I know what you mean. I don't want to go too far off on a tangent here, and maybe this if for another discussion thread.

I have a recurring feeling that I've generally associated with discussing and studying UFOs that I've usually recognized as a kind of hopeful expectation that UFOs might someday reveal themselves in ways that can't be ignored or explained away.

But does anybody else here get a feeling that some big thing is going to happen in the immediate future? Bigger and stronger than the usual feeling I'm talking about? Like we're in a kind of "calm before the storm?" (If you can call this "calm.") Maybe just because of the way history sort of moves in waves.

I don't know what it is. A big, public UFO display, maybe. A positive SETI announcement. A relatively large asteroid impact. Huge flu epidemic that wipes out 1/4 of the Earth's population. Something that's going to shake up a lot of existing paradigms in a big way. Much bigger than usual. Don't know exactly what, though.

Usually the feeling goes away after a while by itself, but this time it's a little stronger and lingering longer than usual. Just have to wait and see, I guess. Not much of a psychic claim, I'm afraid. "Something big is going to happen." But I thought I'd mention it, anyway.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 08:10:59 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 16:00:00 -0500
Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Shell

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 11:31:12 -0600 (CST)
>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

>Well, whether it's needed or not, a conscious intelligence will
>be constructed by somebody, probably sooner than later, simply
>because it can be constructed. And they better put a survival
>instinct into it, because we'll need to bargain with these
>things in a social context, just like we bargain with other
>humans. A survival instinct will make it think it has something
>to lose, so it doesn't just go kamikaze on our butts.

Here's a question for you. I'm sure it would be relatively easy
to program a computer to emulate consciousness. That is to act
like a conscious entity. Would we every be able to tell the
difference?

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 08:21:44 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 16:01:35 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 14:18:17 -0000
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>Ever since reading Desmond Collins' "The Human Revolution" in
>about 1977 I've always liked the neoteny theory, one of those
>narratives that has the instant "ring of truth" (whether true or
>not) - the idea being that Neanderthals failed to survive rising
>infant mortality caused by increasing brain size. Apparently
>their brains were surprisingly large, about 15% larger than the
>modern average and had increased quite rapidly. But the female
>pelvic aperture had not increased, so they had problems.
>Premature birth was an adaptation that saved homo sapiens from
>the same fate, and the long period of dependency and development
>outside the womb was responsible for complex learning, complex
>play and socialisation. If true maybe the remnant late
>neanderthals roamed in scattered bands outside the circle of
>human firelight, so to speak, related and yet alien, and
>inhumanly smart. They'd provide the type for a "racial memory"
>of mysterious and powerful demi-humans "out there" on the fringe
>of human life - the original fairy prototype perhaps?

More likely the original ogre prototype.

Grendel in Beowulf may well be a remnant Neanderthal.

Most people have forgotten that when Carl von Linne, know by his Latinized name Carolus Linnaeus, wrote his original Systema Naturae, he included two species of man. The father of modern biological nomenclature called us Homo diurnus (man of the day). But he also had another, Homo nocturnus (man of the night). Just what was this Homo nocturnus? It has been widely debated in the past, but with no certain answer. Maybe remnant Neanderthal populations were nocturnal as a way of avoiding us, since we tend to be primarily active during the day.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

The British UFO Files Documentary

From: Dave Haith <visions.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 13:25:19 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 16:03:22 -0500
Subject: The British UFO Files Documentary

Last night's Channel 5 documentary was, for once, a reasonable account of the events surrounding this interesting triangle flyover.

But I must ask Nick Pope who was prominently featured, what is behind the unfathomable UK Ministry of Defence stance that these kind of events are of "no defence significance" or similar phrase?

If the account was accurate the powers that be declared this after an object had been witnessed flying over two air bases. And the commentary stated they had come to this conclusion because it hadn't happened again!

This would be like the police saying that somebody entered Buckingham Palace but it is of no security significance because so far the intruders hadn't returned!

Even Nick Pope in the documentary said that it appeared to be a reconnaissance mission.

How do the authorities know that this wasn't so and the object may some day return and nuke the air bases?

Either this is blatant disinformation or the authorities know something they are not telling us about UFO operations or intentions.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: Legitimate Data? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 05:43:10 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 16:04:53 -0500
Subject: Re: Legitimate Data? - Nielsen

>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 10:58:23 -0400
>Subject: Re: Legitimate Data?

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 13:10:47 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Legitimate Data?

>>>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>>>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 30 Oct 2006 10:35:41 -0400
>>>Subject: Legitimate Data? [was: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For
>>>Aliens?]

>>Your credibility went to zero for me so stop wasting my time and
>>bandwidth with your endless rhetoric about the basis of this
>>phenomenon being psychological. If you actually did some field
>>studies yourself you might find and think differently.

>See! You actually were missing my point. Nowhere did I state
>the basis of this phenomenon is psychological. In fact, if you
>had even the slightest understanding of the implications of the
>data and research I referred to - and of what I was saying
>regarding how it may connect to ufology - you would have gotten
>the exact opposite impression. You would have realized I do
>think differently!

<snip>

>I guess I just don't get the real UFO cases like you do!

Eugene:

If I may, that lack of credibility probably has to do with the
admission of your hoaxing those photos. Any status ufology may
have had with the RCMP was probably damaged by that act.

A little more contrition might go a long way. But with that,
I'm only speaking for myself.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

US History Channel Program Monday Nov. 6

From: **Stanton Friedman** <fsphys.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 09:51:12 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 16:06:28 -0500
Subject: US History Channel Program Monday Nov. 6

I have just been informed that the US History Channel will be broadcasting a documentary UFO FILES: Hangar 18, on Monday Nov. 6. Time slot not certain. I was interviewed for it a long time ago, but have no idea what it is in it. I surely have no recall of talking about Hangar 18.

Sci-Fi will have a Roswell show Wednesday, Nov. 8, 10PM EST.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 2](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: Gre Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 10:16:51 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 16:07:37 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 11:16:22 -0600 (CST)
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 12:31:29 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

><snip>

>>If ETs and angels/demons are one and the same (a very obvious
>>deduction when one compares the description, behaviour and
>>activity of both), then David and Stan have much support from
>>ancient writers, including theologians, that Earth is indeed a
>>penal colony for "aliens".

>I don't see how bringing angels and demons in the picture backs
>up their notion at all. First, we have even less substantiated
>evidence for the existence of angels/demons than we do for >good
>ol' flying saucer aliens, if it's possible to have less than
>zero.

<snip>

Now hold on thar! "less substantiated"?

Well now there's more evidence for angels/demons that far outweighs any ET stuff by a country mile. Matter of fact last time I looked about 4 billion people on Earth believe in angels/demons of some sort and billions professed this daily. We've got far more eyewitness accounts of the intervention of angels/demons than we do about ETs so if abductee and whistleblower testimony is valid, then so is the testimony of persons who believe they've encountered spiritual beings.

Fair is fair now.

I know I can go outside and ask any number of people if they believe an angel has interceded for them and I'll get a majority agreement. If I ask them about flying saucers and ETs at best I'll get into debate about it or worst get told off.

If belief is reality then angels have ETs beat by a country light year.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 3](#)

UFO Enthusiasts Are Not Fooled By Government

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 06:49:23 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 06:49:23 -0500
Subject: UFO Enthusiasts Are Not Fooled By Government

Source: San Diego CityBeat - California, USA

<http://www.sdcitybeat.com/article.php?id=3D4961&atype=3D>

11-01-06

Truth: The Epic Struggle
UFO Enthusiasts Are Not Fooled By Government Cover-Ups

by Emma Silvers

Setting foot inside a Sizzler late on a Sunday afternoon is a little like landing on another planet=97one populated only by people 60 and older, and one that smells a lot like ranch dressing. But past the salad bar, in the back room of this particular Sizzler, a group of concerned citizens are thinking about a bit more than the buffet.

"There is just so much going on that the public doesn't know," says Ed McBride, spokesperson for the San Diego chapter of the Mutual UFO Network (MUFON). "There is, effectively, a blackout in the press when it comes to UFOs. They just don't allow coverage, except for the giggle-giggle factor."

Seated at a banquet table piled with fried chicken and buttered rolls, McBride and MUFON area director Mel Podell survey the room as it fills up with members. There's an hour to go until the 6 p.m. meeting and lecture, but the optional pre-lecture dinner is pretty popular. "No one else is really doing this," says McBride, "so [MUFON is] kind of like keepers of the flame."

Founded in 1969, MUFON currently boasts 3,000-plus members and has chapters in every state and 33 countries worldwide. A visit to the national website uncovers such alarming truths as the fact that Dan Aykroyd is a benefactor, lifetime member and "official Hollywood consultant" of the registered nonprofit.

"We have investigators throughout the world," says Podell, cheerfully delivering the MUFON spiel. "When there's a sighting, they assign an area investigator to check on it, whether through a phone interview or actually going to the site, and then they're recorded at national headquarters. It's a great group of people=97people from all walks of life."

McBride, a former U.S. Air Force radar operator now in his 70s=97and sharp as a tack=97is a little more eager to talk about specific sightings and cover-ups. His interest in UFOs dates back to 1957, when the El Cajon native was stationed in an aircraft control squadron in Las Cruces, N.M. McBride says his radarscope picked up a craft flying north of Roswell, N.M., at 18,000 mph headed in the direction of San Diego. He says he immediately alerted his supervisor. "Under regulation 200-2, it should have been called in to the control center," explains McBride. "The chief said 'Scrap it!' which bothered me tremendously."

McBride's been hooked ever since; he can reel off cover-ups of

both military mistakes and alleged UFO crashes with impressive attention to detail.

After listening to McBride talk for about an hour=97and, realizing that the organization boasts several ex-military men as officers=97one starts to get the distinct feeling that there is a relationship between the government-sanctioned censorship these men say they witnessed in the armed forces and their current obsession with UFOs.

"The government is better than most people realize at cover-ups," McBride says, pushing aside his cheese toast. "You know what they would do if a UFO landed in El Cajon today?" he asks. "Traffic would come to a halt, El Cajon police would rope it off, and then along would come people from some military contingent. They'd gather all the [witnesses] up and tell them, 'Look, you didn't see anything.' Then they'd drag in some small plane and have camera crews take pictures of it, and the evening news would say, 'A small plane crashed in El Cajon today.' That would be it."

So, why, in the era of orange alerts and people forking over their civil liberties in the name of safety, would the government go to such great lengths to keep citizens in the dark about a potential threat?

"Oh, it's the fear factor," says McBride. "Imagine the president coming out and saying, 'Well, we've been lying to you. [The UFOs] come and go, we can't catch them, they've been abducting people, we have no way of counteracting this, and we don't know where they're from=97it's been nice talking to you, goodnight.'

"So there's this little play going on," continues McBride. "They pretend they don't exist, and we keep digging."

But while MUFON keeps digging, it seems that actual research on where the alleged UFOs are coming from=97or why=97isn't really anyone's first priority. The "alien" part of the whole UFO equation comes almost as an afterthought.

"We don't know where they're from. My guess is that they're inter-dimensional," McBride offers casually. "They're probably a good 3,000 to 5,000 years ahead of us. We can't even begin to understand their knowledge."

The vague logic behind the idea of aliens as some incredibly advanced version of humans stems from first-hand reports by alleged alien abductees; abductions, in the eyes of MUFON members, are perfectly reasonable research missions.

Whether or not the logic of MUFON is infallible, McBride is certain the government takes the organization's work seriously enough to monitor it very closely. In 1999, McBride was featured on a Fox 6 evening news program discussing a UFO sighting. After the news aired, McBride says his phone went out for a week. He says he's been harassed on the phone by people he believes to be government agents, and has had to change his telephone number twice because of it.

McBride says it's the government's attempt to control the media that worries MUFON members the most.

"They've decided none of this can be in the open press because they don't like to talk about anything anymore that they can't explain," says McBride. "They can talk about all kinds of serial killers, JonBenet Ramsey=97but they decide. That's what scares me, more than these UFOs. They selectively decide what we're going to have for our evening news."

The room is almost packed by now, and waitresses are making the rounds to clear plates before today's lecture on the wave patterns of UFO sightings over the last 50 years begins. Looking around at the crowd of what appear to be mostly blue-collar senior citizens, one can't help but sense that a person's interest in UFOs can stem from a wide range of needs=97for some, perhaps, it's a way to make sense of things that have happened in their lives; for others, it's the chance to be an expert on a topic a lot of people know little about, and in doing so they feel like part of a community. In this sense, MUFON is a triumph=97its members represent a true elite.

As for the common, trusting American?

"Average people in the U.S. are not going to know about this until it comes out in some big happening, and I don't think the U.S. government wants that, because it would upset the status quo," says McBride as the room grows quiet for the lecture. "What I call it is institutionalized denial."

The San Diego chapter of MUFON meets the third Saturday of every other month. The next meeting will be at 6 p.m. Sunday, Nov. 19, at the banquet room of Sizzler, 3755 Murphy Canyon Road in Kearny Mesa. 760-753-2456.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 3](#)

A Pointless Journey Into The Unknown

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 07:04:46 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 07:04:46 -0500
Subject: A Pointless Journey Into The Unknown

Source: The Scotsman - Edinburgh, Scotland

<http://living.scotsman.com/tv.cfm?id=1618782006>

Thu 2 Nov 2006

TV & Radio

A Pointless Journey Into The Unknown
Iain Heggie

Stranger Than Fiction, Five
One Life, BBC 1

Why was it always flying saucers people saw in the night sky while wandering through the Appalachians drunk on bourbon or, for that matter, the Campsies blootered on Buckie? Why it was never flying teapots or flying giraffes? Or a flying giant portion of M&S pasta al pesto with pine nuts? Do people still see flying saucers or did they only see them back in the days when those great imaginers of the future - the science fiction writers - dictated that saucers were what alien spacecraft would be like? And why have the sightings tended to occur since we learnt that the other planets aren't gods looking down on us disdainfully? And since we learned to fly? And since we learned to explore space and manufactured the fear that other planets with beings would be out there flying around space searching for us?

These were some of the red-hot questions not answered by Stranger Than Fiction. Nick Pope's solitary desk at the department of something or other in the early 1990s was apparently the last remnant of the cold war. His job was to check out UFO sightings for potential threats to the UK. Following the Freedom of Information act, Pope decided to fess up to Five about the one case he hadn't been able to explain away as a low flying aircraft or a comet.

One day in 1993 he got a high volume of calls about something seen in the night sky around Mid Wales, Shropshire, and Devon. It seemed to be an enormous triangular shaped craft flying both at low altitude at mind-boggling speed. Going by the intoning of the music, the programme wanted us to be very impressed by the unusual high quality of the "expert" witnesses, who include meteorologists, service personnel and cops. We were also solemnly informed that, given the macho culture of their fields, these kinds of people wouldn't make up sightings for fear of being mercilessly ribbed by their colleagues should they be proved wrong. Funny how such professions get a good press when reliable experts are needed for the narrative, but a bad one when the story is corruption, frame-ups, war crimes or cooking up fake science in furtherance of the career.

They did have one fun-spoiling critic who thought he could explain the sightings as scheduled RAF aircraft flying at 20,000

feet. But those expert witnesses were insisting the craft was in the region of 1,000 feet. The critic was given precious little airtime and never invited to respond so no-one asked the question whether optical illusions can make craft seem closer than they are. We spent too much time here contriving danger and wonder and too little on a wider sweep across the subject and a more in-depth discussion of the case in the spotlight. In the end Stranger Than Fiction got what it wanted: an unexplained mystery. Or as Pope put it: "Type of craft - unknown; Origin of craft - unknown. Motive of occupants - unknown."

Until I saw One Life I thought tanning was going out of fashion. But people are still hard at it, making themselves look cooked or shiny or orange under the delusion that it's sexy or healthy. We got a genteel old lady with a house on the cliffs above Scarborough who didn't care about the cancer or the wrinkles - she just liked being in the sun. We got a cockney black girl who only needs a five minute top up in the sunbeds to go several shades darker. And we got a body-building couple for whom the application of fake tan is an art form. Now I don't know if it was editorial choices or not, but compulsive tanners (or tanorexics) emerged as a bunch of self-absorbed bores who, in my view, should definitely be last in the queue for places in the lifeboat.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 3](#)

Vote For The 2006 Zorgy Awards

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 07:08:00 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 07:08:00 -0500
Subject: Vote For The 2006 Zorgy Awards

Source: Paul Kimball's Redstar Films Blog - Halifax, Nova Scotia
Canada

<http://tinyurl.com/y1fk2l>

Wednesday, November 01, 2006

Vote For The 2006 Zorgy Awards

The nominations for the 2006 Zorgy Awards have officially closed, and here are the top three nominated choices in each category for you to vote for (some categories were discontinued due to lack of sufficient nominations):

[Vote at site]

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 3](#)

Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <BoyintheMachine.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 10:44:24 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 07:16:17 -0500
Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Gammon

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 11:31:12 -0600 (CST)
>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

>>From: Jason Gammon <BoyintheMachine.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 09:37:37 EST
>>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 30 Oct 2006 13:41:49 -0000
>>>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

>>>>From: Jason Gammon <BoyintheMachine.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Sun, 29 Oct 2006 00:09:03 EDT
>>>>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

><snip>

>>>Which, when you think about it, is something you'd not want
>>> ina robot or A.I.

>>I agree.

>Well, whether it's needed or not, a conscious intelligence will
>be constructed by somebody, probably sooner than later, simply
>because it can be constructed. And they better put a survival
>instinct into it, because we'll need to bargain with these
>things in a social context, just like we bargain with other
>humans. A survival instinct will make it think it has something
>to lose, so it doesn't just go kamikaze on our butts.

Not really. Humans have survival instincts yet such doesn't stop
people from castrating themselves and then attempting to jump on
a comet.

Perhaps consciousness allows a lifeform to override it's
programming/instincts?

We should all face the fact that though we can create a
conscious A.I., we will never be able to control it. It will
form it's own will, it's on purpose. We must not attempt to make
it our slave.

- Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 3](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 18:01:23 -0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 08:05:45 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

Hi List - and Bob & Stuart,

It seems that Neanderthal issue has stirred up confusion about the word "species".

Two extreme positions are a) if they look vastly different - they're different species; or b) if they can't interbreed (discounting 'mules') - they're different species.

Well, it's not as easy as that.

Off-top-of-head there's the case of the 'grey gulls vs. black-backed gulls' who in any one location are non-interbreeding (so seemingly different species) but, if traced around the world in a circle actually morph into one species. A species that can't interbreed with itself (at any one location). Think that case is quoted by the Profs Cohen and Stewart in 'Collapse of Chaos' but can't be sure - too lazy to check.

On the other hand there's those ducks presently being bad-mouthed by UK conservationists (who often seem to think that a particular balance must be preserved as "right" although environments change). From recent news it seems the (imported?) red-colored males will successfully interbreed with whitish female "UK ducks", producing stronger 'hybrid' offspring. The incoming (red) males are therefore branded as 'miscegenating rapists'.

[Maybe a hint of male insecurity/paranoia there - which we see in all cultures. We've only recently stopped using the "miscegenation" word for human marriages of different shades of sun-tan.]

So where does a 'new' species difference start? And how?

I think the experts, including Elaine Morgan, have laid out the basic essential - "isolation/separation".

Elaine (and some others) have the original separation of Homo.sap from other apes happening during a tectonic event millions of years ago and suggested the Horn of Africa, where a continuation of the process seems to be going on right now.

www.spiegel.de/international/spiegel/0,1518,405947,00.html

www.guardian.co.uk/science/story/0,,1936881,00.html

It's not necessarily only geographic though. Let's say, for several hundred thousand years of a distant but much later Ice Age, those Homos becoming 'Neanderthal' started to use a valley and cave for three or four winter months while those becoming 'Homo.sap.sap' used the same valley and cave for three or four summer months. They might never meet again after the first migration choice. So separation has been achieved - by choice of migrations. The same migration separation applies to some bird species.

So how come humans, who've clearly had the time to develop some seemingly species-like attributes - different uses of stomach

enzymes, different uses of skin photo-synthesis of vitamins, different heights, build, skin-tones etc - can still successfully interbreed?

I think the answer is that we've never been fully 'isolated' from each other -

a) any differences were merely forced by local conditions - availability of animal-milk, sunshine, heat/cold (for heights and body-build) etc. - over long periods;

b) real humans are essentially curious, most being fond of travel, and nearly all seeing 'exotic' humans as interesting and sexy (which is why that "two breeds of future humans" is rubbish).

OK there may be cultural differences here - in some groups it's only the males who move out to find foreign mates, in others only the females are the adventurous ones. But in all groups any 'left-behinds' have obviously welcomed matings with 'exotic' foreigners (as we still find - thankfully).

BTW - All that separation stuff above would seem to knock the idea of 'aliens' interbreeding with humans. If the two (convergent or divergent) groups had been so far and so long separated then, even if they had a common or pan-spermic origin, they wouldn't have any chance of interbreeding.

Unless they've been continually visiting of course, or (an extreme position) maybe never left.

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 3](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: **Michael Tarbell** <mtarbell.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 11:11:52 -0700
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 12:14:13 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 08:16:02 -0500
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 09:05:28 -0800
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>Neanderthal couldn't split off from us only 400,000 years ago
>>and be more like chimps than us. We split off from the great ape
>>line roughly 10 million years ago, according to latest
>>reckoning.

>Hominids split off from apes about 10 million years ago,
>according to most theories based on physical analysis of
>fossils. DNA tells a different story, though. Our DNA is far
>too close to that of chimps for the split to have been that long
>ago. Based on DNA, we split from chimps very recently.

>So, there's the rub, reconciling physical anthropology with
>modern DNA analysis, and physical anthropology loses out. We're
>very different from all of the great apes except for
>chimps/bonobos, and could well have split from those other apes
>millions of years ago.

>I think the whole family tree derived from physical anthropology
>has to be uprooted. We have to draw a new tree including
>chimps/bonobos on the same branch with us, and with us diverging
>from them only a few hundred thousand years ago.

A draft genome of the chimpanzee was published in the 01 Sep
2005 issue of Nature, with the finding that the human and
chimpanzee genomes are more different than previously thought.
The previous estimate of 1.2% difference (based on single
nucleotide changes) has been increased by an additional 2.7%
(based on analysis of longer stretches of DNA).

Mike

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 3](#)

Re: Ufology Mentalities - Aldrich

From: Jan Aldrich <project1947.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 13:25:20 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 12:16:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology Mentalities - Aldrich

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 09:16:47 EST
>Subject: Ufology Mentalities

>We've all got our gripes and pet peeves when it comes to the
>types of mentalities that arise from ufological research.

>Some of these mindsets come from the public, some from the
>press, scientists, military, and of course other ufologists.

>The ones that set my teeth on edge are:

<snip>

>I'm hoping somewhere the great Al Jaffee of MAD Magazine may
>have done a 'Funny Side of UFOs' at some time. This is a
>frustrating and demanding field of research that challenges any
>researcher to use skills and abilities far beyond mortal ken.
>Add to that the regular daily challenges of living and you can
>have a recipe for high blood pressure. Gotta take time to laugh.

Geez Greg, you have just summarized about all but two weeks of
the last fifty years plus of ufology: forty percent wild and
silly stories, thrity percent equally wild and silly conspiracy
theories, twenty percent conman's hockum, five percent stumbling
bumbling attempts at investigations, three percent real attempts
at academic, scientific, and historical investigations of the
phenomen(-na), two percent attempts to present the hard core of
the UFO phenomenon (na) to people who have the intelligence and
critical thinking capabilities beyond that of the listeners of
Art Bell, or tabloid television.

Jan Aldrich
Project 1947
<http://www.project1947.com/>
P. O. Box 391
Canterbury, CT 06331, USA
(860) 546-9135

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 3](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 17:23:30 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 12:18:39 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 00:17:37 -0800
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 09:05:28 -0800
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

><snip>

>>We'll just have to see
>>what the next few decades of research reveal, should we live so
>>long.

>I know what you mean. I don't want to go too far off on a
>tangent here, and maybe this if for another discussion thread.

>I have a recurring feeling that I've generally associated with
>discussing and studying UFOs that I've usually recognized as a
>kind of hopeful expectation that UFOs might someday reveal
>themselves in ways that can't be ignored or explained away.

>But does anybody else here get a feeling that some big thing is
>going to happen in the immediate future? Bigger and stronger
>than the usual feeling I'm talking about? Like we're in a kind
>of "calm before the storm?" (If you can call this "calm.") Maybe
>just because of the way history sort of moves in waves.

I was a bit surprised at the "openness" displayed during the last Shuttle flight and the crews response to the female ground controller at Houston re the ? that was preventing the Shuttle from undocking. She stumbled around, looking for the right words to describe the thing then came up with debris and asked the crew if that was the case, but the crew member sort of brushed that off and used the term objects. No one knew what these were and they still don't. But the fact that it even was discussed is interesting considering past experiences.

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 3](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 13:40:44 -0800
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 12:19:41 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 10:16:51 EST
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 11:16:22 -0600 (CST)
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>>From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 12:31:29 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
>>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>I don't see how bringing angels and demons in the picture backs
>>up their notion at all. First, we have even less substantiated
>>evidence for the existence of angels/demons than we do for >good
>>ol' flying saucer aliens, if it's possible to have less than
>>zero.

>Now hold on thar! "less substantiated"?

>Well now there's more evidence for angels/demons that far
>outweighs any ET stuff by a country mile. Matter of fact last
>time I looked about 4 billion people on Earth believe in
>angels/demons of some sort and billions professed this daily.
>We've got far more eyewitness accounts of the intervention of
>angels/demons than we do about ETs so if abductee and
>whistleblower testimony is valid, then so is the testimony of
>persons who believe they've encountered spiritual beings.

>Fair is fair now.

>I know I can go outside and ask any number of people if they
>believe an angel has interceded for them and I'll get a majority
>agreement. If I ask them about flying saucers and ETs at best
>I'll get into debate about it or worst get told off.

>If belief is reality then angels have ETs beat by a country
>light year.

Greg, are there any photos/movies/videos of angels/demons (other than Hollywood)? How about radar contact and tracking? How about landing traces, increased radiation, electromagnetic interference, documented biological and physiological effects from close encounters, and other UFO-related physical effects?

The Air Force used to have official "UFO officers" at their bases, who would authorize jet scrambles and file UFO reports. I'm not aware of any comparable "angel/demon officers" ordering jet scrambles of angels/demons or filing angel/demon reports.

There are large numbers of pilot sightings of UFOs. How many pilot sighting of angels or demons are there?

UFOs have had a number of scientific and intelligence studies carried out to determine their reality, some concluding that

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

they are indeed physically real. Have comparable scientific and intelligence studies been carried out to determine the physical reality of angels and demons?

In short, other than religious beliefs of people, how do you arrive at your conclusion that there is far more "evidence" for angels/demons than for UFOs?

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: Faded Discs Project Report - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 17:27:48 +0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 05:56:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Faded Discs Project Report - Kasten

>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>To: UFOUpdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 19:48:19 +0000
>Subject: Faded Discs Project Report

>Greetings to Listarians, Lurkers and Government Spooks,

>I am pleased to announce that the Audio History of Ufology
>Project (Faded Discs), has preserved and made available to
>researchers as of this date:

>813 Recordings Comprising just over 275 Hours of Total Running
>Time.

>Simply put, if you were to begin listening to all of the
>recordings at this moment and did nothing else at all but
>listen, it would take you almost Two Weeks to hear all the
>recordings.

>We continue to preserve till the last breath is taken.

>Donate and receive something nice for Christmas.

Wendy:

I have just finished downloading material from the ATI documents
disk I purchased from you. Of course, one needs patience to wade
through the documents. But, the rewards of finding nuggets of
pertinent information can be exciting to a researcher like
myself. Keep up the good work.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: Ufology Mentalities - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 17:32:02 +0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 05:58:47 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology Mentalities - Kasten

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 09:16:47 EST
>Subject: Ufology Mentalities

>We've all got our gripes and pet peeves when it comes to the
>types of mentalities that arise from ufological research.

>Some of these mindsets come from the public, some from the
>press, scientists, military, and of course other ufologists.

>The ones that set my teeth on edge are:

<snip>

Thank gawd, there is another sane thinker out there. I thought I
was all alone in the Universe.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: Legitimate Data? - Frison

From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 17:41:18 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 06:04:34 -0500
Subject: Re: Legitimate Data? - Frison

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 13:10:47 -0400
>Subject: Re: Legitimate Data?

>Your credibility went to zero for me <snip>

Now there's something that's going to keep me up at night!

I'd be more concerned about the data and conclusions coming out of field investigation done by researchers who have no awareness, understanding, or acknowledgement of the processes I outlined. If they're going into the field blind to this information and not giving any thought to it as a possible concern during the course of their investigations then it's their credibility I'd question - and seriously. I'd be really worried (and I am) about what they are actually contributing to our data pool.

Are we really getting good data from investigators who go out into the field blind to such processes, and oblivious to other equally important facts and information (such as 'ufo alignment' and 'the effects of observer motion' as I mentioned in an earlier post, to name but a few)? How much better is the 'data' coming from 'true believer' investigators than the 'data' coming from the contactees and the exopolitics fans, although these latter two groups are in a class all their own and I'm not even going to go near that right now?

>If you actually did some field >studies yourself you might find >and think differently.

As I said in my last post, I could give you a hundred cases that I personally investigated. Most of them turned out to be like the New Campbellton, Cape Breton, NS case that you yourself passed on to be (and the results of which I passed back to you) or the Alexander Street, Glace Bay, NS case (both of these cases were described in my last post). Most turned out to be misidentifications or misinterpretations of common objects.

That doesn't mean, however, that I haven't personally investigated cases that were genuinely anomalous. Take, for instance, the case (in Glace Bay, NS) of the 'dirt bike driver' who drove his motorcycle into a small cube-shaped white fog that was apparently waiting for him in the middle of a large open field (in the middle of the woods) and which stayed with him - despite his speed and acceleration - for the rest of the distance across the field, with him only managing to separate himself (drive out of it) from it when he road out of the field onto a populated side street.

Despite the truly anomalous cases I've personally been involved with, I don't "find and think differently" as you stated. In fact, the majority of cases I've been involved with tend to support exactly what I was referring to in these threads - witness perception perhaps distorting the experience. If you had been more aware of this research, experimentation, and data that I referred to and better understood its implications - simply gave it the proper consideration it deserves - perhaps

it is you who might "find and think differently" (maybe even about some of the cases you've been involved with).

>so stop wasting my time and bandwidth with your endless
>rhetoric about the basis of this phenomenon being psychological

As I also said in my last post, nowhere have I said - or even have I tried to imply - that this phenomenon is psychological. You clearly missed my point. My point was that we have UFO and abduction cases (and no small number of them) that contain perceptual anomalies among witnesses, anomalies in memory (discontinuity of conscious), 'oz factor' type elements, and troublesome, often conflicting data. We have research that shows the incredible ability of the unconscious mind to generate perfect UFO and abduction scenarios in people with no significant knowledge of the UFO subject and a current understanding of our perception process that hints this unconscious mind may influence significantly our impressions of objects we don't ever see directly.

I argued for caution and keeping an open mind until we know how this all interacts - until we calibrate our primary measuring device, the human witness. Eyewitness testimony is not always reliable (having put more than one person in prison for something they didn't do) and, whether or not it is discredited within the courtroom during the next ten years as certain lawyers have stated, we certainly have (in light of the information I provided pertaining to our perception process during this thread and its offshoot threads) especially good reason for wondering if it might contribute significantly during the UFO experience. Many of the cases themselves strongly hint it might do so!

Your comment about "endless rhetoric" and "wasting bandwidth" is nothing more than a mere single opinion. Perhaps others following this thread don't see it the same way you do. Ufology - and Updates - doesn't revolve around the views of Don Ledger!

Alvin Lawson's research provided data, not mere conjecture. He perhaps eventually interpreted that data to mean birth memories and birth trauma explain abductions (conclusions I don't agree with, although this is not to say these birth memories aren't drawn on by the unconscious mind when wrestling with the stimulus behind the UFO phenomenon). The 'answers' he arrived at and the 'conclusions' that he came to may be conjecture but his research still provided 'data.' Legitimate data!

Benjamin Libet and associates at Mt. Zion Hospital studied patients whose diagnosis and treatment required electrodes be inserted into their brains (carried out by a neurosurgeon, Bertram Feinstein), while the patients were awake so they could respond to questioning during the diagnostic procedures. In many patients, these electrodes remained there for a week or more and these patients could be studied outside the operating room under ambulatory conditions. Libet was able to use the implanted electrodes to test the touch system of the brain to investigate consciousness.

A mild electrical stimulus was applied to the skin of one hand. Using a recording electrode in the neocortex, Libet could measure the incoming signal. Signal transmission time from the hand was very short, about 15 thousandths of a second, and the patient reported feeling a tingling sensation of the skin at that time.

Then Libet applied a mild electrical stimulus to the area of sensory neocortex from which he had just taken recordings. The patient reported a tingling of the skin of the hand although the skin itself had not been stimulated.

Patients could tell the difference between the tingling caused by the two different types of stimulation. The tingling sensations were felt in slightly different parts of the hand and the sensations themselves were somewhat different.

Libet then applied mild electrical stimuli to the hand and to the neocortex simultaneously. One would expect that the patient would feel the two tingling sensations at about the same time. Not so! The tingling caused by the stimulation of the neocortex was felt considerably later than the tingling caused by stimulation of the skin. Libet tried stimulating the neocortex

first followed by stimulation of the skin one quarter second later but the stimulation of the skin was still the first to be felt even though the stimulation of the neocortex occurred a quarter of a second before the stimulation of the skin.

Only when the neocortex was stimulated one half second before the skin was stimulated did the patient report feeling the two tingling sensations at the same time.

When a stimulus was applied to the skin, the patient felt the sensation a very short time later - at virtually the same instant the signal arrived at the neocortex. When the neocortex was stimulated directly, the sensation was not felt for one half second.

Libet's experiments demonstrate that some mechanism must intervene when the touch signal is real - when it originates in the hand and proceeds through two relay points before reaching the neocortex - to make it appear to the conscious mind that the sensation is felt at the same time the signal reaches the neocortex rather than one half second later when it actually does become conscious. Time is required for the brain to process the incoming signals and for us to become aware of them and the brain corrects for this delay in processing to maintain simultaneity.

This is not conjecture made by soft science. There were no "armchair psychologists" participating in these experiments. A neurosurgeon, yes, but no "armchair psychologists." This is data! Legitimate data! Too bad if it wastes your time and if you see it as "endless rhetoric."

It never ceases to amaze me how just raising questions about the ETH results in fervently hostile responses such as you demonstrated during these 'discussions' with me. You didn't supply any fact at all to invalidate any of the data, research, or experimentation I referred to or to support your position that it has no importance to ufology but you sure laid the insults and innuendo on thick enough, accusing me of being absurd, preposterous, an armchair psychologist, guilty of conjecture, of endless rhetoric, wasting your time, wasting bandwidth, being devoid of experience in field studies, having zero credibility, of essentially 'babbling,' ranting, trashing the ETH, of buying into cop-outs, creating personal gurus, experiencing difficulty in understanding, of reading your mind, grasping at straws, at wild theories looking for any other explanation for these anomalies than some ETI presence, of exploring any avenue-no matter how far fetched - to name a few - and then underscored it all with "sheesh."

In addition to your numerous insults you provided only a general statement about psychology and psychiatry being soft science and conjecture as if that had any bearing at all on the data, research, and experimentation I referred to. An improper flaunting of Occam's razor and a line of logic that worked equally against you were the only other attempts you made to justify your position, except for consistently accusing me of arguing answers to the UFO riddle that I wasn't even implying, let alone advocating (older Earth civilizations, the psychosocial explanation, or a psychological basis).

I even stated during this thread (as I have many times in the past, including on this list at other times) that I'd be surprised if ET wasn't involved, at least to some degree. So how you can accuse me of the things you did is beyond me. Clearly you missed the points I was making and didn't understand what I was saying at all. I merely argued for caution and for keeping an open mind, in view of the troublesome UFO and abduction cases we have, the research that shows what the unconsciousness is capable of, what we currently know about our perception processes, and the problems that have occurred with eyewitness testimony to date.

If I advocated any answer at all (and I certainly did not) it is that the human mind is doing its best to deal with an actual stimulus outside itself (that can affect the environment physically) but which is bizarre and puzzling to it to the point that it draws on resources such as I have been discussing - or that some outside intelligent agency is manipulating our perceptions of it. This outside agency could be ET or other non-human intelligence. It certainly isn't 'in our heads.'

Your numerous personal attacks on me - both direct and implied - are all groundless and undeserved! Your unsubstantiated dismissal of the information I presented has no effect on its validity. You might want to come down off your ETH high horse some day and look at some information that might have relevance to ufology, even if turns out ET is involved.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 22:31:48 -0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 06:06:31 -0500
Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Dickenson

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 08:10:59 -0500
>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

>Here's a question for you. I'm sure it would be relatively easy
>to program a computer to emulate consciousness. That is to act
>like a conscious entity. Would we every be able to tell the
>difference?

Hello Bob,

I think that's been done - within strict limitations.

Here's a volcanic eruption noticed by a 'thinking' NASA satellite:

<http://tinyurl.com/y5my4f>

And there's a robot 'analyst' who's apparently preferred by its clients because it's more 'sympathetic' than many real analysts.

There's two tricks there - it's got the client's full name and it starts each session by asking something like "And how are you today Mr Jones?" and then, whatever answer it gets, it simply rephrases that as the next question - "So why d'you think you are feeling a little jaded today - and may I call you George?"

After half an hour each the clients apparently reported satisfying/helpful sessions.

But the real test would be a "Turing machine" which could fool you that it was human, under any and all conditions of questioning.

That's a tall order for programming.

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 16:51:42 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 06:08:19 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 10:16:51 EST
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 11:16:22 -0600 (CST)
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>>From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 12:31:29 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
>>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>><snip>

>>>If ETs and angels/demons are one and the same (a very obvious
>>>deduction when one compares the description, behaviour and
>>>activity of both), then David and Stan have much support from
>>>ancient writers, including theologians, that Earth is indeed a
>>>penal colony for "aliens".

>>I don't see how bringing angels and demons in the picture backs
>>up their notion at all. First, we have even less substantiated
>>evidence for the existence of angels/demons than we do for >good
>>ol' flying saucer aliens, if it's possible to have less than
>>zero.

><snip>

>Now hold on thar! "less substantiated"?

>Well now there's more evidence for angels/demons that far
>outweighs any ET stuff by a country mile. Matter of fact last
>time I looked about 4 billion people on Earth believe in
>angels/demons of some sort and billions professed this daily.
>We've got far more eyewitness accounts of the intervention of
>angels/demons than we do about ETs so if abductee and
>whistleblower testimony is valid, then so is the testimony of
>persons who believe they've encountered spiritual beings.

>Fair is fair now.

>I know I can go outside and ask any number of people if they
>believe an angel has interceded for them and I'll get a majority
>agreement. If I ask them about flying saucers and ETs at best
>I'll get into debate about it or worst get told off.

>If belief is reality then angels have ETs beat by a country
>light year.

Well, I agree that there are more angel and demons stories
floating around than ET stories, mostly because they've been
around so much longer. I would guess that there's not quite as
many photos of them, though. But I think there are probably more
photos of ghosts than there are of angels.

I don't put much stock in numbers when it comes to eyewitness

accounts. People think they see all kinds of things. And I learned when I was a kid that just because a lot of people believe something to be true, doesn't mean that it is.

Angel and Blessed Virgin Mary reports tend not to be as detailed or "objective" as UFO reports. There are generally fewer ground traces, artifacts, gun camera footage, radar tracks, residual radiation, etc., although who knows what the Vatican has tucked away in their vaults.

I will say, though, that people have also had a couple of millennia more to prove the objective reality of angels and demons, and they sure haven't done any better than the UFO folks.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: The British UFO Files Documentary - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 18:54:16 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 06:10:40 -0500
Subject: Re: The British UFO Files Documentary - Ledger

>From: Dave Haith <visions.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 13:25:19 -0000
>Subject: The British UFO Files Documentary

>Last night's Channel 5 documentary was, for once, a reasonable
>account of the events surrounding this interesting triangle
>flyover.

>But I must ask Nick Pope who was prominently featured, what is
>behind the unfathomable UK Ministry of Defence stance that these
>kind of events are of "no defence significance" or similar
>phrase?

>If the account was accurate the powers that be declared this
>after an object had been witnessed flying over two air bases.
>And the commentary stated they had come to this conclusion
>because it hadn't happened again!

>This would be like the police saying that somebody entered
>Buckingham Palace but it is of no security significance because
>so far the intruders hadn't returned!

>Even Nick Pope in the documentary said that it appeared to be a
>reconnaissance mission.

>How do the authorities know that this wasn't so and the object
>may some day return and nuke the air bases?

>Either this is blatant disinformation or the authorities know
>something they are not telling us about UFO operations or
>intentions.

Hi David,

That's a question many of us would like answered. Phrases like
"no defence significance" or "pose no threat to national
security" have also been used here in Canada and the United
States as well.

It's blatant nonsense. How could they know that? My guess is
that it's a major embarrassment to the government and armed
forces of at least these three countries because there isn't a
damn thing they can do about it. But they aren't about to admit
that to their respective populations, hence the assuring
phrases to the great unwashed.

I wonder if Nick knows whether this program will air in North
America?

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: UFO Talk To Land At March - Goldstein

From: Josh Goldstein <lovolution.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 17:44:00 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 06:18:05 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Talk To Land At March - Goldstein

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 10:19:10 -0500
>Subject: Re: UFO Talk To Land At March

>>Source: The Press-Enterprise - Riverside, California, USA

>><http://tinyurl.com/yyzfgd>

>>Thursday, October 26, 2006

>>UFO Talk To Land At March

>>Expert to tackle flying saucers, secret planes, coverups

>>By John Asbury
>>The Press-Enterprise

>>Ever think some folks in the Inland Empire are out of this
>>world? The UFO seminar on Saturday could provide some answers.

>>The murky world of UFOs, government coverups and America's
>>secret planes will be the topic of March Field Air Museum's UFO
>>seminar and family days on Saturday.

>>"UFOs and Things That Go Bump in the Night" will try to explain
>>flying saucers and alien sightings such as Roswell and Area 51.
>>Resident UFO-ologist and museum volunteer Bob Mauger, 65, of
>>Norco, will discuss how several suspected alien aircrafts are
>>usually top-secret government airplanes.

>>"There's a lot you don't realize is out there," Mauger said.
>>"Some things in the sky are totally unexplained."

>>The U.S. government used secret surveillance planes in World War
>>II and to keep tabs on Cold War rivals, and still uses some
>>oday, Mauger said.

>>Reported UFO sightings were later discovered to be U.S. Air
>>Force planes such as the XP59, the first U.S. jet; the U-2 spy
>>plane; the SR71; and the F1-17 Nighthawk, Mauger said. The
>>incident that spawned the term "flying saucer" likely involved
>>the Horton 229, a German airplane used by both the U.S. and the
>>Soviet Union, he said.

>>Anyone ever come up with any evidence that there were any
>>Horton 229's flying anywhere in the world or, to be specific,
>>nine in the state of Washington for K. Arnold to see?

>>I guess this guy buys into the CIA historians "preposterous"
>>claim that 50% of the sightings were U2 and successors.

Aloha Bruce and everyone else,

It is not about UFOs that are Unidentified Flying Objects but
this does concern another type of UFO, an Unfortunate Flying
Object. It was one of the most futuristic and exotic design
aircraft created in WWII. It still looks like it should be
flying missions with the F117 stealth fighter and the B2 stealth

bomber. With its shapes and partially wooden construction it was the first stealth fighter/bomber. It also looks like something that could fly in the Earth's atmosphere and also in space.

Bob Mauger, who did the above presentation at the former March AFB does not know the true history of the Horten Ho229. In January 1945 the Yanks captured the research facility the Horten brothers were using to build the prototypes and to test fly one Ho229V2.

They also captured the factory at Gotha, the site of the Gotha Aircraft company, which had a contract to build (ironically) 229 Ho229s. They were to be highly modified but only one was completed. That plane and all the prototype aircraft being built there and at the research facility were unfortunately destroyed by the Yanks. An Ho229 V2 and the only one that had been test flown from the research facility had good flight performance except for some instability that made it hard to accurately fire the two 30mm cannons or drop bombs as an intended fighter/bomber. In a test flight it lost an engine and the test pilot disobeyed orders from the Horton brothers, stalled, could not recover from the stall, crashed, and died. It suffered the same instability as did the American YB49 in those pre computer fly by wire days. There was an almost completed advanced Ho229V3 plane that may have flown better than the V2. It was the only Ho229 that was saved and it was disassembled, crated, and shipped to Northrop in the USA.

That aircraft was the only Horten Ho229 that ever left Germany and the only surviving H0229 after WWII. Mr. Mauger's speculation about Ho229s and the Kenneth Arnold case is totally false.

Northrop studied the aircraft, as they were developing their own flying wings. It was not test flown in America. After Northrop finished their study it was crated back up and sent to the Air Force Technical Test Center and Museum in Illinois. To that field they sent all the captured and imported enemy aircraft to test fly and store various models. Some Nazi aircraft had already been test flown in Europe. They would paint over the swastikas, crosses, and rising suns with American markings and numbers. The Ho229V3 and other aircraft were to be flight tested but the budget was cut. The planes were stored there for a couple of years after WWII ended..

The National Air and Space Museum (NASM) of the Smithsonian Institution acquired most of those aircraft and they were moved to the Paul Garber preservation, restoration, and storage facility at Silver Hill, MD. Only a small percentage of the collection are on exhibit in their museums. Many others are displayed and in storage at the Garber facility.

That is where I worked through the 1970s. The Ho229V3 was one of the planes I enjoyed sitting in on half hour coffee breaks. I used to imagine what it would have been like flying that plane at 620mph. It also was great when we built new storage buildings. It was hard work but very rewarding to uncrate and assemble all the aircraft that had not been seen by anyone since the end of WWII.

My imagination sitting in the H0229V3 and other historic aircraft such as the B29 Enola Gay with other faux WWII fighters and bombardiers joining in was usually aided by smoking a joint (what can I say, it was the '70s) and most of the younger people who were federally licensed aircraft mechanics and were restoring, preserving, and storing aircraft there partook of the herb. Fortunately the planes were too rare and would never fly again. It was a fun place to work. Yet every aircraft or spacecraft I and they restored were done perfectly and received high praises. They now sit in both the museums. I can modestly say that every time I visit there I lstealthily lurk around those craft and I like to hear the oohs and aahs of the visitors.

When I hear a visitor who has a special love of those craft I feed my ego by saying that I was the restorer and I give them information they would not get otherwise. I restored the Spitfire MKVII, the wonderful and last WWII Italian Macchi 202 fighter left in the world, the Ford Trimotor, and especially Amelia Earhart's Lockheed Vega, in which she flew to set various speed and distance records and was the first woman (they called

her Lady Lindy) to pilot a plane across the Atlantic Ocean and to do it solo. After that flight she made the first solo flight from Hawaii to the mainland. She went on to set more records in her "red bus".

That restored plane has been used on the cover and centerfold of all the editions of the official NASM coffee table sized book of air and space craft. You can order it online from NASM.

I also restored the model of the USS Enterprise that was always shown first and often filmed in various ways on the original Star Trek series. I also restored various real spacecraft.

When I am at the Apollo 11 command module that I restored I also tell visitors some of the many things that my former boss, Michael Collins, the former Director of the NASM, revealed to me from when he was on that historic flight to the moon as the command pilot along with Neil Armstrong and Buzz Aldrin.

He never said anything about seeing a UFO on that mission except one that he thought was space debris. He told me that they never saw any UFOs when part of the crew were around or on the moon. That was the flight in which Neil Armstrong was the first human to walk on the surface of the moon. It was a great and humbling honor to restore those great legendary historic aircraft and spacecraft.

The H0229V3 has not yet been restored. I wish they had put it on my restoration schedule when I worked there but other aircraft had a higher priority to be restored and displayed in the museum. It is good to look at when visiting the Paul Garber facility. The tours are free but must be reserved in advance. Paul also still worked there in his old age and I had the honor of having great talks with him. He was old enough to have been a witness at many of the first flights in the early 20th century years of the pioneers. He was a true great in aviation and he selected and received the WWII aircraft from the midwest, plus he proposed and acquired the other aircraft, and he created the first air museum at the Smithsonian Institution. If you are interested in learning more about this deceased great man please type his name into Google.

The Messerschmidt ME 262 was the only Nazi Jet plane to actually go into action in WWII. There is a company in Germany that is recreating full size ME262s and building them full scale to fly. Some of them have been sold and are now flying. I wish they would next do the H0229. It would have to be modernized in some ways and test flown to get certified. I'll suggest it to them with an email.

You can also type Horten Ho229 into Google and get lots of information and photos.

To simplify things I have a few links from Google below:

A good description and some photos:

<http://www.fiddlersgreen.net/AC/aircraft/Horten-Ho229/info/info.htm>

A forum full of excellent photos that includes them at the research facility along with 1945 shipping photos. There is much great information, artworks, and current detailed photos of the one in storage at the Garber facility. This is the last Ho 229 left in the world:

<http://tinyurl.com/yfykcv>

A very inexpensive model kit:

<http://www.fiddlersgreen.net/AC/aircraft/Horten-Ho229/horten.php>

Models of the Ho229 plus photos and models of most of the exotic aircraft the Nazis were building and testing in the latter part of WWII:

http://www.tankzone.co.uk/cart/popy_pf144.htm

A link to a forum of flying R/C model Ho229s. The man listed who provides the plans for these models is an older R/C pilot who oddly developed an allergy to balsa. I've been building flying model aircraft since I was 10 years old and this is the first I

have heard of that unfortunate affliction. He will make plans for any scale you desire. On this site you will see models made from his plans that scale from small ducted fan electric twin engines to a photo of one that was built to the scale of about a 9 foot wingspan. It is powered by (if you can afford them) twin model turbojet engines. I have watched turbojet R/C model aircraft fly and they are FAST and they maneuver fantastically. He will sell you plans of any scale. The man who makes the plans is supposed to start making flying R/C models again that are scaled to take smaller ducted fan electric motors.

<http://www.wattflyer.com/forums/showthread.php?t=6847>

Please scroll down to the great photos:

<http://tinyurl.com/yfykcv>

This site contains a lot of good information on how the biggest Nazi backer of the Ho229 was Reichsmarschall Hermann Goring (I can't make the two dot symbol over his name. When I lived in Berlin it took a while to get used to a German keyboard with all its symbols, different layout of the alphabet, and weird extra letters). When WWII ended Goring was allowed to have a press conference with champagne for special allied officers. He stated that "if I had 4 or 5 more months we would have won the war with our Luftwaffe jet fighters and bombers".

<http://www.fiddlersgreen.net/AC/aircraft/Horten-Ho229/info/info.htm>

If you scroll down through the next site you can once again see great photos and artist renderings of the Ho229 plus renderings and information about the proposed 6 jet engine Horten Amerika bomber. It looked fantastic but for us fortunately none were ever built during WWII.

With its wood and shapes and early radar on the ground or airborne it would have been the world's first stealth bomber. I wish they had built a prototype that could have been saved. This bomber and the Ho229 may have been some of what Goring had in mind to win the war if he had 4 or 5 more months. The development of this bomber would have taken a lot longer than that.

<http://tinyurl.com/yeuw2>

If you are an enthusiast there are many other great sites listed on Google. There are also historic plastic model kits, plans, and T shirts available on EBay. I am not attracted to the Tshirts as they just have a poor logo and are not anywhere near the artwork I would desire. I wish they looked more like the front of the boxes of Revell model kits. Please hold off on your bidding for the historic Revell plastic model kits because I want to buy one of them. :-) Don't outbid me or else will happen to you.

Keep 'em flyin'!

Mahalo,

Josh Goldstein

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: MAJ12 SOM1-01 EBE-Type-I & Caponi CE3

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 02:12:19 +0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 07:19:48 -0500
Subject: Re: MAJ12 SOM1-01 EBE-Type-I & Caponi CE3

>From: Dimitris Hatzopoulos <dhatz-ufo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 00:39:17 +0200
>Subject: Re: MAJ12 SOM1-01 EBE-Type-I & Caponi CE3 '93 Photos

>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 30 Oct 2006 09:27:46 -0400
>>Subject: Re: MAJ12 SOM1-01 EBE-Type-I & Caponi CE3 '93 Photos

>>Don Berliner, co-author of Crash At Corona received the roll of
>>film from Wisconsin in March 1994. He inadvertently mentioned
>>the documents to me in a phone conversation in December 1994. I
>>asked for a copy and then asked that Dr. Bob Wood be given a
>>copy because Bob had worked with TOP SECRET documents for many
>>many years. A version was published in TOP SECRET/MAJIC in 1997.
>>It is also included in the 2nd Edition 2005

>Thanks for the clarification. This gets very interesting,
>because then the Caponi photos (last one was taken Aug-1993)
>pre-date the receipt of the film of MAJ12 SOM1-01 document by
>Don Berliner.

<snip>

>So, IF the MAJ12 SOM1-01 doc is authentic (and Dr.Wood gives it
>the highest rating of 5 in his 1-5 scale), it is very strong
>independent corroboration of Caponi's story and his photos.

Does anyone know just what technical analysis Dr. Wood used to
validate the MAJ12 SOM1-01 documents?

Does it match the testing Friedman, Moore and Shandera did to
the "original" documents they received in the mail in the late
1980s?

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Frison

From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 00:27:39 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 07:23:55 -0500
Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Frison

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 18:34:21 -0400
>Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness

>>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 10:04:33 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness

>>You've consistently danced around answering the questions I've
>>asked you in my various posts (such as how UFO research can
>>possibly proceed without addressing the concerns I outlined)

>I think it will get along quite well without your theory, though
>I don't doubt that it will be considered. Theories abound. Why
>are you so hung up on this one?

It is being considered! By people who are making as much contribution to this mystery as the Great Don Ledger! You speak like ufology is some closed circle with you in its inner ranks and you'll all get around to considering "my theory" someday. It's being considered and worked on by people independent of your arrogance, people who are doing as much work and who are making as much contribution to this riddle as you think you are - perhaps even more of a contribution.

When the phenomenon offers numerous perceptual anomalies, and our understanding of our perception processes indicate time and opportunity for these perception processes to contribute distortion - backed up by research that demonstrates our ability to input perfect UFO and abduction imagery - then looking at whether or not our perception processes affect UFO events in any way is common sense. It's not "getting hung up on a theory!"

>>You've also not produced an iota (scintilla) of evidence to
>>prove the ETH is the answer to the enigma (or parts of it) or
>>even that it sits higher on the totem than all other
>>explanations.

>You are tiresome with this constant effort to make out that I'm
>touting the ETH.

No, you have been touting the ETH but doing now a bit of back-paddling because you realize there's validity to what I'm saying.

>My only "observation" was that it's the one
>hypothesis that we actually have proof off, that being at least
>one civilization has made it into space.It's as simple as that.

No, it's not that simple! Our making it into space does not in any way, shape, or form constitute proof of the ETH.

And you've been more active than than making an "[only] observation." This whole thread (and several related ones) has come out of your groundless invalidations of data, research, and experimentation which you not only don't acknowledge but which you clearly have no understanding of at all. It's grown too out

of numerous false accusations of what you think I'm advocating as solutions to the UFO riddle as well as your many insults that you've leveled to try and distract from the fact that you've revealed your profound ignorance of many things.

>The question is whether other civilizations in this galaxy or
>the universe have done the same. The odds are that they have.
>Higher odds come into play when we consider whether any of them
>have stumbled upon us.

You do not have all the variables! You therefore cannot say what odds are higher! The above is called 'fooling yourself.'

>I think the odds are better that this is
>the case than your theory that these UFOs reported in their
>millions are the product of confabulation during a half second
>delay between visual perception of some stimuli and the
>recognition of of it.

Is that what you think I'm saying? Is that what you're reducing it to in your mind? You honestly and truly don't understand what I'm saying, do you? Either that, or you're trying to make my information seem trivial.

I'm not disputing the reality of the UFOs "reported in the millions." Most of them were probably very real external realities to the witnesses. What those realities were - ET spaceships, something posing as ET spaceships, or the mind interpreting what it couldn't recognize as ET spaceships - is a totally different thing. But whatever it was, it certainly wasn't confabulation. It wasn't wholly produced in the mind, as you seem to think I'm saying.

>>Your invoking of Occam's razor doesn't work because it's really
>>not the simplest answer using the fewest assumptions, and your
>>'absence of evidence isn't evidence of absence' argument works
>>as strongly against the ETH as it does against older Earth
>>civilizations while your 'if it happened once, it could have
>>happened twice' logic serves just as well to support the older
>>Earth civilization hypothesis as it does the ETH.

>Baloney. There's no proof whatsoever of this. As one off-List
>has asked, where are the artifacts, the machinery, the aircraft
>remanants, the fossils or any evidence that some previous
>civilization made it off the planet.

There's no baloney here at all, except what you're serving! The line of reasoning you're using does work against you in the way I said.

Where's the evidence that ET is here? Do you have a spaceship that we can walk up to and rapp our knuckles on and say, "Yep! That's an ET spaceship!"?

And, if UFOs are the technology of an older Earth civilization, then we're encountering their machinery all the time, now aren't we?

Your off-List correspondent is making three assumptions: that any older Earth civilization has to have made it off the planet to be deceiving us they are ET, that any such older Earth civilization had to progress the way we did, and that said civilizations haven't left any evidence of their technology that we just failed to recognize. All of these assumptions are without basis.

Then there's that 'absence of evidence thing!'

>>The bottom line is that when you have research that
>>demonstrates the unconscious mind can generate UFO and abduction
>>imagery

>What research do you have that specifically demonstrates that
>the unconscious mind can generate UFO and abduction imagery?
>Certainly not Persinger's? That's a stretch at best.

What??? Did you really just ask this? Duh, remember Lawson? You've spent considerable time dismissing him. Have you been doing it without knowing what he's about? You might want to spend some time looking at his research before you condemn it or ask dumb questions like you just did.

The research that "specifically demonstrates that the unconscious mind can generate UFO and abduction imagery" is - surprise - Lawson's. Try familiarizing yourself with it first before dismissing it and saying it has no bearing on the UFO phenomenon. A good place to start is with 'Alien Roots: Six UFO Entity Types and Some Possible Earthly Ancestors' in the 1979 MUFON UFO Symposium Proceedings (page 152) and 'What Can We Learn from Hypnosis of Imaginary Abductees?' in the 1977 MUFON UFO Symposium Proceedings (page 107) both by Lawson.

>>then you have more than justification for wondering if the >>'flying saucer' that was allegedly seen really was 'nuts and >>bolts' or was instead a creation of the mind in response to a >>totally unfamiliar stimulus -- even if this stimulus was able to >>produce physical effects on the environment.

>This is the longest sentence I've ever read. I see you've >resorted to the 'flying saucer' tag in an effort to downgrade >the phenomenon from something solid to something more mentally >unstable.

What's the length of the sentence have to do with anything? Why don't you deal with the facts in it? No, I used the term 'flying saucer' because it's that category of UFO reports that are the so-called 'gold ore' reports - the nuts and bolts UFOs. I've used 'flying saucer' in this context many times already. Why are you taking note of it just now? Got nothing legitimate to say about the many facts in "the longest sentence you've ever read?"

>>This justification becomes stronger when there are so many non->>flying saucer reports such as amorphous lights that appear to >>act with intelligence or purpose, 'blur zone' UFOs that contain >>perceptual anomalies among witnesses such as descriptions that >>don't match, as well as UFO and abduction reports that contain >>other problem data such as anomalies in memory (discontinuity of >>consciousness) and 'oz factor' elements, just for starters.

>That so many "amorphous lights" translates into a very small >percentage across the board.

"Amorphous lights" was just one example. We're really talking about all the UFOs that don't fit your ET spaceships description. And the abduction reports that don't fit your 'ET abducting humans' scenario. Not a small percentage at all. I notice you conveniently avoided writing about the other elements mentioned after "amorphous lights."

>>It represents one of the best answers but -- if >>you're looking at the whole picture (being aware of the data, >>research, and experimentation that probably has bearing on this, >>and the implications of it all) then clearly the ETH is not >>sitting highest on the totem. There are other possible answers >>on par with it.

>You are using Hendry's, Lawsons experiments as a valid excuse to >explain the phenomenon. The experiments weren't conducted to >explain the phenomenon.

Says only you! I'm not using Hendry's and Lawson's results to explain the phenomenon. I'm using them to argue for caution. I know what the experiments were conducted to do. And I know what they imply. You obviously do not!

>This the same Dick Hall who spent a half century looking into >the phenomenon, doing the time consuming field work you have >dismissed as a waste of time below.

By what convoluted and far-out stretch of the imagination do you make bringing up the inconsistencies in the UFO data and urging caution synonymous with dismissing Dick Hall's field work as a waste of time? Dick himself argues for "beings from elsewhere." I've practically agreed with most of what he's espoused.

>>This possible paradigm of a holographic universe could also be >>utilized by older Earth civilizations though, as well as by >>beings that are of an etheric or astral nature, or by physical >>beings from a parallel universe. Even activity by other levels >>of our own consciousness could work within such a paradigm. Yes, >>I know, in your eyes I'm committing ufological heresy.

>What twaddle. What would I care what you think? You are
>suggesting religious overtones by using a word like heresy.

The great and all-knowing Don Ledger has spoken! Everything but his views are twaddle. You're really embarrassing yourself. You're revealing just how close-minded and small-minded you really are. Suggesting religious overtones was the farthest thing from my mind. You really do get desperate when trying to make what I'm saying look bad, don't you.

You're qualified to dismiss the holographic universe paradigm? You've got evidence that proves the intelligence behind UFOs doesn't use such an understanding of the universe in its manifestation to us? You've got evidence astral entities and beings from parallel universes aren't interacting with us? You have evidence there are no levels of our consciousness that can manifest the UFO phenomenon to us? That's really good because noone else does. You really are quite the genius, aren't you?

>If you want to hang your theory on the blurry possibility that
>some theorized half second delay between sight and cognizance is
>the explanation for the UFO phenomenon, you go right ahead. It
>might even explain .0001 percent of some of UFO sightings; but
>whereas the human brain is pretty slow in its ability to act on
>stimuli, I have to wonder at the brain's ability to confabulate
>a ten minute experience out of some half second [or less]
>exposure to some visual stimulation and then transfer that to a
>fellow witness.

If you knew what you were talking about then you would know the half second delay is not just a theory (see my description of Libet's experiments in my last post).

Also, your (as you so wrongly described it) "wondering about the brains ability to confabulate a ten minute experience out of some half second [or less] exposure to some visual stimulation" shows that you definitely don't know what you're talking about or understand this in the least. The brain doesn't do this at all. How you managed to find that in what I wrote is most bewildering!

The brain processes information steadily and corrects for the delay in processing. It's a continuous stream. It's not a one half second 'still photo' turned into a ten minute video. If the stimulus only lasted one half second then the experience would be one half second long. If the encounter was ten minutes long then the brain would process ten minutes of consecutive stimuli, correcting for each one half second delay in processing each incoming stimulus. The sequence of stimuli would be processed as they came in during the ten minute stream, with the delay in processing each stimulus corrected for by the brain.

It's one half second delay, followed by another one half second delay, followed by another one half second delay, etc. until the experience is over. Each stimulus in the chain of stimuli is processed and corrected for as it's coming in. The brain is correcting for the delays in processing each consecutive stimulus to maintain simultaneity. It's not one half second stretched into ten minutes.

It's the same process as when watching a seagull in flight or any other normal day-to-day event. It's not confabulation. You clearly have revealed your ignorance here while you feign speaking as an expert.

>>And investigators going into the field with this
>>attitude have probably contributed to a significant
>>contamination of the UFO data we've accumulated.

>Well you read it here first folks. We've been wasting our time
>all of these years. But Eugene reads a book and voila' he has
>all of the answers.

No answers, Don. Just good reason to urge caution and question some of the data we have. There you go again trying to portray it differently.

>I'm sure many of the researchers on this list will be interested
>in this observation. You are a little bit full of yourself,
>don't you think?

I'm sure many will be interested in this observation. Judging by the offlist correspondence, many have already made this observation themselves. If I'm full of myself, I pray earnestly to the powers that be that it's not nearly to the degree that you are.

>>Investigators
>>proceeding without awareness or understanding of the processes
>>and data I outlined repeatedly during this thread are probably
>>doing as much damage.

>Once more you armchair explore rather than going out and finding
>the results for yourself.

I'm going to let the results of a field study that I've been conducting involving hundreds of volunteers eventually prove you don't know what you're talking about when you go on about armchair exploration!

>>But, I know, I know, we already have the best answer to the
>>enigma so this is just another absurd and preposterous rant and
>>I'm just trashing the ETH because I'm illogical and have an
>>anti-alien phobia.

>You are talking about aliens, I'm talking about UFOs and the
>reality of that phenomenon. But you really are hung up on the
>ETH aren't you. You've declared that I am as well despite being
>told to the contrary. Once more, I think it has the best chance
>of working out, but I'm not married to it.

Back peddle all you want, but you accused me of ranting, trashing the ETH, of looking for any solution other than an ET presence, etc. You were the one that stated "the rest is worthy only of sories in science fiction novels."

You've accused me of thrashing the ETH and now you say I'm hung up on it - that I'm trying to make it look like you are despite being told you're not. Sounds like you're trying to wiggle out of things by turning it around and saying I'm hung up on the ETH. Anyone following this thread should know that's not true.

>>I think the underlying reason for your asking me earlier to be
>>briefer is because writing such a detailed response provides a
>>foundation of fact and information that better supports my
>>position than your overly general remarks regarding "soft
>>science" and "conjecture," and your accusations of
>>"preposterous" or "absurd" do to invalidate it.

>No, that's not it. I just don't think you can help yourself.

Yeah, that's it, Don! We certainly can't accuse you of providing detail in this discussion, can we?

>>Regardless, I'll stop here. I've already given you enough work
>>"snipping" away all this! And I don't want you to again
>>underscore your superiority of position with "sheesh" again.
>>That just hurts too much!

>You missed the point. You hoaxed photographs which you gave to
>the RCMP. You recanted later. Great! But the damage was done. I
>don't think you get it. It's hard enough to get this phenomenon
>investigated using all the tools of science but one hoaxing of a
>photograph pretty much negates any others that that officer or
>his associates come in contact with never mind the UFO reports
>as well. And that spreads out to his fellow officers as he
>explains to them his experience where they were taken in by a
>kid with a Polaroid camera some years before. It's a stigma that
>gets attached and you cannot detach it. It supports and verifies
>the basis for a disinformation strategy that's been used for
>decades.

No, I did not miss this point at all! I agree with every word you wrote in this paragraph. But how does it apply to the discussion we were having concerning whether or not the human process of perception has any contribution to the UFO experience that may be of a distorting nature? I know that you brought my 1976 photos up to try and invalidate the one half second thing and it back-fired on you.

Nobody is disputing the effects hoaxing has on the credibility of ufology but how does it support your groundless invalidations of Lawson's research, Libet's experiments, the perceptual and other anomalies in UFO and abduction data, and my position that there may be a relation between our perception process and these perceptual anomalies?

Or is the whole point of this tangent to make me look bad so that you don't have to deal with my questions of you, that is, with evidence to back up what you're saying instead of just spouting off about how 'this is so' and how 'that can't be.'

>>Unless you're going to answer the questions I've asked and >>provide data that nullifies my position, I'm going to bow out of >these discussions with you because you're not addressing any of >>the issues I'm going through the trouble of bringing up. You're >>just being unfair and insulting, probably to cover up the fact >>that you _can't_ answer my questions or nullify my position. It >>hasn't worked!

>Now this is nonsense. I don't have a lab nor am I a doctor of >psychology, nor can I experiment on people.

It's not nonsense! It's the truth! You don't need a lab or need to experiment on people. If you don't have a lab or can't experiment on people - and if you can't refer to fact established by people who can (to support your position) - then all you have to do is stop acting like you're the expert and stop dismissing information you know nothing about or don't understand. Stop making proclamations you can't back up.

>Nor can you, so you >can't verify your contention that this half second delay >explains away the UFO phenomenon.

I don't have to because Benjamin Libet and his associates established it. It's not a mere contention and certainly didn't come from me.

>You just read about this >stuff and race to your keyboard with your case-solved scenario >while the rest of us just plug away.

Where do you get _your_ data, Don? You don't read any books? You've got sources that the rest of us don't have? Your field work is superior to others? Based on what exactly?

Case-solved scenario? I've consistently been saying that we don't know the origin or nature of the UFO phenomenon. I've consistently been saying we don't have enough data yet to decide on any answer to the UFO enigma. I'm only arguing that certain data, research, and experimentation underscore the need for caution and for keeping an open mind. Precisely how does this translate into becoming a "case-solved scenario?"

>We do this so that perhaps >some day the preponderance of data out there will convince >someone that there is a real hard core to the phenomenon.

Which data is that? The data that you hand pick or the conflicting data that you dismiss and ignore - such as Hendry's, Lawson's, Libet's, and the UFO and abduction cases that don't fit your pet theories? The explanations psychology has made a good case for?

What about those of us who are convinced there is a real hard core to the phenomenon but it may be more complicated than your simple nuts and bolts approach?

>>You can have it your way, Don. The ETH is the only real answer >>to the UFO riddle! (Not!)

>I'm sorry, but that's your words, not mine. You think if you >keep saying it it will be so. Not the case.

That's sarcasm, Don! You can keep pretending you don't recognize it. And keep pretending it wasn't you who was defending the ETH.

>>Is it possible you're >>seeing something that isn't really there, at least in the manner >>you're perceiving - or misperceiving - it? Just asking! You

>>don't have to answer. I'll just "read your mind" again!

>I'll remember these words the next time I'm looking into the
>eyes of my 7 grandchildren and wonder, am I confabulating them
>in that half second? Do they really exist? Do I still have to
>shell out big bucks at Christmas because of some seven mental
>aberrations?

Come on, Don! Get real! We all experience numerous everyday realities. Does the existence of your seven grandchildren negate the problems with eyewitness testimony? Do they nullify the mistakes that were made by witnesses who honestly believed what they saw and put innocent individuals in prison for crimes they didn't commit (as a result of their beliefs based on what they 'saw')?

Can you compare looking into the eyes of your seven grandchildren with experiencing an unusual, frightening, perhaps bizarre and traumatic phenomenon on a lonely road in the dark of night? If you can then I'm glad I'm not your next door neighbor.

I'm talking about the mind trying to make sense out of something it doesn't understand and drawing on its resources to make sense of some real external stimulus that is foreign and baffling, perhaps frightening, to it. I'm talking about a real external stimulus that might be bent on manipulating our perceptions of itself. I'm not talking about a confabulation. It's a shame you can't tell the difference between what I'm saying and what you're talking about.

>Fortunately the other mental aberrations known as my wife and 3
>kids were recognized by the Federal Income Tax department as a
>tax deduction for all of those years. They never once claimed
>they were just my half second imaginary family. You could run it
>by them though. They would love to have an excuse to decline all
>of those claims for child tax credits and deductions.

You keep making it obvious that you don't understand the one half second thing at all. You also keep making jokes and insults but you never do provide anything to knock down my reasons for urging caution.

Imaginary abductees who have no significant knowledge of the UFO subject have generated UFO and abduction imagery that has no substantive difference from the reports we receive (while under hypnosis). That's a fact! You might be ignorant of it but it's a fact!

Libet established a one half second delay in processing sensory stimulation prior to awareness and a correction by the brain for this delay. That's a fact! You might be ignorant of it (and grossly misunderstand it) but it's a fact!

Psychology has made a good case for repression and Libet's experimentation provide a basis in regards to time and opportunity for repression to occur. That's a fact! You might disagree with it (and again grossly misunderstand it) but it's a fact!

Our current understanding of our perception processes indicates we don't see anything directly and the brain is constantly on the lookout for objects, drawing on its past experience of objects to make sense of sensory data as we perceive the world around us. You may not understand the implications of this but it's a fact!

There are problems with eyewitness testimony. It has failed miserably many times. That doesn't do much to help your position but it's a cold hard fact!

The UFO phenomenon has hit us with an abundance of both UFO and abduction cases that are riddled with perceptual anomalies, anomalies in consciousness, very puzzling 'oz factor' elements, inconsistent and conflicting data, paradoxical behavior, etc. You may choose to dismiss and ignore this but it's a fact.

You may not be able to see any of this from the view way up there on your ufological high horse but it's all fact! You may make all the snide remarks, innuendo, and unsupported invalidations you want but it may all be interrelated. And

that's a fact!

You might want to read some books too on Libet's experiments if you're going to keep going on about the one half second thing. Try 'Brain and Psyche: The Biology of the Unconscious' by Jonathan Winson, as well as B. Libet, E.W. Wright, Jr., B. Feinstein, and D. K. Pearl, 'Subjective Referral Of the Timing for a Conscious Sensory Experience,' Brain, 1979, Vol. 102, p. 193. Sure beats the careless, ignorant and inane descriptions of this work that you've repeatedly contrived!

Sheesh!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: The British UFO Files Documentary - Pope

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Fri, 3 Nov 2006 08:17:30 -0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 07:26:07 -0500
Subject: Re: The British UFO Files Documentary - Pope

>From: Dave Haith <visions.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 13:25:19 -0000
>Subject: The British UFO Files Documentary

>Last night's Channel 5 documentary was, for once, a reasonable
>account of the events surrounding this interesting triangle
>flyover.

>But I must ask Nick Pope who was prominently featured, what is
>behind the unfathomable UK Ministry of Defence stance that these
>kind of events are of "no defence significance" or similar
>phrase?

<snip>

The MOD 'party line' is that UFOs are of "no defence
significance". This is based on the Department's view that
decades of official research and investigation (both in the UK,
the US and elsewhere) produced no evidence of any hostile
intent.

The counter-argument put forward by people such as former Chief
of the Defence Staff Lord Hill-Norton is that if reasonable
evidence suggests the UK's Air Defence Region has been
penetrated by a craft of unknown origin, this in itself must be
of defence significance, irrespective of any apparent lack of
hostile intent.

The difficulty over resolving this apparent dichotomy is
compounded by the separate debate about what constitutes
"reasonable evidence".

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

FBI Told Of National Security Issue Buried In UFO

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 07:33:19 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 07:33:19 -0500
Subject: FBI Told Of National Security Issue Buried In UFO

Source: ArriveNet - Castle Rock, Colorado, USA

<http://press.arrivenet.com/government/article.php/873308.html>

Friday, November 03, 2006

FBI Told of National Security Issue Buried in UFO Tale

Undisclosed government sources have confirmed to the private intelligence report www.startstreamresearch.com that the FBI has been apprised of concerns over a possible national security breach involving former government intelligence officers.

Minneapolis, MN -- (ArriveNet - Nov 03, 2006) -- An on-going investigation by the on-line investigative report produced by Starstream Research revealed that in late August of this year three agents of the Washington Bureau of the FBI met with an undisclosed party and discussed a UFO tale involving several former and present government intelligence officers. Following this meeting concerns were raised that secure government vaults may have been breached at a USAF base and Los Alamos National Laboratory, under the guise of a 'harmless' UFO investigation.

Previously concerns had been raised that sensitive or classified material was passed in a series of counterfeit government UFO documents. One government source suggested that counter-intelligence information targeted to the KGB had been publicly released within some of the documents. A prior investigation by the FBI had concluded that the documents were "bogus".

Starstream Research first learned of the renewed interest in the bogus documents from a regular contributor to the on-line report, following on-going contact with a high ranking U.S. Government Intelligence Officer. An amicable meeting with the officer and his wife in Washington, D.C. later took on a bizarre twist, when the SSR contributor was accused of asking inappropriate questions about a sensitive operation, resulting in cancellation of previously scheduled meetings with a former USAF counter-intelligence officer at the center of the UFO tale.

Gary S. Bekkum, the founder of Starstream Research, commented, "It does appear that we (SSR) may have bumped into a counter-intelligence operation during the course of our investigation. Although our focus has been on documented government interest in unusual phenomena for intelligence gathering, we suspect the use of phenomenology for intelligence may be closely tied to real cloak and dagger activities, both past and present."

It is now known that the CIA previously welcomed UFO reports as convenient cover for real-life spy plane fly-overs. One theory is that intelligence operations continue to infiltrate the world-wide network of phenomenologists and encourage their activities for a similar purpose.

It is also suspected that other governments have similar

networks in place, and that may be the source of the on-going concern over the UFO material.

According to Bekkum, "There has been a lot of finger pointing involved, and that is what appears to have lead to FBI involvement, more than likely as part of a normal clearance review procedure."

Additional information is available at the Starstream Research website:

www.starstreamresearch.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Sophos Defends Its Block On Alien Hunters

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 07:40:43 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 07:40:43 -0500
Subject: Sophos Defends Its Block On Alien Hunters

Source: The Register Southport, Lancashire, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/yeahrb>

Thursday 2nd November 2006

Sophos Defends Its Block On Alien Hunters

SETI.nul domesticated
By John Leyden

UK anti-virus firm Sophos has defended itself after sparking a controversy with its decision to allow sysadmins to block distributing computing programs such as [SETI.nul](#)

The firm has extended the optional application control feature of Sophos Anti-Virus, Version 6 to allow enterprises to restrict the use of distributed control programs as well as VoIP software and P2P apps within large organisations.

A survey conducted by Sophos found that 89.3 per cent of 460 respondents wanted to control usage of distributing computing programs such as SETI.nul and the BBC's Climate Change Experiment on their networks.

Both applications use unused networked computing resources for complex number-crunching, and involve the receipt and transmission of information to and from third parties. The SETI.nul project analyses radio telescope data in the search for extra terrestrial intelligence while the BBC's climate change application uses much the same process to look for evidence of climate change.

Reg reader Simon questions these figures in arguing that it was sysadmins themselves who put these applications on their network. However, Graham Cluley, senior technology consultant for Sophos, responded that Sophos's optional feature blocked SETI.nul at work and then only if a sysadmin decides that the program is unauthorised.

"IT admins have a tough enough job already and it should be up to them to decide what runs on their networks," he said. "We're not saying these distributing computing programs are bad or classifying them as malware, indeed some of them feature cool technology. However, it should be up to the person who runs a network to decide what runs on it and to restrict this to authorised applications."

Cluley said unauthorised applications can affect network performance. "The technology may be perfectly legitimate in the home, but businesses want more control over what runs in their corporate environment. IT staff simply don't want their PCs being used in the hunt for small furry creatures from Alpha Centauri, and other distributed computing activities," he added.

Looking ahead, Sophos is considering extending its application control feature to give the option to restrict staff use of online gaming in the workplace, a move that moves the software further into the employee management market that's traditionally been the domain of firms such as WebSense.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Genetic Mutants Bred In Suspected Underground Lair

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 07:46:21 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 07:46:21 -0500
Subject: Genetic Mutants Bred In Suspected Underground Lair

Source: The Daily Lobo - University Of New Mexico, Roswell, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/um9jw>

11/2/06

[image at site]

Aliens, Government Breed

by Mike Smith

Daily Lobo

Dulce is almost in the center of the northern edge of the state, on the Jicarilla Apache Reservation. Dulce is a small town, yet it looms large in the minds of conspiracy theorists worldwide, who believe it's the home of an underground government base - Earth's primary site for alien-human collaboration.

The base - according to The Dulce Book, by a man known only as Branton - lies deep beneath the desert mesas near Dulce, inside levels of countless miles of tunnels and rooms, in which aliens and humans work together on projects ranging from strange meddlings of technology and the occult to the dissection and genetic alteration of captive human beings.

"I frequently encountered humans in cages, usually dazed or drugged, but sometimes they cried and begged for help," said an alleged former base employee interviewed in The Dulce Book. "We were told they were hopelessly insane and involved in high-risk drug tests to cure insanity. We were told never to speak to them at all."

Until 1977, such stories - justified perhaps in part by the UFOs often glimpsed by Dulce residents, or the cows occasionally found surgically dismantled on area ranches - were quickly dismissed, if for no other reason than the unlikelihood of the government putting such a clandestine base right underneath a town of almost 3,000 people.

There were many strange occurrences, sure, but there was little, if any, evidence of the base's existence.

"(There) are experiments done on fish, seals, birds and mice that are vastly altered from their original forms," said The Dulce Book's interviewee. "There are multi-armed and multi-legged humans and several cages of humanoid bat-like creatures up to seven feet tall. The aliens have taught the humans a lot about genetics, things both useful and dangerous."

In 1977, though, something turned up around Dulce that - to many people - seemed to add a bit of credence to the stories of the Dulce base and the base's genetic tampering: a cabbit.

Said to be the offspring of cats and rabbits, rumors of cabbits have been around since at least 1845, when Joseph Train mistook a Manx cat - a tailless breed of cat with unusually long hind legs - for the offspring of a cottontail and a house cat and wrote about it in An Historical and Statistical Account of the Isle of Man. In modern times, however, cabbits have generally been considered a scientific impossibility, because cats and rabbits are just too genetically dissimilar.

"A cat is a carnivore, with its whole body adapted to hunting and meat-eating," wrote cryptozoology researcher Sarah Hartwell in a 1999 online article. "A rabbit is an herbivore with its whole body adapted to eating plants."

Yet, according to Hartwell, somehow in 1977, a man named Val Chapman, walking in the desert near Dulce, captured what he insisted was a cabbit. Its front half looked like the front half of a normal cat, but its back half lacked a tail and featured long legs almost identical to those of a jackrabbit. Chapman took the cabbit on tour, featuring it prominently in a Los Angeles exhibit, on TV and in supermarket tabloids.

"It is believed to have escaped from Dulce's laboratory years ago," wrote Theresa Morgan in a February 2004 New Mexico magazine article. "Rumor has it that heavily armed men in black fatigues, flying unmarked black helicopters, were responsible for hunting down the unnatural cabbit creation."

And maybe they were - but maybe not.

Occam's razor is a principle rooted in the writings of the early English philosopher William of Ockham. It states, more or less, that "All things being equal, the simplest solution tends to be the best one."

Using that principle, it may be worth asking what was more likely: that Chapman found a half-cat, half-rabbit hybrid created in a top-secret underground labyrinth by aliens and humans using extraterrestrial technology - or that Chapman found a deformed cat.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Alleged UFO Causes A Stir In Aguascalientes Mexico

From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
Date: Fri, 3 Nov 2006 06:15:13 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 07:50:43 -0500
Subject: Alleged UFO Causes A Stir In Aguascalientes Mexico

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
November 3, 2006

Source: Fundacion Cosmos, A.C. and Mural.com

<http://www.mural.com/estados/articulo/676380/default.asp>

Date: November 2, 2006

Mexico: Alleged UFO Causes A Stir In Aguascalientes

Excitement in the Aguascalientes town square prompted a radio station to send a reporter to cover the event live

Aguascalientes, Mexico (2 November 2006) - Not even Jaime Maussan has that kind of pull. Manuel Palacios, a professional videographer, managed to summon - without publicity and in a spontaneous manner - nearly 70 people for 15 minutes so that they would watch a UFO while he recorded it on his camcorder.

"I saw it (in the sky), thought it was odd and started to record it. When I saw people gathering, I started taping them instead," he said.

The object captured by Palacios' camera floated like a balloon and flew slowly at a distance that was hard to calculate. It could only be seen with through his camera's powerful zoom lens. The object resembled a white-colored plantain, convulsing like a lazy worm.

The spontaneous assembly in the Aguascalientes town square even prompted a radio station to send a reporter to do a live broadcast from the site.

"I swear by these eyes that you can't see anything at all," said the reporter.

But people wanted to see something. "Look, it's right there, watch it move. It looks like a UFO, an unidentified flying object," said others.

Dissatisfied with the reporter's own eyes, the newscasts' crew wanted to corroborate that what people were seeing wasn't a UFO, and then called the airport for an answer.

"We have no reports at all," declared the airport's administrator cuttingly. But people wanted to see "something" and called the radio show claiming to have seen something over various districts in Aguas Calientes.

"We're getting calls from the Ojo Caliente district and Morelos telling us that the object can also be seen over there," said the newscaster. "We've received several calls reporting the same thing."

"I saw at first that they were three objects that separated and joined again. Later there was only the one that could be seen up there," said Carlos Mata, one of the viewers at the crowded scene.

At the end, the elongated object that squirmed like a worm vanished from the videographer's sight. With some amusement, he later made comments on the event.

Translation (c) 2006. Scott Corrales, IHU
Special thanks to Ing. Marco Reynoso, Fundacion Cosmos,
A.C. Mexico

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Luminous Sphere Over Mexico City

From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
Date: Fri, 3 Nov 2006 06:33:04 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 07:56:09 -0500
Subject: Luminous Sphere Over Mexico City

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
November 3, 2006

Source: www.analuisacid.com
Date: November 2, 2006

Luminous Sphere Over Mexico City

A report from Ana Luisa Cid

On October 31, 2006, I had the opportunity to videotape a luminous sphere that was close to the Moon, from my perspective.

I commenced the recording from a window in my house, but when I noticed that it was moving far too quickly, I decided to go out to the back yard for a better angle of observation.

The sphere was initially silver-colored, and then shifted to an intense red, increasing its brightness.

The observation took place as from 6:11 p.m. for 15 minutes. This evidence was recorded using a JVC model GR-D25 camera.

I lost the probable UFO from sight when it moved quickly to the right in 1/30th of a second to cover a large distance and vanish suddenly, leaving something resembling a small cloud in its place. I only became aware of this action until a frame-by-frame inspection of the video was performed.

It should be noted that this is not the first time that I have witnessed a phenomenon of this nature. On January 28, 2002, I recorded a similar object, initially golden and then reddish, which also vanished into a sort of vapor.

For the time being I cannot find a logical explanation, and while I dismiss the likelihood that it was a balloon or artificial satellite, I remain open to all possibilities.

Translation (c) 2006. Scott Corrales, IHU
Special thanks to Ana Luisa Cid

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

RAF Radar Affected Cars Says MoD

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Fri, 3 Nov 2006 16:11:38 -0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 07:59:23 -0500
Subject: RAF Radar Affected Cars Says MoD

Update on an earlier story from the BBC website.

Original story:

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/norfolk/4732096.stm>

Feb 20 2006

Fears radar dome affecting cars

Reports that a radar dome in Norfolk is causing electrical problems with cars are being investigated by the Ministry of Defence (MoD).

Motorists say their engines and lights have cut out, and their speedometer dials swing up to 150mph as they drive past the Trimingham radar unit.

Neil Crayford, who runs a garage near the dome, said in the past two months, 30 car owners had reported problems.

On Monday, an MoD spokeswoman said the claims were being investigated.

Mr Crayford said one night his own car's headlights and dashboard cut out for a few seconds as he drove past the dome in convoy with a colleague - who suffered the same fate.

Complaint lodged

The former RAF radar operator said: "Something must have changed - either the frequency or output - for this to happen.

"I lodged an official complaint with the MoD two weeks ago, but incidents are still happening. We get about five a week, and had three more on Friday."

An MoD spokeswoman said: "We are aware of claims that the remote radar head may be interfering with car immobilisers and we are investigating.

"There are other users outside the military that operate on the same frequency as the radar, but there is a possibility we could be causing some problems with cars."

Update:

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/england/norfolk/6110844.stm>

Nov 2, 2006

RAF Radar Affected Cars Says MoD

The MoD said there had been no known recurrence of the problem

The Ministry of Defence has admitted that a fault at a radar dome was responsible for causing electrical problems with dozens of cars.

Engines and lights cut out and speedometer dials swung up to 150mph as motorists drove past the dome.

At the time the MoD said there was no guarantee that the Trimingham radar on the north Norfolk coast was the cause.

It now says it will consider claims for compensation after an inquiry found the radar was "out of alignment".

No danger

An MoD spokeswoman said the inquiry revealed the Type 93 radar spinning inside the dome had been out of alignment between November last year and this February.

The spokeswoman said that there was no danger to the public or personnel but the MoD would now consider outstanding compensation claims on a case-by-case basis.

Neil Crayford, who runs the nearest garage, Crayford & Abbs at Mundesley, said he dealt with 30 calls over a couple of months.

He said the problem was still happening.

"We have had a Nissan Terrano in here three or four times in the past week with the same sort of problems - dials going haywire and blacking out, which we had to reset."

But the MOD spokeswoman said: "There is no known recurrence of the problems experienced last year."

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Secrecy News -- 11/03/0

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Fri, 3 Nov 2006 12:20:46 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 08:02:00 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 11/03/0

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 115
November 3, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** NY TIMES STORY LEADS TO SHUTDOWN OF IRAQI DOCUMENT SITE
** CLASSIFIED BUDGETS AND CONGRESSIONAL CORRUPTION

NY TIMES STORY LEADS TO SHUTDOWN OF IRAQI DOCUMENT SITE

The U.S. Government suspended public access to an online database of captured Iraqi documents after the New York Times presented claims from some nuclear experts that the documents included sensitive nuclear weapons design information.

The documents had already been reviewed and cleared for public release, but the experts consulted by the Times said they should not have been disclosed.

See "U.S. Web Archive Is Said to Reveal a Nuclear Primer" by William J. Broad, New York Times, November 3:

<http://tinyurl.com/yxmvbf>

Everyone agrees that proliferation-sensitive data should be protected. The Federation of American Scientists does not publish detailed blueprints of functional nuclear weapons, for example, though such records can be found in the public domain.

But in Secrecy News' estimation, the New York Times story failed to include an appropriate note of skepticism about the significance of the disclosures.

According to the Times, experts say that the Iraqi documents "constitute a basic guide to building an atom bomb."

This is a trope that has surfaced repeatedly for decades, from the publication of the Smyth Report in 1946 and the Los Alamos Primer some years later to the Progressive Case in 1979 and even the declassification of inertial confinement fusion in the 1990s, each of which supposedly compromised the secret of the Bomb.

While it is no doubt true, as former Energy Department classification official A. Bryan Siebert told the Times, that there are still nuclear weapons secrets, the basics of nuclear weapons construction have long been publicly available. And in case anyone hasn't noticed, proliferation of actual nuclear weapons has been proceeding apace in North Korea, Iran and elsewhere, with or without captured Iraqi documents.

William Broad is the best of reporters and his stories pack a

punch even when they are not on the front page of the New York Times.

But he also has a penchant for telling and retelling a sensational, counterintuitive story that the government is failing to protect sensitive national security secrets.

A January 13, 2002, front page story by Mr. Broad reported that the government was selling declassified documents describing the production of biological weapons. That story, like the one today, also referred to the documents in question as "cookbooks" for weapons of mass destruction, a cliched term that grossly exaggerates their significance and utility, in Secrecy News' opinion.

The earlier story prompted the removal of many thousands of declassified documents from public access, which was probably prudent. But it also triggered a continuing expansion of official controls on unclassified information, culminating in a March 19, 2002, memorandum from White House chief of staff Andrew Card on "White House Guidance on Safeguarding WMD Information and Sensitive Homeland Security Documents." One has to expect that the latest story will aggravate the problem.

The current Administration is not known for reckless disclosure of sensitive data, to put it mildly. The Times and its reporters know this. But today's story does not account for the government's supposed departure from its normal stinginess with information and its move towards indiscriminate revelation of precious nuclear secrets, if that's what happened. Having been publicly scolded by the Times for this little experiment in public disclosure, officials are now even less likely to defy well-founded expectations of secrecy.

Last week, coincidentally, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission published a proposal to export some 15 kilograms of highly enriched uranium (93% U-235) to Canada, thereby perpetuating international traffic in actual bomb-grade materials.

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/2006/10/fr102706.html>

The proposal was not reported in the New York Times.

CLASSIFIED BUDGETS AND CONGRESSIONAL CORRUPTION

Rep. Jim Gibbons (R-Nevada) helped to direct millions of dollars of classified contracts to one of his major campaign contributors, according to an astonishing account in the Wall Street Journal. ("Congressman's Favors for Friend Include Help in Secret Budget," by John R. Wilke, Wall Street Journal, November 1, sub. req'd.).

Coming in the wake of the bribery scandal involving Rep. Randy "Duke" Cunningham (R-CA), the latest report underscores the potential for corruption in classified defense and intelligence budgeting.

Yet Congressional leaders have stubbornly resisted efforts to reduce budget secrecy.

The Las Vegas Review-Journal followed up on this aspect of the Gibbons story in a report yesterday.

See " Experts critical of secret defense budgeting system" by Aaron Sadler, Las Vegas Review-Journal, November 2:

<http://tinyurl.com/yefazm>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/scp/index.html
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood@fas.org)
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: **Eugene Frison** <eugene.frison.nul>
Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 13:55:08 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 08:03:17 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 13:40:44 -0800
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 10:16:51 EST
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>If belief is reality then angels have ETs beat by a country
>>light year.

>Greg, are there any photos/movies/videos of angels/demons (other
>than Hollywood)? How about radar contact and tracking? How
>about landing traces, increased radiation, electromagnetic
>interference, documented biological and physiological effects
>from close encounters, and other UFO-related physical effects?

<snip>

There is a fallacy here, David, that should be pointed out. You are assuming the stimulus behind UFO reports is a different stimulus than that of any stimulus behind alleged angel/demon reports.

If it's one and the same stimulus that is getting interpreted some times

as UFOs while at other times is interpreted as angels/demons then the photos/videos of UFOs are, at the same time, your photos/videos of angels/demons. Or, if the phenomenon presents itself as UFOs to some witnesses while presenting itself to other witnesses as angels/demons then the same thing is true.

Can't assume we're talking about two completely different phenomena here.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Bigfoot Research Makes Professor Campus Outcast

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 08:06:58 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 08:06:58 -0500
Subject: Bigfoot Research Makes Professor Campus Outcast

Source: CNN.Com - Atlanta, Georgia, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/ymz4dm>

November 3, 2006

Bigfoot Research Makes Professor A Campus Outcast

POCATELLO, Idaho (AP) -- Jeffrey Meldrum holds a Ph.D. in anatomical sciences and is a tenured professor of anatomy at Idaho State University.

He is also one of the world's foremost authorities on Bigfoot, the mythical ape-man of the Northwest woods. And Meldrum firmly believes the lumbering, shaggy brute exists.

That makes him an outcast - a solitary, Sasquatch-like figure himself - on the 12,700-student campus, where many scientists are embarrassed by what they call Meldrum's "pseudo-academic" pursuits and have called on the university to review his work with an eye toward revoking his tenure. One physics professor, D.P. Wells, wonders whether Meldrum plans to research Santa Claus, too.

Meldrum, 48, spends most of his days in his laboratory in the Life Sciences Building, analyzing more than 200 jumbo plaster casts of what he contends are Bigfoot footprints.

For the past 10 years, he has added his scholarly sounding research to a field full of sham videos and supermarket tabloid exposes. And he is convinced he has produced a body of evidence that proves there is a Bigfoot.

"It used to be you went to a bookstore and asked for a book on Bigfoot and you'd be directed to the occult section, right between the Bermuda Triangle and UFOs," Meldrum said. "Now you can find some in the natural science section."

Martin Hackworth, a senior lecturer in the physics department, called Meldrum's research a "joke."

"Do I cringe when I see the Discovery Channel and I see Idaho State University, Jeff Meldrum? Yes, I do," Hackworth said. "He believes he's taken up the cause of people who have been shut out by the scientific community. He's lionized there. He's worshipped. He walks on water. It's embarrassing."

John Kijinski, dean of arts and sciences, said there have been "grumblings" about Meldrum's tenure, but no formal request for a review.

"He's a bona fide scientist," Kijinski said. "I think he helps this university. He provides a form of open discussion and dissenting viewpoints that may not be popular with the scientific community, but that's what academics (is) all about."

On campus, Meldrum - himself a hulking figure, with a mop of brown hair, a bristly silver mustache, and a black T-shirt with a silhouette of a hunchbacked, lurking Bigfoot - gets funny looks and the silent treatment from other scientists, and is not invited to share coffee with the other science professors.

Over the summer, more than 30 professors signed a petition criticizing the university for hosting a Bigfoot symposium where Meldrum was the keynote speaker.

He pays for his research with a \$30,000 donation from a Bigfoot believer.

Still, Meldrum has a distinguished supporter in Jane Goodall, the world-famous authority on African chimpanzees. Her blurb on the jacket of Meldrum's new book, "Sasquatch: Legend Meets Science," lauds him for bringing "a much-needed level of scientific analysis" to the Bigfoot debate.

"As a scientist, she's very curious and she keeps an open mind," said Goodall spokeswoman Nona Gandelman. "She's fascinated by it."

Bigfoot is sort of the Loch Ness Monster of the Pacific Northwest. The legend dates back centuries. Indian folklore includes murmurs of a man-ape that roams the hidden hollows. Sasquatch is a Salish Indian word meaning woodland wildman.

Newspapers began recording sightings of Bigfoot in the backwoods during the 1920s. But skeptics have challenged the accounts, and practical jokers have staged elaborate hoaxes, including grainy film footage of someone in a monkey suit and phony footprints stamped into the ground with giant molded feet.

Meldrum said it was a decade ago in Walla Walla, Washington, that he first discovered flat 15-inch footprints in the woods. He said he thought initially that they were a hoax, but noticed locked joints and a narrow arch - traits he came to believe could only belong to Bigfoot.

"That's what set the hook," Meldrum said. "I resolved at this point, this was a question I'd get to the bottom of."

When not in the lab, he loads his Chevy Suburban with tents and forensic gear and heads for the woods of Washington state and Northern California, where he has collected what he says are footprints, hair and feces from the ape-man. He tests hair samples and uses physics to produce charts that purport to show how Bigfoot would walk.

Meldrum wonders aloud how much longer he will be on the faculty. But he said he also dreams of one day bringing back a bone or a tooth or some skin, and silencing the "stuffy academics."

"Is the theory of exploration dead?" he asked. "I'm not out to proselytize that Bigfoot exists. I place legend under scrutiny and my conclusion is, absolutely, Bigfoot exists."

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Fri, 3 Nov 2006 15:54:58 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 08:11:56 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 11:11:52 -0700
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 08:16:02 -0500
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 09:05:28 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>>Neanderthal couldn't split off from us only 400,000 years ago
>>>and be more like chimps than us. We split off from the great ape
>>>line roughly 10 million years ago, according to latest
>>>reckoning.

>>Hominids split off from apes about 10 million years ago,
>>according to most theories based on physical analysis of
>>fossils. DNA tells a different story, though. Our DNA is far
>>too close to that of chimps for the split to have been that long
>>ago. Based on DNA, we split from chimps very recently.

>>So, there's the rub, reconciling physical anthropology with
>>modern DNA analysis, and physical anthropology loses out. We're
>>very different from all of the great apes except for
>>chimps/bonobos, and could well have split from those other apes
>>millions of years ago.

>>I think the whole family tree derived from physical anthropology
>>has to be uprooted. We have to draw a new tree including
>>chimps/bonobos on the same branch with us, and with us diverging
>>from them only a few hundred thousand years ago.

>A draft genome of the chimpanzee was published in the 01 Sep
>2005 issue of Nature, with the finding that the human and
>chimpanzee genomes are more different than previously thought.
>The previous estimate of 1.2% difference (based on single
>nucleotide changes) has been increased by an additional 2.7%
>(based on analysis of longer stretches of DNA).

Thanks for the DNA update. It isn't surprising.

Our brains are three times bigger than chimps. Neanderthals had
brains even bigger than us. Chimps make only the most
rudimentary tools. Neanderthals had Stone Age tools comparable
in sophistication to Cro Magnon, up until about 50,000 years
ago. Chimps still have grasping feet and knuckle walk. All
evidence now points to early hominids from millions of years ago
being fully erect bipeds.

There are many more dramatic differences between chimps and
modern man/Neanderthals that couldn't arise in only a few
hundred thousand years of evolution.. There is just no way that
Neanderthals would be more genetically similar to chimps than to
us.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <BoyintheMachine.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 01:29:57 EST
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 08:16:54 -0500
Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Gammon

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 08:10:59 -0500
>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

>>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 11:31:12 -0600 (CST)
>>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

>>Well, whether it's needed or not, a conscious intelligence will
>>be constructed by somebody, probably sooner than later, simply
>>because it can be constructed. And they better put a survival
>>instinct into it, because we'll need to bargain with these
>>things in a social context, just like we bargain with other
>>humans. A survival instinct will make it think it has something
>>to lose, so it doesn't just go kamikaze on our butts.

>Here's a question for you. I'm sure it would be relatively easy
>to program a computer to emulate consciousness. That is to act
>like a conscious entity. Would we every be able to tell the
>difference?

Bob, If you aren't familiar with 'The Philosophical Zombie'
argument, then I might suggest you research such.

Let's assume that it's possible for a human being to have no
consciousness, yet behave as if he or she is conscious.

Can we tell the difference between a conscious human and a non-
conscious human?

The answer depends on the level of non-conscious instincts
governing the behavior of the 'zombie.'

For example, we can tell when people are in a non-conscious
state, i.e., 'spaced-out.' However, we are only aware of such if
we are aware that we also act in a non-conscious state at times.
Otherwise, we would remain oblivious to this fact.

So, let's apply this knowledge to the question you posed.

Could we know the difference between a machine that emulates
consciousness and one that actually possesses it?

The answer is, 'yes.' It is physically impossible to create a
machine that will fool every human being all of the time.

A fake-consciousness will always produce signs which point to
it's 'fake-ness.' The problem is that only humans who are
actively searching for such signs have a likelihood of finding
them.

So the true question is not whether we can tell the difference
between a consciousness vs. a fake-consciousness, but rather,
how long will it take us to realize it is fake?

- Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: The British UFO Files Documentary - Pope

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 11:27:44 -0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 08:18:18 -0500
Subject: Re: The British UFO Files Documentary - Pope

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 18:54:16 -0400
>Subject: Re: The British UFO Files Documentary

>>From: Dave Haith <visions.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 13:25:19 -0000
>>Subject: The British UFO Files Documentary

>>Last night's Channel 5 documentary was, for once, a reasonable
>>account of the events surrounding this interesting triangle
>>flyover.

<snip>

>I wonder if Nick knows whether this program will air in North
>America?

I'm going to a meeting about this next week with the production
company and the distribution company that handles the rights.

If there's any news about the program being shown in the US,
Canada or elsewhere, I'll post details on the List.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 13:35:50 -0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 10:33:06 -0500
Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Dickenson

>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 00:27:39 -0400
>Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness

<snip>

>If you knew what you were talking about then you would know the
>half second delay is not just a theory (see my description of
>Libet's experiments in my last post).

<snip>

Hi Eugene,

Roger Penrose has a good review of the experimental results in later chapters of 'The Emperors' New Mind' - think it's the section headed 'Physics of the Mind'. Seems some delays are quite complex and can amount to 1.5 secs, like you said. Penrose also covers the sometimes complicated "masking" and "backward masking" of some delays, which can make for confusion in reading the results correctly.

As for simple brain 'percepts' - here's a good one:

www.patmedia.net/marklevinson/cool/cool_illusion.html

or go "cool illusion" in Google for that and more.

Some more stuff at "percepts always imaginary" in Google:

and associated

<http://tinyurl.com/w7f51>

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: The British UFO Files Documentary - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 13:46:33 +0000 (GMT)
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 10:34:41 -0500
Subject: Re: The British UFO Files Documentary - Miller

>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 3 Nov 2006 08:17:30 -0000
>Subject: Re: The British UFO Files Documentary

>>From: Dave Haith <visions.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 13:25:19 -0000
>>Subject: The British UFO Files Documentary

>>Last night's Channel 5 documentary was, for once, a
>>reasonable account of the events surrounding this
>>interesting triangle flyover.

>>But I must ask Nick Pope who was prominently featured, what
>>is behind the unfathomable UK Ministry of Defence stance that
>>these kind of events are of "no defence significance" or
>>similar phrase?

><snip>

>The MOD 'party line' is that UFOs are of "no defence
>significance". This is based on the Department's view that
>decades of official research and investigation (both in the
>UK, the US and elsewhere) produced no evidence of any hostile
>intent.

>The counter-argument put forward by people such as former
>Chief of the Defence Staff Lord Hill-Norton is that if
>reasonable evidence suggests the UK's Air Defence Region has
>been penetrated by a craft of unknown origin, this in itself
>must be of defence significance, irrespective of any apparent
>lack of hostile intent.

>The difficulty over resolving this apparent dichotomy is
>compounded by the separate debate about what constitutes
>"reasonable evidence".

Dave, List,

With respect, it was a somewhat naive question to ask of Nick
and although he gave the best answer he could within the
constraints placed on him, you need to recognise that there are
still constraints on him as he is still an MoD employee.

It is patently obvious Dave from recent document releases that
the MoD are as paranoid about UFOs as the rest of us, arguably
more so. There are many examples that could be quoted to you but
the most obvious one is why go to the recent expense of
commissioning a report such as Condign if the MoD thought there
was nothing to worry about in the first place?

And Condign stated that there was something to worry about
after all. Those puffy plasma clouds that barge around up there
maguerading as flying saucers, with port holes and waving flight
personel, are a danger to aircraft and should be avoided.

And do not believe all this nonsense you've been hearing about

Chinese party lanterns.....

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 4](#)

Re: Faded Discs Project Report - Connors

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 15:56:38 +0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 11:31:21 -0500
Subject: Re: Faded Discs Project Report - Connors

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 17:27:48 +0000
>Subject: Re: Faded Discs Project Report

>>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>>To: UFOUpDates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 19:48:19 +0000
>>Subject: Faded Discs Project Report

<snip>

>Wendy:

>I have just finished downloading material from the ATI documents
>disk I purchased from you. Of course, one needs patience to wade
>through the documents. But, the rewards of finding nuggets of
>pertinent information can be exciting to a researcher like
>myself. Keep up the good work.

Thank you. I've strived for legitimate Ufology for over 4
decades and have no plan to stop doing what I've been doing,
even if I have to compete with the woo-woo stuff.

Please don't forget the other half of Ufology is available too.
Listening to actual persons or events gives the proper nuance
not found in the written record and expands ones ability to
comprehend the overall aspects of a case or topic.

Wendy Connors
www.fadeddiscs.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 5](#)

Re: Faded Discs Project Report - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 12:03:52 -0600
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Nov 2006 07:54:23 -0500
Subject: Re: Faded Discs Project Report - Lehmborg

>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 15:56:38 +0000
>Subject: Re: Faded Discs Project Report

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 17:27:48 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Faded Discs Project Report

>>>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>>>To: UFOUpdates.nul
>>>Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 19:48:19 +0000
>>>Subject: Faded Discs Project Report

><snip>

>>Wendy:

<snip>

>Thank you. I've strived for legitimate Ufology for over 4
>decades and have no plan to stop doing what I've been doing,
>even if I have to compete with the woo-woo stuff.

Woo-woo stuff. We all think we understand what that means.
Forgetting for a moment that the specific density of your
compilation exceeds the woo-woo stuff and indeed displaces it,
the woo-woo stuff is only there at all because its sucked in to
fill a vacuum created by the very dismissal or your very
superior stuff, to begin with!

Consider. To a lot of the dim-bulbed nay-boobs right here on
this List, all you have done is collect the mawkish mumblings
of previous generation's nut-balls and max-zoom whack-jobs, eh?
As irrelevant, credulous, and dumb now as they were then...
when nothing could be further from the truth.

In the last several years I've written twenty-five or thirty
thousand words on the relevance of what you have done, what it
will mean to generations to come, and what it promotes but the
"lie come undone". People believe what must threaten them the
least, and these innocents are made unaware of your subject by
the few self-charged with the maintenance of their self-
interested and self-involved status quo. The contrived prosaic.
The mendacious, malicious, and moronic mundane.

>Please don't forget the other half of Ufology is available too.

Agreed, but I would add one should sneer not ...lest one be
sneered at. Sneers always provoke me to sneers of my own.

Besides, folks gravitate to "woo-woo" because the "wow-wow" is
discouraged and non forthcoming. If there was more of a search
for "wow-wow" and less of a sneer for the woo-woo we'd find
ourselves sprinting into the future, I suspect.

>Listening to actual persons or events gives the proper nuance
>not found in the written record and expands ones ability to

>comprehend the overall aspects of a case or topic.

Wow-wow! Very well said, if I may be bold, ma'am. An excellent justification of why you are a minor goddess in my personal ufological pantheon! [Bowing deeply in the Elizabethan tradition then picking up my 28 string cyber-lute]!

Wendy's Song -- <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w6yc-HTSue>

alienview.nul

www.AlienView.net

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

U F O M a g a z i n e -- www.ufomag.com

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 5](#)

Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 14:29:43 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Nov 2006 08:00:12 -0500
Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Ledger

>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 00:27:39 -0400
>Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 01 Nov 2006 18:34:21 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness

>>>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>>>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 10:04:33 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness

>>>You've consistently danced around answering the questions
>>>I've asked you in my various posts (such as how UFO
>>>research can possibly proceed without addressing the
>>>concerns I outlined)

>>I think it will get along quite well without your theory,
>>though I don't doubt that it will be considered. Theories
>>abound. Why are you so hung up on this one?

>It is being considered! By people who are making as much
>contribution to this mystery as the Great Don Ledger! You
>speak like ufology is some closed circle with you in its inner
>ranks and you'll all get around to considering "my theory"
>someday. It's being considered and worked on by people
>independent of your arrogance, people who are doing as much
>work and who are making as much contribution to this riddle as
>you think you are - perhaps even more of a contribution.

<snip>

So far your contribution has been hoaxing Polaroid photos which you gave to the RCMP. Don't see you yapping much about that. But then maybe you are proud of that. You refer to me as the Great Don Ledger and me being at the center of some closed circle of ufology. What tripe. I speak my mind the same as everyone else on this List but I don't browbeat them with endless rhetoric when they don't agree with my take on a topic. You are obsessive about what you believe and my objection to it. Seems to me you take my "greatness" more seriously than I do or anyone else on this List. I don't claim greatness, I just plug away like many on this List, looking for the nuggets.

You are also quite adept at making claims that no one else can back up such as "those independent of my arrogance" who are working on your your adopted theory. I'm sure they are a little less fanatical about the theory than yourself. There is also your claim of investigating hundreds of cases which no one has any proof of. Those of us who do field investigations [whom you denigrated in an earlier post] see much smaller numbers as an end result. For me it's about 50 cases over the last 13-14 years. I might get involved in two or three a year now because I'm too busy with other things. It's time-consuming when done right, often extending over months or even years. Many turn out to have a prosaic explanation, but many do not - usually a much

higher percentage of unknowns than the oft toted 5 percent.

Frankly your claim of having investigated hundreds of cases is highly questionable. Doing a Sgt. Moody and rubber stamping each case as solved as stars or planets isn't doing an investigation.

I see you are reduced to re-arguing some of our older threads.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 5](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: Josh Goldstein <lovolution.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 08:30:56 -0800
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Nov 2006 08:04:34 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 11:16:22 -0600 (CST)
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 12:31:29 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

><snip>

>>If ETs and angels/demons are one and the same (a very obvious
>>deduction when one compares the description, behaviour and
>>activity of both), then David and Stan have much support from
>>ancient writers, including theologians, that Earth is indeed a
>>penal colony for "aliens".

>I don't see how bringing angels and demons in the picture backs
>up their notion at all. First, we have even less substantiated
>evidence for the existence of angels/demons than we do for good
>ol' flying saucer aliens, if it's possible to have less than
>zero.

>And if I understand my Old Testament and Apocrypha correctly,
>it's the angels, the Elohim, and not us, who were cast down out
>of Heaven as punishment. We were basically innocent bystanders
>as the old Hebrew pantheon fought it out with the angel-human
>hybrid Nephilim, a conflict which ultimately resulted in Noah (a
>Nephilim, himself) escaping the "Deluge" with his human family.

>So if we were to take the old stories more or less literally,
>we're not the prisoners, and if we add up the number of current
>UFO reports, we sure aren't all that isolated. The only thing
>we're apparently missing are the keys to the flying saucers,
>which (unless the government has them locked up) somebody (?)
>keeps going back in time to take away from us.

>I don't know how much I want to take those old stories
>literally, though, with nothing to back them up except the
>belief of a lot of people wearing funny hats.

Tim, please don't knock those "funny hats" some of us Jews wear.
The Yarmulkas serve an important task. They are streamlined like
bullets so that when one ascends to heaven there is not a lot of
atmospheric drag on the head. The only way to get up there
faster is by wearing a propeller beanie. They are held on by a
ladies' hairpin so they are not blown off on the way up. Plus
they are bulletproof so that if one is shot in the head straight
down by an Arab Terrorist one is saved.

I am a secular Jew. I don't wear one on a daily basis. But I
always carry my flight helmet with me from when I was in the
service. It is very streamlined and has a visor to keep birds
from pooping on my face on the way up. If I get to heaven I may
give it to Jesus to wear to remind him that he was born a Jew
and died a Jew and not to buy into that religion that Paul and
the other 11 suck-ups created after he died from the Roman blind
worker's mistaken belief that he was a piece of wooden siding

to be nailed to the frame.

If I go to Hell my helmet is not totally fireproof but it may buy me a minute or two to figure out how to get the hell out of there. Maybe I'll pretend to be dumping ashes outside in my wheelbarrow, run away and climb a long way up on the Stairway to Heaven. I hope they will let me in. I hope there is no schmuck at the gate denying me entry because he claims that he just got an email from Hell saying I used to masturbate to photos of my Rabbi wearing all the religious garments and cape. At least it was a woman Rabbi. What is wrong with that?

I may wear my flight helmet on my next airplane flight from Hawaii. I don't know which would look more funny, wearing it with my old flight suit and pretend I flashed back right from the Vietnam war or to wear it with an Aloha shirt with a lei and a little grass skirt. Would people laugh? Would they give me the 'you're an escaped psycho' look? Would I get arrested when the plane lands in San Francisco. I could lie and tell them I was heading to a gay pride parade. Then they would honor me.

Josh Goldstein

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 5](#)

Re: The British UFO Files Documentary - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 15:25:52 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Nov 2006 08:10:15 -0500
Subject: Re: The British UFO Files Documentary - Ledger

>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 11:27:44 -0000
>Subject: Re: The British UFO Files Documentary

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 02 Nov 2006 18:54:16 -0400
>>Subject: Re: The British UFO Files Documentary

>>>From: Dave Haith <visions.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 13:25:19 -0000
>>>Subject: The British UFO Files Documentary

>>>Last night's Channel 5 documentary was, for once, a
>>>reasonable account of the events surrounding this
>>>interesting triangle flyover.

><snip>

>>I wonder if Nick knows whether this program will air in
>>North America?

>I'm going to a meeting about this next week with the
>production company and the distribution company that handles
>the rights.

>If there's any news about the program being shown in the US,
>Canada or elsewhere, I'll post details on the List.

Thanks, Nick. This curious denial of threat to national security has bothered me for some time. Here in Canada documents suggest that the Canadian government just rubber stamped the US position or at least used their argument to answer questions in parliament.

Perhaps you could answer another question for me...

Did the MoD/CAA have an equivalent protocol to the CIRVIS/MERINT agreement agreed to by the Canada -US JCEC [from JANAPs 146-E] in December of 1956 and implemented by the United States and Canadian governments simultaneously shortly thereafter?

This was based on a model used during WW II between the US and the UK. It seems to me some agreement must have been implemented in the UK after the war similar to the US/Can agreement perhaps linked to the latter.

Best,

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 5](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: Josh Goldstein <lovolution.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 09:29:54 -0800
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Nov 2006 08:12:05 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 10:16:51 EST
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 11:16:22 -0600 (CST)
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>>From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 31 Oct 2006 12:31:29 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
>>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>><snip>

>>>If ETs and angels/demons are one and the same (a very obvious
>>>deduction when one compares the description, behaviour and
>>>activity of both), then David and Stan have much support from
>>>ancient writers, including theologians, that Earth is indeed a
>>>penal colony for "aliens".

>>I don't see how bringing angels and demons in the picture backs
>>up their notion at all. First, we have even less substantiated
>>evidence for the existence of angels/demons than we do for >good
>>ol' flying saucer aliens, if it's possible to have less than
>>zero.

><snip>

>Now hold on thar! "less substantiated"?

>Well now there's more evidence for angels/demons that far
>outweighs any ET stuff by a country mile. Matter of fact last
>time I looked about 4 billion people on Earth believe in
>angels/demons of some sort and billions professed this daily.
>We've got far more eyewitness accounts of the intervention of
>angels/demons than we do about ETs so if abductee and
>whistleblower testimony is valid, then so is the testimony of
>persons who believe they've encountered spiritual beings.

>Fair is fair now.

>I know I can go outside and ask any number of people if they
>believe an angel has interceded for them and I'll get a majority
>agreement. If I ask them about flying saucers and ETs at best
>I'll get into debate about it or worst get told off.

>If belief is reality then angels have ETs beat by a country
>light year.

Greg,

Belief is not reality. It is just belief.

Josh Goldstein

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 5](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 19:30:30 +0000 (GMT)
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Nov 2006 08:14:08 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 18:01:23 -0000
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>Hi List - and Bob & Stuart,

>It seems that Neanderthal issue has stirred up confusion about
>the word "species".

>Two extreme positions are a) if they look vastly different -
>they're different species; or b) if they can't interbreed
>(discounting 'mules') - they're different species.

>Well, it's not as easy as that.

Hi Ray,

Sorry for the big <snip>but even as we speak Ray, even as we
speak...

http://www.eurekalert.org/pub_releases/2006-11/wuis-mhml10206.php

<http://tinyurl.com/y73tsc>

Public release date: 2-Nov-2006

Contact: Neil Schoenherr
nschoenherr.nul
314-935-5235
Washington University in St. Louis

More human-Neandertal mixing evidence uncovered

'Dem bones

A re-examination of ancient human bones from Romania reveals
more evidence that humans and Neandertals interbred.

Erik Trinkaus, Ph.D., Washington University Mary Tileston
Hemenway Professor in Arts & Sciences, and colleagues
radiocarbon-dated and analyzed the shapes of human bones from
Romania's Pe=8tera Muierii (Cave of the Old Woman). The fossils,
discovered in 1952, add to the small number of early modern
human remains from Europe known to be more than 28,000 years
old.

Results were published in the current issue of the Proceedings
of the National Academy of Science.

The team found that the fossils were 30,000 years old and
principally have the diagnostic skeletal features of modern
humans. They also found that the remains had other features
known, among potential ancestors, primarily among the preceding
Neandertals, providing more evidence there was mixing of humans
and Neandertals as modern humans dispersed across Europe about

35,000 years ago. Their analysis of one skeleton's shoulder blade also shows that these humans did not have the full set of anatomical adaptations for throwing projectiles, like spears, during hunting.

The team says that the mixture of human and Neandertal features indicates that there was a complicated reproductive scenario as humans and Neandertals mixed, and that the hypothesis that the Neandertals were simply replaced should be abandoned.

Given what seems like continuing mounting evidence that the two "species" mated, I concur with David R that neanderthals must have been much more like Homo S than chimps.

Stuart

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 5](#)

La Paz Thought Green Fireballs Were Warfare

From: Roderick Dyke <RodBD.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 15:59:01 EST
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Nov 2006 08:23:43 -0500
Subject: La Paz Thought Green Fireballs Were Warfare

Dear List,

We found the below noted news article of interest in respect to the 'Mysterious Green Fireballs' and Dr. Lincoln La Paz.

Roderick Dyke
Archives for UFO Research [AUFOR]

The Reno Gazette - Nevada, USA

Tuesday, September 13, 1955

Page 24

Use Of Ice Missiles In Test War Hinted

ALBUQUERQUE, Sept. 13. (AP) - A well known meteoriticist declared today that a "shrewd opponent" could wage an ice cube test war against this country with intercontinental projectiles made of ice.

The object of the ice missile would be to determine effectiveness of range for a missile of the more deadly variety.

Dr. Lincoln La Paz, director of the University of New Mexico's Institute of Meteoritics, the only one of its kind in the western world, said: "In range-testing intercontinental ballistic missiles in peace time," a shrewd opponent for obvious reasons would seek to employ test objects leaving no tangible trace of their existence or use.

"It is for this reason that since 1948 representatives of the institute of meteoritics have habitually asked observers whether or not piece of ice or drops or water were detected falling from the sky at the time of the observed incident." Some observers have reported drops of water.

But another meteoriticist, John Davis Buddhue of Pasadena, Calif., said ice discovered in California which came from the sky was either from an airplane or may have been an ice-meteorite. The existence of ice meteorites never has been proven.

Buddhue read a paper on his investigation before the International Meteoritical Society, which was to end its two-day meeting today at the institute.

La Paz has said repeatedly he believed the mysterious yellow-green fireballs - spotted especially in the southwest during the past decade - were of earthly origin. The fireballs make no sound as they zoom through the sky and no portion of the fireball ever has been recovered, if they landed.

La Paz said an ice projectile could be shot from a plane at high altitude many miles away from the United States. Moving at

sufficiently high speed, it would appear from the ground much like a meteor or shooting star.

The ice projectile, melting rapidly as it shot through the atmosphere, then would be photographed or traced by radar. On a photograph, it would look like any other meteor - a long string of light. The opponent then would have a fair idea of where the real thing would hit.

And the United States would be left with a puddle of water or a small piece of melting ice as the only trace of the projectile.

La Paz said the institute of meteoritics urged Buddhue to "carefully investigate the ice-falls reported in" California. He said of Buddhue's possible explanations:

"The prosaic explanation of the Los Angeles incident favored by Buddhue may, indeed, be the correct one; but whether it is or not, every unusual ice-fall should be investigated with equal care."

Buddhue investigated two ice-falls - one Jan. 16, 1953 in Whittier, Calif., the other in Los Angeles Jan.29, 1955. The Whittier fall consisted of many pieces about two inches thick and totaling 20 pounds scattered over an area of about 300 feet, Buddhue was told.

The Los Angeles fall ended as an angular hump and weighed about 30 pounds. Position of breaks in a tree which the ice hit showed that the fall was vertical, Buddhue said.

A major airline later investigated the Los Angeles incident and said, according to Buddhue, there was a "possibility that one of their airplanes may have been involved." A tube to prevent ice from forming on the plane as water was released through flush drains in the fuselage might have been knocked off accidentally, the airline said. The lumps will not form if the water is ejected into the air and does not hit the plane. The tube prevents the water from landing on the plane and forming ice.

Buddhue said there was a possibility of an ice meteorite and cited two instances in the later 19th century and early 20th century when big lumps of ice fell from the sky. One fell in Kansas and the other in India.

"If ice meteorites exist, the scarcity of references to them is readily understandable is consideration of their perishable nature." Buddhue said.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 5](#)

Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 16:06:11 -0800
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Nov 2006 08:29:21 -0500
Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I. - Shell

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 08:10:59 -0500
>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

>>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 11:31:12 -0600 (CST)
>>Subject: Re: How To Create A Conscious A.I.

>>Well, whether it's needed or not, a conscious intelligence will
>>be constructed by somebody, probably sooner than later, simply
>>because it can be constructed. And they better put a survival
>>instinct into it, because we'll need to bargain with these
>>things in a social context, just like we bargain with other
>>humans. A survival instinct will make it think it has something
>>to lose, so it doesn't just go kamikaze on our butts.

>Here's a question for you. I'm sure it would be relatively easy
>to program a computer to emulate consciousness. That is to act
>like a conscious entity. Would we every be able to tell the
>difference?

No. But we're talking about being able to duplicate tiny
subtleties that human beings are instinctually programmed to
recognize, so it will be very difficult to accomplish right
away. In the same way we can currently tell a computer-generated
person from a living person in the movies. Or a wax museum
figure from someone alive. One of these days, though, you'll be
able to go see that new movie starring Elvis, Humphrey Bogart
and Marilyn Monroe where Lon Chaney, Sr. plays the villian, and
all the performances will be great, and you won't be able to
tell they're computer-generated.

Unless there's a "psychic" connection between conscious being we
don't know about (And there may be. You tell me how creatures
evolve from one-celled organisms to multi-celled cooperative
organisms without nervous systems.), then there will eventually
be no subjective or objective differences between the artificial
consciousnesses we create and the ones we carry around with us.
But I don't think I'll be alive long enough to see anything but
the very beginnings of that kind of thing.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 5](#)

Suggestion For Studies

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Sun, 05 Nov 2006 01:27:45 +0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Nov 2006 08:36:38 -0500
Subject: Suggestion For Studies

Listers:

In reading Randle's book, Scientific Ufology, I would like to comment on the Experiment described in Chapter 6, pages 189-191.

My Background: For 6 years I worked in UCLA's Human Subject Protection and read numerous studies/protocols involving humans subjects.

My Comment: Randle attempted to do an experiment for the purposes of showing the Air Forces' non-investigation of unknown phenomena. To that end he conducted an 'experiment'. Its obvious that Randle does not have a background in doing experiments using human subjects. That's okay, he was on the right track but had problems - as I see it - executing his approach to solve a problem. Therefore, I would suggest that if any serious investigator wishes to move forward in the area of exploring unknown phenomena they read a book written by Rick Strassman, M.D. This book provides reports by the subjects of Dr. Strassman's work with DMT. After their "trip" the subjects reported their reactions when interacting with "non-human" entities.

I am suggesting this book because of the reports of many UFO witnesses who claimed seeing the phenomena changed their lives. This means that for the witnesses - when faced with identifying something unknown - the subconscious takes over because the human mind responds by - let's call it - kicking into a different mode of observation.

BTW: long ago, when I was faced with dealing with some unknown phenomena in my own life, I remarked during a meeting with Don Schmitt, that the only thing available to record the event was the human mind. The events changed my life in that I felt the need to explore how the mind works and responds to unknown phenomena.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 5](#)

Re: UFO Anomaly In NASA 11-04-06 'APOD' Photo?

From: Bob Soetebier <rks1.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 21:46:42 -0600
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Nov 2006 08:41:45 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Anomaly In NASA 11-04-06 'APOD' Photo?

There appears to be an interesting, but faint, anomaly - 3 possible 'UFOs' in a slightly canted-to-right isosceles triangle formation - in the upper right-hand Corner Of Nasa'S Nov. 4, 2006, Astronomy Picture of the Day. It can be seen via the official URL:

<http://antwrp.gsfc.nasa.gov/apod/ap061104.html>

There is a marginally better (official) image at:

<http://tinyurl.com/y26ced>

If you know of anyone who might find this of interest, please copy and paste the above info (minus my e-mail address, please!) and pass it along to them.

Bob Soetebier

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 6](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 Nov 2006 14:20:54 -0000
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 06:44:00 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 19:30:30 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>Sorry for the big <snip> but even as we speak Ray, even as we
>speak...

http://www.eurekalert.org/pub_releases/2006-11/wuis-mhml10206.php

<http://tinyurl.com/y73tsc>

Hello Stuart,

Yes, it seems the `experts' have divided into two camps - one side using only bone-shapes and claiming interbreeding while the other side uses only DNA and claims no interbreeding. And both sides avoid the other's area of 'expertise'.

What is a bit frustrating is that - from memory - they're both using fossil evidence from around the 30,000 year mark, give or take a few thousand. It might be that they can't find anything older (and maybe can't yet reclaim older DNA anyway), but it seems to me, whichever side is right, there should be much older evidence to back them up.

Maybe the whole controversy is a pot-boiler - to keep the funds flowing?

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 6](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <alienview.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 Nov 2006 08:55:12 -0600
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 06:48:43 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Josh Goldstein <lovolution.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 09:29:54 -0800
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 2 Nov 2006 10:16:51 EST
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

<snip>

>>If belief is reality then angels have ETs beat by a country
>>light year.

>Greg,

>Belief is not reality. It is just belief.

More specious reductionism, Mr. Goldstein? Belief provokes or colors perception and perception is the 'reality'. That said, we're all a little light in the drawers, I suspect, to think any of us has a handle on a very malleable, indistinct, and very relative 'reality', eh?

Some stuff is just not predisposed to jump into your test tube, refuses your attempts at measurement, and laughs at your peer review. Too much ground run, Sir, a result of attitude on touch-down coupled with insufficient pitch-pull at the bottom. Moreover, your reliance on the two percent which follows the 'rules' belies the 98% your reductionism refuses to recognize.

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>
U F O M a g a z i n e -- www.ufomag.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 6](#)

Question For John Velez

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 Nov 2006 08:39:44 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:16:34 -0500
Subject: Question For John Velez

(I tried to get this question answered on last night's SDI. But was probably left out due to time constraints for that program. Errol: How do you get three hours into your new two-hour SDI format?)

Where can folks go, outside of the New York City area, to check out their own possible personal abduction experiences? I ask this because it seems like the most credible professionals to help those abductees, are only located in NYC.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 6](#)

US Air Base Filmed For UFO Show

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:19:21 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:19:21 -0500
Subject: US Air Base Filmed For UFO Show

Source: The Cambridge Evening News - UK

<http://tinyurl.com/ymnjxf>

03 November 2006

US Air Base Filmed For UFO Show

Scenes for a television documentary about a major UFO incident were filmed at the Lakenheath American Air Force base.

The massive triangularshaped UFO was reported by police and military personnel on two days in March 1993.

It featured in Channel Five's Stranger than Fiction on Wednesday.

Although many of the sightings were over RAF bases at Cosford and Shawbury in Shropshire, the Lakenheathbased television production company, Steel Spyda, used the Lakenheath base for "generic" shots.

Kay Hill, the show's producer, said: "The Americans at Lakenheath were absolutely super. They couldn't have been more supportive."

The programme was based on a UFO file obtained under the Freedom of Information Act.

Nick Pope, who used to run the British Government's UFO project, said the UFO was believed to measure several hundred feet across and was estimated to have accelerated away at more than 1,000 mph.

He said: "This is the most convincing UFO incident I've ever come across. It raises serious defence and national security issues. This is the case that turned me from sceptic to believer."

Kay Hill said: "We got all the documents on this incident except the MoD's letter to the American Embassy. They said it had gone missing. It is a genuinely mysterious case and one I was unaware of until making this film."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 6](#)

Aztec Event On US History Channel Tonight

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:23:43 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:23:43 -0500
Subject: Aztec Event On US History Channel Tonight

Source: The Farmington Daily Times - New Mexico, USA

http://www.daily-times.com/ci_4602418

11/04/2006

Aztec UFO Event To Be Featured On History Channel Monday
By Rhys Saunders The Daily Times

AZTEC =97 A town known locally for its obsession with extra-terrestrials will soon gain national publicity.

At 6 p.m. local time Monday, the History Channel will air a new episode from the series "UFO Files" that will feature interviews from the 2006 UFO Symposium in Aztec, said Scott Ramsey, who organizes the yearly gathering.

The episode is titled "Hangar 18: The UFO Warehouse" and will discuss what happens when a UFO crashes, according to the History Channel's Web site. Many people believe the Wright Patterson Air Force Base contains a top-secret facility named "Hangar 18," where alien crafts are taken.

"They filmed just about all of it last March at the UFO Symposium," Ramsey said.

The episode will explore several UFO crash sites in the New Mexico desert and where the space vehicles were taken after they landed, he said.

The city of Aztec gained prominence among UFO enthusiasts after a crash reportedly occurred in March 1948, almost 12 miles outside of Aztec in Hart Canyon, according to the UFO site www.aztecufo.com.

Twelve "crashed disks," which are alien space crafts, were recovered in New Mexico, the Web site states. New Mexico ranks highest in the world for UFO-related sightings.

"They interviewed me for over three hours," he said. "I thought it was a very good interview. They had an interest in documents I have that were declassified by the government."

During the 2006 UFO Symposium, Ramsey provided The Daily Times with documents he claimed were declassified government reports. The documents included a letter written to former FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover, one written by the United States Department of the Air Force and an investigative report sent to the Wright Patterson Air Force Base. He claimed all of his declassified documents were written internally by the U.S. government.

Ramsey said he does not know how much of the hour-long episode will feature Aztec.

"I don't know how it ended up on the cutting room floor," he said. "The segment of the interview with me will be included in

the tape."

Ramsey said History Channel officials recently notified him of their interest in conducting a follow-up interview.

"They haven't set a date for that yet," he said.

Rhys Saunders: rsaunders.nul-times.com

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 6](#)

Newbury UK UFO Debate Reignited

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:43:18 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:43:18 -0500
Subject: Newbury UK UFO Debate Reignited

Source: Newbury Today - Berkshire, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/uk5pw>

Fri, November 03 2006

Newbury UFO Debate Reignited

By Robert Rowlands, Reporter
newburytoday.nul

Are these sightings of planes, fireworks or something more sinister?

The saga surrounding the mysterious lights which have baffled locals and experts alike recently has been revived with a fresh spate of sightings.

Three locals have come forward to report seeing unusual objects in the sky, from blazing fireballs to light formations which left drivers on the M4 braking to catch a glimpse.

The sightings will add to the enigma surrounding the lights. In late September, Newbury residents claimed to have witnessed triangular formations of lights hovering over Greenham Common and other parts of the district. So far, the MOD and the Met Office have been unable to account for the phenomena.

Christine Prater of Marsh Lane, Curridge, opened her bedroom curtains early on the Sunday morning of October 22 to see two bright orange lights silently streaking across the sky at phenomenal speed. The sighting has left her wondering what exactly she might have seen.

She said: "As I came back into the bedroom, I opened the curtains and in the sky there was a little light that caught my eye - two bright orangey lights side-by-side, literally whizzing across the sky from west to east. It was like fireworks with sparks flying out from the sides.

"It was going very fast, and it was not a plane. I thought at first it was but then when I looked it was going much too fast. I could not hear anything either - no noise. That's what I thought was so strange."

Anna Burnett of Lambourn said she was travelling eastbound along the M4 between junctions 13 and 14 on Tuesday when she saw three strange bright lights over the motorway in a pyramid formation.

"One light suddenly disappeared and then the other two stayed for a while, and then disappeared also. The lights were so close together that it didn't seem as though they were planes. Drivers were braking to see the lights."

Local resident Sadie Ives reported seeing a huge fireball moving

across the sky last Thursday, and is also searching for answers.

"At first I thought it was a hot air balloon because of the flames, but then I thought there is no way that would be flying in the dark. Was there a meteor shower or is there any news of an aircraft on fire?"

Is there something strange in your neighbourhood? Call Robert Rowlands on 01635 564533.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 6](#)

Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:51:56 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:51:56 -0500
Subject: Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer?

Source: Stuff - Wellington, New Zealand

<http://www.stuff.co.nz/stuff/0,2106,3851459a11,00.html>

06 November 2006

[Picture at site]

Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer?

By Hank Schouten
The Dominion Post

More than 40 years after an official Defence Force photographer snapped an image of the navy cruiser *Royalist*, debate is raging over the unidentified flying object in the background.

The print is believed to have languished since being taken in February 1965, but a plan to display it by the Devonport Navy Museum has sparked speculation about the mystery object. UFO expert Peter Hassall, who wrote a book on the subject in New Zealand, is excited by this previously unreported sighting.

The image was captured by the photographer on large-format black and white negative film shot from the cruiser's wing bridge as it approached what looks like Cape Brett in Bay of Islands. The *Royalist* was on its way back from Waitangi celebrations in February 1965.

It was first spotted by museum staff member Paul Restall as he was assembling images for the museum's new website.

He checked the negative on a light table and called in digital imaging expert Hans Weichselbaum to perform a high resolution scan. This established that the object was part of the original image.

Museum director David Wright said there was nothing to explain what it was.

The object appeared to be some distance in front of the ship and none of the sailors working on the bow was taking any notice, as would be expected if something was going on.

He said it looked to be too distant to be a dinner plate thrown from the bridge and the same would apply to a clay pigeon used as a shooting target. The angle of the object and absence of visible lines suggested it was not a parachute. So what is it?

"We're not saying it's a UFO," Mr Wright said. "It is just one of those interesting things we came across."

Museum staff did not have the time to hunt down former crew members who might be able to solve the mystery but "if people are interested in it and want to pursue its provenance we'll assist them", Mr Wright said.

Mr Hassall said it was an intriguing photo. His first thought was that it might be a flaw on the negative but, if that was the case, it was an unusual one.

"It is a very interesting image and the classic dome shape that's often reported." However, he is mystified that the photograph has never been reported before.

The object looked to be in front of the ship and at least as big as a rubbish tin lid =96 too heavy for somebody to have thrown it that high.

He was also intrigued that nobody on the deck was looking up =96 "If somebody had thrown it up you'd expect everybody to be looking up at it."

However, Carter Observatory senior astronomer Brian Carter disagrees.

He said that when the object was enlarged it had a sharp edge to it. Under the same enlargement, the edge of the cliff on the right and the bow of the ship were not that sharp, he said.

That suggested the object was quite close and therefore quite small.

He believed it was something thrown from the bridge or some other part of the ship.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 6](#)

Will You See Mountain Mystery?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:57:13 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:57:13 -0500
Subject: Will You See Mountain Mystery?

Source: The Charlotte Observer - North Carolina, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/sasex>

Sat, Nov. 04, 2006

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

Astronomer hopes viewers will help him spot elusive lights
through future webcam
Bruce Henderson
bhenderson.nul

The mysterious twinkles called the Brown Mountain Lights, for
centuries the subject of folklore, scientific intrigue and
starry-eyed fascination, are headed for the great crossroads of
fact and fiction: the Web.

And Appalachian State University astronomer Dan Caton, whose
real work is studying binary stars, hopes to shed his
distinction as the world's foremost expert on something he's
never actually seen.

Caton plans to install a webcam, a video camera that sends
images to a Web site, in hopes of capturing the dots of light
that dance across the dark hills on the Burke-Caldwell county
line.

He reckons that 95 percent of the claimed Brown Mountain
sightings are bogus. The few that interest him can't readily be
explained by headlights, campfires or stray light from stars.

He suspects a phenomenon called ball lightning, a moving,
glowing gas that's so poorly understood it can't be produced in
a laboratory. Earth lights, as they're sometimes called, have
been reported around the globe.

To investigate, Caton is turning to the technology that's used
for Web sites dedicated to viewing everything from celebrities
to panda cubs.

He hopes to mount a solar-powered webcam on a tower at Wiseman's
View, which overlooks the yawning Linville Gorge wilderness.
Brown Mountain is east of the gorge, about 75 miles northwest of
Charlotte.

A transmitter would beam signals that would be relayed to a Web
site that Caton bets would get plenty of hits from the public.
Viewers would alert ASU to likely sightings.

At the least, Caton hopes, the setup would offer clues on when
the lights most often appear. At best, he could use his
astronomical equipment to learn what makes the light.

"Here's something that physics hasn't been able to explain," he
said, "and could possibly have some (practical) application."

Because Wiseman's View is within Pisgah National Forest, the U.S. Forest Service has to agree to the webcam installation. The service wants to make sure the tree-height tower wouldn't mar the view, said district ranger Joy Malone, but will probably OK Caton's setup this month.

Written accounts of the lights date to 1771, but they're said to have been part of Cherokee lore for centuries earlier. Some legends say the lights come from the spirits of Native American warriors slaughtered in battle. Others say they're torches carried by the ghosts of grieving maidens.

A 1913 Observer account described fiery red lights, first seen by members of the Morganton Fishing Club, that are "still baffling all investigators."

In 1922, a U.S. Geological Survey study dismissed theories ranging from marsh gas to moonshine stills. It concluded that headlights, stationary lights and brush fires caused the sightings.

Current theories range from UFOs to a form of gas known as plasma that responds to electromagnetic fields.

Cindy Peters prefers to savor the unknown.

She owns the Parkview Lodge in Linville Falls, a village that staged the first Brown Mountain Lights Festival in June. She estimates she's seen the lights 30 to 40 times. They show up in a rainbow of colors, she said, sometimes looking like flashlights, sometimes like Roman candles.

"I'm not one who wants to know what they're about," she said. "I like the romance and the mystery of not having it explained."

After dozens of trips to see the phenomenon, Caton and the ASU students who sometimes accompany him documented nothing but street lights, airplanes and other common sources.

But e-mails he received after being interviewed last year revived his interest. The messages described glowing, soccer ball-sized orbs that have been seen all over the world.

Small grants from the university, totaling about \$6,000, let him buy most of the equipment he hopes to install at Wiseman's View. With it, Caton hopes to gather data that will link the lights to weather conditions, a magnetic field or other conditions.

"My feeling is that when we finally see it," he said, "it's going to be real."

More on the Lights

These Web sites have information on viewing locations, research and the history of the Brown Mountain Lights: www.brownmountainlights.org, maintained by Caton; and www.brownmountainlights.com, run by the Asheville-based paranormal investigations group L.E.M.U.R.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 6](#)

Search Continues For Apollo TV Tapes

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 08:02:47 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 08:02:47 -0500
Subject: Search Continues For Apollo TV Tapes

Source: Yahoo News

<http://tinyurl.com/yglgvr>

Sat Nov 4, 9:00 AM ET

Apollo TV Tapes: The Search Continues

Leonard David
Senior Space Writer
SPACE.com

Vintage Apollo space missions tapes uncovered at a university in Western Australia are not what a team of experts are trying to locate.

"These aren't the tapes we're looking for," said John Sarkissian, operations scientist at the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization's (CSIRO) Parkes Radio Observatory in Parkes, Australia.

The story that is now making the rounds, Sarkissian added, refers to copies of one-inch magnetic tapes recorded at the Carnarvon station in Western Australia. They contain data from Apollo 11's Early Apollo Surface Experiments Package (EASEP)-a set of scientific instruments emplaced at the Apollo 11 landing site by the astronauts.

EASEP was the forerunner of what was called the ALSEP experiment packages, short for the Apollo Lunar Surface Experiment Package and used on the later Apollo missions.

Still at issue is the location of Apollo 11 Slow-Scan Television tapes (SSTV). [See story.] Those lost tapes could give the world a better view of the first human explorers as they walked upon the Moon more than three decades ago.

The SSTV recordings were of better quality than scan-converted imagery that-while fuzzy and far from crisp-enthralled a global audience of Apollo 11 moon watchers back here on Earth.

By recovering the SSTV, the hope is to provide the public a higher-quality, upgraded 21st century look at the exploits of Neil Armstrong and Buzz Aldrin-the lunar twosome that first set boot on the Moon back in July 1969.

A team of experts-both inside and outside of NASA-continue on their quest to pinpoint the Apollo TV tapes in question.

Regarding the on-going search for the Apollo SSTV tapes, Sarkissian added that "there's nothing new to report...unfortunately." The team in the United States is very deep into checking the various places the tapes may have been archived, he said.

"But we haven't yet found anything. There's been a lot of paper work uncovered, but not the tapes," Sarkissian reported.

Telemetry data

While news headlines talk about "lost Moon landing tapes" the actual tapes recently found were neither lost nor of the Moon landing, explained Bill Wood, a retired Apollo tracking station engineer in Barstow, California.

Wood is also part of the group that's on the hunt for the SSTV recordings, offering technical expertise gleaned from his background in supporting all of the Apollo missions at Goldstone--part of NASA's worldwide network of deep space antennas run by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) in Pasadena, California.

Wood reiterated that what was found were data tapes from the Apollo 11 EASEP package left on the Moon by the Apollo 11 astronauts.

"NASA had the cooperation of many colleges and universities around the world to analyze data from the data packages left on the Moon," Wood advised. "Since NASA had a very close relationship with the Australian government in support of Apollo, the University of Sydney was supplied copies of EASEP and ALSEP telemetry data as part of the effort to learn more about the Moon."

Wood said that NASA still has detailed recordings of all the EASEP and ALSEP packages from activation until their respective nuclear power supplies were exhausted about 30 years later.

Running down leads

They have not found the tapes, Wood told SPACE.com. "But, we are running down every lead."

The inquiry into the whereabouts of the SSTV tapes has not proven easy.

Budget cuts at NASA in the post-Apollo years meant that many day-to-day records were discarded. Jobs and entire divisions that dealt with data records were eliminated.

Since there was no official requirement to archive data like this, Wood added, the SSTV tape could have gone the same way that many old television programs did: TV stations degaussed the tapes and reused them.

"But, no conclusions yet," Wood said. He noted that he spends most of his time calling one person after another to get tips about other people to contact. However, many of the individuals involved from long ago have passed away, making the task more difficult.

"It is taking contacts with retirees and others who were involved at the time to figure out what happened," Wood concluded. "So the search continues."

For added details concerning the hunt for the Apollo 11 Slow-Scan Television Tapes, focus in on these web sites:

<http://tinyurl.com/eq38g>

<http://tinyurl.com/kbrct>

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 6](#)

Why Do We Exist?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 08:07:14 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 08:07:14 -0500
Subject: Why Do We Exist?

Source: The Oregonian - Portland, Oregon, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/tcmvz>

Sunday, November 05, 2006

Why Do We Exist? Don't Look To The Stubborn String Theorists
by
James N. Gardner

If you recall nothing else from Stephen Hawking's 1988 blockbuster "A Brief History of Time," you are likely to remember the inspiring final passage: "If we do discover a complete theory, it should in time be understandable in broad principle by everyone, not just a few scientists. Then we shall all, philosophers, scientists, and just ordinary people, be able to take part in the discussion of why it is that we and the universe exist. If we find the answer to that, it would be the ultimate triumph of human reason - for then we would truly know the mind of God."

This is perhaps the most eloquent statement ever voiced of the fundamental credo of physics: that there exists an elegant final theory which, properly understood, would explain every aspect of physical reality. That final theory, physicists like to dream, would be not only elegant but unique - no possible variation in its formulae would be conceivable.

This mythical beast - the perfect and beautiful ultimate theory - has proven as elusive as a unicorn. For the last several decades the quest to uncover a final theory has been dominated by string theorists, who are attempting to reconcile relativity (which provides a superb description of nature at macroscopic scales) with quantum physics (which offers an uncannily accurate explanation of the behavior of matter at the smallest scales).

The essential claim of string theory is that what we perceive as electrons, photons and other sub-atomic particles are actually tiny one-dimensional snippets of energy vibrating furiously in 11 dimensions. The theory seemed, at first, to offer a promising path forward toward the goal of seamlessly melding relativity and quantum mechanics because it overcame mathematical problems that had dogged earlier efforts at reconciliation. Sadly, reality has turned out to be at odds with the dreams of string theorists.

For starters, there seems to be no possible way to test string theory experimentally. As Columbia University mathematician Peter Woit puts it in "Not Even Wrong: The Failure of String Theory and the Search for Unity in Physical Law": "From the beginning, there was both no experimental evidence for superstrings and various obvious problems in the way of ever being able to use them to make experimental predictions."

More ominously, out of the deep mathematical recesses of the theory has emerged something called "the landscape," which is

essentially a collection of alternate theoretical universes, most of which bear no resemblance to the one we inhabit. Far from predicting uniquely the seemingly arbitrary parameters and constants of physics we observe in our cosmos, the landscape of string theory offers - like Alice's restaurant - just about any cosmos you could possibly want. This should alert us, Woit warns, that string theory is not really science but a field of pure speculation resembling metaphysics and theology.

Cosmologist Lee Smolin covers much of the same ground in "The Trouble With Physics: The Rise of String Theory, the Fall of a Science, and What Comes Next." Smolin's book, though, offers an essential supplement to Woit's searing critique by revealing something nonscientists may find surprising: the crucial importance of variation in the styles of scientific thinking. For instance, the contemplative, almost philosophical scientific style of the great scientific visionaries of the 20th century (Einstein, the inventors of quantum theory and their illustrious disciples) differs dramatically from that favored by the particle theory community, which is brashly competitive, disdainful of deep theorizing and intensely reliant on experimental results. String theory research, Smolin notes, grew out of particle physics research - a branch of the scientific culture that has been historically hostile to exploration of the very foundational issues string theory now haplessly confronts.

Another factor that disturbs Smolin is the sheer arrogance of string theorists, even in the face of their abject failure to generate falsifiable experimental predictions or to come up with a unique theoretical solution.

Both "Not Even Wrong" and "The Trouble With Physics" reveal that a disturbing pathology has infested a critically important field of scientific research. That pathology consists of an irrational unwillingness on the part of a dominant faction of physicists to even consider alternative pathways to the mountaintop of which Hawking dreamed - a true and beautiful final theory.

James N. Gardner is an Oregon attorney and the author of "Biocosm" and "The Intelligent Universe: AI, ET, and the Emerging Mind of the Cosmos" (coming in 2007).

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 6](#)

Re: La Paz Thought Green Fireballs Were Warfare

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 Nov 2006 23:23:13 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 08:14:14 -0500
Subject: Re: La Paz Thought Green Fireballs Were Warfare

>From: Roderick Dyke <RodBD.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 15:59:01 EST
>Subject: La Paz Thought Green Fireballs Were Warfare Experiment?

>We found the below noted news article of interest in respect to
>the 'Mysterious Green Fireballs' and Dr. Lincoln La Paz.

>Roderick Dyke
>Archives for UFO Research [AUFOR]

>The Reno Gazette - Nevada, USA

>Tuesday, September 13, 1955

>Page 24

>Use Of Ice Missiles In Test War Hinted

>ALBUQUERQUE, Sept. 13. (AP) - A well known meteoriticist
>declared today that a "shrewd opponent" could wage an ice cube
>test war against this country with intercontinental projectiles
>made of ice.

>The object of the ice missile would be to determine
>effectiveness of range for a missile of the more deadly variety.

>Dr. Lincoln La Paz, director of the University of New Mexico's
>Institute of Meteoritics, the only one of its kind in the
>western world, said: "In range-testing intercontinental
>ballistic missiles in peace time," a shrewd opponent for obvious
>reasons would seek to employ test objects leaving no tangible
>trace of their existence or use.

>"It is for this reason that since 1948 representatives of the
>institute of meteoritics have habitually asked observers whether
>or not piece of ice or drops or water were detected falling from
>the sky at the time of the observed incident." Some observers
>have reported drops of water.

<snip>

>La Paz has said repeatedly he believed the mysterious yellow-
>green fireballs - spotted especially in the southwest during
>the past decade - were of earthly origin. The fireballs make no
>sound as they zoom through the sky and no portion of the
>fireball ever has been recovered, if they landed.

It is true that La Paz suggested that the green fireballs were
missiles launched by the S.U. nearly over the pole so they could
find the range of our sensitive areas in the southwest. He
advanced this theory to the FBI several times.

See: THE UFO-FBI CONNECTION - pages 78-79

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

Secrecy News -- 11/06/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Mon, 6 Nov 2006 11:17:44 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 18:56:24 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 11/06/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 116
November 6, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** COVERT ACTION POLICY MAY NEED UPDATING, SAYS CRS
** ARMY PRESENTS STANDARD CLASSIFICATION METHODOLOGY

COVERT ACTION POLICY MAY NEED UPDATING, SAYS CRS

U.S. intelligence policy on covert action, including presidential authorization and congressional notification requirements, is "less than clear," according to a new report from the Congressional Research Service, and may need to be updated to encompass activities performed by the Department of Defense.

Covert action generally refers to CIA operations undertaken abroad against foreign targets in which U.S. sponsorship is concealed. But increasingly, some DoD special operations seem to fit the criteria for covert action.

"Senior U.S. intelligence community officials have conceded that the line separating CIA and DOD intelligence activities has blurred, making it more difficult to distinguish between the traditional secret intelligence missions carried out by each," according to the new CRS report.

The Department of Defense contends that there is a difference between its "clandestine operations," which do not entail any unique oversight requirements, and CIA "covert actions," which cannot be conducted without a written presidential finding and congressional notice, mandated by a 1991 statute.

As explained by CRS, "a clandestine operation is an operation sponsored or conducted by governmental departments or agencies in such a way as to assure secrecy or concealment. Such an operation differs from a covert action in that emphasis is placed on concealment of the operation rather than on the concealment of the identity of the sponsor."

In certain DoD special operations, however, "an activity may be both covert and clandestine."

The CRS report presents a menu of policy questions for lawmakers to consider in evaluating whether to modify U.S. policy on covert action.

A copy of the report was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Covert Action: Legislative Background and Possible Policy Questions," November 2, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/intel/RL33715.pdf>

ARMY PRESENTS STANDARD CLASSIFICATION METHODOLOGY

U.S. Army intelligence (G2) has developed a new methodology for applying national security classification controls and for training personnel in the proper use of classification restrictions.

Failure to classify correctly has consequences, a tutorial on the new approach points out.

"Over-classification is costly, inefficient and can cause slow downs to development/operation. Under-classification can cause compromise, inadvertent disclosures and confusion."

But getting it right is easier said than done, because it involves the conscious exercise of informed judgment.

"The descriptors used in addressing damage at the confidential (damage), secret (serious damage) or top secret (exceptionally grave damage) levels are subjective."

The new Army methodology "provides a standardized method of making an objective decision about a subjective issue," wrote Lt. Gen. John F. Kimmons, U.S. Army Deputy Chief of Staff for Intelligence, in a cover memorandum.

See "Standardized Methodology for Making Classification Decisions," Office of the Army Deputy Chief of Staff, G-2, October 25, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/othergov/dod/methodology.pdf>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

The MP's Crash Site

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Mon, 6 Nov 2006 09:19:46 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 18:58:10 -0500
Subject: The MP's Crash Site

The MP's Crash Site: Roswell Revisited
By Ed Gehrman

The rain had held off and the roads were only damp with a few bog areas in the low spots. I was worried that the storms I'd been driving through for the last two days would continue and my explorations would have to wait, but I seemed to be in luck. I tried to rent a four-wheel drive jeep the day before; it was Balloon Festival time in Albuquerque and 4x4s were in short supply. So I could either cancel my plans or take a chance with my Toyota Corolla. It's a great car, even on ice and snow, but muddy clay roads are often slicker than ice.

My excitement and anticipation overcame my anxiety, so early Wednesday morning, October 25th, I began to retrace the MP's route to the Roswell craft crash site. I wasn't looking for the Foster ranch site, which has already, supposedly, been located.

I was searching for the site that had been described by the MP in his written testimony and in our private email exchanges.

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2000/apr/m01-002.shtml>

He reported a crashed craft that resembled a manta-ray; it was missing its port side ; he also described injured beings who resembled the six-fingered creature in the AA.

He said his trip to the site took 2 1/2 to 3 hours and most importantly, he never saw or passed through a town of any kind. The main part of this journey was on a good road; then his convoy turned to the left and followed a rough dirt road for over an hour.

I have excellent maps of the area and also have been able to view it using aerial photos supplied by a web site known as Treasurer. I also possess a general understanding of this part of New Mexico since I visit Albuquerque two or three times a year. I lived there in the sixties, and my brother still does.

Several months ago I decided to look for the MP's site. First I used the Wilmots' and Woodys' testimony to calculate where I thought the craft exploded in midair. This trajectory leads directly to the general area of the Foster ranch and the other purported Roswell crash sites.

So I decided to speculate that the craft exploded directly over the Foster ranch and the debris deposited there was its port side.

Where did the craft crash? It had to be some distance east of the Foster ranch, otherwise Mac would have noticed the retrieval . But it couldn't travel too far without its port side.

I arbitrarily set a five mile search radius east of the Foster ranch site and began to study aerial photos for possible disruption. It wasn't long before I found a likely candidate that seemed to meet all the relevant criteria. That's why I was traveling along high desert roads in chancy weather.

I knew exactly where I wanted to go, but didn't know if it was possible to get there. My interpretation of the MP's information led me to believe that he traveled north from Roswell on #285 and then on #247 and turned left at a ranch road just before Lon, New Mexico.

That morning I was traveling from the opposite direction, but I was able to find the road before Lon I suspected the MP had turned off on from Hwy 247. This mesa a maze of dirt roads, some going to ranches and some to nowhere at all. Even with excellent maps and photos, I had a hard time sticking with the route I wanted to take. But something pretty amazing happened that I wasn't prepared for.

Two years ago I was contacted by Paul Hardin who is a local Socorro historian and also works at the Large Array. We arranged to visit the Socorro crash site where allegedly the Alien Autopsy footage was taken. He gave me a brief tour of his lab and the work he was doing. During our long discussion he mentioned that he was a consultant for and worked with the UNM dig and documentary with Don Schmitt & Tom Cary. He said that a witnesses had come forward and had indicated that the crash site could be identified by twin (two) windmills. Schmitt and Cary had rented a helicopter but weren't successful in their search. That story had always been stored in my memory bank, but wasn't on my mind until I came over a rise and there, at an important junction, were twin windmills.

I couldn't believe it. The road I wanted to take was gated and locked, but there was an alternative, so after a few more circuitous miles I arrived at my destination.

By using my maps and my mileage indicator, I was able to locate the exact spot that had shown the disruption on the aerial photos, directly behind the twin windmills, out of sight.

It's a small desert wetland and sits in a depression, several hundred acres in size. This is public land (BLM) and access is open to all. It's not hard to get to, once you know the way. There is no need to travel across private property since the site is accessible via county or other public access roads.

I thought I'd be able to identify the site by the blue glass-like material (cristobalite) mentioned by LaPaz and others and also found at the Socorro site. My cursory examination was disappointing; no blue material. But I did find something else that I had never seen before on the desert: A mud-like substance covering the entire area. It looked similar to weathered sandstone but had different qualities. Just like the cristobalite at the Socorro crash site, the material seemed to be splashed across the surface of the desert, so many rocks and outcroppings were covered. Also, different types of rocks were covered with the same material and in the same manner.

I spent an hour or so examining the area, then headed home. On the way out, I passed through the Foster ranch and stopped for a few minutes at the ranch house where Mac and Jesse spent the night. It's still in good repair and is probably very much the way it was back then. The Procter ranch was just down the road, and it still seems to be a working ranch.

When I returned to my brother's home in Albuquerque, I immediately contacted Wendy Connors and she agreed to accompany me to the site that next Friday. By then I was able to rent a 4X4 and we had a wonderful time. I'll let her tell her own story about our adventure.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

Re: Why Do We Exist? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Mon, 6 Nov 2006 16:18:43 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 19:00:27 -0500
Subject: Re: Why Do We Exist? - Nielsen

>Source: The Oregonian - Portland, Oregon, USA

><http://tinyurl.com/tcmvz>

>Sunday, November 05, 2006

>Why Do We Exist? Don't Look To The Stubborn String Theorists
>by James N. Gardner

>If you recall nothing else from Stephen Hawking's 1988
>blockbuster "A Brief History of Time," you are likely to
>remember the inspiring final passage: "If we do discover a
>complete theory, it should in time be understandable in broad
>principle by everyone, not just a few scientists. Then we shall
>all, philosophers, scientists, and just ordinary people, be able
>to take part in the discussion of why it is that we and the
>universe exist. If we find the answer to that, it would be the
>ultimate triumph of human reason - for then we would truly know
>the mind of God."

>This is perhaps the most eloquent statement ever voiced of the
>fundamental credo of physics: that there exists an elegant final
>theory which, properly understood, would explain every aspect of
>physical reality. That final theory, physicists like to dream,
>would be not only elegant but unique - no possible variation in
>its formulae would be conceivable.

<snip>

>Both "Not Even Wrong" and "The Trouble With Physics" reveal that
>a disturbing pathology has infested a critically important field
>of scientific research. That pathology consists of an irrational
>unwillingness on the part of a dominant faction of physicists to
>even consider alternative pathways to the mountaintop of which
>Hawking dreamed - a true and beautiful final theory.

<snip>

An excellent article! After all our searching, we may find that
it all distills down to a simple phrase, hardly original with
me, like: there is opposition in all things, and at every level.

But even that perceived opposition might only be in the eyes of
the beholder. With that in mind, that simple phrase might end up
being: there is an opposition in things now knowable.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

Chilean Petroglyph Associated With UFOs?

From: **Scott Corrales** <lornis1.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Nov 2006 06:28:02 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 19:03:24 -0500
Subject: Chilean Petroglyph Associated With UFOs?

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
November 7, 2006

Source: El Mercurio de Antofagasta and Fundacion Cosmos, A.C.
Date: 11/7/06

Chile: Antofagasta - Petroglyph Associated With UFOs?

Excitement over startling image of being with oval-shaped head and long hands.

Impressive prehistoric evidence of the UFO presence in the region; it is possible to find various manifestations of it stone-age art at Talabre and Maria Elena

A strange petroglyph from the Talabre ravine, dating back to Atacaman prehistory, has stirred curiosity due to the presence of a strange being with an oval head, large eyes and long hands, which many have associated with a divinity or alien.

The petroglyphs are carved on surfaces oxidized by the passage of time and are associated with settlements near cemeteries or "gentilares", aside from places of worship in specific geographic sectors found in the vicinity of prehistory trails, a typical trait of inter-regional traffic.

Sotero Armeya, president of the village of Talabre, stated that this mysterious petroglyph has caught the attention of tourists and locals alike. He explained that this item is original "although we have no idea what its belongs to. The only problem is that its structure is being affected by problems related to the climate and geography."

Dagoberto Pena, professor of Eco-Tourism at Andres Bello University and a mountaineer who has climbed Mt. Everest, said that the image was discovered two years ago, photographed as evidence, and that it is accompanied by camelid designs. In his opinion it shows no signs of being an alteration or a prank, furthermore considering that it is located in a hard-to-reach area and that his students, who well-versed in the subject, are clearly aware of the patrimonial value of these prehistoric expressions.

On this subject, Claudio Castellon Gatica, the special representative of the Consejo de Monumentos Nacionales, said that the Taira designs are linked to Atacaman shepherds with a knowledge of astronomy, and who in turn are related to myths of the ancient Andean world. His chronology shows that these artistic expressions took place as of 2300 B.C. until the arrival of the Incas, when Taira shows a stylistic diversity associated with a long existence throughout time.

However, he acknowledges that the [design] is "an anthropomorphic figure superimposed over the Taira-styled models," thus believing that it could be an imitation, due to the fact that the "anthropomorphic design clearly shows a different style and technique from the ones behind it...the humanoid design is adapted to the space in the camelid's belly and the lines with which it was drawn are much thicker than

those of the other designs. Finally, the drawing's edges show a much lesser antiquity than those of the camelids."

<snip>

This site is located in the Upper Loa River Valley, in a sector known as Santa Barbara, which contains a series of petroglyphs that show an abundance of camelid shapes.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

Re: The British UFO Mystery - Pope

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Nov 2006 09:16:12 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 19:05:20 -0500
Subject: Re: The British UFO Mystery - Pope

>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 09:47:36 -0000
>Subject: The British UFO Mystery

>UK's Channel Five are showing a one hour documentary tonight,
>examining a wave of UFO sightings that occurred on 30 and
>31 March 1993, over a period of several hours. A cluster of
>the sightings may have been attributable to the burning up of
>a Russian rocket, but many of the others were harder to
>explain. Many of the witnesses were police and military
>personnel and the UFO was described by some as a vast
>triangular shaped craft, capable of accelerating in seconds
>from a virtual hover to speeds of around Mach 2.

The show drew around one million viewers. This was a strong showing for Channel Five and both they and the production company who made the documentary were very pleased. The show was selected as critics choice/pick of the day in a number of TV guides and newspapers.

As lead contributor I received a lot of positive feedback, some from ufologists and some from people with no prior interest in the subject. Ufologists in particular were glad to see the subject back on terrestrial TV, at primetime, after the recent 'ufology is dead' debate. They were also complimentary about centring an investigative documentary around an MOD casefile obtained under the Freedom of Information Act, as opposed to the more usual approach of featuring a string of witnesses, believers and sceptics.

The most interesting feedback was from new witnesses. Since the show was broadcast last week I have received 24 accounts from people reporting sightings of the same vast, triangular-shaped craft featured in the documentary. Many of these new witnesses reported seeing a craft at low altitude and 3 witnesses are certain they saw this craft on the same date as the sightings described in the show.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

Hoaxes Keep Sucking Us In

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 19:15:02 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 19:15:02 -0500
Subject: Hoaxes Keep Sucking Us In

Source: The Journal Gazette - Fort Wayne, Indiana, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/ybzwxz>

Mon, Nov. 06, 2006

Hoaxes Keep Sucking Us In
Line between fact, fantasy is sometimes fuzzy

By Rachel Leibrock
Sacramento Bee

There=92s a sucker born every minute =96 or so the adage goes.

But sometimes being a sucker is fun, or, at the very least, offers a revealing glimpse into who we as a society are today.

After all, in an era of YouTube, runaway bloggers and 24/7 celebrity gossip =96 we can=92t even determine whether Jennifer Aniston and Vince Vaughn=92s relationship is real or simply a marketing campaign, and really, why do we care?

The question must be posed: Are we gullible or just looking for ways to be amused?

Both, experts say.

"We=92re easily fooled, but we just move on to the next thing", says Tracy Langlands, who teaches marketing at the University of Phoenix in Sacramento.

"Our attention span is small because there=92s such a mass of information available."

Alex Boese, author of "Hippo Eats Dwarf: A Field Guide to Hoaxes", agrees.

"(Mass media) makes this a ripe time for getting fooled", Boese says, on the phone recently from San Diego.

The good news?

Mass media "also makes it easier for us to debunk (hoaxes)", he says.

So, here are six of our picks for top pop-culture hoaxes, from alien invasions to lonely girls to =96 gasp! =96 Paul is dead!

"War of the Worlds"

- The story: On Oct. 30, 1938, CBS Radio broadcast a radio play based on the H.G. Wells novel about an alien invasion. As played out by Orson Welles and his staff, the 55-minute dramatization had Martians attacking New Jersey and New York before moving on to the rest of the United States.

- Sticking to it? At the beginning of the broadcast, Welles told listeners his adaptation was set in the future. And at the close, Welles reminded his audiences the broadcast was fictional. Such disclaimers were often ignored. Reports of outright panic and mayhem have been largely debunked since then, although newspaper reports from the time confirm that scores of confused, alarmed listeners called in to CBS Radio and the police.

- File under: "Reality, blurred".

- Won't get fooled again? More than 65 years later, the boundaries between mass media, entertainment and "reality" are consistently blurred.

- See also: TV's "Newlyweds: Nick & Jessica", "The Simple Life" and "Joe Schmo."

Paul is still not dead

- The story: A widespread urban legend in the late 1960s claimed that Paul McCartney died in 1966 after crashing his car, forcing the Beatles to replace the singer-songwriter with a look-alike. The band's landmark record "Sgt. Pepper's Lonely Hearts Club Band" was considered by many to be a road map of clues about McCartney's "death", as was the "Abbey Road" cover.

- Sticking to it? There are still scores of Web sites claiming to show proof of McCartney's demise.

- File under: "Conspiracy, theories of..." It's a field day for fans and wannabe detectives who continue to comb through Beatles songs, album art and interviews in search of "the truth."

- Won't get fooled again? McCartney is alive, as is our appetite for celebrity death rumors.

'Alien Autopsy'

- The story: In 1995, Fox broadcast "Alien Autopsy: Fact or Fiction." The film, promoted by London-based video entrepreneur Ray Santilli, purported to depict footage of a 1947 alien autopsy performed after a UFO crashed in Roswell, N.M.

- Sticking to it? Fox initially promoted the film with an air of ambiguity, heightening intrigue among UFO buffs and conspiracy theorists. Later, however, the network did air a second program that declared the video a sham. Then, in April, in a British documentary called "Eamonn Investigates: Alien Autopsy", Santilli admitted that "most" of the footage was indeed fictitious.

- File under: "Footage, faked or manipulated." Since the invention of the camera, we've been subjected to various types of such footage. Fans of Bigfoot and "Nessie", the Loch Ness monster, have built an entire mythology using such technology.

- Won't get fooled again? Alien enthusiasts still want to believe. There are numerous Web forums with discussions examining how Santilli's documentary could actually be the real thing.

"The Blair Witch Project"

The story: This 1999 horror flick initially was presented as a documentary. The low-budget film claimed to chronicle the story of three filmmakers who, in 1994, became lost in a forest while shooting a documentary about the subject of a local legend, the Blair Witch.

- Sticking to it? The line between truth and fiction became blurred as the film's promotional Web site and a corresponding Sci-Fi Channel "documentary", "Curse of the Blair Witch" covered the story as if it were real, ushering in a wave of what would become known as viral marketing. "Blair Witch revealed the potential of the Internet", Boese says.

- File under: "Entertainment, harmless." "The Blair Witch Project" grossed more than \$160 million in the United States.

- Won't get fooled again? "As long as people are entertained,

they don't care if they're being fooled or having a joke played on them", Boese says. "Such (hoaxes) are effective if they're funny or scary or creepy, and they get people to interact in new ways."

J. T. LeRoy isn't really there

- The story: A young transsexual author of books such as 2001's "The Heart Is Deceitful Above All Things" earns critical acclaim and celebrity fans, including Courtney Love, Winona Ryder and Marilyn Manson. LeRoy's works also were published in Francis Ford Coppola's American Zoetrope magazine and Oxford American magazine. In January, The New York Times revealed that there was no J. T. LeRoy and that the book was written by Laura Albert. Albert's half-sister, Savannah Knoop, was in on the deceit as well, dressing up as LeRoy for appearances.

- Sticking to it? Doubts about LeRoy's identity surfaced early and persisted throughout the writer's "career." In 2005, the LeRoy persona was questioned by New York magazine, Women's Wear Daily and The Washington Post.

- File under: "Truth, stranger than fiction."

- Won't get fooled again? LeRoy wasn't the first author to be invented. Still, Boese calls the LeRoy ruse "one of the biggest" modern literary hoaxes. "To get someone to physically pose as a nonexistent author takes it to a whole new level", Boese says.

LonelyGirl115 is actress, 19

- The story: "Bree", a 16-year-old home-schooled teen, posts video-diary segments on YouTube and MySpace. Taped in her bedroom, Bree talks about her love life and growing up in a strict religious household.

- Sticking to it? In September, amateur cyber-sleuths and, eventually, the Los Angeles Times figured out Bree was actually portrayed by a 19-year-old New Zealand-bred actress named Jessica Rose. According to Rose, she worked with a filmmaker and screenwriter to create her persona.

[Thanks to Greg Boone for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO Updates Main Index](#)

UFO Updates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

Life In The Stars

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 19:20:32 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 19:20:32 -0500
Subject: Life In The Stars

Source: The Utne Reader - Topeka, Kansas, USA

http://www.utne.com/issues/2006_138/cover_story/12309-1.html

November 7, 2006

November/December 2006 Issue

Life In The Stars

Whether the existence of extraterrestrials is an irrefutable fact or just a compelling theory, the media would do well to start telling the story

=97By Martin Keller

Given that the mainstream scientific community can't even agree if the poor orbiting mass called Pluto is a planet, it may seem a strange time to ask people to consider whether or not extraterrestrial life has visited our troubled planet-especially since the mere mention of unidentified flying objects conjures stereotypes, reinforced in the media, that undermine credibility.

It's hard to imagine, however, that even the most hardened of cynics wouldn't be compelled by information published on the subject over the past 10 years. Sometimes raising as many unsettling questions as it answers, this serious research not only deserves notice, it demands consideration. The problem is that, no matter what mainstream science reporters are covering - from stories on nasa to promises of space tourism-they routinely ignore the subject altogether.

Detractors ought to consider the legacy of the late astronomer and physicist J. Allen Hynek, an investigator on government-sponsored studies of UFOs from the late '40s through the '60s, who went from being a skeptic to something of a UFO advocate before he died in 1986. What made him abandon his academic and political prejudices about a subject that usually draws jeers? It was no doubt information like that contained in an unofficial document from the RAND Corporation, a generally conservative think tank, titled "UFOs: What to Do?"

Written in 1968 and publicly released in 1997, the study tracks sightings from the 1500s to the modern era, including "the large number" of UFOs spotted near atomic and military installations. While the report recounts how certain government agencies recommended handling such sightings (read: ridicule and denial), there's also speculation that there could be as many as 100 million intergalactic civilizations more advanced than our own.

Hynek eventually concluded that there was an embarrassment of evidence for the existence of UFOs. Given that more substantiation has since accrued, one can't help but wonder how-

media neglect notwithstanding-meaningful discussion about the existence of the extraterrestrial has been stifled for so long.

In 1997, retired colonel Philip J. Corso, a member of President Eisenhower's national security team and an Army intelligence officer in Korea, published an explosive book called *The Day After Roswell* (Pocket Books) that offers an intriguing take on the question. The author claims that materials recovered from a crash site in New Mexico in the late '40s were seeded to corporate interests that patented the technologies-including lasers, integrated circuitry, fiber-optic networks, accelerated particle beam devices, and the Kevlar material in bulletproof vests-ostensibly to hide the original source.

Corso also argues that there are two space programs: the one that we read about and the one that is already using off-planet technology recovered and reverse-engineered for advanced military and commercial purposes-including a Star Wars system he claims has already been deployed to fend off extraterrestrials.

Richard M. Dolan, author of *UFOs and the National Security State: An Unclassified History, Volume One 1941-1973* (Keyhole Publishing, 2000), says it's difficult to follow up on claims such as Corso's because, while classified documents created by government agencies can occasionally be ferreted out, proprietary information held by businesses and global corporations is hard to come by. Since the military and the federal government rely on subcontractors to do some of their most sensitive work, using special-access projects (SAPs) and unacknowledged special-access projects (USAPs), secrets are easier to keep. Dolan's next work, scheduled for publication in early 2007, will explore the history of SAPs and USAPs since 1973.

Writing on his website, author and astrophysicist Bernard Haisch points out that a SAP "is for programs considered to be too sensitive for normal classification measures. . . . They are protected by a security system of great complexity. Many of the SAPs are located within industry funded through special contracts." Much of his analysis is based on "In Search of the Pentagon's Billion-Dollar Hidden Budgets," an article by Bill Sweetman in the highly regarded British publication *Jane's International Defence Review*.

"Even members of Congress on appropriations committees (the Senate and House committees that allocate budgets) and intelligence committees are not allowed to know anything about these programs," Haisch writes. "Moreover, Freedom of Information Act requests cannot penetrate unacknowledged special access programs."

In *Chain of Command: The Road from 9/11 to Abu Ghraib* (HarperCollins, 2004), New Yorker contributor Seymour Hersh reports that one SAP, used to recruit operatives, has been linked to military torture in Iraq. The desired effect is the same: to avoid scrutiny and sidestep opposing elements that exist in the CIA and Pentagon.

"The granddaddy of all USAPs is the UFO/ET matter," writes Steven Greer in his book *Extraterrestrial Contact: The Evidence and Implications* (Crossing Point, 1999). Greer-who says USAPs are a top-secret, compartmentalized project that not even the commander in chief has the power to access-founded the Center for the Study of Extraterrestrial Intelligence (CSETI). Since the early '90s, working under the assumption that the USAP model exists, Greer and CSETI associates have met often with high-level officials of the U.S. and other governments, including former CIA director James Woolsey.

In May 2001 CSETI held a press conference at the National Press Club at which it produced an impressive list of witnesses from the government, the military, and the private sector-along with a ream of documents and film footage-establishing, as noted in Greer's book *Disclosure* (Crossing Point, 2001), that "we are indeed being visited by advanced extraterrestrial civilizations and have been for some time." Among the witnesses was John Callahan, who, when he was division chief of the Accidents and Investigations Branch of the Federal Aviation Administration, headed a 1986 investigation of a Japanese 747 that was chased for 30 minutes by a UFO (the incident was captured on radar and recorded). Not surprisingly, major media outlets all but ignored

the press conference and failed to scrutinize the supplementary material.

There are a number of reasons the media avoid these topics, argues Terry Hansen in *The Missing Times: News Media Complicity in the UFO Cover-up* (Xlibris, 2001), including historical precedent, national security, and psychological resistance. (Consider, the author writes, that "for five years, the editors of *Scientific American* refused to acknowledge the aviation achievements of the Wright brothers because the magazine had been told by trusted authorities that manned, heavier-than-air flight was a scientific impossibility.")

In 2006 one would hope for a better, more enlightened investigative media climate than the one that existed at the dawn of aviation. If the claims by Corso and others are true, and other crash retrievals of and technological transfers from extraterrestrial spacecraft since the '40s have continued, imagine what mind-boggling innovations have yet to be revealed- and who stands to profit.

Martin Keller is a freelance writer and publicist who lives in Minneapolis. He worked pro bono as a public relations liaison for the Center for the Study of Extraterrestrial Intelligence from 1992 to 1997.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

Expectations Beyond Earth

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 19:26:54 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 19:26:54 -0500
Subject: Expectations Beyond Earth

Source: The News Journal - Wilmington, Delaware, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/yafpja>

11-07-06

Expectations Beyond Earth

Author challenges beliefs behind our search for alien life

By Victor Greto - The News Journal

NEWARK =97 Ever wonder about that gold-coated copper record sent into space with the Voyager spacecraft nearly 30 years ago by NASA, created for aliens to play eons from now?

Renowned science and alien-life popularizer Carl Sagan had filled the LP with 118 photos of life on Earth, 90 minutes of music, scattered earth-bound sounds and greetings in 54 different languages in the hope that, "billions of years from now," an extraterrestrial disc jockey would listen.

Less than three decades later, during an era of digital music and CDs back here on Earth, would an 18-year-old earthling even know how to play the thing, let alone a green creature with five eyes, three feet and, maybe, no fingers?

And, if the creature lost the needle or stylus that NASA provided to play the record, where would it go to get another one if it broke during shipment? Do they even sell them on Earth anymore?

Think of the assumptions =96 arrogance? =96 behind believing there might be creatures out there so much like us that they'd know how to play a record made during the heyday of leisure suits, white shoes and disco.

University of Delaware professor emeritus George Basalla did think of them, in his latest book, "Civilized Life in the Universe: Scientists on Intelligent Extraterrestrials" (Oxford University Press, \$29.95).

In the book, Basalla traces the human longing to believe in intelligent extraterrestrial life and finds any scientific basis for it wanting.

In fact, what he finds is that all those gorgeous worlds and funky-looking aliens we have envisioned in the past and today are fundamentally figments of our people-centered, quasi-religious, I-wanna-believe biases that began as far back as the ancient world and which flowered during the Middle Ages.

"What we think we see out in the universe is really what we want to see," Basalla said in his reasonable, gentle voice.

Dressed in a cozy dark tweed jacket and green sweater, topped by

close-cut white hair, Basalla doesn't look or sound like much of a spoilsport.

But when he says stuff like, "I remember not liking [Carl Sagan's breakthrough popular science 1980 TV program] 'Cosmos' very much because it was superficial," well, now he's getting tough.

A lot of people liked that TV series, from which Sagan gained an unquestioned popularity. He parlayed that popularity into a rabid advocacy for searching for intelligent extraterrestrial life.

Sagan almost single-handedly stirred up the late-20th-century excitement about the probability that not only was there intelligent life out there, they were probably trying to talk to us via radio waves, and we should spend millions of dollars to check it out.

We did. And in nearly a half-century, we have found nothing.

SETI project and spiritual hunger

Many people of science think it's well worth the effort to try.

"I think SETI [Search for Extra-Terrestrial Intelligence] is far out, but it's the kind of thing we ought to be doing," said Fred Bortz, a physicist and children's science book author. He thinks Basalla's book is good, but Bortz takes a more "middle of the road" approach toward the possibility of extraterrestrial intelligent life, believing that it's worth the effort to hunt and peck for signs.

"I liked Sagan's work, especially when he was willing to go out on a limb and say, 'What if this is so?' " Bortz said.

SETI projects, some of which have been funded by the U.S. government, have surveyed the sky through radio telescopes to detect alien transmissions.

Basalla likes some of Sagan's work, too.

But he also thinks the ideas that have propelled such heavy-hitting modern scientists such as Sagan and Freeman Dyson into thinking there may be intelligent life out there have more to do with the context of their times, especially the influence of religion and science fiction and a kind of spiritual hunger, than with any sort of proof.

Call it an anthropomorphic projection into a rather cold and indifferent universe.

These scientists are part of line that goes back to Percival Lowell's contention that there were networks of canals on Mars.

Those lines, or il canale, were first observed on Mars by the Italian Giovanni Schiaparelli in 1877. Translatable from Italian to English as either channels or canals, il canale was invariably translated as "canals," and taken up by Lowell in the 1890s. He soon argued that these canals were built by superior beings a long time ago.

He was wrong.

What interests some scientists about Basalla's book is its point of view, said William C. Burger, curator emeritus at the Field Museum in Chicago. Burger published a book three years ago called, "Perfect Planet, Clever Species: How Unique Are We?"

"Basalla comes from a different angle, the cultural historical story of our species, and how we began to think about the outside universe, and so instead of coming out of a natural science, he comes from history and culture and philosophy, and that I found nice," he said.

The skewering of Lowell's ideas was impressive, Burger said.

"Canals were thought to be seen on Mars just after the Suez Canal opened, and Lowell was seeing canals being built throughout the Southwest (he worked in Flagstaff, Ariz.) as it was happening then," he said.

Only a few years after Lowell argued his point, H.G. Wells' "War of the Worlds" appeared, with its fantastic notion that Martians, living in a world that had dried up, were desperate for water and thus turned their rheumy eyes toward Earth.

Then came those 11 books by Edgar Rice Burroughs (the author of "Tarzan") about life on Mars =96 which he called Barsoom.

Both Wells and Burroughs were writing blatant science fiction, of course.

That would be only slightly interesting if it wasn't for the fact that Sagan sometimes referred to Mars as Barsoom.

Probabilities rooted in old ideas

There is no scientific proof that life exists anywhere else in the universe, let alone intelligent life that, somehow, resembles us.

But the idea that life exists in another part of the universe is based on the probabilities both theologians and scientists separately have devised.

Those probabilities, Basalla writes, are rooted in three perennial ideas that began in the ancient world, flowered during the Middle Ages and grew hoary with wisdom by the 20th century.

They are: 1) Because the universe may be very large, if not infinite, 2) we probably are not alone, and 3) those potential beings out there are not only different but probably very superior to us.

The first two many scientists still subscribe to.

Even Basalla admits that if the universe is infinite, some sort of intelligent life is bound to have grown.

A doctrine called "the principle of mediocrity" argues that if our solar system is typical of many others, it's probable that life evolved on many other planets.

But why assume it would resemble you and me in any sense? Basalla asks.

Because it's really the only thing we know.

The complexity of circumstance that drove our evolution argues for our uniqueness, Burger said.

"There are 300,000 million stars in our galaxy, so let's say there's a low probability where you might find life," he said. "But whether that [form of life] can build telescopes, that's unlikely. Even the likelihood of having them near enough to us to pick up signals, it's relatively small. We are very much alone in that sense."

The third idea =96 the assumption that extraterrestrial life is superior to us =96 is much more problematic, and more religion-centered.

The first great scientists, from Galileo to Kepler to Christian Huygens, were religious men who often assumed that superior alien beings existed on worlds as close to us as the moon.

The theology that there must be others out there was attributed to the infinite nature of God and the assumption that in such a universe, all things that possibly could exist, do exist.

Basalla writes it's no coincidence that the man first responsible for trying to detect alien signals via a radio telescope, Frank Drake, had been a fundamentalist Christian.

"A strong influence on me, and I think on a lot of SETI people, was the extensive exposure to fundamentalist religion," said Drake, who devised a complex formula to show the likelihood of intelligent life elsewhere.

Pessimism or realistic outlook?

But nor is this simply about religion, Basalla writes.

Even Sagan, whose passionate atheism grated against many religious ears, longed for spiritual guidance from the stars.

During the Cold War in which he grew up, Sagan looked for a superior, peaceful civilization that could remedy the world's ideological fissures.

"You could look at this book and say he's the 'skunk in the garden party,' " said Bortz, "but that wasn't what he was trying to do. He was trying to provoke thought." Bortz has problems with Basalla's contention that even mathematics, considered to be the ultimate universal language, is as human-centered as any other.

"All living organisms respond to patterns at some level," Bortz said. "I think that makes it universal. If there is or was a civilization beaming signals, there will be a pattern that we can recognize that's artificial, and that's mathematical."

Basalla said he did not write the book to discourage anyone from searching for alien life in the universe. The book was an intellectual adventure, he said, "something that had been brewing in my mind for a long time."

Although the reaction has been mostly positive, there are many who think he's just, well, a spoilsport.

"People have called me or written me to say, 'Well, it's still worth trying for,'" Basalla said of those who argue to continue the search.

As one critic wrote in an Amazon.com review: "While perhaps a necessary corrective to Sagan's over-enthusiasm, this book swings the pendulum to the other side with its insistence that even if 'they' were 'out there,' we would never be able to communicate with them. How can we know for sure without a thorough search?"

Basalla has an answer, and then a parable. "But how do you know what to try for?" he asked.

"It's like the drunk who lost his wallet on a dark street, but only looks under the lamppost. Why does he look there? 'It's the only place where I can see anything,' he answers."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

Full Of Hot Air? Not Anymore

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 19:31:42 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 19:31:42 -0500
Subject: Full Of Hot Air? Not Anymore

Source: The East Valley Tribune - Mesa, Arizona, USA

<http://www.eastvalleytribune.com/index.php?sty=3D78413>

November 6, 2006

Tribune Editorials

Full Of Hot Air? Not Anymore
Tribune Editorial

The era of the weather balloon, both a decadesold useful scientific tool and a convenient out for government officials to explain an unidentified flying object, may be coming to an end.

The Associated Press reported last week about how a system of sophisticated sensors attached to passenger airliners may send the balloon the way of the rotary dial telephone, to oblivion.

Oh, yes: And beyond!

In addition to their applications in helping meteorologists track weather patterns, these balloons also served as one of government's =97 and Hollywood's =97 great scapegoats. No 1950s science fiction movie about invaders from other planets was complete without the obligatory "remain calm, there is no cause for alarm" scene. Some Air Force colonel or general would assure a gathering of anxious reporters and nervous local residents that what they saw zipping across the sky was "probably just a weather balloon."

Everyone was then told to go home and resume normal lives. Not long after that, of course, the cinematic space aliens began full-scale attacks.

In real life, weather balloons were used as an explanation for what some believed was the crash of a UFO outside Roswell, N.M., in July 1947, according to the Roswell-based International UFO Museum & Research Center's Web site.

Since the Roswell incident =97 the recognized dawn of the UFO era =97 weather balloons have been a quite plausible explanation for folks who believed they saw Something from Out There.

The Valley is not exempt from UFOs with official rationales. It seems that every time local television stations enter a ratings period, at least one of them re-tells the story of the March 1997 "lights over Phoenix", with people quoted saying they believed that the glowing objects they saw flying overhead weren't from here. The government's earthly explanations of the time involved military aircraft on a training mission.

As vast as is the universe's array of billions of stars with planets revolving around them, intelligent life from a habitable world is indeed a likely mathematical possibility. But that

doesn't mean everything that isn't explainable is therefore a candidate for a close encounter of whatever kind.

It could mean that, if the weather balloon is to be retired, the next time something strange crosses the sky, the creative folks in our government just might be called upon to come up with another explanation.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

1959 UFO Contactee Book

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 19:37:15 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 19:37:15 -0500
Subject: 1959 UFO Contactee Book

Source: BoingBoing.Net - Sherman Oaks, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/y5gr8n>

Monday, November 6, 2006

1959 UFO Contactee Book

In the 1950s, two sisters named Helen and Betty Mitchell claimed to have met humanoid creatures from outer space in a St. Louis, Missouri coffee shop. We Met the Space People, written in 1959, is their account of the visitation. Judging by the book cover, the aliens looked a lot like Vulcans. Manybooks.net has released a free edition of this booklet in a variety of formats.

<http://tinyurl.com/waj3g>

The space people that have negative qualities about them are coming from farther space systems, although I do not wish to imply that all space craft from farther systems is evil. Many of the craft from farther systems are very good and are also trying to help Earth; however, it is only those certain evil systems that we should consider when I say those from a farther system than our own. It is these negative beings who are here for the purpose of actually taking people from Earth to indoctrinate them with their ideas, so they in turn will cause confusion and disturbances upon the planet.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

TV News Report On UFO Sightings

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 19:49:11 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 19:49:11 -0500
Subject: TV News Report On UFO Sightings

Source: CBS-TV 5 - Syracuse, New York, USA

<http://www.wtvh.com/Story.aspx?type=ln&NStoryID=3133>

Nov 06, 2006 -

UFO Sightings

[Video Report - Link at site]

From distant lights in the sky, to blinding light pouring through a woman's kitchen window, a growing number of Central New Yorkers are reporting what they believe to be unidentified flying objects.

The sightings date back to at least 1965, when dozens of witnesses observed a giant, glowing object over Carrier Circle during the great northeast blackout.

"I came alongside the Carrier Building, and the building was brightly lit-up - bright enough to read a newspaper," said Beatrice George, 82, Cicero. "It may have looked like a fireball, but I didn't see it as a fireball. I saw it as a structured object."

Steve Flamisch talks to George, and other witnesses, in this CBS 5 News Special Report.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 13:42:40 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 07:59:10 -0500
Subject: Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell

Larry Landsman of the Sci-Fi Channel has indicated that he is very interested in the comments that have been offered on UFO UpDates for their new program, and added that the upcoming Roswell episode (Wednesday evening) will feature a few SCI FI exclusives!

Larry said, "We snared the first television interview with Mack Brazel's granddaughter and she reveals some pretty startling things for the first time on national television. We also feature a witness who finally comes forward after 60 years - he was afraid of losing his pension - a witness to the bodies. We have Don Schmitt and Tom Carey of course, as well as Stanton Friedman."

Those who hate Roswell will have more to hate, and those who enjoy the quest will have more to chew on.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

Re: UFO Anomaly In NASA 11-04-06 'APOD' Photo? -

From: Nick Balaskas <nikolaos.nul>
Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 23:03:54 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 08:01:27 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Anomaly In NASA 11-04-06 'APOD' Photo? -

>From: Bob Soetebier <rks1.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 21:46:42 -0600
>Subject: UFO Anomaly In NASA 11-04-06 'APOD' Photo?

>There appears to be an interesting, but faint, anomaly - 3
>possible 'UFOs' in a slightly canted-to-right isosceles triangle
>formation - in the upper right-hand Corner Of Nasa'S Nov. 4,
>2006, Astronomy Picture of the Day. It can be seen via the
>official URL:

><http://antwrp.gsfc.nasa.gov/apod/ap061104.html>

>There is a marginally better (official) image at:

><http://tinyurl.com/y26ced>

<snip>

Hi Bob!

I could see at least five more possible 'UFOs' in the dawn sky that appear in both pictures but which are fainter than the three dark UFOs you pointed out.

Hint: magnify either of the two pictures and drag them across the monitor screen. As the uniform sky moves by, you will then easily notice other fainter dark lumps moving by too.

I suspect the photographer captured a flock of birds in flight. The reason these UFOs are not white or illuminated as other UFOs seen in the night skies is because these are birds which were not reflecting the direct bright rays of the still unrisen Sun.

The fainter UFOs are very likely birds that are further away from the camera than the three UFOs/birds Bob noticed.

Nick Balaskas

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

Re: UFO Anomaly In NASA 11-04-06 'APOD' Photo? -

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 7](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Mon, 6 Nov 2006 08:39:43 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 18:50:54 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 5 Nov 2006 14:20:54 -0000
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>Yes, it seems the `experts' have divided into two camps - one
>side using only bone-shapes and claiming interbreeding while the
>other side uses only DNA and claims no interbreeding. And both
>sides avoid the other's area of 'expertise'.

Yes, that's right. But physical anthropology is really as much an art as it is a science. DNA research is hard science. In cases where DNA evidence differs from theories of physical anthropology, I'd go with the DNA every time. The DNA indicates no interbreeding, so it is highly unlikely that it is wrong.

>What is a bit frustrating is that - from memory - they're both
>using fossil evidence from around the 30,000 year mark, give or
>take a few thousand. It might be that they can't find anything
>older (and maybe can't yet reclaim older DNA anyway), but it
>seems to me, whichever side is right, there should be much older
>evidence to back them up.

There was mention on a TV program I saw about extracting ancient DNA that about 50,000 years is the current limit. However new techniques are coming along to push this farther back into the past, and it really depends on how the material has been preserved. Don't forget that some work has been done on dinosaur DNA, which is millions of years old. This has been helped by finds of duckbill bones in the arctic that have been very cold since the animal's death. These bones are not mineralized at all, IOW they are not fossilized. They are the actual bones. Recently there was a report of some soft tissue from a T. rex that was preserved. I'm sure Neanderthal bones vary widely in how they were preserved. Maybe someday we'll find a Neanderthal in permafrost like the Beresovka mammoth. That would answer most of the remaining questions.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

Flight Of Fancy Or A Real UFO?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 19:46:36 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 19:46:36 -0500
Subject: Flight Of Fancy Or A Real UFO?

Source: The Welyn & Hatfield Times - Hertfordshire, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/yxevq8>

08 November 2006

Flight Of Fancy =96 Or A Real UFO?

Editorial - whtimes.nul

A UFO was spotted hovering over Times Territory on two separate occasions at the weekend.

On Friday evening several drivers stopped in the road to stare at a "space craft" hanging in the air near the Galleria in Hatfield.

And on Sunday night a group of teenagers claim they saw lights moving slowly over a housing estate in WGC.

The WHT received several calls from startled witnesses on Monday and all were adamant that what they had seen was definitely NOT a plane, helicopter or firework.

One woman, who wished to remain anonymous, said she had been driving past The Galleria at around 5pm when she noticed lights in the air at about the "height of an electricity pylon".

She said: "The only way I can describe it is like a space craft. It lit up the sky with blue lights.

"Initially I thought 'oh my God there's going to be a plane crash!'. Its proximity to the ground meant it would have crashed if it had been a proper aeroplane."

The WGC resident said that, in a scene reminiscent of the Spielberg classic Close Encounters of the Third Kind, she and several other drivers stopped to look up into the sky before exchanging amazed glances.

"I thought I was going crazy!" she said. "We were all baffled.

"I didn't believe in UFOs before but when I saw it I thought what the hell else could it be?"

Just two days later Lucy Smith, of Essendon Gardens, was called out into the garden at around 7.45pm by her two excited brothers Craig and Toby, 19 and 13.

The 15-year-old told the WHT she looked into the sky and saw three bright red lights low in the sky. "They were moving slowly over the houses," she said.

"It wasn't a plane or helicopter because we couldn't hear an engine and it definitely wasn't fireworks because they stayed

for too long in the sky.

"The dogs were going crazy. It was just like in the films. It was a UFO!"

Previous reports in Times Territory

There have been at least two other UFO sightings in the area in the past 12 months.

On January 2 a family from WGC spotted what they thought to be a low flying plane experiencing difficulties at 10pm.

According to their email report on website ufoinfo.com, the sky was suddenly filled by lots of white light which would shoot around the sky and then return and stay still.

On October 20, 2005, a driver claimed to have spotted a "giant fleet" of "50 brightly illuminated flying objects" heading east from Potters Bar towards Enfield.

At the time he told ufocasebook.com that the objects were flying in a "scattered, fixed formation" and provided a mobile phone picture of them.

The website claimed the photo showed a fleet similar to one which was spotted over New Mexico in the 1990s.

In 2002 a "tangerine coloured disc shaped object" over WGC was reported on the Ministry of Defence website.

Have you seen a UFO in Times Territory? Call the WHT newsdesk on 01707 327551, email whtimes.nul or log on to www.whtimes.co.uk.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

Residents Transfixed By Unexplained Flying Objects

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 19:53:46 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 19:53:46 -0500
Subject: Residents Transfixed By Unexplained Flying Objects

Source: The Spalding Guardian - Lincolnshire, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/ya8emp>

06 November 2006

Residents Transfixed By Unexplained Flying Objects

Unexplained flying objects left Spalding residents searching the heavens for answers as residents in the Pennygate area were transfixed by orange lights.

People reported seeing up to ten orange lights behaving strangely on Thursday at around 8.30pm, hanging in the air and moving in formation at high speed in silence.

Stargazer Susan Sells, from Third Avenue, caught the phenomena on a video camera.

She said: "They were bright orange spheres and were literally going past the back door.

"It was not frightening =96 just unbelievable. I saw two go past the house and then there was a formation in the sky."

Susan managed to video some of the action and you can see an excerpt here.

Martin Anderson, secretary of South Lincolnshire Astronomical and Geophysical Society, said there was no rational explanation.

He said: "There were no satellites, the international space station was not visible and there were no meteor showers that early in the evening. I don't know of anything it could be."

Martin also said despite being a keen amateur scientist he kept an open mind.

He said: "I am a realist and a sceptic but I can't believe we are the only life forms in the universe. We are just a small, insignificant dot and the possibility of alternate life is considerable."

Other residents also saw the lights, which included a larger sphere which split apart and came back together.

Aircraft enthusiast Richard Shortland, from Edward Road, said: "One light came across the sky with no sound at all.

"It was followed by five lights, then four more and they seemed to touch each other in the sky on several occasions. It wasn't frightening, just transfixing."

Wg Cdr Field, from the Ministry of Defence, said there was a lot of activity in the skies, including Tornados, Typhoons and Harriers setting off for flights between 6pm and 7pm, but said

he was not aware of anything untoward.

Wg Cdr Field said: "If an aircraft is facing towards you it appears stationary in the sky."

Aliens over Spalding? Watch the video evidence - click here and you decide.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Frison

From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 10:18:39 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 20:07:47 -0500
Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness - Frison

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 04 Nov 2006 14:29:43 -0400
>Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness

>>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2006 00:27:39 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Perception Stimuli & Awareness

>So far your contribution has been hoaxing Polaroid photos which
>you gave to the RCMP. Don't see you yapping much about that.
>But then maybe you are proud of that.

Correction, Don! That was a 'contribution' made more than thirty years ago. There's been a tad bit more in the last thirty years that, believe it or not, has escaped your omniscience. But then, if Don hasn't heard about it, it simply can't be. Right?

As to my not "yapping much" about my hoax, why would I? It's of no value and isn't even relevant to what we're considering -- unless you're in the business of creating distraction to try and make someone look bad when you can't back up what you're saying with fact. Just where in my posts did you read anything that leads you to believe I'm "proud of that?" This is just more of your innuendo and 'ad hominem.'

You brought it up in an attempt to shoot down some data I presented and it back-fired on you. I explained what happened and agreed with you that it affects the credibility of ufology. I adressed it appropriately and gave it the attention it warranted. What more do you want?

I'm not proud of it but I don't beat myself up over something that I did as a kid more than thirty years ago. I got over it! You should too!

>You refer to me as the Great
>Don Ledger and me being at the center of some closed
>circle of ufology.

I referred to you as 'the great Don Ledger' because the attitude you display toward views that don't fit into your picture of things are condescending.

>What tripe.

If you don't know about it or agree with it then it just simply can't be. In my opinion, that's what is tripe!

>I speak my mind the same as everyone else
>on this List but I don't browbeat them with endless
>rhetoric when they don't agree with my take on a topic.

Yes, we already know that data, research, and experimentation that you are ignorant of and grossly misunderstand is "endless rhetoric" and that you only browbeat with insult and innuendo instead.

>You are obsessive
>about what you believe and my objection to it. Seems to
>me you take my "greatness" more seriously than I do
>or anyone else on this List. I don't claim greatness, I just
>plug away like many on this List, looking for the nuggets.

I never gave a thought to your 'greatness' until you started implying it with your arrogant dismissal as absurd and preposterous everything you don't know about or agree with. The only thing I believe about UFOs is that we don't know what they are and we should proceed with caution. That we need to calibrate our primary measuring tool, the human witness. You object to this? Backing this up with detail and fact is obsessing with it? Perhaps if there was more obsessing with this within ufology it wouldn't be in the state it's in.

Those "nuggets" you're referring to, are they those pieces of 'gold ore' - the hand picked data that is selectively taken out of all the phenomenon presents to us?

>You are also quite adept at making claims that no one else can
>back up such as "those independent of my arrogance" who are
>working on your your adopted theory. I'm sure they are a little
>less fanatical about the theory than yourself.

Well, my "adopted theory" is simply that we can't accept eyewitness testimony on face value. I gave detail (endless rhetoric) to show why I think this is so. If you don't think others within the field are considering eyewitness testimony as having some problems then that's your opinion. I'm engaged in a field study with volunteers regarding this so I guess there is someone working on my "adopted theory." That's all that was being implied!

Now, as to your version of my "adopted theory," this is no more than your creation. You are the only one talking about 'in the head' confabulation based on one half second delay stretched into ten minute video.

>There is also
>your claim of investigating hundreds of cases which no one
>has any proof of. Those of us who do field investigations
>[whom you denigrated in an earlier post] see much smaller
>numbers as an end result. For me it's about 50 cases over
>the last 13-14 years. I might get involved in two or three
>a year now because I'm too busy with other things.

We're back to this again! If it's not Don's experience then it simply can't be. In my first referral to the number of cases I investigated I said "hundreds" - of top of head - to make a point. In my second referral, I said "hundred" since I checked my files and there are 107 cases. Guess I'll have to throw out 57 because Don Ledger says it's only possible to have investigated around 50. Ever think that I might be less busy than you and have more time to devote or that I might have been doing it, in some form, for more than 20 years instead of 13-14 years?

In actual fact, I denigrated nobody. I simply questioned the value of data accumulated by investigators proceeding with a 'true believer' attitude and conducting investigations while ignorant of important principles.

>It's time-consuming when done
>right, often extending over months or even years. Many
>turn out to have a prosaic explanation, but many do not
>- usually a much higher percentage of unknowns than
>the oft toted 5 percent.

I think I've said this! But it doesn't take months or years to find the star or planet behind a sighting if that's what is the cause. Usually it can be done really quickly - unless you're making more out of the case than it really is.

>Frankly your claim of having investigated hundreds of cases is
>highly questionable. Doing a Sgt. Moody and rubber stamping
>each case as solved as stars or planets isn't doing an
>investigation.

107 cases! You can question it all you want.

I think going out into the field with no understanding of the effect our perception processes might have and not even asking if this is relevant is doing more of a Sgt. Moody investigation. Could be it's you who has been doing the Sgt. Moody imitation.

When a UFO shows up three years in a row -- for six weeks every autumn during each of those three years -- and it's visible most nights during each of the six week periods -- I'd say that's a clue it's something mundane. Then when one of the main witnesses says, "When you are watching it, it looks like the handle of the Big Dipper is pointing right at it" and the UFO appears and disappears concurrent with the rising and setting of Arcturus, call me Sgt. Moody but I think it kind of suggests the UFO is a star.

And when multiple witnesses for three years running fail to recognize a star and perceive it as a "basketball size ball of red light on the Sydney side of a bridge" then I think this speaks something about witness perception, or my name isn't Sgt. Moody. Oh, by the way, the witness later confirmed and agreed with my conclusion.

I drove with the staff sergeant to South Street beach (at my suggestion) to get a better view of his UFOs. He pointed right to Sirius, Procyon, Pollux, and Castor -- clearly visible in their winter circle away from the glare of city light. Call me Sgt. Moody but I think it kind of suggests his UFOs were stars.

If they are stars, they are stars! What do you want me to do? "Rubber stamp" them as unknown? Is that what you do?

>I see you are reduced to re-arguing some of our older
>threads.

No! I've just been arguing the same data, fact, and research round and round in the same intertwining circles of avoidance, ignorance, insult, innuendo, ad hominem, false accusations, groundless invalidations, and unsupported claims of 'it can't be' then until now. Your present post is a perfect example of it -- no data, research, or experimentation to show why the information I presented is invalid. Just more distraction with how I'm everything from obsessed to incompetent, inexperienced, and essentially a liar.

This is going to continue as a game of I present some information and you proclaim 'no it isn't' and 'can't be' without you providing justification to support your assertions, while you distract with adverse remarks about my competency and character.

I've made my points and you've made yours so we'll just let it stand for others to judge the merit of what we said.

You can have the last word when you next post.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

Re: The British UFO Mystery - Bourdais

From: Bourdais Gildas <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 16:09:46 +0100
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 20:11:41 -0500
Subject: Re: The British UFO Mystery - Bourdais

>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 7 Nov 2006 09:16:12 -0000
>Subject: Re: The British UFO Mystery

>>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 1 Nov 2006 09:47:36 -0000
>>Subject: The British UFO Mystery

>>UK's Channel Five are showing a one hour documentary tonight,
>>examining a wave of UFO sightings that occurred on 30 and
>>31 March 1993, over a period of several hours. A cluster of
>>the sightings may have been attributable to the burning up of
>>a Russian rocket, but many of the others were harder to
>>explain. Many of the witnesses were police and military
>>personnel and the UFO was described by some as a vast
>>triangular shaped craft, capable of accelerating in seconds
>>from a virtual hover to speeds of around Mach 2.

>The show drew around one million viewers. This was a strong
>showing for Channel Five and both they and the production
>company who made the documentary were very pleased. The show
>was selected as critics choice/pick of the day in a number of
>TV guides and newspapers.

Nick, and all,

I am very glad to hear that things are moving on British TV, and I sure hope this will finally happen in France too, with time - although I am not very optimistic, owing to a couple of recent, skeptical shows. Since you are coming to Paris in a few months, perhaps you could talk about it.

BTW, I have come across a critique of the last book of Timothy Good, *Need to Know*, by David Clarke in *Fortean Times* (November 2006). As usual, Clarke derides the "true believers", and that seems to include you. I quote:

"... Good shares the bizarre theory promoted by Nick Pope that ET can predict random events such as space junk and use them as a cover to slip undetected ...".

He seems to be commenting there on another account, the 1990 UFO incident over the North Sea involving RAF Tornados, which was explained, according to Clarke, by the reentry of a Russian rocket. Could you give your opinion on that case as well?

I have another word to say about that issue of the *Fortean Times*. I have been surprised to find two full pages in colours, of advertising for the book of Eric Julien, *The Science Of Extraterrestrials*, now translated in English. It says that the book is "Number One bestseller in France." I called the publisher, Jean-Michel Grandsire, who happens to be also my publisher (some deride me for that) and I read the ad. to him. We both had a good laugh! It certainly is not.

Gildas Bourdais

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Nov 2006 15:21:00 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 20:13:51 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 6 Nov 2006 08:39:43 -0500
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

<snip>

>I'm sure Neanderthal bones vary widely in
>how they were preserved. Maybe someday we'll find a Neanderthal
>in permafrost like the Beresovka mammoth. That would answer most
>of the remaining questions.

Right Bob,

A quick search on "ancient DNA" gave a start-up Japanese site:

www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/aDNA/index.html

which might be useful in future - and, more interestingly, this
2005 PLOS (Biology) review of the situation by Henry Nicholls:

<http://tinyurl.com/yy76ej>

or

<http://preview.tinyurl.com/yy76ej>

Excerpt:

"Because of the decay that occurs with time, there is a limit to how far back aDNA can gaze (Box 1). "Your ideal preservation conditions are something that falls under ice, freezes instantly, and stays frozen until you get it," says Cooper. "As soon as we get up to 2 million [years ago] we can't get anything to work, and that's even under deep-frozen conditions." But within the past 60,000 years, there are several major evolutionary events that are worth studying - including a glacial maximum around 18,000 years ago, the invasion of the New World by humans about 12,000 years ago, and a global mass extinction about 11,000 years ago. These relatively recent events should be a good model for working out how similar events affected genetic diversity throughout evolutionary history."

As you can see, at least one of those assumptions is changing already (since Feb 2005). His other points seem interesting - and yes, Neanderthal is in the article also, a bit further on.

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

Re: UFO Anomaly In NASA 11-04-06 'APOD' Photo? -

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Nov 2006 11:11:42 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 21:00:11 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Anomaly In NASA 11-04-06 'APOD' Photo? -

>From: Bob Soetebier <rks1.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 21:46:42 -0600
>Subject: UFO Anomaly In NASA 11-04-06 'APOD' Photo?

>There appears to be an interesting, but faint, anomaly - 3
>possible 'UFOs' in a slightly canted-to-right isosceles triangle
>formation - in the upper right-hand Corner Of Nasa'S Nov. 4,
>2006, Astronomy Picture of the Day. It can be seen via the
>official URL:

><http://antwrp.gsfc.nasa.gov/apod/ap061104.html>

>There is a marginally better (official) image at:

><http://tinyurl.com/y26ced>

>If you know of anyone who might find this of interest, please
>copy and paste the above info (minus my e-mail address, please!)
>and pass it along to them.

Actually, there are four dots, not three in the image:

<http://img506.imageshack.us/img506/3949/dotsqsl.jpg>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

Re: La Paz Thought Green Fireballs Were Warfare

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Nov 2006 11:21:14 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 21:02:01 -0500
Subject: Re: La Paz Thought Green Fireballs Were Warfare

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 5 Nov 2006 23:23:13 -0500
>Subject: Re: La Paz Thought Green Fireballs Were Warfare Experiment?

>>From: Roderick Dyke <RodBD.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 4 Nov 2006 15:59:01 EST
>>Subject: La Paz Thought Green Fireballs Were Warfare Experiment?

>>We found the below noted news article of interest in respect to
>>the 'Mysterious Green Fireballs' and Dr. Lincoln La Paz.

>>Roderick Dyke
>>Archives for UFO Research [AUFOR]

>-----

>>The Reno Gazette - Nevada, USA

>>Tuesday, September 13, 1955

>>Page 24

>>Use Of Ice Missiles In Test War Hinted

>>ALBUQUERQUE, Sept. 13. (AP) - A well known meteoriticist
>>declared today that a "shrewd opponent" could wage an ice cube
>>test war against this country with intercontinental projectiles
>>made of ice.

>>The object of the ice missile would be to determine
>>effectiveness of range for a missile of the more deadly variety.

>>Dr. Lincoln La Paz, director of the University of New Mexico's
>>Institute of Meteoritics, the only one of its kind in the
>>western world, said: "In range-testing intercontinental
>>ballistic missiles in peace time," a shrewd opponent for obvious
>>reasons would seek to employ test objects leaving no tangible
>>trace of their existence or use.

>>"It is for this reason that since 1948 representatives of the
>>institute of meteoritics have habitually asked observers whether
>>or not piece of ice or drops or water were detected falling from
>>the sky at the time of the observed incident." Some observers
>>have reported drops of water.

><snip>

>>La Paz has said repeatedly he believed the mysterious yellow-
>>green fireballs - spotted especially in the southwest during
>>the past decade - were of earthly origin. The fireballs make no
>>sound as they zoom through the sky and no portion of the
>>fireball ever has been recovered, if they landed.

>>It is true that La Paz suggested that the green fireballs were
>>missiles launched by the S.U. nearly over the pole so they could
>>find the range of our sensitive areas in the southwest. He
>>advanced this theory to the FBI several times.

Wouldn't the heat from air compression at supersonic speeds melt/evaporate the ice, though? Otherwise, I think firing a wooden missile would be a more durable option. Also, if their point was to test the sensitivity of our radar defenses, I don't know if ice would have done a very good job. Maybe ice with powdered metal in it? Copper, maybe?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

Re: Secrecy News -- 11/08/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Nov 2006 11:56:34 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 21:03:57 -0500
Subject: Re: Secrecy News -- 11/08/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 117
November 8, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** A GLIMPSE OF ARMY SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES
** FIRED AIR MARSHAL DEFENDS DISCLOSURE OF SENSITIVE SECURITY INFO

A GLIMPSE OF ARMY SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES

The role of special operations forces in the U.S. military is steadily increasing but relatively little is publicly known about the activities and performance of these specialized units.

A new U.S. Army manual fills in some of the gaps in the public record with a description of the structure, capabilities and missions of U.S. Army Special Operations Forces (ARSOF).

The manual has not been approved for public release, but a copy was obtained by Secrecy News.

"ARSOF are specially organized, trained, and equipped military forces," it explains. "They conduct SO [special operations] to achieve military, political, economic, or informational objectives by generally unconventional means in hostile, denied, or politically sensitive areas."

According to the Army, special operations forces can leap tall buildings in a single bound.

"They provide to the Nation an array of deployable, agile, versatile, lethal, survivable, and sustainable formations, which are affordable and capable of rapidly reversing the conditions of human suffering and decisively resolving conflicts."

Counterterrorism missions are a particular focus of special operations today.

"ARSOF are, and will be for the near future, continuously engaged against terrorists whose goal is the destruction of American freedoms and the American way of life," the new manual says.

Special operations also support intelligence collection.

"ARSOF are a key enabler in the WOT [war on terror] by conducting SO, which obtain actionable intelligence.... The results of these activities may be fed directly to a commander or Country Team or may be input into the intelligence process for processing, analysis, and dissemination to military and other government agencies (OGAs)."

There is also a domestic component to Army special operations, though it is not clearly specified in the manual.

"The United States employs ARSOF capabilities at home and abroad in support of U.S. national security goals in a variety of operations."

The manual spells out the principles of special operations warfare, including preemption, dislocation, disruption, and so forth.

"SO [special operations] are frequently clandestine or low-visibility operations, or they may be combined with overt operations. SO can be covert but require a declaration of war or a specific finding approved by the President or the SecDef," the manual states.

(The asserted ability of the Secretary of Defense to authorize covert operations has not been explicitly claimed before, to Secrecy News' knowledge.)

"Significant legal and policy considerations apply to many SO activities," the manual observes.

The new Army manual is unclassified, but its distribution is formally restricted "to protect technical or operational information."

In view of the possible sensitivity of the document, Secrecy News is only posting the preface and the first of the eight chapters from the 119 page manual.

See "Army Special Operations Forces," U.S. Army Field Manual FM 3-05, September 20, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/army/fm3-05-overview.pdf>

The Congressional Research Service noted earlier this year that "The 2006 Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) has called for a 15% increase in special operations forces beginning in FY 2007."

FIRED AIR MARSHAL DEFENDS DISCLOSURE OF SENSITIVE SECURITY INFO

A former Federal Air Marshal who was fired by the Transportation Security Administration last April for disclosing "sensitive security information" (SSI) to the press has filed suit against the government arguing that his disclosure was protected under the Whistleblower Protection Act.

SSI is unclassified information regarding transportation security that is protected from disclosure by statute.

"Your release of SSI to the media was unauthorized and not protected by the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution," a TSA official wrote to Air Marshal Robert McLean, notifying him of his termination. See:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/tsa041006.pdf>

But whistleblower advocacy groups, including the Government Accountability Project and the Project on Government Oversight, have rallied to the support of Mr. McLean.

"The Federal Air Marshal Service is in blatant violation of the Whistleblower Protection Act, which protects the disclosure of unclassified information that an employee feels endangers public health and safety, and Robert certainly did that," said Adam Miles, legislative director of GAP, in a Washington Times story.

See "Ex-air marshal to sue over 'SSI' label" by Audrey Hudson, Washington Times, October 30:

http://washingtontimes.com/national/20061029-115609-8718r_page2.htm

See also "Air marshal's firing prompts whistleblower suit" by Stephen Losey, Federal Times, November 7:

<http://federaltimes.com/index.php?S=2331806>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 14:21:58 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 21:12:03 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 6 Nov 2006 08:39:43 -0500
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 5 Nov 2006 14:20:54 -0000
>>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>>Yes, it seems the `experts' have divided into two camps -
>>one side using only bone-shapes and claiming interbreeding
>>while the other side uses only DNA and claims no
>>interbreeding. And both sides avoid the other's area of
>>'expertise'.

>Yes, that's right. But physical anthropology is really as much
>an art as it is a science. DNA research is hard science. In
>cases where DNA evidence differs from theories of physical
>anthropology, I'd go with the DNA every time. The DNA
>indicates no interbreeding, so it is highly unlikely that it
>is wrong.

>>What is a bit frustrating is that - from memory - they're
>>both using fossil evidence from around the 30,000 year mark,
>>give or take a few thousand. It might be that they can't
>>find anything older (and maybe can't yet reclaim older DNA
>>anyway), but it seems to me, whichever side is right, there
>>should be much older evidence to back them up.

>There was mention on a TV program I saw about extracting
>ancient DNA that about 50,000 years is the current limit.
>However new techniques are coming along to push this farther
>back into the past, and it really depends on how the material
>has been preserved. Don't forget that some work has been done
>on dinosaur DNA, which is millions of years old. This has been
>helped by finds of duckbill bones in the arctic that have been
>very cold since the animal's death. These bones are not
>mineralized at all, IOW they are not fossilized. They are the
>actual bones. Recently there was a report of some soft tissue
>from a T. rex that was preserved. I'm sure Neanderthal bones
>vary widely in how they were preserved. Maybe someday we'll
>find a Neanderthal in permafrost like the Beresovka mammoth.
>That would answer most of the remaining questions.

More food for thought. The interest concerning the relationship
between the Neanderthals and Homo sapiens extends well beyond
this List.

See this discussion re our brain size as possibly influenced by
the Neanderthal.

Don Ledger

Source: Netscape.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/yz8399>

Nov. 7, 2006

Could Our Big Brains Come From Neanderthals?

Study: Gene could only be passed by interbreeding with humans

WASHINGTON - Neanderthals may have given the modern humans who replaced them a priceless gift - a gene that helped them develop superior brains, U.S. researchers reported on Tuesday.

And the only way they could have provided that gift would have been by interbreeding, the team at the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and the University of Chicago said.

Their study, published in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, provides indirect evidence that modern Homo sapiens and so-called Neanderthals interbred at some point when they lived side by side in Europe.

Finding evidence of mixing is not all that surprising. But our study demonstrates the possibility that interbreeding contributed advantageous variants into the human gene pool that subsequently spread," said Bruce Lahn, a Howard Hughes Medical Institute researcher at the University of Chicago who led the study.

Scientists have been debating whether Neanderthals, who died out about 35,000 years ago, ever bred with modern Homo sapiens. Neanderthals are considered more primitive, with robust bones but a smaller intellects than modern humans.

Lahn's team found a brain gene that appears to have entered the human lineage about 1.1 million years ago, and that has a modern form, or allele, that appeared about 37,000 years ago - right before Neanderthals became extinct.

Humans strange, Neanderthals normal

"The gene microcephalin (MCPH1) regulates brain size during development and has experienced positive selection in the lineage leading to Homo sapiens," the researchers wrote.

Positive selection means the gene conferred some sort of advantage, so that people who had it were more likely to have descendants than people who did not. Lahn's team estimated that 70 percent of all living humans have this type D variant of the gene.

"By no means do these findings constitute definitive proof that a Neanderthal was the source of the original copy of the D allele. However, our evidence shows that it is one of the best candidates," Lahn said.

The researchers reached their conclusions by doing a statistical analysis of the DNA sequence of microcephalin, which is known to play a role in regulating brain size in humans. Mutations in the human gene cause development of a much smaller brain, a condition called microcephaly.

They noted that this D allele is very common in Europe, where Neanderthals lived, and more rare in Africa, where they did not. Lahn said it is not yet clear what advantage the D allele gives the human brain.

"The D alleles may not even change brain size; they may only make the brain a bit more efficient if it indeed affects brain function," Lahn said.

Now his team is looking for evidence of Neanderthal origin for other human genes.

Copyright 2006 Reuters Limited.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

Re: The British UFO Mystery - McGonagle

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 20:56:42 +0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 21:18:58 -0500
Subject: Re: The British UFO Mystery - McGonagle

Dear Listers,

British ufologist and amateur astronomer Gary Anthony has produced a critique of the case and the programme. This is based on much of the same material that my work-in-progress site is based on (the contents of the MoD files, most of which were obtained under the Code of Practice, prior to the Freedom of Information Act). Gary has also liaised with space and astronomy experts to verify his findings.

Gary's article can be found at:

<http://www.mithrand.karoo.net/index.htm/cosford.htm>

Regards,

Joe

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 8](#)

Re: Expectations Beyond Earth - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 18:03:28 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 21:20:21 -0500
Subject: Re: Expectations Beyond Earth - White

>Source: The News Journal - Wilmington, Delaware, USA

>11-07-06

>Expectations Beyond Earth

>Author challenges beliefs behind our search for alien life

>By Victor Greto - The News Journal

<snip>

>There is no scientific proof that life exists anywhere else in
>the universe, let alone intelligent life that, somehow,
>resembles us.

There are 4,000 physical trace cases, daylight disk cases, multiple witness cases, witness-plus-radar cases, and daylight witnessed abductions. That's as "scientific" as it gets. Ufologists have it all laid out, ready for "real scientists" to work from.

The truth is, there are no mainstream scientists willing to jeopardize their funding and careers. That's what this writer should have written, instead of total nonsense.

These media writers who parrot "there is no proof" make me sick.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

'UFO Files' Hits Another Home Run!

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 09:41:11 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 09:41:11 -0500
Subject: 'UFO Files' Hits Another Home Run!

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/ylv7f5>

November 8, 2006

'UFO Files' Hits Another Home Run!
by
Frank Warren

Kudos to Duane Tudahl & John Greenewald Jr. for raising the bar in regard to television productions broaching the subject of UFOs; no smoke & mirrors, no organ music and no eerie, English-accented narrator to be heard!

Quite frankly, it wouldn't take much to be at the "top of the proverbial heap" given the amount of ilk produced on the subject; however, Tudahl's efforts can be compared to any quality investigative/research production on any subject in my view. It's quite refreshing to watch a program on the UFO phenomenon that takes a sober look at the matter at hand, less the snorts, guffaws and the occasional rib-poking.

Along with the pragmatic presentations of the various episodes to date, is the obvious in-depth research devoted to the end product; such is the case for their latest offering, Hangar 18: The UFO Warehouse.

This episode asks the question "is the government hiding 'crashed UFOs' beneath Wright Patterson Air Force Base in Dayton, Ohio?" It premiered Monday night on the History Channel, repeated Tuesday night, and for those that missed it; you can see it again this coming Sunday night at 6:00 pm (Eastern time).

Whether you're an abecedarian, or a seasoned researcher, this show will keep you glued to your seat!

Tudahl methodically advances the concept of multiple 'crashed UFOs', starting with the well-known Roswell case, but also injects details about other lesser known (to the public), albeit significant events; one in particular is the Aztec Incident of 1948. Businessman, and Ufologist Scott Ramsey was interviewed about the event, as he has devoted almost 20-years to its investigation.

Seasoned researchers, Stanton Friedman & Dennis Balthaser also contributed to the show indicating that their respective research has often pointed to Wright-Pat.

De-classified documents dating as far back as 1947 are a main staple of the show, as well as declarations from former base employees and military personal. In particular, Capt. Robert Collins, USAF Ret., former Air Force Intelligence Officer - Chief Analyst in theoretical Physics - at the Foreign

Technology Division (FTD) now NASIC, Wright-Pat gives his views about crashed UFOs, and their occupants ending up at the base.

This show also includes some never-before published evidence, including a deathbed declaration by Marion Magruder, as well as the oral interview of June Crain, former clerk/typist at Wright-Pat who admittedly violated her security oath in discussing her knowledge of crashed UFOs and their occupants.

Much is devoted to the constructs of Wright-Pat including the infamous Hangar 18, as well as background information regarding the Foreign Technology Division (FTD), the Air Materiel Command in addition to former Top Secret Projects, Moon Dust & Silver Bug; Air Force & Space historians were called upon for their familiarity in these matters.

Skeptical points of view were also put forth; however, in my opinion, alongside the collection of data in support of crashed ET craft, it was rather weak!

The afore-mentioned highlights are just the tip of the iceberg for this episode - you will not be disappointed!

Finally, the efforts of Duane Tudahl don't go un-noticed in the UFO community; most seasoned researcher/investigators don't put much stock into new programs on the topic, having been disappointed so many times in the past with amateur production and poor research; however, in this instance, Ufologists and enthusiasts alike are sitting up and taking notice - your efforts are appreciated and most needed. Keep up the good work!

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

Re: Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 23:35:02 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 11:15:46 -0500
Subject: Re: Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 07 Nov 2006 13:42:40 -0500
>Subject: Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell Watchers?

>Larry Landsman of the Sci-Fi Channel has indicated that he is
>very interested in the comments that have been offered on UFO
>UpDates for their new program, and added that the upcoming
>Roswell episode (Wednesday evening) will feature a few SCI FI
>exclusives!

>Larry said, "We snared the first television interview with Mack
>Brazel's granddaughter and she reveals some pretty startling
>things for the first time on national television. We also
>feature a witness who finally comes forward after 60 years - he
>was afraid of losing his pension - a witness to the bodies. We
>have Don Schmitt and Tom Carey of course, as well as Stanton
>Friedman."

>Those who hate Roswell will have more to hate, and those who
>enjoy the quest will have more to chew on.

Every time I see one of these deals where they go out and dig
around the same old plot of ground, I get several impressions.

One: They're not digging in the right place, because they don't
even know where the right place is.

Two: Anything as light as described isn't going to make much of
a dent in that hard New Mexico soil.

Three: It might be worthwhile to check temporary stream beds
downhill from either the crash site or the debris field, since
it was supposedly raining like crazy for two days before anybody
went out to look, and the material as it's described sounds like
it might float, or at least be carried away from where the Army
policed the area. So without knowing the exact crash spot,
there may be a spot downstream into which floating debris was
funneled. Maybe a good prospector could find it.

Four: Army guys are a natural bunch of scavengers, and if there
were 100 guys out picking up interesting debris, then 95 of them
probably stuck something in their pockets and squirreled it
away. As they continue to pass away, hopefully their old cigar
boxes filled with flying saucer time machine bits aren't just
tossed in the trash.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

'Aliens Could Attack At Any Time' Warns Former MoD

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 11:24:04 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 11:24:04 -0500
Subject: 'Aliens Could Attack At Any Time' Warns Former MoD

Source: This Is London - UK

<http://tinyurl.com/yxg2ja>

09.11.06

'Aliens Could Attack At Any Time' Warns Former MoD Chief

UFO sightings and alien visitors tend to be solely the reserve of sci-fi movies.

So when a former MoD chief warns that the country could be attacked by extraterrestrials at any time, you may be forgiven for feeling a little alarmed.

During his time as head of the Ministry of Defence UFO project, Nick Pope was persuaded into believing that other lifeforms may visit Earth and, more specifically, Britain.

His concern is that "highly credible" sightings are simply dismissed.

And he complains that the project he once ran is now "virtually closed" down, leaving the country "wide open" to aliens.

Mr Pope decided to speak out about his worries after resigning from his post at the Directorate of Defence Security at the MoD this week.

"The consequences of getting this one wrong could be huge," he said.

"If you reported a UFO sighting now, I am absolutely sure that you would just get back a standard letter telling you not to worry. 'Frankly we are wide open - if something does not behave like a conventional aircraft now, it will be ignored.

"The X-Files have been closed down." If these words had come from a sci-fi fanatic, they could be easily dismissed by cynics.

But Mr Pope's CV - he was head of the UFO project between 1991 and 1994 - cannot be ignored.

When he began his job, he too was sceptical about UFOs but access to classified files on the subject and investigation of a series of spectacular UFO sightings gradually changed his mind.

And while Mr Pope says that there is no evidence of hostile intent, he insists it cannot be ruled out.

"There has got to be the potential for that and one is left with the uneasy feeling that if it turned out to be so, there is very little we could do about it," he said.

"If you believe these things are extra terrestrial craft then you cannot rule out that what is happening is some kind of

covert reconnaissance."

One incident which persuaded him of the existence of alien lifeforms was in 1993. There were reports of a "vast, triangular-shaped craft" spotted flying over RAF bases in the West Midlands.

"Most of the witnesses were police and military personnel," he said.

"Hundreds of members of the public also had sightings over a period of several hours."

In another incident in 1980 at RAF bases in Suffolk, staff investigated a suspected plane crash after bright lights were reported coming from nearby woods.

They found a kind of lunar landing module standing on three legs which then flew off. The indents it left in the ground were found to emit ten times the normal levels of radiation. Mr Pope said: "These sort of incidents are why I got so frustrated.

"In my time I would brief the more interesting sightings up the chain of command to people like the Chief of the Air Staff and would get the answer back that it was very interesting and I had clearly done a good job investigating it and that was it.

"Every one is a piece of a puzzle but no one takes it seriously. There needs to be more resources and people who are prepared to look past the philosophical issues, look at the reports and investigate them properly.

"Whether you believe these things are foreign air forces testing prototype aircraft or whether you believe they are something more exotic, with the speeds and movements they are capable of, it's technology we would very much like to get hold of."

A spokesman for the Ministry of Defence insisted that all UFO sightings were investigated for "evidence to suggest that UK airspace has been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity."

She said: "Unless there is such evidence, the MoD doesn't attempt to positively identify what was seen."

Mr Pope is continuing his UFO research in a private capacity since leaving the MoD and is recognised as a leading authority on UFOs and the unexpected.

He has written four science fiction books drawing on his experience at the MoD, and lectures around the world on the subject.

He has appeared on BBC Newsnight and Radio 4's Today programme and has acted as consultant on numerous television documentaries.

[Thanks to Greg Boone for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 9 Nov 2006 18:25:12 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 11:35:22 -0500
Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens? -

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 14:21:58 -0400
>Subject: Re: What If Earth Is A Penal Colony For Aliens?

>More food for thought. The interest concerning the relationship
>between the Neanderthals and Homo sapiens extends well beyond
>this List.

>See this discussion re our brain size as possibly influenced by
>the Neanderthal.

><http://tinyurl.com/yz8399>

Thanks Don,

That made me look again at a fact concerning human brain size
that Matt Ridley noted in his 'Nature Via Nurture' (2003) -

"In the Mesolithic (around 50,000 years ago) human brains
averaged 1,468 cc (in females) and 1,567 cc (in males). Today
the numbers have fallen to 1,210 cc and 1,248 cc, and, even
allowing for some reduction in body weight, this seems to be a
steep decline." [p. 35]

Ridley pursued an established "taming" hypothesis whereby
animals' brain sizes (and therefore intelligence?) are said to
drop if they are domesticated, opining that 'civilization' (or
at least city life) was diminishing our "wild" intelligence. He
made a good case.

It all depends where you start. Obviously, if you go back far
enough you should find that (proto) Neanderthal and Homo.sap.sap
were the same species - not separated yet.

So, looking around the world, are we just a step in a long
decline? So far as I know, no species has escaped extinction -
yet.

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 9 Nov 2006 18:44:50 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 11:38:31 -0500
Subject: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

Hi List,

Jere's quote from - Today on SPACE.com

http://www.space.com/searchforlife/seti_shostak_tv_061109-1.html

Thursday November 9, 2006

Listening for ET's Television

"Given that stars in our neighborhood are separated by about 4 light-years, it's easy to figure that roughly 10 thousand star systems have been exposed to "I Love Lucy" in the past five decades."

Obvious isn't it?

Cheers,

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

Re: Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 20:07:38 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 20:07:38 -0500
Subject: Re: Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer?

>Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:51:56 -0500
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer?

>Source: Stuff - Wellington, New Zealand

><http://www.stuff.co.nz/stuff/0,2106,3851459a11,00.html>

>06 November 2006

>[Picture at site]

>Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer?
>By Hank Schouten
>The Dominion Post

<snip>

There's an enlargement of the image taken from Her Majesty's
New Zealand Ship Royalist in 1965, at:

<http://www.navymuseum.mil.nz/>

Thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead...

ebk

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

Re: Expectations Beyond Earth - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Thu, 9 Nov 2006 11:31:17 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 20:10:11 -0500
Subject: Re: Expectations Beyond Earth - Shell

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 18:03:28 -0500
>Subject: Re: Expectations Beyond Earth

>>Source: The News Journal - Wilmington, Delaware, USA

>>11-07-06

>>Expectations Beyond Earth

>>Author challenges beliefs behind our search for alien life

>>By Victor Greto - The News Journal

><snip>

>>There is no scientific proof that life exists anywhere else in
>>the universe, let alone intelligent life that, somehow,
>>resembles us.

>There are 4,000 physical trace cases, daylight disk cases,
>multiple witness cases, witness-plus-radar cases, and daylight
>witnessed abductions. That's as "scientific" as it gets.
>Ufologists have it all laid out, ready for "real scientists" to
>work from.

>The truth is, there are no mainstream scientists willing to
>jeopardize their funding and careers. That's what this writer
>should have written, instead of total nonsense.

>These media writers who parrot "there is no proof" make
>me sick.

I think a more accurate statement is that there is a lot of
evidence, but no complete consensus on proof. At this point, the
only thing we can all agree on is that life, intelligent or
otherwise, exists here on Earth. Whether it exists elsewhere
remains to be conclusively proven and accepted as fact.

And the old saw that mainstream scientists will risk their
careers if they study UFOs is not exactly accurate either. The
reason UFOs aren't studied is because there's no money in it. No
way to make a decent buck from it.

Even if a UFO crashed in your backyard and you had a chance to
analyze it and replicate an advanced weapon or propulsion
system, the government would swoop in and "claim" it.

If you don't have a saucer on hand to study, and have to do it
all on foot, it doesn't take long in researching UFOs before you
run smack dab into all kinds of official roadblocks (literally
and figuratively) that tie you up with annoying security issues
and hamper your ability to gather good data.

That's why it doesn't get funding for study.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs?

From: **Joachim Koch** <lists.nul>
Date: Thu, 9 Nov 2006 22:18:55 +0100
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 20:12:59 -0500
Subject: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs?

Hi List,

Have we ever discussed the fact that if there were no Moon landings - or at least only one - no Moon UFOs, surface anomalies etc. would exist and that all the evidence from the transmissions, videos and stills would be non valid, too?

Joachim

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

NASA Schedules First Live HDTV Broadcast From Space

From: NASA News <hqnews.nsl>
Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 17:28:27 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 20:16:28 -0500
Subject: NASA Schedules First Live HDTV Broadcast From Space

Nov. 9, 2006

Allard Beutel
Headquarters, Washington
202-358-4769

Lynnette Madison
Johnson Space Center, Houston
281-483-5111

MEDIA ADVISORY: M06-176

NASA SCHEDULES FIRST LIVE HDTV BROADCAST FROM SPACE

Houston -- NASA makes history next week with the first live broadcasts from space in High Definition television (HDTV). NASA, in cooperation with the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, Discovery HD Theater and Japanese broadcast network NHK will produce the broadcasts on Nov. 15.

Two live HDTV broadcasts will feature Expedition 14 Commander Michael Lopez-Alegria on the International Space Station, with Flight Engineer Thomas Reiter serving as camera operator aboard the 220-mile-high laboratory. The broadcasts will be carried by Discovery HD Theater and NHK. Discovery HD Theater will carry the special broadcast live at 10:30 a.m. CST and will be shown at Discovery Channel stores.

"HDTV provides up to six times the resolution of regular analog video," said Rodney Grubbs, NASA principal investigator. "On previous missions, we've flown HDTV cameras but had to wait until after the mission to retrieve the tapes, watch the video and share it with the science and engineering community, the media and the public. For the first time ever, this test lets us stream live HDTV from space so the public can experience what its like to be there."

Known as the Space Video Gateway, the system transmits high bandwidth digital television signals to the ground that are not only spectacular, but also valuable to scientists, engineers and managers.

NASA and the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, along with both NHK and Discovery, are cooperating in this effort though a Space Act Agreement originally signed in 2002.

The equipment to record and downlink HDTV signals was delivered to the station by the Space Shuttle Atlantis crew in September. It includes a commercially manufactured camcorder, viewfinder, lenses and power cables, an HDTV signal decoder, processor and hard drive, and power and data cables.

For more information about the International Space Station and the Expedition 14 crew, visit:

<http://www.nasa.gov/station>

-end-

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

Re: 'UFO Files' Hits Another Home Run! - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 19:48:28 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 20:18:31 -0500
Subject: Re: 'UFO Files' Hits Another Home Run! - White

>Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

><http://tinyurl.com/y1v7f5>

>November 8, 2006

>'UFO Files' Hits Another Home Run!
>by
>Frank Warren

>Kudos to Duane Tudahl & John Greenewald Jr. for raising the
>bar in regard to television productions broaching the subject
>of UFOs; no smoke & mirrors, no organ music and no eerie,
>English-accented narrator to be heard!

I wouldn't mind seeing a UFO documentary narrated by James Burke
of "Connections" and "Connections 2" fame! And done with the
same fact-focussed scripting, of course.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 9](#)

Problems For Mars Global Surveyor Orbiter

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 20:22:50 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Nov 2006 20:22:50 -0500
Subject: Problems For Mars Global Surveyor Orbiter

Source: SpaceFlightNow.Com - Tonbridge, Kent, UK

<http://www.spaceflightnow.com/news/n0611/08mgs/>

November 8, 2006

Problems For Mars Global Surveyor Orbiter

NASA/JPL News Release
November 8, 2006

Engineers are striving to restore full communications with NASA's Mars Global Surveyor on the 10th anniversary of the spacecraft's Nov. 7, 1996, launch.

The orbiter is the oldest of five NASA spacecraft currently active at the red planet. Its original mission was to examine Mars for a full Martian year, roughly two Earth years. Once that period elapsed, considering the string of discoveries, NASA extended the mission repeatedly, most recently on Oct. 1 of this year.

The orbiter has operated longer than any other spacecraft ever sent to Mars. It has returned more information about Mars than all earlier missions combined and has succeeded far enough beyond its original mission to see two later NASA orbiters arrive: Mars Odyssey and Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter. Among many important accomplishments so far, Mars Global Surveyor has found many young gullies apparently cut by flowing water, discovered water-related mineral deposits that became a destination for NASA's Opportunity rover, mapped the planet topographically and examined many potential landing sites on Mars.

On Nov. 2, one orbit after commands were sent for a routine maneuver to move the solar panels, the spacecraft reported that the motor moving one of the arrays had experienced errors. Onboard software responded as programmed, switching the spacecraft to a backup motor controller, then to a backup circuitry connection.

Following these indications of difficulty, a two-day lapse in contact occurred on Nov. 3 and 4. The signal from the spacecraft was received on Nov. 5 during four different orbits, but it did not carry any data from the spacecraft. The signal's frequency indicated that the spacecraft had entered safe mode, a pre-programmed state of restricted activity in which it awaits instructions from Earth.

No further signal was heard during subsequent orbits on Nov. 5 and Nov. 6. Engineers concluded that the spacecraft had made an additional pre-programmed response, intended to help it survive when it senses that a solar array is stuck. The spacecraft turns that array toward the sun to maintain its power supply and rotates the rest of the spacecraft in the same direction, thereby making communication with Earth less effective.

"The spacecraft has many redundant systems that should help us get it back into a stable operation, but first we need to re-establish communications," said Tom Thorpe, project manager for Mars Global Surveyor at NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, Calif.

Further information on the recovery of the spacecraft will be released as it comes available.

[Thanks to Greg Boone for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

Re: Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 05:43:43 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 08:58:12 -0500
Subject: Re: Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 23:35:02 -0800
>Subject: Re: Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell Watchers?

<snip>

>>Those who hate Roswell will have more to hate, and those who
>>enjoy the quest will have more to chew on.

>Every time I see one of these deals where they go out and dig
>around the same old plot of ground, I get several impressions.

>One: They're not digging in the right place, because they don't
>even know where the right place is.

Yeah. This is an issue we would all face. There are few alive today that were out at that site and for most people it isn't distinguishable from other areas nearby, so how could you tell without a sign. The problem, of course, is that the site is far from any roads and the land owner likes to play games with the researchers. They've acknowledged that some film crews were difficult to work with and he'd just take them off to some field and tell them that was where the crash was.

>Two: Anything as light as described isn't going to make much of
>a dent in that hard New Mexico soil.

I believe that some have described an gouge dug out of the ground by the impact, but I don't believe that's in Marcel's original description.

>Three: It might be worthwhile to check temporary stream beds
>downhill from either the crash site or the debris field, since
>it was supposedly raining like crazy for two days before anybody
>went out to look, and the material as it's described sounds like
>it might float, or at least be carried away from where the Army
>policed the area. So without knowing the exact crash spot, there
>may be a spot downstream into which floating debris was
>funneled. Maybe a good prospector could find it.

Yep. Miles and miles and miles from the site... if at all.

>Four: Army guys are a natural bunch of scavengers, and if there
>were 100 guys out picking up interesting debris, then 95 of them
>probably stuck something in their pockets and squirreled it
>away. As they continue to pass away, hopefully their old cigar
>boxes filled with flying saucer time
>machine bits aren't just tossed in the trash.

Without the provenance of their testimony, it would be interesting material without foundation. Unfortunately, the testimony of older witnesses, taken during the last years of their lives, is often impacted by old age and doesn't rise to the level of shifting paradigms.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

UFO Sightings 'Unlikely To Be Investigated'

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 09:07:28 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 09:07:28 -0500
Subject: UFO Sightings 'Unlikely To Be Investigated'

Source: The Manchester Evening News - UK

<http://tinyurl.com/w8gew>

Friday, 10th November 2006

UFO Sightings 'Unlikely To Be Investigated'

UFO sightings are increasingly unlikely to be investigated because of mounting paperwork, a former government expert has warned.

Britain is "wide open" to being visited by aliens without officials knowing about it, according to Nick Pope, who ran the Ministry of Defence UFO project from 1991 to 1994.

Despite a series of "highly credible" sightings and landings of metallic aircraft projecting lightbeams and emitting humming sounds, there is no longer formal interviewing of witnesses or investigation into the sightings, he said.

Instead, those working for the Ministry of Defence UFO project spend their time releasing formerly classified documents in response to Freedom of Information Act requests from the media or members of the public.

Mr Pope said he decided to speak out about the failure to seriously address the issue for the first time since resigning from his post at the Directorate of Defence Security.

He said: "The consequences of getting this one wrong could be huge. The X-Files have been closed down."

While there is no evidence of hostile intent, he said, it cannot be ruled out.

"There has got to be the potential for that and one is left with the uneasy feeling that, if it turned out to be so, there is very little we could do about it," he added.

[The 'Comments' at the site are fairly typical - with the long exception written by Steve Mera at:

<http://tinyurl.com/y5jy8e>

--ebk]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 09:27:20 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 09:55:46 -0500
Subject: Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - White

>From: Joachim Koch <lists.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 9 Nov 2006 22:18:55 +0100
>Subject: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs?

>Hi List,

>Have we ever discussed the fact that if there were no Moon
>landings - or at least only one - no Moon UFOs, surface
>anomalies etc. would exist and that all the evidence from the
>transmissions, videos and stills would be non valid, too?

Compelling evidence for me, at least, are the video sequences
taken on the moon. Specifically:

1. The lunar rover wheels kicked up large amounts of dust, but the dust did not remain suspended in the 'air', which would have been the case had the sequences been taken in a studio on Earth.
2. The LEM-to-orbiter blast off footage showed obvious characteristics of a rocket engine operating in a vacuum:
 - no flame visible
 - no smoke in the 'air'
 - tiny particles, apparently bits of the LEM left behind, flying outward bullet-fast in straight trajectoriesIf on Earth, the camera's field of view would have been filled immediately with smoke and dust kicked up from the immediate area.
3. The quality of the movements of the astronauts as they moved around clearly indicated they were in a gravity field much lower than Earth's, to my eye, anyway.
4. That 'flag-rippling' sequence looked to me like mechanical oscillation, not air flowing past the flag.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - White

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

'Shoreline' Radio

From: Jan Blei <janblei.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 16:08:22 +0100
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 10:16:45 -0500
Subject: 'Shoreline' Radio

The Dutch UFO community has its own Internet radio programme 'Shoreline' and is available at:

www.ufoplaza.nl

home of the Dutch UFO community.

Host Jan Blei discusses UFOs, Intelligent Design, SETI and developments in cosmology with various guests from the scientific community.

The intention is to produce a quality programme with quality guests.

First guest is Piet Smolders, publicist (space travel) and honoured with the Yuri Gagarin medallion.

Govert Schilling, publishing in Sky And Telescope and Wubbo Ockels, scientist and former Dutch astronaut will appear on upcoming programmes.

International guests will be invited to share their opinions on Shoreline.

Jan Blei
Talkshow Host and Columnist for www.ufoplaza.nl

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Groff

From: Terry Groff <terrygroff.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 09:25:15 -0600
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 10:40:07 -0500
Subject: Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Groff

>From: Joachim Koch <lists.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 9 Nov 2006 22:18:55 +0100
>Subject: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs?

>Hi List,

>Have we ever discussed the fact that if there were no Moon
>landings - or at least only one - no Moon UFOs, surface
>anomalies etc. would exist and that all the evidence from the
>transmissions, videos and stills would be non valid, too?

>Joachim

Perhaps while we're at it we can discuss whether the Moon itself
actually exists. <G>

<http://www.revisionism.nl/Moon/The-Mad-Revisionist.htm>

Terry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

Re: Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer? - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 16:26:26 -0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 12:09:36 -0500
Subject: Re: Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer? - Dickenson

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:51:56 -0500
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer?

>>Source: Stuff - Wellington, New Zealand
>><http://www.stuff.co.nz/stuff/0,2106,3851459a11,00.html>

>>06 November 2006

>>[Picture at site]

>>Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer?
>>By Hank Schouten
>>The Dominion Post

><snip>

>There's an enlargement of the image taken from Her Majesty's
>New Zealand Ship Royalist in 1965, at:

><http://www.navymuseum.mil.nz/>

<snip>

Hello Errol and List,

In the 'media' version there's a quote:

"However, Carter Observatory senior astronomer Brian Carter disagrees. He said that when the object was enlarged it had a sharp edge to it. Under the same enlargement, the edge of the cliff on the right and the bow of the ship were not that sharp, he said. That suggested the object was quite close and therefore quite small. He believed it was something thrown from the bridge or some other part of the ship."

Isn't that a bit mis-leading? Doesn't the sharpness of objects at different distances mainly depend on the focal length setting? Wouldn't a photo taken with the lens set to infinity have sharpest edges on the most distant object - atmospheric permitting?

Maybe Peter Hassall - also quoted - could have it analyzed?

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Tarbell

From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 09:26:11 -0700
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 12:11:23 -0500
Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Tarbell

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 9 Nov 2006 18:44:50 -0000
>Subject: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

>Hi List,

>Jere's quote from - Today on SPACE.com

>-----

>http://www.space.com/searchforlife/seti_shostak_tv_061109-1.html

>Thursday November 9, 2006

>Listening for ET's Television

>"Given that stars in our neighborhood are separated by about 4 light-years, it's easy to figure that roughly 10 thousand star systems have been exposed to "I Love Lucy" in the past five decades."

>-----

>Obvious isn't it?

Hi Ray,

Well, I don't know how obvious it is, but the order of magnitude is correct. A population of 10,000 stars with a mean separation of 4 light-years would occupy a volume of about 640,000 cubic light years, with a diameter of about 86 light-years. After five decades the "I Love Lucy" sphere has a diameter of 100 light years, so an estimate of 10,000 star systems within it is not unreasonable, and may even be on the low side.

As to its relation to the Fermi Paradox, perhaps the "I Love Lucy" signal is so terrifying that it is driving any advanced civilizations that encounter it into hiding. Lucy and Ricky, subjugating the galaxy by proxy!

Mike

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

For The Record P47: Sci-Fi Investigates Roswell

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 12:20:21 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 12:20:21 -0500
Subject: For The Record P47: Sci-Fi Investigates Roswell

From: Dennis Balthaser <truthskr.nul>
To: project-1947.nul
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 09:14:52 -0700
Subject: Re: Sci-Fi Investigates may be interesting for Roswell watchers

Frank, Mary and List members,

The recent Sci-Fi special was not only one of the worst documentaries presented about Roswell, but also another insult to those of us that continue to try and research this event.

Dolan's remark that Schmitt and Carey are the "only Roswell researchers" is a real slap in the face to Stanton Friedman (the original civilian researcher about Roswell), and to David Rudiak, Frank, myself and others that continue to have a serious interest in the subject. IMO Stanton was included in the show as an after thought, to add some credibility to an otherwise poorly done presentation.

Why the viewer was exposed to unending discussions between the participants about their personalities, swimming in a stock tank, etc., is beyond me in a documentary that was supposed to have scientific content. Those interviewing new witnesses and at the dig site were definitely out of their element here on the high plains of New Mexico. Dolan needs to also understand that several hundred sections of land down here are referred to as ranches not farms as he stated.

Apparently no one did their homework for this documentary.

Dennis Balthaser
www.truthseekeratroswell.com
www.gizapyramid.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

Re: Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 12:18:15 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 12:25:23 -0500
Subject: Re: Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 05:43:43 -0500
>Subject: Re: Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell Watchers?

>>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 08 Nov 2006 23:35:02 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Sci-Fi Investigates Interesting For Roswell Watchers?

<snip>

>>>Those who hate Roswell will have more to hate, and those who
>>>enjoy the quest will have more to chew on.

>>Every time I see one of these deals where they go out and dig
>>around the same old plot of ground, I get several impressions.

>>One: They're not digging in the right place, because they don't
>>even know where the right place is.

>Yeah. This is an issue we would all face. There are few alive
>today that were out at that site and for most people it isn't
>distinguishable from other areas nearby, so how could you tell
>without a sign. The problem, of course, is that the site is far
>from any roads and the land owner likes to play games with the
>researchers. They've acknowledged that some film crews were
>difficult to work with and he'd just take them off to some field
>and tell them that was where the crash was.
Good Morning List, All -

Well, I'd be impressed with this except it was Bill Brazel who took Don Schmitt and me out to where he had found some of the debris. When CUFOS did their archaeological site survey, we put flags (like those marking underground cables) up three quarters of a mile from where Brazel told us the gouge began. When we left we tried to pick them all up. Later Judge Bud Payne, who'd been out to the debris field so that he knew where it was, took us out and put us just inside the last flag... we'd missed it when we cleaned up. Tommy Tyree, who worked with Mack told us where the site was.

So, I haven't had to rely on other people to tell me where the thing hit... Bill Brazel took me, Bud Payne took me, and Tommy Tyree pointed it out...

And yes, I know that Frank Kaufmann lied, as did Jim Ragsdale (he of the ever shifting site), as did Gerald Anderson (also of the ever shifting site), but this site is agreed upon by all, including our Mogul friends.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 17:48:05 -0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 12:54:09 -0500
Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Dickenson

>From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 09:26:11 -0700
>Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

<snip>

>As to its relation to the Fermi Paradox, perhaps the "I Love
>Lucy" signal is so terrifying that it is driving any advanced
>civilizations that encounter it into hiding. Lucy and Ricky,
>subjugating the galaxy by proxy!

Hi Mike,

Back when Carl Sagan was in clear-view mode (think that was
around time of writing 'Cosmos') he expressed a certain
nervousness about the fact that a half-century of radio & TV
rants, stupidity, murderous progaganda and morally dubious
"news" was still radiating out into the Universe - branding us
as the lowest of the low if any reasonably advanced species
should bother to demodulate it.

Come to think of it, some of the currently broadcast stuff isn't
any better.

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

UFO Sighting On The Guadalajara-Morelia Highway

From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 12:58:03 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 17:24:12 -0500
Subject: UFO Sighting On The Guadalajara-Morelia Highway

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
November 09, 2006

Source: www.analuisacid.com
Date: November 9, 2006

Mexico: UFO Sighting on the Guadalajara-Morelia Highway

Photograph taken by Gustavo Gilberto Pacheco, taken on Km. 275 of the Guadalajara-Morelia Highway at 13:15 hours on November 13, 2003 (according to the camera date).

The witness, a resident of Mexico City, took a total of 3 photographs and submitted them to researcher Oscar Franco, who shared them with Ana Luisa Cid.

Upon noticing that the object was in exactly the same position in all of the images, Prof. Cid asked Franco if it could perhaps be some structure reflecting the rays of the sun, to which he responded that the possibility did exist, but that it was a remote one, as it was possible to see a shadow under the alleged UFO. He added that he has not had contact with Mr. Pacheco again, and securing further details on these interesting pictures is unlikely.

More photos at:

http://www.analuisacid.com/carretera_guadalajara.htm

Translation (c) 2006, Scott Corrales IHU
Special thanks to Ana Luisa Cid)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

Re: Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 13:59:28 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 17:28:11 -0500
Subject: Re: Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer? - Ledger

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 16:26:26 -0000
>Subject: Re: Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer?

>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 06 Nov 2006 07:51:56 -0500
>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer?

>>>Source: Stuff - Wellington, New Zealand

>>><http://www.stuff.co.nz/stuff/0,2106,3851459a11,00.html>

>>>06 November 2006

>>>[Picture at site]

>>>Is It A UFO Or A Flying Saucer? By Hank Schouten The
>>>Dominion Post

>><snip>

>>There's an enlargement of the image taken from Her Majesty's
>>New Zealand Ship Royalist in 1965, at:

>><http://www.navymuseum.mil.nz/>

><snip>

>In the 'media' version there's a quote:

>"However, Carter Observatory senior astronomer Brian Carter
>disagrees. He said that when the object was enlarged it had a
>sharp edge to it. Under the same enlargement, the edge of the
>cliff on the right and the bow of the ship were not that
>sharp, he said. That suggested the object was quite close and
>therefore quite small. He believed it was something thrown
>from the bridge or some other part of the ship."

>Isn't that a bit mis-leading? Doesn't the sharpness of objects
>at different distances mainly depend on the focal length setting?
>Wouldn't a photo taken with the lens set to infinity
>have sharpest edges on the most distant object - atmospheric
>permitting?

>Maybe Peter Hassall - also quoted - could have it analyzed?

Hi Ray,

This appears to be another of those anomalies captured on film by the camera's shutter speed. At first blush the object appears to be dome shaped, but the blow-up might suggest the "dome" to be more the result of the vaporization which results on conventional aircraft during a pull-up [or during the transonic stage through Mach 1] when moisture is literally sucked out of the air due to a low pressure condition over the wing. But this is just an impression I get. It's hard to tell given the small

image and low rez. The dark lens shape seems solid enough.

As for the sharpness of the blown up image. The photographer might have focused to get the vessel's bow and the land mass in sharp focus, or a compromise between the two; but more likely the camera was set at infinity and if so the object would have been the farthest away to be in sharpest focus though-dependending on the camera-anything past 30 to 40 feet would probably have been in focus is the camera had a non-focusable lens. I suspect that it was a 35mm SLR. It would be nice to have some details about the camera.

When I blow up the thumbnail the object is less focused than the landmass or the vessel's bow. It's difficult to get much out of the thumbnail. Is there a full image available somewhere? Lots left out re information.

Kudos to the New Zealand Naval Museum for at least publishing the photos.

Best,

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

Alleged Roswell Crash Site

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 18:49:39 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 17:30:02 -0500
Subject: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

Listarians, Lurkers and Government Spooks,

A few weeks ago I joined my friend, Ed Gehrman, for a day trip to the infamous and former Roswell ranch of Mac Brazel, known as the Foster Ranch. Not only did we have a great time, but it had been quite awhile since I had been on an outing. Having to carry all my medical supplies made the SUV look like a MASH unit.
<VBG>

Ed has been concerned that Don Schmidt and Tom Carey had the location of the debris field and the crash site wrong. I've always thought that too, but have pretty much stayed away from the fracas until now. I believe the Sci-Fi Channel spent a lot of money to put a granite marker in the wrong place.

Before we set out on the research field trip, Ed and I enjoyed a long visit and covered all of the material relating to the site location. Several years ago, Dennis Balthaser and I visited the Bureau of Land Management in Roswell and received detailed maps of the area and the supposed crash site, which is still on BLM land.

Ed was using the MP's directions to the crash site this time around. However, we first went to the Foster ranch and the summer house Mac used to tend to his sheep and cattle. It is still in decent shape and I took a few photos of the area.

We then went off road using the same paths Mac would use to drive to the stock tanks. We located the stock tanks with ease (well, it was rough driving, actually) and on the way back found the two roads that cross that Mac claimed he used to get to the debris field. This needs a little more clarification. First, ranchers back then used travel-alls to literally make off road tracks around their property so it could be driven to haul materials. Mac Brazel did this too and he used two roads he made to get to his stock tanks. The reason for the two roads was that one or the other would be good to use after a rain or snow.

Coming back down from the debris field, we located the two roads that crossed. Mac had ridden his horse past the two roads that crossed and up to the stock tanks and the debris field. Remember, it had been raining the night before.

From this position we followed the road until we relocated the road that Ed had found earlier. It matches the description of the area by the MP. Two roads converge to one and straight across the road is the two windmills Schmidt and Carey couldn't locate.

We followed the road to the next ranch house from which the crash site was seen and proceeded up BLM land to the site. Specimens were taken for later analysis.

Like Ed, I am convinced that Ed has pinpointed the location of the crash site much more exactly than Schmidt and Carey. I realize this will cause all kinds of controversy.

For what it is worth, I've given Ed Gehrman the benefit of the doubt twice now. His research was extremely thorough and well

laid out both times. In my personal opinion, Ed's critics need to have something a lot more convincing to throw at him than their opinions. Ed's done great field research till now and is only stymied due to money and the inability of seasoned researchers to come and see for themselves.

Thank you Ed and great work! See you next year and hopefully a few seasoned field researchers will avail themselves to join us on a repeat trip to the site.

Wendy Connors
www.fadeddiscs.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64

From: Robert Barrow <rbarr.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 14:56:43 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 17:34:58 -0500
Subject: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64

Wendy Connors' Night Journeys in Ufology:

UFOLOGY: A Primer in Audio 1947-1964 (Second Edition, Volume 01)
Copyright 2006 (Nov) by Wendy A. Connors

Total running time exceeds 25 hours (25:10:06), 33 tracks,
digital print guide, mp3 format

To order:

<http://www.fadeddiscs.com/primer2.html>

Reviewed
by
Robert Barrow

Tucked lovingly among 33 entries on this latest release from Faded Discs is an especially gratifying labor of audio appreciation - six lengthy or near-complete 1958-59 episodes of Long John Nebel's "Party Line" broadcasts. Originating from WOR Radio in New York City, Nebel's late-night to early morn' show pre-dated Art Bell and other pretenders to the overnight throne of the strange by decades. Long John, hardly one to miss the commercial aspects of his nightly venture, eventually wrote the book, "Way out World" and released an LP record of brief conversations with some of his noteworthy guests.

Nebel had a penchant for the famous UFO contactees of the fifties, the ultimate assurance for good ratings with an airwave carnival show, and at one time or another must have featured all of them. But he also wisely included legendary and respected UFO researchers. The surprise in this collection is that, while most amateur recordings of Nebel's show distributed from almost any nook or cranny over the years sounded fair to horrible, Wendy Connors has successfully lavished these recordings with quality digital re-mastering, and for the most part they sound very good. The Jackie Gleason guest segment is an incredible find - but more on that later.

The first Long John program here features famed biologist and zoologist Ivan T. Sanderson, making a case for his theory that UFOs may be biological entities. Connors comments in the digital print guide that, unknown to many even today, Sanderson once served as an intelligence operative and spy for the U.S. and Great Britain. Sanderson begins by reminding listeners and other guests that science "is supposed to investigate the unknown, not the known," soon moving on to explore his UFO theories and inserting that anything moving as quickly as the UFO "must have intelligence," though he believes "they are intelligently directed from within."

Another Nebel entry features a classic 1950s UFO encounter where officials deny the obvious to the public. In this case, Pan American Airlines passenger Jay Glick tells the radio audience of his recent flight between NY and Puerto Rico. At around 3:15 a.m., window passenger Glick saw "a solid-looking, luminous, large object with a greenish core to it, very bright, very large, moving at a fantastic speed...it looked as though it was

going to hit the plane exactly." Glick reports a "tremendous roaring noise," seemingly from the object. Apparently, the pilot noticed, too, and threw the plane into a sharp ascent, thrusting passengers from their seats and into the aisles as collision appeared imminent.

Suddenly, however, Glick's shock turned to amazement as the object also ascended, leveled off and then reversed course and disappeared into the night. Almost all of the passengers witnessed this encounter, according to Glick, and some were hospitalized for hysteria and minor injuries.

About an hour after landing, airline officials kindly but firmly tell startled passengers that the airline regrets this incident "caused by a meteor," and when two passengers protest and ask how the airline could possibly think this was a meteor incident, the same words were repeated by officials. Additionally, Glick reports, seven other airline pilots saw the object and all agreed it was very unusual as each radioed their reports to the San Juan airport.

During a "Party Line" show running over four hours here, the infamous Armstrong Circle Theater incident where Major Donald Keyhoe's words had just been censored receives lots of discussion. Importantly, Nebel speaks by phone with the program's writer, who explains what he believes happened. Later on, and this is pure history, Nebel speaks with Kenneth Arnold at length, a day after Arnold declined to appear on the same Armstrong Circle Theater show because of his concerns about the truth being told because the script underwent constant revisions. Arnold had literally left NY just hours before the live program aired, leaving the show's writer to fill in. Speaking with Nebel, Arnold is clearly ticked off, hardly something one expects from the "flying saucer" man. Keyhoe and Sanderson are also aboard for this show.

Connors, attempting to concentrate on Nebel's serious UFO shows, also includes airings which question whether UFOs are man-made on earth, the origins of NICAP, and even the subject of little green men is broached in an unexpectedly sober manner. The listener will note episodes where guest and science fiction writer Lester del Rey, an ardent UFO skeptic, more accurately a downright debunker, argues incessantly with Ivan Sanderson and others, refusing to give the UFO subject any credibility at all.

On the other hand, pioneering UFO researcher Isabel Davis appears with del Rey on one show and states, "One question that fascinates me...is the resistance to the idea of extraterrestrial visitors...then to take the next step and say perhaps they have already come, this encounters a very curious type of resistance that I do not quite understand, why there is this kind of planting their feet and saying, oh yes, perhaps next week - but not yesterday, it couldn't have happened yesterday."

However, of all the Long John Nebel shows on this mp3 disc, the listener's favorite may well be the one that surprises most - Jackie Gleason vs. Gray Barker, with Gleason clearly winning his side of the contactee issue. With Nebel and commentator Frank Edwards in the studio, and Gleason and Barker on the phone (the first 3-way conference phone call in radio history, according to Connors), Gleason is feisty and takes Barker to task for supporting absurd contactee claims (remember, in these early years the profoundly serious UFO abductee phenomenon had not yet surfaced credibly in the U.S.), particularly those of Howard Menger. Known primarily as a comedian and actor, Jackie Gleason was also - quietly - a proud member of the National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena (NICAP), and here expresses a vast knowledge of the UFO subject as he pounds Barker again and again with logic as this show captivates our attention for almost an hour.

Having learned that Barker seemed to believe that "space people" were going to take him on a ride soon, there's no stopping Gleason as his wit and multiple zingers spew forth:

Gleason: "You are the publisher! You give credence to (contactees) in the books. I don't object to your publishing a book - what I do object to is the publishing of a book and giving credence to what is contained in the book!"

Barker: "I don't believe, Mr. Gleason, that I..."

Gleason: "I wish you would call me Jackie."

Barker: "Well, if I may, I'll be very glad to..."

Gleason: "Why not? If a saucer's going to pick you up, you can call me Jackie."

Edwards eventually joins in the verbal carnage as Barker is reduced to shrunken-head size before our very ears, unable to overcome the absurdity of his own beliefs. "I would love to speak to Howard Menger and ask him," continues Gleason, "doesn't he feel terrible, married to a woman who went with another guy from Mars?"

"That," replies Barker authoritatively, "was Howard Menger in another reincarnation." Predictably, this barn door-sized opening in Barker's nonsensical utterances allows Gleason more than ample entrance to forge ahead and explore the absurdities of other contactees, such as George Adamski and Reinhold Schmidt.

(Many years ago, following the end of his popular "Tomorrow" show on NBC-TV, media legend Tom Snyder spent a few months doing his own syndicated evening radio talk show out of Los Angeles. One night, his guest was a Gleason biographer. This reviewer phoned the show and inquired of the writer if he knew Gleason had been not only a NICAP member, interested very much in the UFO subject, but was rumored to have one of the largest UFO-related libraries in the world. His response, if he was as sincere as he sounded, indicated total unawareness about any of this.)

Despite what seems from this review a preoccupation with Long John Nebel on the disc, there are numerous other matters addressed in Wendy Connors' latest array of digital nuggets. From 1947, Connors excavates and cleans up what she states is "the first public affairs program on the Flying Disc phenomena" in the U.S. and U.K. At this early stage, the saucers are discussed in a light vein, featuring brief interviews with numerous sighting witnesses. But long ago or not, one can usually be sure that the standard debunking expert will show up to give the program "balance," and in this case it's disbelieving scientist A.M. Lowe who explains away and dismisses the subject. Another rare piece of history here involves an interview with paranormal writer R. Dewitt Miller, who believes the public was experiencing "disc mania," but, despite healthy skepticism, he lends credence to the reports of Kenneth Arnold and an airline pilot.

Offering a further taste of UFO public interest in the earlier years, excerpts from broadcasts of "Take it or Leave it," "The Jack Parr Show and "Fibber McGee & Molly" are included.

American TV journalism icon Edward R. Murrow tackles UFOs in a rare 1950 program presented by Connors with extraordinary audio clarity. Kenneth Arnold is interviewed, as is the irascible debunking astronomer Donald Menzel. The vice-president of Lockheed Corp. tells Murrow that he's confident the U.S. could build a flying saucer - but not at the current time. Scientists, engineers, believers and skeptics are included, and one man on the street, asked for his opinion about saucers, replies, "I lived in North Carolina in 1903 when the reporter for the 'New York World' was fired for reporting that the Wright Brothers flew." In conclusion, Murrow stolidly remarks, "Frankly, we don't know the answer. We wonder, do you believe in flying saucers?"

"Things in the Sky," a rare 1952 production by John Keel (stationed in Germany during the Korean War) on UFOs for the Armed Forces Radio Services is again served up in this new collection, the difference this time being that Connors has since been able to upgrade her equipment to produce significantly better audio quality. In this reviewer's opinion, having heard the various reincarnations of this audiologically challenging piece, she worked her ears to the bone on this one.

1952's "Medical File: UFO," an Australian docudrama from 1952 shows up on this disc, and a 1953 edition of "America's Town Meeting" presents Major Keyhoe and an historical point in

history where we learn that the famous Utah (Newhouse) UFO film was "almost" released publicly, but then officials declined. In fact, the Utah film doesn't surface until 1956, in the United Artists documentary motion picture, "U.F.O."

"Family Theater: UFO," a remarkably well-written program with a religious edge from 1954, dramatizes first contact involving radar blips, with shades of movies such as "The Day the Earth Stood Still" and the far-future "Close Encounters of the Third Kind" very much in evidence.

One of Gray Barker's few radio shows from his home of Clarksburg, West VA, is included (1957), proving that he did have the ability to spotlight subjects of some credibility, when he wasn't otherwise spending his hours in pursuit of obvious hoaxes, delusions, topics of the eternally unproven, and people of highly questionable repute.

Of considerable importance is Connors' inclusion of "The Armstrong Circle Theater: UFO, the Enigma of the Skies" program from 1958, the show where Major Donald E. Keyhoe's comments were briefly censored, allegedly because he deviated from the script. This is the program that even Kenneth Arnold refused to appear on at the last minute. In a follow-up program, journalist Mike Wallace interviews Keyhoe about both the censorship and NICAP's function.

Additionally in this extremely vibrant collection is a radio program by researcher Robert Barry (1959), two tracks of Norbert Gariety's "Space Station" (1959 and 1961), and a great unpleasant encounter between Major Keyhoe and Lt. Col Lawrence Tacker, Air Force public information officer on the "The Dave Garroway Show" in 1960. Joe Pyne, whom this interviewer recalls was an ex-Marine, rumored to be packing a firearm even in the studio, verbally annihilates the Rev. Frank Stranges. The good Dr. Stranges should have known better than to expect the gruff Pyne to give his new book, "Stranger at the Pentagon," a glowing endorsement. A number of other programs and interviews complete this latest Faded Discs release, and five with veteran UFO researcher Earl J. Neff are especially worthwhile.

As always, Wendy Connors has sampled the best of everything audible to put spoken UFO history into a class of its own.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 16:22:07 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 17:37:19 -0500
Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Ledger

>From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 09:26:11 -0700
>Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 9 Nov 2006 18:44:50 -0000
>>Subject: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

>>Hi List,

>>Jere's quote from - Today on SPACE.com

>>-----

>>http://www.space.com/searchforlife/seti_shostak_tv_061109-1.html

>>Thursday November 9, 2006

>>Listening for ET's Television

>>"Given that stars in our neighborhood are separated by about 4
>>light-years, it's easy to figure that roughly 10 thousand star
>>systems have been exposed to "I Love Lucy" in the past five
>>decades."

>>-----

>>Obvious isn't it?

>Hi Ray,

>Well, I don't know how obvious it is, but the order of
magnitude is correct. A population of 10,000 stars with a mean
separation of 4 light-years would occupy a volume of about
640,000 cubic light years, with a diameter of about 86 light-
years. After five decades the "I Love Lucy" sphere has a
diameter of 100 light years, so an estimate of 10,000 star
systems within it is not unreasonable, and may even be on the
low side.

>As to its relation to the Fermi Paradox, perhaps the "I Love
Lucy" signal is so terrifying that it is driving any advanced
civilizations that encounter it into hiding. Lucy and Ricky,
subjugating the galaxy by proxy!

More likely the fear - perhaps revulsion - might stem from Ricky
hammering away at his Congo drum and screaming Babaloo. When rap
"music?" gets out there they will probably write us off anyway.

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 10](#)

Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 14:46:17 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 17:38:32 -0500
Subject: Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Shell

>From: Joachim Koch <lists.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 9 Nov 2006 22:18:55 +0100
>Subject: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs?

>Have we ever discussed the fact that if there were no Moon
>landings - or at least only one - no Moon UFOs, surface
>anomalies etc. would exist and that all the evidence from the
>transmissions, videos and stills would be non valid, too?

That's not exactly accurate. Transient Lunar Phenomena (TLP) has been seen for a long time, and has nothing to do with our landings. There are loads of reports about odd flashes of light, funny clouds and shadows, etc., appearing either on the Moon or in the space between us and the Moon.

Before there were aircraft, anything luminous or structured appearing between us and the Moon would obviously be pretty weird.

Hey, how about this symbol?

<http://www.sptimes.com/2004/05/17/photos/moon.gif>

Does it symbolize a star or light of some kind mysteriously appearing within the darkness of the new moon? Maybe. That would certainly a noteworthy event.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 22:58:40 +0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 06:48:40 -0500
Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio

>From: Robert Barrow <rbarr.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 14:56:43 -0500
>Subject: Review Of Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64

>Wendy Connors' Night Journeys in Ufology:

>UFOLOGY: A Primer in Audio 1947-1964 (Second Edition, Volume 01)
>Copyright 2006 (Nov) by Wendy A. Connors

>Total running time exceeds 25 hours (25:10:06), 33 tracks,
>digital print guide, mp3 format

>To order:

><http://www.fadeddiscs.com/primer2.html>

>Reviewed
>by
>Robert Barrow

>Tucked lovingly among 33 entries on this latest release from
>Faded Discs is an especially gratifying labor of audio
>appreciation - six lengthy or near-complete 1958-59 episodes of
>Long John Nebel's "Party Line" broadcasts. Originating from WOR
>Radio in New York City, Nebel's late-night to early morn' show
>pre-dated Art Bell and other pretenders to the overnight throne
>of the strange by decades. Long John, hardly one to miss the
>commercial aspects of his nightly venture, eventually wrote the
>book, "Way out World" and released an LP record of brief
>conversations with some of his noteworthy guests.

Robert,

To give credit where credit is due, the Long John Nebel WOR
Radio tapes were supplied to Wendy by me from old NICAP reel-to-
reel tapes recorded by Lex Mebane of CSI of New York. So were
some of the other tapes mentioned.

Wendy is doing a fabulous job and I m one of her biggest fans.
But I think some important history is being lost by not
indicating how the tapes came to be in her possession. This is
only a quibble because the preservation and availability of the
interviews/programs is the most important thing.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 00:45:49 -0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 06:58:52 -0500
Subject: Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Dickenson

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 14:46:17 -0600 (CST)
>Subject: Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs?

<snip>

>Before there were aircraft, anything luminous or structured
>appearing between us and the Moon would obviously be pretty
>weird.

<snip>

Yes Tim, too right.

There's a sort of amnesia about the long list of sightings - by
educated observers - that are suppressed as "old stuff" by those
who also dismiss newer sightings as just "new stuff". See -

<http://www.perceptions.couk.com/uef/fort11.txt>

Seems no evidence is worthy of the attention of those who don't
want to consider that evidence.

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Flying Object Spooks Man

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 07:15:44 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 07:15:44 -0500
Subject: Flying Object Spooks Man

Source: The Sun Journal - Lewiston, Maine, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/ydv8bg>

Saturday, November 11, 2006

Flying Object Spooks Man

By Maggie Gill-Austern - Staff Writer

INDUSTRY - Former naval intelligence crypto-tech Brad Luker is a down-to-earth kind of guy. At 40, he's a father and a husband, works as a power plant operator, and walks the straight and narrow. He doesn't believe in Bigfoot, aliens or the Loch Ness monster.

So when he saw strange bright lights in the sky above him Tuesday night, he assumed it was a helicopter, or maybe a small plane. Only when he opened the door to his truck, expecting to hear the whir of chopper blades above him, did he start to wonder what the craft could be.

"It was really bizarre," he said. "I've never seen anything like it."

"I do a lot of camping, and I've seen all the basic stuff (in the sky)," he said. Most strange things in the sky are high up in the air, he said. "This was way, way down here."

It was so low to the ground, and so brightly lit, at first he thought there must be something going on at the Industry town hall. "I thought 'wow, that's kinda neat,'" Luker said.

As he got closer, though, Luker realized the lights were coming from something about 300 feet above him. That was when he pulled over, and opened his door. It sounded like a quiet jet engine. Luker was mystified, and a little nervous.

A woman driving in an SUV behind him saw it, too, Luker said. He never got her name, and wishes now that he had. She said she thought it looked like an aircraft trying to land on the road, Luker said.

"I know the Navy has some real funky special top-secret aircraft out there," he said. "That's the only thing I could think that it could be."

Then it stopped - right above his head, for a few seconds. "That's when it really freaked me out," he said. He'd have thought it was a spaceship, he said, except he doesn't believe in spaceships.

It could be a UFO, Leland Bechtel, former director of Maine's chapter of the Mutual UFO Network (or MUFON), said Thursday.

"There has been a lot of activity there in the past," Bechtel said. He did an investigation in Farmington a few years ago, he said. Three college students - all very respectable - saw something somewhat similar to what Luker saw. "This thing came directly over them, and stopped, with a powerful floodlight right down on them."

Police said it might be a helicopter, Bechtel said. But most people can tell when they're seeing a helicopter, and when they're not.

Could what Luker saw be a UFO? "It certainly has earmarks of being an unidentified flying object," Bechtel said. "And we don't know what they are. We don't know where they come from."

Bechtel, like Luker, is a well-respected man. He taught psychology at Bates College in Lewiston for years and only became involved in the world of UFOs by accident, after he began hearing stories of sighting by people he considered sane, and credible.

"I've investigated scores of reported sightings in Maine," he said, "by some of the finest, most respectable people that I've met, and they're not kidding, and they're not deluded."

He's not sure what they are, nor convinced they're from outer space. "I'm open to all possibilities," he said. "I think it just stands to reason that some of the sightings have been experimental aircraft of our own government. But there are plenty of others that do not appear, to me, to be anything that we have developed."

"I started very skeptical. I'm not skeptical anymore," Bechtel said. "I just have questions, rather than answers. But I do feel certain there is reality there that needs to be investigated."

Luker, too, has no answers. "I talked to the police and (the dispatcher) said even if the military was flying some special mission, they wouldn't tell us anyway. I felt kinda foolish." Calls to Brunswick Naval Air Station were not returned Friday.

Dispatchers in Franklin, Androscoggin, Oxford, Somerset and Kennebec counties said they received no calls about strange objects in the sky Tuesday night.

"I assumed if I saw it, a couple other people might see it," Luker said. "As I drove away I was thinking hey, maybe they gave me some sort of special intelligence," he joked. "I told my wife I wished they gave me the Powerball numbers." He laughed.

In the end, Luker said, he thinks it was probably a military plane. "But I don't know why they would fly it that low, and I don't know why they would be out in Industry, Maine," he said. "It really doesn't make sense. But that's the only thing I can think of, because I really don't believe in spaceships, or anything like that."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 07:22:41 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 07:48:23 -0500
Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 22:58:40 +0000
>Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64

>>From: Robert Barrow <rbarr.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 14:56:43 -0500
>>Subject: Review Of Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64

>>Wendy Connors' Night Journeys in Ufology:

>>UFOLOGY: A Primer in Audio 1947-1964 (Second Edition, Volume 01)
>>Copyright 2006 (Nov) by Wendy A. Connors

>>Total running time exceeds 25 hours (25:10:06), 33 tracks, digital
>>print guide, mp3 format

>>To order:

>><http://www.fadeddiscs.com/primer2.html>

>>Reviewed
>>by
>>Robert Barrow

>>Tucked lovingly among 33 entries on this latest release from
>>Faded Discs is an especially gratifying labor of audio
>>appreciation - six lengthy or near-complete 1958-59 episodes of
>>Long John Nebel's "Party Line" broadcasts. Originating from WOR
>>Radio in New York City, Nebel's late-night to early morn' show
>>pre-dated Art Bell and other pretenders to the overnight throne
>>of the strange by decades. Long John, hardly one to miss the
>>commercial aspects of his nightly venture, eventually wrote the
>>book, "Way out World" and released an LP record of brief
>>conversations with some of his noteworthy guests.

>Robert,

>To give credit where credit is due, the Long John Nebel WOR
>Radio tapes were supplied to Wendy by me from old NICAP reel-to-
>reel tapes recorded by Lex Mebane of CSI of New York. So were
>some of the other tapes mentioned.

>Wendy is doing a fabulous job and I m one of her biggest fans.
>But I think some important history is being lost by not
>indicating how the tapes came to be in her possession. This is
>only a quibble because the preservation and availability of the
>interviews/programs is the most important thing.

Hi Richard,

You're raised an important issue and I agree. There is a need to
preserve all of the recorded audio material and make sure it
doesn't get lost in the dust of time, and Wendy's effort has
become one of the strongest in our field and probably the most
accessible.

I am given credit as the source for some of Wendy's material,

but I am nothing more than a collector of material that I freely share. I have "collected" many from other people, and includes a number of the NICAP recordings that I had transferred to cassette format. Those cassettes, along with many others from various sources and programs, were provided and returned after Wendy had generated copies.

It is through the efforts of many un-named researchers that these recordings survive and are available, and Lex Mebane deserves credit for his effort.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Morningstar

From: Robert Morningstar <robert_morningstar.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 19:16:28 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 07:50:28 -0500
Subject: Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Morningstar

>From: Joachim Koch <lists.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 9 Nov 2006 22:18:55 +0100
>Subject: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs?

>Have we ever discussed the fact that if there were no Moon landings - or at least >only one - no Moon UFOs, surface anomalies etc. would exist and that all the >evidence from the transmissions, videos and >stills would be non valid, too?

Mr. Koch,

What you cite above is in no way "a fact"... It is simply your opinion.

An erroneous opinion, by the way.

Robert Morningstar

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

UFO Conference In Las Vegas

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 07:54:48 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 07:54:48 -0500
Subject: UFO Conference In Las Vegas

Source: KLAS-TV - Las Vegas, Nevada, USA

<http://www.klas-tv.com/Global/story.asp?S=5663853>

Nov 10, 2006

UFO Conference In Las Vegas

UFO enthusiasts are familiar with the story of the Roswell incident, the reputed crash of a flying saucer in New Mexico back in 1947. It turns out Nevada has a crashed saucer story of its own.

Beginning Friday night, UFO researchers will present their findings at a conference being held at the Tuscany hotel on East Flamingo. The conference focuses on stories about crashes and cover ups.

One of the incidents to be featured this weekend is the crash of something strange near Devils Hole in the Amargosa Valley. Author Ryan Wood is the principal investigator of this case.

"I've been investigating a crash in Nye County, Nevada that occurred Aug. 5 1949 where a miner was mining and whizzing over his head came a 24-foot saucer shaped disc that crashed in front of him and two small ET's popped out and ran across the desert. And he picked up a piece of the metal and brought it back to Los Angeles. A reporter wrote a story about it and presented his evidence there," said Ryan Wood, author of Majic Eyes Only.

Wood says he's obtained some corroboration for the story but that he has a lot of work remaining. Also at this conference is physicist Stanton Friedman, the first researcher to explore the Roswell incident.

The public is invited to the conference, which kicks off Friday and runs thru Sunday.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Aliens In Our Midst

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 07:58:31 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 07:58:31 -0500
Subject: Aliens In Our Midst

Source: The Times - London, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/yxfofp>

November 11, 2006

Aliens In Our Midst

Fear of aliens =97 illegal or from Mars =97 is deep in our psyche, or so a new show claims, says Morgan Falconer

For all that we love to mock the marvellous preposterousness of The Quatermass Experiment, the British sci-fi TV series of the 1950s, it exerted a powerful grip on early TV viewers. Does anything today have the impact of its buried spacecraft and deformed hominids? Alien Nation, a new ICA exhibition curated by John Gill, Gilane Tawadros and Jens Hoffmann, explores the cultural myth-making behind aliens. It touches on the past, with posters of old sci-fi classics such as Invasion of the Body Snatchers and The Day the Earth Stood Still, but the heart of it is the work of 12 contemporary artists who all use futuristic imagery to address current reality.

The curators=92 big idea is that anxiety over instability in the Middle East, terrorist attacks in the West and the recent influx of migrants to Britain have been transformed by the media into new versions of the sci-fi nightmares of old. We are being invaded by new (illegal) aliens, bombed by dark (Muslim) forces, and contaminated by new (religious) viruses.

Yinka Shonibare presents one of the most pointed and interesting rejoinders to this kind of outlook by literalising the perception of migrants as =93aliens=94: Dysfunctional Family is an alien wife-and-two-kids, their skin formed from batik, a fabric that was originally imported from Indonesia yet is now seen as typically African.

Henna Nadeem presents collages of an old England transformed into an alien landscape, pictures from Country Life being used as the ground for abstract patterns that make over the familiar in uncertain ways. The artist Marepe presents the litter of this new landscape: computerised meteors that oddly resemble Christmas decorations.

The ensemble of contemporary art and 1950s memorabilia makes this an intriguing show, but it doesn=92t quite back up the curators=92 argument that Britain is in the grip of a new dread-fear of the alien. Apart from Shonibare=92s sculpture, which is somewhat abstract in its references, only Hamad Butt=92s film The Triffid joins together fantastic and real fears: its source is the deadly plant on the cover of John Wyndham=92s 1951 novel Day of the Triffids, which it moves on to link it to the fear of Aids. Surely, fear of disease is not the same thing as fear of racial contamination? Where, beyond some unsavoury rhetoric in the papers, are these new tales of alien invasion? Interestingly, finding such links was no problem for the critic

David Sylvester in the 1950s: as David Alan Mellor says in his excellent essay on British sci-fi in the show=92s catalogue, Sylvester compared the imagery in Quatermass to contemporary sculpture by Germaine Richier and painting by Francis Bacon and Graham Sutherland.

Popular sci-fi images date back barely a century, and never really had much influence on modern art. The concept of monsters, however, dates back millennia, at the very least to Ovid=92s tales of mythological transformation, Metamorphoses. Here, the fusion and transformation that the gods and men undergo often encapsulate a morality tale.

Later, though, in early modern Europe, monsters became ways of conceptualising the limits of possibility and thought. Images of humans with deformed ears, for instance, carry ideas we can all understand about different kinds of sensitivities. Consequently, perhaps, they are common in many cultures. The medieval mind did not consider being malformed in this manner to be necessarily evil: monsters merely pointed to the limits of Man=92s understanding of Nature, indeed, they were often considered avatars of the Almighty, who, in this line of thought, was the ultimate monster, a creator beyond the tiny imaginings of his creations.

The arrival of the Renaissance in some way marked a return to an earlier, pagan fear of monsters as omens of evil. Monsters were demoted from the status of sacred to that of exceptional other, and hence, centuries later, became intriguing to the Romantics. Yet as Tate Britain=92s recent show, Gothic Nightmares, demonstrated, those monsters were as much psychic as supernatural.

The aliens of science fiction are not categorically different from the monsters of old; they are simply deformations in a technological world. Jacob Epstein=92s Rock Drill, from 1913-14, is not a bugbear, a nightmare glimpsed in a flash, but a considered foreboding of the mechanisation of man.

The messages contained in such art is, perhaps, as valuable or as repugnant as the artists who create them. Quatermass, for instance, often seemed to have an unhealthy preoccupation with blackness, a barely veiled commentary on racial change in Britain. In one scene in Quatermass II, the Professor stands outside a pub and watches the sky fill with dark asteroids. =93They=92re coming in their thousands,=94 he says, =93this is it.=94

That may appear a reactionary attitude, yet as Mellor argues in his essay, one of the central figures in Quatermass and the Pit is the Jewish scientist Matthew Ronay, whose triumph is to resist the fascist racism of the Martians. Quatermass did reflect pessimism about the end-of-Britain-as-we-know-it, yet that was also tempered by good sense. One might see Alien Nation as the symptom of a different kind of pessimism about the rise of an intolerant Britain. And one could also argue that such pessimism is not warranted.

Alien Nation, the ICA, London SW1, (www.ica.org.uk 020-7930 3647) Friday to Jan 14.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in

From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 06:59:26 -0600
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 08:34:19 -0500
Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 07:22:41 -0500
>Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 22:58:40 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64

>>>From: Robert Barrow <rbarr.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 14:56:43 -0500
>>>Subject: Review Of Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64

>>>Wendy Connors' Night Journeys in Ufology:

<snip>

>It is through the efforts of many un-named researchers that
>these recordings survive and are available, and Lex Mebane
>deserves credit for his effort.

>Steve

Many deserve credit and honorable mention. Still, it seems Wendy Connors, ever earning her teak and rosewood fetish in my ufological pantheon, was the one to actually shove it into my CD player tray and onto my IPOD...

Thank you ma'am.

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>
U F O M a g a z i n e -- www.ufomag.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 08:19:01 EST
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 08:37:14 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 18:49:39 +0000
>Subject: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>Listarians, Lurkers and Government Spooks,

>A few weeks ago I joined my friend, Ed Gehrman, for a day trip
>to the infamous and former Roswell ranch of Mac Brazel, known as
>the Foster Ranch. Not only did we have a great time, but it had
>been quite awhile since I had been on an outing. Having to carry
>all my medical supplies made the SUV look like a MASH unit.
><VBG>

>Ed has been concerned that Don Schmidt and Tom Carey had the
>location of the debris field and the crash site wrong. I've
>always thought that too, but have pretty much stayed away from
>the fracas until now. I believe the Sci-Fi Channel spent a lot
>of money to put a granite marker in the wrong place.

Good Morning, Wendy, List, All -

Of course the fact that it was Bill Brazel who originally took Don and me out to the debris field has been overlooked. He had found debris in that area and he showed us where, specifically he had found it and described the gouge to us. Bud Payne, who had seen the area and the military working it, took us out there as well. Tommy Tyree, who had been working for Brazel described the area to us when we visited with him in Corona.

<snip>

>Ed was using the MP's directions to the crash site this time
>around. However, we first went to the Foster ranch and the
>summer house Mac used to tend to his sheep and cattle. It is
>still in decent shape and I took a few photos of the area.

So Ed's MP, whose name does not appear in the Yearbook, who did not take Ed out there but described the area to him takes precedence over Bill Brazel and Bud Payne who were both out there and who physically took us there. Interesting.

And yes, I have seen the two windmills, and another location where there were two large windmills and one smaller one. I have been to a second area where there are two windmills and I even have pictures standing on a bluff, overlooking the debris field looking back at the windmills, one of which was published in FATE magazine.

While I am sure that you and Ed did a good job of locating the terrain features described by the MP, I would think that having a witness who clearly was there take you to the place would trump that. In other words, it seems to me that having Brazel actually take us out there is the better source of information.

KRandle
(Say, take a look at my blog at KevinRandle.blogspot.com)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Dover's Demon An ET?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 10:28:55 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 10:28:55 -0500
Subject: Dover's Demon An ET?

Source: The Boston Globe - Massachusetts, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/ymdxdv>

October 29, 2006

Dover's Demon Lives On In Local Lore

By Mark Sullivan, Globe Correspondent

DOVER -Twenty-nine years later, William Bartlett stands by his story of what he saw on Farm Street that night. It was an eerie human-like creature, he said, about 4 feet tall with glowing orange eyes and no nose or mouth in a watermelon-shaped head.

The "Dover Demon" that Bartlett and two other teenagers reported seeing over a two-day span in April 1977 has since gained worldwide attention, not unlike Bigfoot, the Loch Ness monster, and the Latin American goat-sucker, the chupacabra.

Internet pages are devoted to the Dover Demon. You can play a video game featuring the creature, or buy a figurine of it as far away as Japan.

"In a lot of ways it's kind of embarrassing to me," said Bartlett. "I definitely saw something. It was definitely weird. I didn't make it up. Sometimes I wish I had."

He has made a career as a painter, his work displayed in galleries on both coasts, but a Google search on "Bill Bartlett," he noted, invariably turns up his teenage encounter with the unknown. Once, his wife, Gwen, browsing the horror section of a bookstore, flipped open an encyclopedia of monsters - and there was an entry about her husband and the Dover Demon.

"It's a thing that's been following me for years," Bartlett said. "Not the creature - the story. Sometimes I dread every Halloween getting calls about it."

On April 21, 1977, Bartlett, then 17, was driving along Farm Street at around 10 p.m. when, he said, he saw the creature atop a broken stone wall. Two hours later, according to news accounts from that time, John Baxter, 15, was walking home from his girlfriend's house when he got within 15 feet of the creature along a creek in a heavily wooded area along Miller Hill Road.

At midnight the next night, Abby Brabham, 15, was driving home with her boyfriend when she spotted the creature sitting upright on Springdale Avenue.

A drawing made by Baxter showed a humanoid figure with large eyes standing by a tree. Bartlett's large-eyed creature crawled with tendril-like fingers across a stone wall. "I, Bill Bartlett, swear on a stack of Bibles that I saw this creature," he wrote on the sketch.

The locations of the sightings, plotted on a map, lay in a

straight line over 2 1/2 miles. All the sightings were made in the vicinity of water.

No sightings have been reported since, though Bartlett says a weird experience a year later left him wondering if he had had a return visit from the creature

The following year, he said, he was in a parked car with his girlfriend when he heard a thump on the car. He made out a small figure leaving the scene. He remains unsure who - or what - banged the car, he said, though it could have been a youngster playing a prank.

Farm Street on a recent evening could have been a modern-day Sleepy Hollow, with woods lining the fieldstone walls, and what little light there was coming from the moon. Since at least the 17th century, the vicinity of the second-oldest road in Dover has been associated with strange occurrences.

In his 1914 town history, "Dover Farms," Frank Smith writes of Farm Street:

"In early times this road went around by the picturesque Polka rock [on the farm of George Battelle] which was called for a man by that name, of whom it is remembered, that amid the superstitions of the age he thought he saw his Satanic Majesty as he was riding on horseback by this secluded spot.

"The location has long been looked upon as one in which treasures are hid, but why anyone should go so far inland to hide treasures has never been told; however, there has been at times unmistakable evidence of considerable digging in the immediate vicinity of this rock."

Loren Coleman of Portland, Maine, a well-known cryptozoologist, or researcher of "hidden animals," from Sasquatch to sea serpents, led the original investigations into the Dover Demon, whose name he coined.

Studying Dover's history, Coleman said in a telephone interview, he was struck by the fact that the area in which the Demon was sighted had a tradition of unexplained activity.

"In the same area you had three major legends going on," he said, citing the apparition of the devil on horseback, the tales of buried treasure, and then the Dover Demon. "I think it certainly says something. It's almost as if there are certain areas that 'collect' sightings, almost in a magnetic way."

Coleman theorized that the large geologic outcropping in the woods off Farm Street that historian Smith called the "Polka stone might actually have been called the Pooka stone, after the fairy folk of Celtic folklore.

When the Dover Demon was sighted in 1977, it might not have been the first time a strange creature was spotted in the woods by local teenagers.

Mark Sennott of Sherborn, who was buying a bagel and coffee at Isabella's Groceria in Dover Center on a recent Saturday morning, said there was talk at Dover-Sherborn High School in the early 1970s of strange things seen in the woods.

In fact, Sennott said, he and his friends might have seen a "demon" themselves at Channing Pond on Springdale Avenue in 1972.

"I don't know if we really saw something," he said. "We thought we did. . . . We saw a small figure, deep in the woods, moving at the edge of the pond. We could see it moving in the headlights. We didn't know - it could have been an animal." Sennott said the group told police, who investigated, but "nothing came of it."

When Bartlett saw his creature five years later, he said, he was driving with two friends on Farm Street near Bridge Street on the way to Sherborn about 10 p.m. They hadn't had any beer: "We were probably looking for it," he said, "but we didn't have any that night."

Bartlett said the car was traveling maybe 35 to 40 miles per

hour when he saw the thing "standing on a wall, its eyes glowing" in the headlights. "It was not a dog or a cat," he said. "It had no tail. It had an egg-shaped head." He said he saw it from about 10 feet away, over the duration it took the car to travel from one utility pole to the next. His two friends did not report seeing the creature.

He grew up around animals, and had seen the odd mangy fox, Bartlett said. "This definitely wasn't," he said. "It was some kind of creature," with "long thin fingers" and "more human-like in its form than animal." Its shape reminded him of "kids with distended bellies," he said. "I've always tried to guess what it was. I never had any idea."

This was no prank, Bartlett said. "I wasn't trying to be funny. People who know me know I didn't make this up."

Coleman, who began an investigation within days of the sightings in 1977 and spotlights the Dover Demon case in the 2001 edition of his book "Mysterious America," believes Bartlett.

"We have a credible case, over 25 hours, by individuals who saw something," said Coleman, who interviewed all three teens within a week of the reported sightings and said he was convinced they had not concocted a hoax.

Nothing quite like the Demon has been reported seen before or since, he said. The Dover creature does not match the descriptions of the chupacabra, or of Roswell aliens, or of the bat-eared goblins said to have attacked a family in Hopkinsville, Ky., in 1955.

"It doesn't really fit any place," Coleman said. "It's extremely unique. It has no real connections to any other inexplicable phenomena."

Is it possible the teens actually saw a foal, or perhaps a moose calf, as some have suggested? Coleman said he canvassed local horse owners after the incident and none reported missing a horse. Moreover, it was not foaling season, he said.

As for the moose theory, only two moose were reported in Massachusetts in 1977 and 1978, both of them in Central Massachusetts, he said. A yearling moose by that time in April would weigh more than 600 pounds and be "bigger than the Volkswagen Bartlett was in," said Coleman.

"To have a bipedal moose with long fingers and orange skin and no hair and no nose would be more of a phenomenon than the Dover Demon," he said.

So what did those teens see? "It's OK to say we don't know," said Coleman.

"I think the Dover Demon's mystery lives on. It's an unknown phenomenon whose fame has stretched worldwide, and I think Dover should be very proud."

In Dover, a quiet community dotted with horse farms and one of the richest towns in the state, people are still not quite sure what to make of the story.

"That thing has haunted me for 29 years," said Carl Sheridan, a former police chief. "I knew the kids involved. They were good kids pretty reliable kids."

"God only knows" what they saw, Sheridan said. "I still don't know. Strange things have happened. The whole thing was unusual."

He got calls from all over the world when the case made the news, the former chief said, and he still does, from time to time. "The thing will not die," Sheridan said. "I'm telling you, the thing will not go away."

In Town Clerk Barrie Clough's office at Town Hall, municipal reports share shelf space with a file of materials related to the Dover Demon case, including a book titled, "Weird New England," and a newspaper clipping headlined, "Bizarre four-foot creature with orange skin and glowing eyes stalking a town."

"Every once in a while people will come in and ask about it," said Clough. "I have no idea if it's true or untrue."

Downtown Dover was decorated recently with pumpkins as children arrived for a Halloween fair, and a steady stream of regulars bought coffee and newspapers at Isabella's. Located in the old Dover Pharmacy, now with an Italian deli counter added to the old soda fountain, the grocery remains a town hub.

Behind the counter at Isabella's, Scott Bielski, 17, of Dover, a senior at Dover-Sherborn High, said the demon gives his small town a unique claim to fame. "'Home of the Dover Demon' has a nice ring to it," he said with a smile. As far as he knows, the creature had never stopped in to the soda fountain. "Let us know if he wants anything," he said.

A customer who gave his name as Jimmy said he has lived in town for four years but has yet to see the demon. "Maybe I will some day," he said. "I'm one of those realists - if I don't see it, I don't believe it."

Customer Ed Tourtellotte of Dover said: "I think it's probably as real as the Easter Bunny, but it's fun."

Nearly three decades after seeing something very strange on Farm Street, Bartlett has decidedly mixed feelings about the experience. "It was my 15 minutes of fame, without wanting it," he said. "It was little embarrassing. It still is."

He said he hasn't talked much to his two children, 8 and 5 years old, about the creature: "I don't want to scare them." And the professional artist has never drawn another picture of the thing he saw. "I don't have enough memory of it," he said. "I haven't wanted to. I'm a serious fine-arts painter. I don't want people to think I'm some freak.

"I don't usually tell anybody. I shouldn't be embarrassed, but you see these people on TV and they're made to look like idiots," he said. "I really do wish that I had made it up. I might have profited from it. It's a great story.

"I wish it was seen again so everyone would know it was true."

More about the Dover Demon is available at the Strange New England website:

strangene.com/monsters/dover.htm

or at Loren Coleman's website:

lorencoleman.com

[Thanks to Greg Boone for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Goldstein

From: Josh Goldstein <lovolution.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 05:54:06 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 12:10:03 -0500
Subject: Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Goldstein

>From: Terry Groff <terrygroff.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 09:25:15 -0600
>Subject: Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs?

>>From: Joachim Koch <lists.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 9 Nov 2006 22:18:55 +0100
>>Subject: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs?

>>Hi List,

>>Have we ever discussed the fact that if there were no Moon
>>landings - or at least only one - no Moon UFOs, surface
>>anomalies etc. would exist and that all the evidence from the
>>transmissions, videos and stills would be non valid, too?

>>Joachim

>Perhaps while we're at it we can discuss whether the Moon itself
>actually exists. <G>

><http://www.revisionism.nl/Moon/The-Mad-Revisionist.htm>

Aloha Terry,

I'll see the Mad Revisionist on the dark side of the Moon.
What part of Pink Floyd did he not understand? <G>

The madcap laughs. Remember Syd Barrett!

Josh Goldstein

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Goldstein

From: Josh Goldstein <lovolution.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 05:56:09 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 12:14:51 -0500
Subject: Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Goldstein

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 09:27:20 -0500
>Subject: Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs?

>>From: Joachim Koch <lists.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 9 Nov 2006 22:18:55 +0100
>>Subject: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs?

>>Have we ever discussed the fact that if there were no Moon
>>landings - or at least only one - no Moon UFOs, surface
>>anomalies etc. would exist and that all the evidence from the
>>transmissions, videos and stills would be non valid, too?

>Compelling evidence for me, at least, are the video sequences
>taken on the moon. Specifically:

- >1. The lunar rover wheels kicked up large amounts of
> dust, but the dust did not remain suspended in the
> 'air', which would have been the case had the
> sequences been taken in a studio on Earth.
- >2. The LEM-to-orbiter blast off footage showed obvious
> characteristics of a rocket engine operating in a vacuum:
> - no flame visible
> - no smoke in the 'air'
> - tiny particles, apparently bits of the LEM left behind,
> flying outward bullet-fast in straight trajectories
>
> If on Earth, the camera's field of view would have been
> filled immediately with smoke and dust kicked up from
> the immediate area.
- >3. The quality of the movements of the astronauts as they
> moved around clearly indicated they were in a gravity
> field much lower than Earth's, to my eye, anyway.

>4. That 'flag-rippling' sequence looked to me like mechanical
> oscillation, not air flowing past the flag.

>
>
>Eleanor White

Aloha und Guten Tag Joachim, mein freunde, und der UFO Updates
gruppen,

We have not posted to each other for sometime, perhaps not since
I lived in Berlin and we were far from seeing eye to eye I
believe you are saying that the moon landings were real.

Eleanor, I think you misinterpreted what Joachim was saying. His
point of view was that he believes the moon landings were real
and if they were not we would have not obtained various forms of
evidence.

Joachim, I differ with you whether any moon UFOs were actually

observed on the moon. To paraphrase what I wrote to Updates recently (and you will find in the archives), I worked at the National Air and Space Museum restoring aircraft and spacecraft through the 1970s. Starting about 1973 the director of the museum was Michael Collins, one of the three astronauts on the Apollo 11 (first moon landing mission).

In early 1976 I restored the command module capsule from that mission. Mr. Collins was the mission commander. He and I had a number of talks while I was restoring the capsule that he had piloted. We went through the entire trip to the moon and the return flight. He pointed out the functions of all the myriad of controls in the capsule and exactly what would be done when during the mission.

I asked him about UFOs and he felt that on his mission and later ones to that point no one saw what could be called UFOs except for a few pieces of space junk in orbit. He said they did not see any UFOs on the surface of the moon. I believed him and I certainly did not think he was lying to me.

Joachim, if you have hard evidence of UFOs on the moon please put that evidence on UFO Updates. I know there have been claims that they were seen but I have seen statements to dismiss those claims. Without searching I can't remember the details. In some special ceremonies at the museum to honor the moon astronauts I asked many of them if they thought any UFOs had been seen by Apollo astronauts on the moon or in space. They all said no. Perhaps they were all lying to me because that stuff was top secret. Who knows?

Eleanor, the jibberish of conspiracy mongers trying to claim that they believe the moon landings were faked and done in a movie studio are ridiculous nonsense. One of the perks of working for the National Air and Space Museum was getting free passes to watch the Saturn 5 launches from the VIP area. That was as close as you could get. Those launches were as real as real could be and they were not intended to go nowhere. That would have been a lot of trouble and a Hell of a lot of money wasted when you could have faked the launches in a studio.

To me the fake moon mission conspiracy nutcases are down in the scuzzy scum along with the nuts who claim that the USA was behind the World Trade Center mass murders and assorted other paranoiacs, including Hugo Chavez. He just came out with a statement that the USA caused the 9/11/01 murders. To him and others it does not matter that Osama bin Laden took credit for and bragged about that attack. It does not matter that Khalid Sheikh Mohammad, as the planner of the operation, admits to his role. I hope there is a special place in Hell for those terrorists and for all homicide bombers. They are not martyrs. They are mass murderers.

From lovely Makaha Valley, Hawaii,

Josh

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO Updates Main Index](#)

UFO Updates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Goldstein

From: Josh Goldstein <lovolution.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 06:06:49 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 12:16:28 -0500
Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Goldstein

>From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 09:26:11 -0700
>Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 9 Nov 2006 18:44:50 -0000
>>Subject: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

>>Jere's quote from - Today on SPACE.com

>>-----

>>http://www.space.com/searchforlife/seti_shostak_tv_061109-1.html

>>Thursday November 9, 2006

>>Listening for ET's Television

>>"Given that stars in our neighborhood are separated by about 4
>light-years, it's easy to figure that roughly 10 thousand star
>systems have been exposed to "I Love Lucy" in the past five
>decades."

>>-----

>>Obvious isn't it?

>Well, I don't know how obvious it is, but the order of magnitude
>is correct. A population of 10,000 stars with a mean separation
>of 4 light-years would occupy a volume of about 640,000 cubic
>light years, with a diameter of about 86 light-years. After five
>decades the "I Love Lucy" sphere has a diameter of 100 light
>years, so an estimate of 10,000 star systems within it is not
>unreasonable, and may even be on the low side.

>As to its relation to the Fermi Paradox, perhaps the "I Love
>Lucy" signal is so terrifying that it is driving any advanced
>civilizations that encounter it into hiding. Lucy and Ricky,
>subjugating the galaxy by proxy!

Mike,

But maybe aliens are in love with Ricky's music. Pretty soon
they will be getting the Three Stooges and wrestling for
cultural enlightenment. They will also be getting The Twilight
Zone, The Outer Limits, and Alfred Hitchcock presents. The
aliens will be highly entertained with Star Trek reaching them
soon.

I guess they are not beaming those shows back to earth or SETI
would be picking them up. Perhaps SETI does not receive in the
TV spectrum and they are missing the shows. <G>

Josh

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 16:25:03 +0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 12:18:08 -0500
Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio

For what it may be worth all the Long John Nebel recordings, sans one, came from my own collection. I had two copies of the Lex Mebane's recording of the LJNI show featuring Isabel Davis. One I got from Steve and the other from Richard. I used the one from Richard for this compilation.

There has never been any doubt that many of the recordings have come from other researchers collections and I've made this known many, many times. As a matter of fact, I've given FUFOR copies of material to do with as they please. Donated recordings are transferred to CD in full audio format and given to the donor as a thank you and over 40 copies of every compilation goes to people who have donated material at some time or other. Believe me, more gratis copies leave here than donations that come in and I can certainly prove it.

All I do is remaster and preserve the material in an order useful to researchers. Nothing more. Nothing less.

Wendy
www.fadeddiscs.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Connors

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 16:52:17 +0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 12:24:24 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Connors

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 08:19:01 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 18:49:39 +0000
>>Subject: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

Hi Kevin,

It was Schmidt and Carey that I indicated had the wrong place,
not you. However, it seems the MP's directions were correct also
and that in itself, is interesting.

Wendy
www.fadeddiscs.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 19:12:43 +0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 16:11:48 -0500
Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 22:58:40 +0000
>Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64

>>From: Robert Barrow <rbarr.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 14:56:43 -0500
>>Subject: Review Of Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64

>>Wendy Connors' Night Journeys in Ufology:

>>UFOLOGY: A Primer in Audio 1947-1964 (Second Edition, Volume 01)
>>Copyright 2006 (Nov) by Wendy A. Connors

>>Total running time exceeds 25 hours (25:10:06), 33 tracks,
>>digital print guide, mp3 format

>>To order:

>><http://www.fadeddiscs.com/primer2.html>

>>Reviewed
>>by
>>Robert Barrow

<snip>

>Robert,

>To give credit where credit is due, the Long John Nebel WOR
>Radio tapes were supplied to Wendy by me from old NICAP reel-to-
>reel tapes recorded by Lex Mebane of CSI of New York. So were
>some of the other tapes mentioned.

I understand that my comments have inadvertently created a
problem for Wendy with people besieging her for minute details
of the history of the tapes. Give her a break! We have been
discussing it offline, and she sometimes receives multiple
copies of one tape from various people. It is very difficult for
her to keep track of all the details.

My one and only intended point was that if a tape clearly came
from the NICAP collection, CSI of N.Y., or etc., that should be
mentioned. Apparently she has been doing so to some degree, so
my premise may have been false. Anyway, be happy that she is
preserving the tapes and making them available.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Nick Pope Resigns From MOD

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 17:29:56 -0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 16:19:45 -0500
Subject: Nick Pope Resigns From MOD

As many people are aware, I recently resigned from the Ministry of Defence. The media coverage of this has been extensive, but not entirely accurate. I thought I would explain the situation.

I made the decision to leave early this year, but for a number reasons did not formally submit my resignation until early September. My last day in government service was 31 October. I've greatly enjoyed my 21 years in the MOD - and in particular my time running the UFO project - but left in order to devote more time to my various business interests.

In view of the media interest in my career, I issued a short press release, simply stating that I'd resigned. The Press Association saw this, interviewed me and ran a story which was then picked up by most of the UK's national newspapers. I was subsequently interviewed on numerous TV and radio shows.

I haven't resigned because of my belief in an imminent alien invasion, as has been reported in certain media outlets. That said, I remain convinced that the UFO phenomenon raises important defence and national security issues, and believe sightings should be investigated in a proper scientific way.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio

From: Theo Paijmans <th.paijmans.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 22:39:03 +0100
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 17:30:04 -0500
Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio

>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 16:25:03 +0000
>Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64

<snip>

>All I do is remaster and preserve the material in an order
>useful to researchers. Nothing more. Nothing less.

And you should be lauded for that important undertaking - and herewith I thank you for your commendable work in preserving important UFO history. This is an underrated and unfortunately undervalued aspect of Ufology - preserving its history, its documents its findings, that, perhaps, might one day make it real science.

For me the distinction between ufology as passionate pastime and Ufology as science is exactly that: the preservation of its past. Every scientific discipline has records of its development, its past, its findings; ufology for the most part has not.

Kind regards,

Theo Paijmans

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 11](#)

Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Groff

From: Terry Groff <terrygroff.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 15:39:56 -0600
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 17:31:25 -0500
Subject: Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs? - Groff

>From: Josh Goldstein <lovolution.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 05:54:06 -0800
>Subject: Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs?

>>From: Terry Groff <terrygroff.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 09:25:15 -0600
>>Subject: Re: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs?

>>>From: Joachim Koch <lists.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 9 Nov 2006 22:18:55 +0100
>>>Subject: No Moon Landings = No Moon UFOs?

>>>Hi List,

>>>Have we ever discussed the fact that if there were no Moon
>>>landings

<snip>

>>>Joachim

>>>Perhaps while we're at it we can discuss whether the Moon
itself
>>>actually exists. <G>

>>><http://www.revisionism.nl/Moon/The-Mad-Revisionist.htm>

>Aloha Terry,

>I'll see the Mad Revisionist on the dark side of the Moon.
>What part of Pink Floyd did he not understand? <G>

>The madcap laughs. Remember Syd Barrett!

>Josh Goldstein

I've often wondered if Syd had a Saucerful Of Secrets
somewhere in the dark recesses of his brain. Could be why he
went bonkers. <g>

Terry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 16:36:43 -0600
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 09:02:47 -0500
Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Scheldroup

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 9 Nov 2006 18:44:50 -0000
>Subject: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

><snip>

<http://www.daviddarling.info/encyclopedia/Z/zoohypoth.html>

Zoo hypothesis

"One way this monitoring could be carried out would be through automatic equipment surreptitiously located on the Earth or elsewhere in the solar system which relays back to its masters news of our progress."

Reference

1. Ball, J. A. "The Zoo Hypothesis," Icarus, 19, 347 (1973).

<http://www.thefreedictionary.com/autonomous>

au-ton-o-mous (o-tn-ms)
adj.

<http://www.thefreedictionary.com/sentry>

sen-try (sntr)
n. pl. sen-tries

John

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

Cosford MoD Page On-Line

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 00:03:22 +0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 09:04:25 -0500
Subject: Cosford MoD Page On-Line

Dear Listers,

The MoD page is now complete and can be viewed via the Cosford menu at:

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford/>

I have also tried to improve the appearance using my very limited knowledge of html, and Dan Bright has helped me with the new site entry page (not a major change, but tidier than I could achieve!).

The remainder of the Cosford pages will be added, but it is likely to take several weeks due to increasing work commitments, and my seasonal job in Santa's workshop.

Feedback is encouraged, either about the aesthetics or the content of the pages.

Regards,

Joe

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio

From: Roderick Dyke <RodBD.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 19:04:46 EST
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 09:08:10 -0500
Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio

Over the years, yes years, Wendy Connors has accomplished more than many if not most researchers to preserve UFO history. In this case its the audio record of the whole spectrum of Ufological History.

I applaud her non-stop efforts to preserve these recording before they are no longer in any shape to be heard ever again. Her tireless work to digitize the many rare and sometimes 'lost' recording that originate on the many formats as they have been found. These include everything from wire recordings (the precursor to tape recordings), radio transcription discs, 3, 5 and 7 inch tape recordings and cassettes. All of which she has researched the best way to transfer to digital formats that we all are familiar now. Finding the original equipment to even play the earliest recordings have been a challenge in itself.

I personally know that Wendy's inspired and time consuming efforts are truly and act of love for the whole UFO research community.

Everyone on this List should, in my opinion, own a set of these important historical recordings that she has made available on audio CDs. Go to:

<http://www.fadeddiscs.com/>

It should be noted that she doesn't break even financially on her efforts. Your order for her CDs and even a donation will help her efforts immensely. We support her important work and look forward to each and every release.

Keep up the great work Wendy!

Rod

Roderick Dyke
Archives for UFO Research [AUFOR]
13371 Manzanita Road NE
Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110 USA

Member: Society of American Archivists [SSA]
Life Member: Manuscript Society

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 01:00:46 +0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 09:10:58 -0500
Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio

>From: Theo Paijmans <th.paijmans.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 22:39:03 +0100
>Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64

>>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 16:25:03 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64

<snip>

>And you should be lauded for that important undertaking - and
>herewith I thank you for your commendable work in preserving
>important UFO history. This is an underrated and unfortunately
>undervalued aspect of Ufology - preserving its history, its
>documents its findings, that, perhaps, might one day make it
>real science.

>For me the distinction between ufology as passionate pastime and
>Ufology as science is exactly that: the preservation of its
>past. Every scientific discipline has records of its
>development, its past, its findings; ufology for the most part
>has not.

>Kind regards,

>Theo Paijmans

My Dear Friend Theo,

Thank you for your generous and very kind words of support for the project. It has been a long time since we've emailed one to the other. Did you get the donation copies? I sent two, as I didn't know if the first arrived.

Email more often, my friend! It's been a long haul since the first UFO History meeting in Chicago in 1999. Much has progressed since then. :-)

Best Always,

Wendy
www.fadeddiscs.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

From: **Dimitris Hatzopoulos** <dhatz-ufu.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 05:15:22 +0200
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 09:16:31 -0500
Subject: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

Next year, it'll be the 60th anniversary from the day that Kenneth Arnold's sighting marked the modern flying saucer era. I've been a student of the subject for just the past few months, but as I look at the history and progress of the UFO subject over the decades, I'd say it seems stuck.

Of course, new sightings happen every day all over the world, there are new photos and videos and stories.

But considering what has already happened so far: countless sightings and eye-witness reports, thousands of landing trace cases, dozens of personal testimonies of former military, intelligence and government people who, in advanced years, felt compelled to share the truth before crossing over to the wild beyond. There are testimonies of astronauts like Gordon Cooper of Dr. Edgar Mitchell and countless others. Or documents like MAJ12 leaked in the past couple of decades.

Yet, as long as the best evidence, i.e. UFO crash wreckage and bodies can be secreted away and (shadow?) governments are willing to simply tell lies, there will never be no "full proof", regardless of how many more photos, videos, radar and eye-witness reports are produced, as if there aren't enough already after 60yr.

So, unless ETs themselves initiate the 'ultimate contact', i.e. present themselves in a way that will be impossible to ignore and/or coverup, what could possibly convince the small nucleus inside the Intelligence community which controls the UFO issue to let go?

Apparently, even Presidents like Ford and Clinton couldn't penetrate the wall around UFO info. Could one interpret the above mentioned testimonies (i.e. that those witnesses didn't die of a 'heart-attack' before testifying) as a change of policy by the 'keepers' of the secret? If it's an ongoing decades-long acclimation campaign, why do they on the other hand continue the disinformation campaign in the corporate media?

E.g. 2005's ABC Special UFOs Seeing Is Believing by Peter Jennings? Another example in 2006, the release of UK MoD's anonymous "scientific" study concluding UFOs are most probably natural phenomenon - "balls of plasma" (it's quite funny e.g. in the UK MoD study intro they even have a photo of the 1990 Belgian triangle as example! - at least I give them credit for being creative, the Venus/balloon/swamp gas was getting old) e.g. Reuters story, UFOs? No Such Thing, Secret U.K. study Finds:

<http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/11923778/>

Despite this, in the poll next to that article 40% of the people said UFOs certainly exist and 35% more UFOs might exist, whereas only 21% thought they are fiction.

So, after almost 60 years, what should Ufology be focusing on today?

What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

Regards ,

Dimitris

My UFO resources page: <http://www.hyper.net/ufo.html>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 09:48:11 EST
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 13:08:07 -0500
Subject: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?

Many of you have been studying or presenting UFO data on the web for 10 years or more now.

What's the call? After this unprecedented reach to and from the global community what can you say about the mysteries surrounding this field?

When I started I was amazed that I could talk 24/7 with other ufologists and the public at large. I worked for an online magazine that had a daily live forum for UFOs and I and a couple dozen other webhosts of that forum chatted via live chat and email and instant message for years. On a daily basis it would be dozens to hundreds of people discussing UFOs. Not to mention the message boards also.

We've got web radio and now web based video to boot.

One can literally listen to UFO topic radio 24/7 in several languages nowadays.

Books, CDs, DVDs, websites, ad infinitum the data is here for all to see and hear.

I for one can say at a minimum that the subject of UFOs is a global one. The majority of people I've communicated with are sound folks, maybe a bit passionate on certain issues not necessarily UFO related, but they're sane and rational. Multi-cultural, multi-denominational, across the board no one country nor ethnic group has a stronghold on UFO stories.

It's still growing too.

The phenom of UFOs is more than a reality by now. It's as common a topic as politics. It's stigma for being for 'weirdos' isn't as strong as it used to be but it's still there.

Bottom line to me is it's a subject that is highly interesting to people worldwide. The diversity of sciences and humanities one must study to comprehend it is for me challenging and delightful. Keeps a fella sharp.

For me, the last thing left is not some government disclosure because at this point in time whatever evaluation the government has is 'so too-late' I wouldn't believe it. Physical proof that the public can examine is all that's left.

So again, what's the call?

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 15:01:52 -0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 13:19:49 -0500
Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Dickenson

>From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 16:36:43 -0600
>Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

<snip>

><http://www.daviddarling.info/encyclopedia/Z/zoohypoth.html>

>Zoo hypothesis

>"One way this monitoring could be carried out would be through
>automatic equipment surreptitiously located on the Earth or
>elsewhere in the solar system which relays back to its masters
>news of our progress."

<snip>

Right John,

When scientists are rational they tend to say things like -

"An advanced technology (say a million years old, or five hundred million, compared to the two hundred year history of 'advanced' human technology) will be able to make, distribute, and hide artifacts that we cannot begin to imagine. That may well be the most likely scenario: Fermi's Paradox doesn't apply because the aliens are here, but we simply don't recognise them."

--Profs Jack Cohen & Ian Stewart - 'Evolving the Alien'

"It may be that we have not been visited because the stars are strewn abundantly in the expanse of space, so that before a nearby civilization arrives, it has altered its exploratory motivations or evolved into forms indetectable to us." ... "In fact, there is almost no chance that two galactic civilizations will interact at the same level. ... A million years is a great many."

--Carl Sagan - 'Cosmos'

And, as there's cause to think the 'speed of light' barrier to communication (or at least signalling) has already been broken, your hypothesis looks fairly reasonable.

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 12](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 09:44:23 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 13:23:48 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Dimitris Hatzopoulos <dhatz-ufo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 05:15:22 +0200
>Subject: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>Next year, it'll be the 60th anniversary from the day that
>Kenneth Arnold's sighting marked the modern flying saucer era.
>I've been a student of the subject for just the past few months,
>but as I look at the history and progress of the UFO subject
>over the decades, I'd say it seems stuck.

<snip>

>So, after almost 60 years, what should Ufology be focusing on
>today?

>Regards,

>Dimitris

>My UFO resources page: <http://www.hyper.net/ufo.html>

Thanks for another spring board Dimitris! And thanks for your
very interesting website!

I like your suggestions. But I'm not sure "stuck" is the right
word. I understand your feelings that ufology hasn't had "major
breakthroughs". But, neither has any other field of research.
New things take time, especially if we're working on them "from
scratch", and not "backward engineering" them from something
alien. True originality is not our strong suit. Responding to
stimuli is. True originality is probably alien to us. But that's
another story.

If I had any ability to direct the focus of this field, and I
know I don't, I'd want to increase our ability to protect
against and prevent the more sinister side - let's stop the
abductions. Let's stop them for at least two reasons: first, to
stop the harm done to the victims, and second, to then be in a
position at least equal in ability to the perps.

Rick Nielsen
<http://nilthchi.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

From: **Ed Gehrman** <egehrman.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 10:31:47 -0800
Fwd Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 07:49:02 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 08:19:01 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 18:49:39 +0000
>>Subject: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>Listarians, Lurkers and Government Spooks,

>>A few weeks ago I joined my friend, Ed Gehrman, for a day trip
>>to the infamous and former Roswell ranch of Mac Brazel, known as
>>the Foster Ranch. Not only did we have a great time, but it had
>>been quite awhile since I had been on an outing. Having to carry
>>all my medical supplies made the SUV look like a MASH unit.
>><VBG>

>>Ed has been concerned that Don Schmidt and Tom Carey had the
>>location of the debris field and the crash site wrong. I've
>>always thought that too, but have pretty much stayed away from
>>the fracas until now. I believe the Sci-Fi Channel spent a lot
>>of money to put a granite marker in the wrong place.

>Good Morning, Wendy, List, All -

>Of course the fact that it was Bill Brazel who originally took
>Don and me out to the debris field has been overlooked. He had
>found debris in that area and he showed us where, specifically
>he had found it and described the gouge to us. Bud Payne, who
>had seen the area and the military working it, took us out there
>as well. Tommy Tyree, who had been working for Brazel described
>the area to us when we visited with him in Corona.

Hi Kevin,

I wasn't looking for Mac's site. I think that Mac's debris field
was the missing port side of the craft the MP described, a
result of a mid-air explosion. The site I was searching for was
the site where the damaged craft eventually landed, the first
recovery, before Mac appeared in Roswell.

><snip>

>>Ed was using the MP's directions to the crash site this time
>>around. However, we first went to the Foster ranch and the
>>summer house Mac used to tend to his sheep and cattle. It is
>>still in decent shape and I took a few photos of the area.

>So Ed's MP, whose name does not appear in the Yearbook, who
>did not take Ed out there but described the area to him takes
>precedence over Bill Brazel and Bud Payne who were both out
>there and who physically took us there. Interesting.

You must have a memory block. I gave you the name of the MP
several months ago and you said you'd check his records, if you
could. His name and rank does appear in the yearbook, and other
places as well. Does this mean that you haven't checked into his

records, as you said you would? I've been waiting for your results.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 17:20:00 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 07:51:15 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Dimitris Hatzopoulos <dhatz-ufu.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 05:15:22 +0200
>Subject: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

<snip>

>So, after almost 60 years, what should Ufology be focusing on
>today?

My early understanding, a few years back, was that the 'exopolitics' movement was about trying to force government to open up about all they know and almost certainly have in their possession. Then, somehow, 'exopolitics' got seriously derailed into 'space brother' stuff. Classic disinformation tactics, in my view. I'm surprised the savvy members of this List let it happen without protest.

Working on getting at information and materials held by governments world wide would seem to be a promising focus.

And this time, keep the 'space brother' stuff as a totally separate issue.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in

From: **Dennis Balthaser** <truthskr.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 18:02:43 -0700
Fwd Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 07:54:12 -0500
Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in

>From: Theo Paijmans <th.paijmans.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 22:39:03 +0100
>Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64

>>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 16:25:03 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Review OF Wendy Connors' A Primer in Audio 1947-64

><snip>

>>And you should be lauded for that important undertaking - and
>>herewith I thank you for your commendable work in preserving
>>important UFO history. This is an underrated and unfortunately
>>undervalued aspect of Ufology - preserving its history, its
>>documents its findings, that, perhaps, might one day make it
>>real science.

>>For me the distinction between ufology as passionate pastime and
>>Ufology as science is exactly that: the preservation of its
>>past. Every scientific discipline has records of its
>>development, its past, its findings; ufology for the most part
>>has not.

>My Dear Friend Theo,

>Thank you for your generous and very kind words of support for
>the project. It has been a long time since we've emailed one to
>the other. Did you get the donation copies? I sent two, as I
>didn't know if the first arrived.

>Email more often, my friend! It's been a long haul since the
>first UFO History meeting in Chicago in 1999. Much has
>progressed since then. :-)

Let me add my 2 cents on the work Wendy has done over the years
to not only preserve historically valuable information on the
subject, but also the friendship she and I have developed over
the past 10 years. We have done several projects together during
that time. Wendy has always been a big supporter of my research
and visa versa, and we can all look to the future by having
records of the past, thanks to Wendy's hard work.

Keep up the good work Wendy,

Dennis Balthaser
www.truthseekeratroswell.com
www.gizapyramid.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

Re: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?

From: **Bill Chalker** <bill_c.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 15:00:36 +1100
Fwd Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 08:01:27 -0500
Subject: Re: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 09:48:11 EST
>Subject: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?

>Many of you have been studying or presenting UFO data on the web
>for 10 years or more now.

>What's the call? After this unprecedented reach to and from the
>global community what can you say about the mysteries
>surrounding this field?

<snip>

Hi Greg and fellow UFO UpDates travellers,

You make a good point here. The net has provided researchers, enthusiasts and interested parties with a vast take on the UFO experience - the so-called "good, bad & ugly" of ufology, but for me cutting through the rubbish has been relatively easy, and the results have been very worthwhile. The last ten years have seen a great increase in quality UFO information and dialogue on the Net, but also there is the down side which allows an unfortunate currency to dubious data and dialogue.

The net has of course provided a great alternative to "mainstream" inattention or trivalisation of the phenomenon, and best of all there is great (and sometimes torrid) dialogue (such as the much needed democratic dialogue of UFO UpDates), considerable open sharing of data and much improved communications between serious researchers.

I have found the Net an excellent tool to advance the research areas I am interested in, not the least being "the alien DNA paradigm" focus which argues for using science, particularly forensic and DNA science, as a potent tool for determining (or at least assisting in determining) the realities behind various exotic claims, particularly the alien abduction area. See for example my book "Hair of the Alien" and my web site and blog site.

I have used the momentum of the net and email etc to further this focus in many diverse regions of the globe, not the least being Asia, where I have found a very potent region of enquiry.

I have just returned from China and Thailand, where Net assisted activities have been of considerable help in facilitating improved dialogue and cooperation with Chinese and other Asian researchers. All of this could of course be done without the benefit of the net, but it sure as hell makes things a little easier.

As a result I have been able to establish a very good researcher dialogue and co-operation particularly with Chinese researchers and this has been furthered by 3 personal visits in 2002, 2005 and just recently in October 2006. This has allowed a great focus on the "alien DNA paradigm" issue and other areas of enquiry.

I was able to partake in the Naga light festival on the Mekong - what a hoot - and even secure some video footage; undertake researcher with hill tribes in northern Thailand and southern China (an area opened up by the bizarre research odyssey I have taken with the Khoury "alien" hair sample); productive meetings with researchers in both Kunming and Beijing China; and even lectures given by me at universities in both cities. While much of that activity is facilitated by sheer hard work and determination, the Net and its community of researchers certainly helps.

So for me the call is good and very promising for the cause of productive UFO research.

Regards,

Bill Chalker

www.theozfiles.com

<http://theozfiles.blogspot.com>

<http://www.aufor.com/Bcintro.htm>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

UFO Ignorance - Part II

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 08:14:10 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 08:14:10 -0500
Subject: UFO Ignorance - Part II

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/yhy42n>

November 11, 2006

UFO Ignorance II
by
Frank Warren

By 1952 the Air Force was in "full debunking mode," and that summer would offer a grandiose example of how far the Air Force would go to explain away the Flying Saucer (UFO) Phenomenon.

Common folk (those "mildly" knowledgeable about UFOs) have often said, "If they are from another planet, why don't they just land on the Whitehouse lawn?" Ironically, in July of 1952 they came very close to doing just that!

The headline of the Washington Post's Final Edition of July 28th, 1952 declared, "'Saucer' Out Ran Jet, Pilot Reveals." The article went on to reveal a "secret military investigation" of what were described as "glowing aerial objects" that were appearing on radar screens in the Washington area for the second consecutive week. Pilots sent up by the ADC (Air Defense Command) reported that they were unable to overtake the UFOs that were near Andrews Air Force Base.

The Air Force's official response was that they were investigating the incidents and that it was classified as "secret." They further stated, "we have no evidence they are 'flying saucers'; conversely we have no evidence they are not 'flying saucers.' We don't know what they are."

To be clear, the UFOs were not just "blips on a screen" they were simultaneously witnessed from the ground as well as from the air (radar/visual sightings) by the pilots pursuing them in addition to civilian airline pilots.

In an interview with The Alexandria Gazette, James Ritchy, an air traffic controller and radar specialist for The Washington Air Traffic Control Center said, "These objects were about 30 miles from the airport when we first made contact with them. We spotted 12 objects, and judged that they were moving in a southeasterly direction at a speed of about 40 mph..... The Air Force sent some jet planes up to investigate, and we would help 'vector' the pilots toward the objects....."

When we 'vector' a plane onto an object, we are in radar contact with both the object and the plane, and also in radio contact with the pilot of the plane. We keep telling the pilot how to turn to approach the object until he makes a sighting. The first jet pilot to go out Saturday night reported that he sighted a steady white light that appeared to be about 10 miles distant. When we tried to draw closer, it just disappeared.....

A commercial pilot got much closer to one of the objects, and reported to us that he sighted a yellow light that appeared to turn red and then yellow again. He reported to us that the object appeared to be about two miles away and the flying parallel with him.

Radar confirmed that he was between two and three miles from the object.

A third pilot sighted two bluish lights and later five more white lights. Our radar continued to show unidentified objects through the night, until 6 a.m. the next morning, but the pilots did not get closer to them."

The pursuit planes used were F-94s with a top speed of 600 mph. The targets (UFOs) were tracked at speeds as slow as 90 mph and faster than that of their pursuers. (Substantially faster, as when planes approached in some instances, the UFOs would simply disappear from radar-presumably retreating faster than it took the radar antenna to make a full sweep).

As one might imagine, since this was an ongoing phenomenon (for two weeks) and it was taking place near the nation's capitol, it created quite a hubbub! With telegrams, phone calls and letters by the thousands pouring into the Pentagon, as well as pressure from the constituents of local Congressman, and topping it off with a lot of noise from the media; the powers-that-be needed to do something, and quickly! That something ended up initiating "the largest press conference held since the end of the Second World War."

On July 29th at 4:00 pm in the conference room at the Pentagon, Major General John A. Samford, Director of Intelligence of the Air Force proceeded to engage in the one of the largest cover-ups ever perpetrated on the American public! With him in this machination was Major General Roger M. Ramey, Director of Operations, a veteran in confabulating UFO events (a la Roswell), Colonel Donald L. Bower, Technical Analysis Division, ATIC (Air Technical Intelligence Center), Captain Roy L. James, Electronic Branch, ATIC, Captain Edward J. Ruppelt, Aerial Phenomena Branch, (head of Project Blue Book and future author of The Report On Unidentified Flying Objects) ATIC, and Mr. Burgoyne L. Griffing, Electronics Branch, ATIC.

On the other side of the table were the media's elite, top correspondents from all the major newspapers and national magazines were in attendance; heavy hitters from radio and the new medium, "TV" were also there; in the midst of that crowd was one "Major Donald E. Keyhoe," who had penned, The Flying Saucers Are Real and was a considerable thorn in the Air Force's side.

Samford's opening statement recapped the Air Force's investigation of the UFO phenomenon since 1947; he mentioned the Air Force's concern of possible air born menaces to the United States, talked about Project Saucer (Project Sign) and it's current "more improved" organization. He noted the mass amount of reports that have been analyzed, and quickly put them to rest as some easily explainable phenomenon, e.g., our own aircraft, weather aberrations, hoaxes etc.

His oratory was mild mannered and done in an academic fashion; Keyhoe later commented that the "tension in the crowd was eased" by his simple explanations of the UFOs. Samford didn't shy away from the "20%" of the reports that couldn't be "identified," either, and gave the impression that with more data those could be laid to rest as well.

In concluding he made a point that the Air Force's role was to ensure that UFO sightings didn't pose a threat to the United States; he said there was no pattern to indicate there was one.

At this point the rest of the conference would proceed as a Q & A session with the reporters. As would be expected, the reporters began a barrage of some very poignant questions, but Samford held his ground, and calmly gave rational explanations for the previous weeks UFO sightings and consequent "radar tracks."

When asked about "solid returns" Samford talked about "birds and temperature inversions"; when asked about multiple radar units tracking the same objects, "simultaneously" he mentioned the

same phenomenon can pass from scope to scope, and indicated that the timing can be off. (I.e., it wasn't simultaneous). When asked about the "expertise" of the radar operators he politely indicated that even the "best can be fooled."

As you can see, no matter the question Samford and or one of his panel had a very "logical explanation" for the recent UFO phenomenon; even when he left room for further investigation he firmly stated, "there is nothing in them that is associated with materials or vehicles or missiles that are directed against the United States."

The conference lasted an hour and twenty minutes, at it's finale the members of the media had a mixed response, some thought that Samford and his group were on the level, others didn't buy it for a moment, but by and large they would "accept the more feasible explanations" and that is what would "go to print."

The following day the New York Times published an article entitled, "Air Force Debunks 'Saucers' As Just 'Natural Phenomena.'" The Herald Tribune published the same article, and the Post headlined an article, "Saucer Blips Over Capitol Laid To Heat." The "AP" ran with "those stories" and premise was spread across the country.

Some might wonder how the press could be so naive... but those were different times, and we were much more trustful of the powers-that-be. Still, after taking in Samford's explanations, it must have given people pause if they had to board an airplane, since their very lives depend upon the air traffic controllers who apparently couldn't tell a plane, or another solid object from a flock of birds and or heat inversions.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

Warminster The Mystery Forgotten

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 09:23:26 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 09:23:26 -0500
Subject: Warminster The Mystery Forgotten

Source: Stuart Miller's UFOReview.Net

<http://www.uforeview.net/>

November 11, 2006

[Images at Site]

Warminster

The Mystery Forgotten

Interview with Steve Dewey
by
Stuart Miller

If I were to ask you whether you remembered Warminster, there's a reasonable chance that you wouldn't know what I was talking about. There might be vague memories of lights in the sky and so on, but not a lot else. As is pointed out in the interview below with author Steve Dewey who along with John Ries has written a new book on the subject, Warminster quickly became forgotten and quickly became an embarrassment as well. And yet, the events that went on there make this town the centre of one of the biggest ever UFO flaps to have occurred within the UK. And not only that, the case had something for everyone from sceptic to sociologist to culturalist to Ufologist and so on.

I talked to Steve at the end of February 2006

SM: The principal points that both you and John Ries make about Warminster is how little publicity or acknowledgement Warminster now gets these days. You even describe it as =93an embarrassment=94. I know it's a complicated question, but why do you think that is?

SD: People seemed to forget about it very quickly.

SM: You make the same point though about other examples like the Banbury flap.

SD: I would agree, but events like Banbury weren't as well-known. There are not many books that I've read that actually mention Banbury at all. It's surprising therefore that Warminster was so quickly dismissed afterwards, as it were, if you can put and end point on it, because it had been so huge at the time. From the mid-60s to the early 70s, it was pretty huge. John Cleary Baker and Gordon Creighton and Charles Bowen were all writing about it but then subsequent to that, you start reading other books say from the mid-70s onwards, and Warminster is immediately a joke. Everybody knows it's not real, everybody knows it was invented by Arthur Shuttlewood, everybody knows it was exaggerated and that there were hoaxes involved. Everybody knows this, everybody knows that. So from our point of view, looking back at it, it seems that people were very quickly able to make up their minds about that particular phenomenon because

they had the one person in Arthur Shuttlewood on which to base their conclusions.

Arthur Shuttlewood

SM: Without any doubt at all, and you give ample testimony to this, there was never a question about the man's honesty, just a question mark about his journalistic descriptive powers coupled with his aura, for want of a better word, the combination of his voice on the way he spoke and so on. But certainly the number of sightings the lights in the sky must have run into the hundreds.

SD: It ran into the thousands.

SM: Okay. Even if you take on board all the considerations that you brought up in the book, like the atmosphere on Cradle Hill for example, still, surely there must have been some genuine sightings in air.

SD: I don't deny that there was sightings in Warminster, but the question is; what were those sightings of? In our experience, and I grant you that we say in the book that we were going up later, in the early mid-70s after all the initial brouhaha, but even then we were aware that people were seeing lights in the sky and we have been there when people have seen lights in the sky and we have seen the overexcitement that those sightings generated, went to us they were either obviously car headlights in the distance or satellites.

So people were seeing stuff; the question is what were they seeing and how influenced were they by the myth that was building up or had already built up around the place.

SM: There are a number of very strange elements to this and it's not a straightforward scenario from start to finish. To start with, and I don't know whether you share this intuition, but I cannot get away from me its location and its proximity to the army. Do you feel within your bones that there is some connection with the army there?

SD: The only connection that I would feel exists with the army is that a lot of people came up to the hills who weren't aware of what the army do. So the fact that they throw a cup of flares, the fact that they shoot off tracer shells, the fact that the odd missile would be fired over the plain, they wouldn't know about that, they wouldn't know in which direction they were looking in, they wouldn't know how far into the plain they were and how much on the land was behind them or even the fact that they were actually standing on army land. Even now, after all these years living here, I can drive around the corner and sees seven players in the sky, and just for a second be freaked out, because you're not expecting it. It's such an odd thing to see; a constellation of flares that looked like they are just hanging there that for a moment, it's unnerving.

The people that actually went there and didn't know about these things and about how slowly flares move and that they tend to blink out while they are still in the sky rather than when they have reached the ground, and that would have been a surprise.

Warminster

SM: Also, along with many of the sightings of figures seen by the sides of roads, there were reports of balaclava clad figures. Now one tends to suspect that might well have been somebody in the army.

SD: Yes, one would suspect that. We mention in the book that we didn't bother going back questioning witnesses because many of them wouldn't have been here anymore or they would have been very old or most of them would have just seen lights in the sky anyway. But that kind of occurrence wasn't so interesting to us. Or we were interested in with the narrative that was going on at the time. But yes, it seemed to us that those types of stories were about soldiers. Between Nook camp which was 4 miles from Warminster and the army barracks which are actually in the Warminster, stuff would have happened. There are always fighting town between squaddies and the townspeople. So to find that maybe some bruised and battered kid was getting over the fence after meeting up with some balaclava clad guy by the side of the road, in the context of the social stuff that goes on around the

town, isn't that surprising.

SM: I do find the phantom suicides particularly interesting because it's much more immediate, and certainly in terms of proximity to the witnesses they were virtually on top of them as opposed to being a few hundred feet or whatever above their heads. What do you make of all that because there were quite a few reports of those.

SD: What I make of them is what I've always made of them, and that is they are misconceptions -- we getting and Andy radical misperception moment coming on here - that are contained within the myth. So the misconceptions gain an aura of their own. So you drive around the corner and perhaps see a badger out of the corner of your eye. Then you drive over some bumps in the road and everything comes together in a different way because you live within that myth.

SM: What is it like living in the town of Warminster or what was it like them? Is it a very atmospheric place?

SD: Yes and no.

SM: Are people driving around in a constant state of auto suggestion?

SD: No, not at all. It's very different now and a lot of local people don't even know about it. Again, at the time I would say that the town made its own at this year by being part of a myth. As the story of the UFOs built up, so the pound gained an aura and so the hills gained an aura. I used to go out walking on the hills. I'd even go out during the day if I was going up Cradle Hill for example and when I was younger I would walk a lot. But even in bright daylight I would still feel an atmosphere there because there were so many stories associated with it.

SM: Even though from a young age in the 70s you were already quite sceptical? But yet, you weren't immune from that?

SD: When we first got out there we were perhaps around 14 years old and we weren't sceptical at the time. We were into all that stuff at the time; the UFOs, the cults, we had read our Colin Wilson, Alistair Crowley and Gardiner.

Cley Hill with a crop circle

SM: Yes but you haven't left behind all common sense or sanity. I remember one incident where you calmly pointed out to people that they were looking at car headlights.

SD: That's the point; we hadn't lost all sanity. And also, when you are with a group of friends there is a variation, in a way, in your skepticism and susceptibility. When I first started going up there, I was the biggest chicken in the world. I must hold my hand up there. I was the one that was always being freaked out by trees and fields and thinking they were aliens and things like that and it was always my sceptical friends who would climb over the fence saying, "Don't be a stupid bastard. It's a tree or a cow or whatever".

But when you are in that kind of environment where everybody is crosschecking each other and saying no it's not, it's that or it's this, then I suppose your group as a whole becomes more sceptical. You've always got a cross check. It seems to me that some people who may be went up there didn't do that. They wanted to come to Warminster, they wanted to be thrilled, they weren't about to set their going, "No, it's just a=85=85...." If two people said, "Look, there's a UFO", the third person with them isn't going to disagree. People made this huge effort to get there, maybe having driven a long way, and people wanted to see stuff. So maybe they weren't quite so sceptical.

SM: The combined picture of Warminster that you paint is one of a social/cultural/paranormal/conflux of errr things. That's why it's so bizarre.

SD: It's not like you can put your finger on it and say that it is one particular thing. Is it a UFO or is it after Shuttlewood writing books about it or newspaper articles? From our way of looking at it, there are a lot of things that are happening. Gordon Faulkner hoaxes a picture, Shuttlewood is writing

stories, the town council wants some tourists, there are whole host of things that come together and it's a coming together of all those elements I think that made Warminster so special.

SM: But you are fairly confident but without the input of Mr Shuttlewood, none of this would have happened?

SD: Actually, I'm not, no I'm not confident it wouldn't have happened. It helped that as I say in the book, he didn't write his first book until 1967. The only thing he was doing was dripping out stuff to the national press because he had access to them. It may have been that if anybody had wanted to continue the myth then they could have done the same thing. If the town councillors had wanted to do it, they could have done it.

SM: You skirt the edge of another angle to it as well, namely the religious angle, the disciples. Thomas et al. What was that about?

SD: There were people who turned up, there were all kinds of people who turned up in Warminster. As I wasn't there during the big bit of it but rather at the tail end of it, I didn't experience much of that. As I say in the book, by the time we started going out there, Arthur Shuttlewood wasn't going up there so much. So the only things I been able to pick up have been from other books about who was contacting Arthur and who was hanging around with him and who was getting messages to him.

But I think anybody like Arthur who has set themselves up and who has charisma and is the central person to go to is going to attract people like that.

SM: The Noise. You express some doubt that it could actually have forced that lady to collapse on the floor.

SD: The reason I express that opinion was because if you go back and read the original report which Shuttlewood published in the Warminster Journal, it is not as dramatic as it is in his book. In his book he says she was forced to the floor and pin down by a numbing weight. But in the Warminster Journal it wasn't like that. It is just, 'Local woman hears mystery sound on Christmas Eve' type of thing. And it was puzzling and a surprise and shocking to her that there is no description of her being forced to the ground or any of that kind of stuff.

SM: Isn't that then dishonest of Arthur Shuttlewood to elevate the incident to the point that he did and to describe it as he did?

SD: It's kind of dishonest but he's a writer, you know? There's a good quote in the book somewhere from another cultural historian talking about lies where he says that a fact that is unembroidered is a boring fact and nobody is interested and it's only by embroidering certain facts that you begins to get people interested in what you're talking about. And I quite like that quote.

So yes, there is certainly an element of exaggeration which I think we pointed out in the book but whether I would go so far as to say he was dishonest, I don't know. It could be that he is honestly reporting what happened that it was just that there was a lot of embroidery around what happened.

SM: This visit he had from an "alien". I don't even really know what to ask you about that.

SD: It's difficult, isn't it? The problem is the guy is dead, his family won't talk.....

SM: Why's that?

SD: They might talk now. More persuasive interviewers than me and John might get results. We were never good at interviews so we kind of avoided that. You have to realise what your limits and talents are! If Andy or Dave want to go and talk to them one day, they might get through.

SM: I don't think they'll write a better book though.

SD: Oh, thank you very much.

SM: There's no question, it's a very well written book and were researched and well thought through as well.

SD: When Arthur was ill and just after he died, they did make it clear that they didn't want to talk about the UFO stuff and that they just wanted to forget all that. It may be that in the future somebody else may talk to them but my point was going to be the without going back and interviewing people and trying to find out what the actual family thought about this strange guy coming round claiming to be an alien. Each one of his children were there when the alien arrived, and actually answered the phone to the alien in the first place.

The one thing I would add is the Warminster has a huge history of hoaxing as well.

SM: Yes, and you deal with that as well a fair bit.

SD: The guy who turned up claiming to be an alien actually looked very much like a human and talked English.

SM: You would have thought that Shuttlewood, not being a stupid man by any stretch of the imagination, he would have noticed!

SD: The thing is, by that time Arthur had started creating his own myth. He was part of it all, he was the centre of it all, he was the one receiving information and of any aliens were going to phone anyone up, they were going to phone him. I think becomes very easy to become part of your own myth as it were.

SM: So you think there was an element of self-delusion involved as well with him simply because he got so immersed in it?

SD: I don't think it would be too harsh to say that there was an element of self-delusion. I have never wanted to be too harsh on Arthur.

SM: I noticed that, that there is a reticence there. Can I ask why?

SD: Because I think in general he was honestly trying to cope with something he didn't know how to cope with.

SM: When I came to the chapter about Mr Holton and his involvement, I actually felt quite disappointed that it almost seemed to blow the whole thing away virtually.

SD: Actually, that turned up very late. That was actually reported in the Warminster Journal in June and I don't read the Warminster Journal, although I should because there is always the chance that this sort of stuff might turn up. A guy called Dave Simpson who you will have seen in the book as the hoaxing guy, he's in contact with somebody from Warminster and they forwarded it to him and he forwarded it to me and by then, the book was already in the process of being edited for Anomalist Books so we had to say to the guy at Anomalist, "Which had this. What you want us to do about it?" And he said, "You've got to put it in". As it was one of my chapters, I had to do a bit of express rewriting. Yes, in a way it's kind of disappointing but also in a way it fits in with everything that's kind of gone on in the Warminster really. It was no surprise to me.

SM: Without any trace of condemnation or criticism at all, you do highlight the gullibility of people, like for example the Faulkner photograph and the other fake photo and even Stannway from BUFORA, a source that you would expect to have a little more objectivity. Clearly, that element played a large part in the whole affair as well. Would you agree with that?

Another pix of Shuttlewood

SD: Yes, I would agree. Because people came to Warminster wanting to see UFOs. There weren't many people who came to Warminster who didn't want to see UFOs.

SM: It would be quite easy to cut a swathe right through all of this and criticise people left right and centre for fundamentally not being very bright on occasions, but you don't do that.

SD: No, because I have been there. I have seen trees that looked

like aliens but luckily I have had a bunch of sceptical kids around me going, "Don't be a wanker". So I know what it's like to live in a town that has had this happen. I know what it's like to walk along Cradle Hill and be scared out of my wits. I mention this in the book but there is a bridge on the way between Warminster and a village outside Warminster called Heytesbury and there have been a lot of accidents that have occurred at that bridge. It is always a strange experience to walk across the bridge -- a place where many people have died. So I know. I can fully understand people getting carried away and getting sucked into it.

In a way, one of the reasons for the book and what we wrote about was because we couldn't understand why no skepticism seems to have come in to the scenario.

SM: What was your motive for writing the book, other than perhaps that you saw an opportunity?

SD: We're not so blas=E9 not to realise that actually there is no particular opportunity if we manage to sell 300 copies of the book it would be counted as a triumph on our part. When we were younger, we were heavily into all of this stuff, me and John. We were literally sitting around one day and we realise that we knew a lot about this stuff and we thought we should do something about it, so it was literally one of those decisions where we went "Oh, let's write a book!"

Originally we were just going to write a generic UFO book which was intended to be a bit more sceptical and we were going to use Warminster as an example in the background rather than being specifically about Warminster. But in the end, mainly through a decision by me, it was decided that it would actually be more fun to write about Warminster as the main subject. There weren't any books around about it itself and everything else about Warminster is sucked into other books about ufology in general.

SM: I think what I was getting out when I asked the question was, was one of the reasons the fact that this was one of the biggest Ufological incidents to occur within the UK and there was a sense of injustice that this era, so to speak, is not recognised or given more acknowledgement and that maybe you just wanted to remind people that all of this did happen.

SD: Yes, there is a part of that. I think one of the driving forces was when I was doing a chapter on Warminster when the book within its old version and I was reading back through John Rimmer's and John Harney's MUF0B.

SM: Can I just interrupted there and say that you are far too kind and generous to them, and even Andy and Dave!

SD: Yeah, well, I thought I was a bit with John Harney.

SM: Where?!

SD: He was saying that the audience were sniggering at Arthur Shuttlewood. John Harney had gone to a meeting I think it was at Sheffield and Shuttlewood was speaking and Harney was in the audience and he claimed that the audience were sniggering into their coat jackets, or something like that. I was a bit harsh on him then as I said how would he know that the audience were making fun of Shuttlewood because he isn't a mind reader.

Patrick Moore - had trouble taking it seriously

SM: I think you are clutching at straws! But that's by the by. The fact is that the to John's were around then and did visit, and visited more than once and had a legitimate input and wrote something about it.

SD: I do quote them quite a lot. From my point of view, the advantage of quoting them was that they were actually there so when John Rimmer talks about his charisma and his ability to tell stories and his ability to draw people into his world as it were, then from my point of view that is good information. It is not information that I can easily pick up from elsewhere.

SM: But you knew Shuttlewood yourself.

SD: We bumped into Shuttlewood, we didn't really know him. But

the time we started going out there he had begun to cut back on the time he spent on the hills.

SM: What little contact you had with him, and presumably more from a far more than.....

SD: The trouble is, when you go up there in the dark, you don't really know who's up there.

SM: But did you form an opinion of him back then or do you not recognise his preeminence in relation to the subject at that time?

SD: Think we're only ended up in a group which we knew he was in maybe a couple of times and we attach ourselves to the gaggle of people around us. And one of those occasions, which I described in the book, we saw a helicopter over the plain and it somehow transformed itself, via Arthur, into a UFO flashing its lights.

SM: In that sentence, do you think that you have put your finger inadvertently on why people are embarrassed about Warminster now? There's just too much of that?

SD: Oh yes, don't get me wrong, I think that is one of the reasons why and also because a lot of ufologists at the time were sucked into it so big. But they were down there all the time, they were agreeing with Shuttlewood, they were literally sharing information and theories and it seems to me that people now want to step back from that and say, "Oh, that was all a terrible mistake."

SM: You never heard rumours about army involvement in this? Rumours never circulated around the town?

SD: No, the army were always in the town, there was no need to infiltrate it.

SM: But wouldn't people talk? When someone within the military have mentioned something to somebody else who in turn passed it on....?

SD: Not as far as I can tell. From my point of view, the only big mystery about Warminster outside of the mythmaking is the Sound at the beginning of the whole thing. Now, that may have had some army input. But the very first time that Sound was heard was on Christmas Eve, and the army don't do anything on Christmas Eve, even to test out their new sonic weapons.

SM: Has anybody thought to do any FOIA requests on this?

SD: They probably haven't, no. I certainly haven't because the ease with which you can do FOIA stuff is relatively new and you must remember that we started this book a very, very long time ago. The stuff that began to become of interest to us wasn't so much chasing the cause of the sightings but more how did the story start and had it maintain itself and attract people.

SM: I would reckon there is an awful lot of potential there.

SD: There is still stuff to be found out about Warminster. For the people who want to do that kind of research of going to where ever it is.....

SM: The National Archives!

SD: I would hate technical things like that! But if people want to do things like that, I think there is still stuff to be researched. They may not find anything because I'm not entirely sure what anything was going on anyway.

SM: Really?

SD: Yes. It was just the School of infantry that was around us, no top secret bases as far as I'm aware. There may have dug a hole and a hilltop and done some secret stuff but I don't think there's anything particular ever been going on there. I think there are much better places on Salisbury Plain to do that kind of stuff, further away from the population.

SM: Right, so you appear to be separating the army from any involvement?

SD: Yes. All I'm saying is there is stuff that goes on on the Plain; there are helicopters, there are aeroplanes, there are rockets, there is all kinds of stuff that goes on, much more in the old days than there is now. And so I am separating it in that respect. People saw stuff up there. Some of the stuff they saw would have been the army doing things but not secret stuff, but I think is the implication of your question.

But I may be wrong on that and it's worth researching.

SM: What about the Ley Line element?

SD: Yeah, what about are the Ley Line element? You have to accept from me that because I'm a sceptic about UFOs, I am also a sceptic about nearly everything in that realm. So the fact that there are a load of Ley lines there means absolutely nothing to me.

SM: I don't know whether this is accepted in the scientific sense but certainly in a New Age sense it is accepted that there is a sort of power attached to Ley lines and there are a number of mysterious sightings of balls of light, not very high up off the ground at all and disappearing into hills and things like that.

SD: I would separate out the fact that there might be balls of light that disappear into the ground from ley lines. I don't know of anybody who has ever made a very good association between those things, apart from New Age people who find associations between many strange things.

Gordon Creighton of FSR

SM: I did mention to you about how wry I thought you both were at times. You don't go overboard with it but it is there, so to speak. I suppose if one wanted to form an opinion of the authors, one would think that they have their feet on the ground that but perhaps a bit dubious.

SD: Yes, we are skeptics. There is no doubt about that. On the lay line thing I would say okay, people who are into lay lines can draw lots of lines on a map and end up with the fact that there are 12 lay lines near Warminster, but the only guy who ever did that who was to become editor of The Ley Hunter, Jimmy Goddard, when he drew them he could only get three lay lines that didn't even cross but intersected somewhere near Clay Hill, and 12 lay lines, which is apparently some kind of record intersected at a place somewhere outside of Warminster and nowhere near any of the hills that people saw things from. He described the place at which they intersected as a muddy backwater of the River Wiley if I remember rightly. I know where he means and nobody ever saw any UFOs down there.

So if there is something to this lay line stuff then why the hell when people camping out in the muddy backwater of the River Wiley?

SM: Another name that comes up in the book, and he comes up in other situations as well, and it always irritates me when I read about him is Patrick Moore. He just seems to have taken the piss right from the start. And as you say, he only has one joke, and it gets a bit tiresome. Nevertheless, he was sufficiently intrigued to come down.

SD: Yes, he was sufficiently intrigued because he was being paid to do a television programme. I think he was associated with a TV series called One Pair of Eyes which did a series of documentaries of which Patrick did some.

SM: You certainly look around the whole thing in a very complete circular exercise. It's almost as if there isn't really an element of it that you have overlooked.

SD: We try to look at everything that was in the literature that nature of the narrative and the myth. I went back to all of Arthur's books and read every single one of them several times. Have you ever tried reading one?

SM: I haven't actually, no.

SD: He has a particular style.

SM: I certainly gather that and you quote a few examples.

SD: It can be very hard. It's all right when you're 14 or whatever but it's the first time you've ever read a UFO book a when you are in your 40s and reading it again..... As a matter of interest, we have been writing this book for 25 years. We started in 1982.

SM: You're lucky that over that period of time, nobody actually be to it.

SD: Well yes but we didn't expect anybody to beat is to it, to be honest.

SM: I suppose that underlines the point that you make really - that it's just ignored now.

SD: And the thing is that when you read anything else, like Peter Brooks Smith has got a bit on it in a book somewhere, John Rimmer has another article somewhere as well, but they always just go for Shuttlewood. Whenever anybody has written anything about Warminster it's, =93Okay. Something happened there but, you know, Arthur Shuttlewood wrote all these books that he was the man at the centre, and therefore ipso facto QED it's all his fault.

When you were talking about motivations earlier, one of the motivations was to show that Arthur wasn't the only person who had created this thing. Arthur may have sustained it by being a Guru on top of the hill who people could talk to, but he wasn't the only one who created it. As I said in the book, Gordon Crieghton could have been said to have created it by sending a letter to the Warminster Journal saying that the weird sound was from a UFO. He jumped in with both feet.

As I said, we started writing the book in 1982 but by the mid-80s, people weren't in the least bit interested in UFOs for a while so we thought there was no point in doing it and that we were just wasting our time. We were doing it on spec and we didn't have a commission to do it and we were going to end up just writing something for no good reason. So we let it drop off for a while and it lay dormant. Then I found a chapter that John had written about ufology being a techno religion and re-read it again and found it very interesting. I thought it would be a shame for it to be wasted and so we had a chat about it one day and that started it all off again. It was during that period that it kind of changed its structure and became more of a Warminster book than a generic UFO book.

SM: As you have acknowledged, both of you are skeptics. What is your incentive for staying in the subject and continuing to take an interest?

SD: I don't consider myself a ufologist, more of a cultural historian. So when I was writing the book, I was looking at it from that point of view. I maintain an interest in general enough to stay on the ufologyinUK list because I have been writing about this stuff is so long, and I was there, at least in the 70s. I have always been part of the UFO melia since I was young. So I have this kind of vague interest in the same way that I have a vague interest in something like Formula One or rugby or cricket. I'm not a big fanatic but I maintain an interest.

SM: Steve, thank you very much.

<http://www.anomalistbooks.com/dewey-ries.html>

In Alien Heat

UK

<http://tinyurl.com/tnjlk>

USA

<http://tinyurl.com/tq9us>

Canada

<http://tinyurl.com/tyngb>

Copyright Stuart Miller UFO Review.Net - 2006

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

Is Ufology A Legitimate Scientific Endeavor?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 09:41:01 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 09:41:01 -0500
Subject: Is Ufology A Legitimate Scientific Endeavor?

Source: Aliens Ate My Buick Blog

<http://strange grub.blogspot.com/>

November 10, 2006

Is Ufology A Legitimate Scientific Endeavor?

by Matt Graeber

It's quite difficult to write coherently about the many things that one has learned from years of first-hand empirical observation. It's even more difficult to write about things that one hasn't learned at all, but nevertheless attests to knowing. This leads us, a bit hesitantly, to the intriguing question, 'Does ufology really exist as a science or proto-science?'

First of all, I'd like to point out that even with my thirty-four years of chasing the phantoms of the skies, I still lack the ability to confirm or reject their existence. However, I personally feel that UFOs do exist, (albeit, in the sense that they are indeed unidentified aerial objects, or are at least believed to be such by their often stunned observers), although I'm not certain that they are 'flying' in the conventional sense of the aeronautical term. I tend to think of them as animated imagery observed against a backdrop of sky.

I also personally find it extremely difficult to think of Ufology as a viable science or even as a proto-scientific endeavor, because over the last sixty years, the thrust of the primary inquiry has gone through so many changes and re-adjustments of focus. UFOs have gone from nuts and bolts alien spaceships to portals or star gates in the space-time continuum. UFOs have been linked to everything from a sinister force lurking in the depths of the Bermuda Triangle, to the wee people of the fairy-faith and ancient astronauts that long ago flitted about the skies in gleaming scout ships.

Add to this 'pantheon of the dubious' the 'Men in Black' mythos (MIB), the 'Mothman,' the growing Unidentified Submerged Objects (USO) craze, and the ultra-exotic 'Flying Humanoid Observation Reports' (FHORs) and one can clearly see that the UFO subculture appears to have no boundaries that human imagination cannot exceed, or that human credulity cannot embrace.

In years gone by, we've read marvelous stories about Buck Nelson's 'Martian' dog, (Ol' Buck sold clippings of the dog's hair for just \$2), and who could possibly forget Mr. Simonton's buckwheat pancake breakfast with aliens? In South America, Antonio Villas Boas was allegedly 'seduced' aboard a space 'scow' by a perfectly formed, albeit petite, spacewoman who made barking sounds during her extra-species sexual encounter with Villas Boas. Meanwhile, according to all reports, Captain Aura Raynes of the 'counter-Earth,' Clarion, was a sight to behold, even for the most discriminating of earthmen's eyes. In more recent times, Budd Hopkins has introduced us to 'screen-

memories' and 'mind blocks,' as part and parcel of the alien abduction experience, while his colleague David Jacob's has admitted the possibility of confabulation and alien-induced perceptual distortion into the mix, as well. The quaint, almost comic aspects of the contactee cults have given way to a more sinister sort of alien, out there on the fringes of our reality.

Forgive us, then, if we fail to glut you on the bizarre antics of the contactee movement of the 50s and 60s, and understand that a surfeit of modern-day fringe ufoology awaits us right outside our proverbial front door.

So, what is Ufology? From my vantage point (Or, mountain top view as I've come to call my imaginary perch), I have come to the realization that Ufology is something like an octopus; and unless one removes and identifies each tentacle (category), he or she will never reach the head of the slippery beast. As we embark on our examination, however, it is important to remember that each of the following categories is not necessarily mutually exclusive of the others.

Ufology as a means to emotional fulfillment

Some of the tentacles extend from deep-seated wants, needs and desires concerning what the Ufologist expects and anticipates the UFO phenomenon might represent. These expectations and anticipations are often linked to grandiose, self-serving agendas. In some cases it is merely a quest for some sort of fame or celebrity.

Ufology as a money-making venture

In other instances, the tentacle is grasping for monetary gain or a successful UFO/Paranormal-related business enterprise of some sort. UFO commerce encompasses everything from selling tee-shirts and baseball hats with alien depictions printed on them, to sponsoring UFO conventions and workshops, to establishing UFO museums and even theme park enterprises. In UFO parlance, those who engage in this sort of activity are sometimes called 'Saucer Hucksters,' and their money-making endeavors are often viewed, by research purists and true-blue enthusiasts, to be detrimental to 'serious ufology.'

Ufology as a social activity

Another tentacle might be reaching out for social intercourse and friendships forged through a commonly shared interest in the phenomenon. Examples of these types of individuals are commonly encountered in various organized UFO organizations, and abductee support groups. Most are subscribers to numerous UFO journals, periodicals and newsletters, and are hopelessly addicted ufo convention 'delegates.'

Dare we think of these individuals as mere rumor-mongers and sensation seekers? Or might they actually be attempting to learn something of substance about UFOs? But, then again, might it simply be that their primary objective is one of obtaining confirmation for their own UFO beliefs?

There are also numerous ufo discussion e-lists/discussion forums on the internet, where ufo researchers share vast amounts of information with 'colleagues' from around the world. (It is important to stress here that no manner of accreditation is required for one to become a 'Ufologist,' a 'UFO abduction expert' or a 'colleague').

A Ufologist often feels that he or she is at odds with mainstream scientific community and the status quo of our social fabric. Therefore, a certain amount of comfort is experienced in being amongst other Ufologists. Yet, there is also a certain amount of unspoken suspicion, mistrust, vocal discord and politicking to be found within such groups. (The primary suspicion being whether or not a new face in the crowd might be a UFO debunker).

Ufology as a 'second career'

Yet another tentacle may be linked to a person's desire to achieve in a field of endeavor other than their own profession, which they may view as somewhat unrewarding and unfulfilling.

Ufology as a 'devotional'

The assumption among those who look to an extraterrestrial source of 'salvation' seems to be that if man has the devices for great destruction at his disposal, he will in time use them, either with or without justification. To these individuals, it's really not a question of if he will put them to use, it's more a matter of 'when' and 'why' he will use them. Unlike modern man, however, the benevolent saucer people have apparently long-surpassed our need for nuclear weapons and our tendency to indulge in all-around bad behavior. They are in control of their science's potential dark side, which in turn functionally transforms them into 'techno-angels' or, 'creatures of the light.' Are you aware that some Ufologists actually proclaim they are 'Living in the Light' and see themselves as 'Light workers?'

There is something to be said about Ufology being a substitute for religion, especially for those who have become disenchanted with traditional church traditions and doctrine. These folks will occasionally express their belief that the UFOs are watching over us and will come to our aid if we should foolishly place the planet in irreversible peril.

The notion that UFOs may represent extraterrestrial spacecraft coincided with the close of the 20th century's Second World War, which saw the destruction of large urban landscapes and populations by aerial onslaught - onslaughts which seemed to be virtually unstoppable, and which remain as perfectly acceptable strategies of modern warfare to this very day.

Thus, the fear of things coming upon us from the skies was forced upon the psyche of modern man. There was the terrifying sound produced by the foils fitted upon the wings of Nazi Stuka dive bombers, there was the dreadful, unnatural sound of the engines on the V-1 and V-2 rockets - then, the harrowing seconds of silence as the missiles fell upon near-defenseless civilian neighborhoods in the UK and Holland.

Add to this the shock of Pearl Harbor, the unleashing of the nuclear demon at Hiroshima, followed by decades of the Cold War, where the specter of nuclear annihilation ever-lingered over our heads. Finally, factor in the growing threat of terrorism upon U.S. soil, and the insidious attacks upon the World Trade Center and the Pentagon, just a few years ago. In such a climate of fear, is it any wonder that while things coming from the skies may be feared, still other things from the skies may be revered and thought to offer hope of a vanguard against the use of such dark human technologies? Are not the 'Space Brothers' a sort of technological variant on a Messianic return?

Ufology as medium for apocalyptic visions

The Ufological creature's tentacles continue to reach out, and just as some Ufologists believe the aliens are benevolent creatures =96 for a host of others they represent a threat! Thus, the aliens appear to mirror the light and dark aspects of our own human nature

To illustrate the extremes to which some people will go to publicize their personal vision of apocalypse, a ufologist from New England who was also a self-proclaimed witch, spoke of the UFOs performing nightly aerial 'soul counts' in preparation for the great spiritual battle (between the forces of the light and the darkness) which she knew to be imminent. Even more dramatic, a gentleman Ufologist speaking at a conference in Chester, Illinois fainted and collapsed at the speaker's podium after allegedly succumbing to forces from outer space. He had just completed speaking about the saucer's bombing and destroying the entire city, where the conference was being held. This historical event happened on the spiritual plane =96 which explains why no one in the audience seemed to recall seeing the destruction or remembered exactly when the armada of hostile saucers came to town.

Ufology as a source of adventure

Being a Ufologist may be a source of excitement and adventure for some individuals. It's not the knowing what UFOs are that charges these individuals up; rather, their enthusiasm is fueled by the anticipation and promise of learning exactly what UFOs

are and why 'they' are coming. Why else would someone like a famous Philadelphia radio talk show host actually reveal harboring the wish to be kidnapped and experimented upon by horrid little space creatures? (Her exact words were, 'Boy, I'd love to have an experience like that'!)

Ufology as an anti-social/anti-science 'enclave'

Still another tentacle may be a bastion for the social malcontent, a person who sees conspiracy in the traditional sciences, organized religion, politics and technology. In fact, some avid UFO buffs find a sense of satisfaction in the thought that the UFOs are 'confounders' of contemporary technology and our scientific understanding of physics. In short, for these aficionados the UFOs represent a re-organization of our model of the world and the universe itself.

Ufology as a hobby

Moreover, Ufology may be a 'self-science,' a 'hobby' of sorts, and to varying degrees the pursuit is well-grounded and serious, while in many other instances it appears to be egocentric, delusional and nonsensical. Add to the above the desire in the individual to attain recognition, leading to obsessive attempts to demonstrate one's brilliance in the ufological constellation, and here we have identified yet another 'tentacle.'

Ufology as a mental/emotional imbalance

Ufology may also be a behavior disorder which compels people to actively, and vocally pursue the enigma even though doing so may jeopardize their professional standing within their career environment. University professors (who are also Ufologists) are sometimes subjected to academic peer pressures and subtle threats. Yet, these learned professionals persist in their researches despite being fully-aware that others like them had traveled down the same unrewarding path.

Yet, they could have just as effectively written their UFO books under a pen name, later emerging from the shadows if they discovered something of significance to unveil in the public arena.

Certainly, there are some very sincere and honest individuals involved in the study but, they are few; and these are generally quite gullible and not very good at detecting charlatans and liars. They are incapable of being dishonest but they are often guilty of projecting their honest nature upon others. This was the Achilles heel of several well-known UFO researchers who shall go unnamed, here.

Moreover, we have only caught a glimpse of the tentacles affecting the Ufologists. What are we to suspect about the tentacles that may be wrapped about the witnesses' accounts of their UFO experiences?

I once interviewed a young abductee in New Jersey, who told me that she had undergone two abortions, but was happy that her third fetus (a daughter) was taken aboard a UFO and cared for by the Grays. She further confided that she had recently gone to an animal shelter and adopted a puppy. She said, 'I just had a need to mother something.'

Perhaps, as Jim Moseley, editor of the comical 'Saucer Smear' newsletter speculates, 'The UFOs are in some strange way reflections of our selves.'

So, have we happened upon a piece of the answer? Is hearkening to the UFO phenomenon a 'preoccupation' and/or an overly indulgent quest for the discovery of one's self?

Didn't Carl Jung mention something along those lines way back in 1958?

Ufology and the Human Factor

As one can see, there are a multitude of factors that are 'all too human' mixed into the UFO equation. Thus, from the sociologist's, psychologist's and folklorist's points of view, the UFO phenomenon is a potential treasure trove of insights on the human condition at the dawning of the 21st century

In my thirty-four years of studying the UFO phenomenon, encompassing both field investigation and the interviewing of witnesses, I have not seen any sustained trends or discernible spikes emerge among the data collected. Yes, people are reporting their observation(s) of 'similar' anomalies in the skies. But, on a much closer examination of these data, it turns out that these 'similarities' are largely superficial, and the differences from report to report are substantial.

Even if we allow for subjectivity, and for minor confusion about angular view points and distance or darkness factors creeping into the eyewitness testimony, it is still obvious that the consistency one might expect to find in reports of physical objects of presumably similar origin is simply not there.

So, after sixty years of active research and investigation into these strange aerial objects, we find that they still elude our attempt identify them, and we still have no understanding whatsoever of the phenomenon's core meaning, and significance =96 if there is any.

It is this lack of a core meaning and the lack of consistency from report to report that points to the phenomenon's 'headless' character. It appears that there is no head to this octopus, at all - just disembodied tentacles that wrap around the Ufologists and ufo enthusiasts and embrace them in a kind of self-induced obsession, accompanied by a general denial that Ufoolishness is a shared pathology.

The Failure of Ufology

I do not believe that most UFO writers (pro or con), write for the common man's enlightenment or, for that matter with objective research in mind. Rather, many 'pro-ufo' authors tend to write for each other's approval, and for book sales royalties. If the enigma were to ever to be scientifically resolved, they would write pithy rebuttals to the findings of that study or, simply switch gears and start chasing down 'Flying Humanoid Reports'.

So, perhaps the central question of this essay should not be whether Ufology is, or will ever be, a real science.' It might be more revealing to ask 'What do we want or need the UFOs to be?' These are answers only you can provide. The Ufologists already have their answers stored in their conscious and unconscious minds, and they have been aggressively expounding their brand of 'saucer truth' (much of it contradictory) over the last six decades - yet, the riddle of the saucers remains completely unresolved! Could it possibly be it was never properly addressed?

Keep in mind that one man's Ufology is another man's Ufoology and vice-versa. Do not allow your own mountain top view to cause you to ignore and dismiss the other person's vistas. For each is a marvelous expression of something very human that deserves our notice, concern, understanding and exploration. These are the down-to-earth pieces of the UFO puzzle =96 Fleeting glimpses of the UFO's (i.e., Projections) that hail from the inner-space of modern man.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

UFOs In Portugal A Retrospective

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 09:49:03 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 09:49:03 -0500
Subject: UFOs In Portugal A Retrospective

Source: Scott Corrales' Blog
Inexplicata - The Journal of Hispanic Ufology

<http://inexplicata.blogspot.com/2006/11/ufos-in-portugal.html>

Saturday, November 11, 2006

UFOs In Portugal

Going through the files, we came across a hitherto unpublished article by IHU co-founder and Mexico correspondent Dr. Rafael Lara Palmeros. It was written in the late '90s, but the information is by no means dated.

Unusual Heavenly Phenomena in Portugal: A Historical Roundup
by
Dr. Rafael A. Lara Palmeros, CEFP (Mexico)

Portugal is no exception when it comes to unusual heavenly phenomena. For many years now, and in a number of countries, these events which have become known as UFOS, were called "pillars of fire", "sky monsters", "circular luminous bodies", etc.

The following is a presentation of some of these extraordinary phenomena:

* Estacion de Casa Blanca, Montemor el Nuevo. 14 September 1946:
At 4 p.m. on this day, an enormous disk casting off a greenish iridescence appeared in the skies over this community. The strange phenomenon, which was witnessed by a great number of railroad passengers, remained motionless for approximately 5 minutes after which it turned southward before vanishing altogether (1).

* Viana de Costelo, 17 September 1946. Shortly after 21:00 hours, a luminous body much greater and shinier than a star passed over this city, traveling in a north/south direction. The phenomenon was a cause for great wonderment among the population, giving rise to opinions among the population that it was somehow related to the some of the "mysterious luminous projectiles which have been mentioned in the press lately." (2).

* Lisbon, 15 December 1946. At approximately 18:40 hours, a curious luminous projectile was seen traveling at an extraordinary altitude, leaving in a brilliant wake in its path. The object followed a southerly trajectory from the northeast. It gave the impression of being some new kind of "flying bomb", having great range and tremendous speed, similar to those which have been reported over the Scandinavian and international level.

The society has the all-important backing of the Dean of the University of Porto, Prof. Nuno Grande, and of the BIAL

Foundation, through its president, Dr. Luis Portela. The society's goal is to promote periodic debate and information activity; set forth research protocols as well as cooperation with other like-minded organizations, both domestic and foreign, dedicated to the study of unexplored subjects and situations. One of its most important aims is having innovative scientists and theoreticians visit Portugal to hold seminars and conferences.

The Portuguese Scientific Exploration Society (SPEC) takes this opportunity to notify all interested parties to participate in their first International Symposium on the "Borderline of Science" to be held on October 25 and 26, 1997 at Fernando Pessoa University in Porto. Renown Portuguese and foreign specialists shall take part in this conference, discussing the latest theories, information and experiences in a number of polemical areas with the aim of furthering the frontiers of human knowledge.

The Sociedade Portuguesa de Exploracao Cientifica (SPEC) can be reached at: Travessa de Cedofeita, 72, 2do traseiras. 4050 Porto. Caixa Postal 5379.4023 Porto Codex. Portugal.

Portugal is one of the countries having the highest degree of Marian apparitions. It is important to keep the strange phenomena at Fatima in mind along with the strange paranormal manifestations in Ladeira, to mention only two.

On September 6, 1996, church authorities in Barcelos, located in northern Portugal, investigated the alleged apparition of an effigy of Christ on a consecrated host.

The phenomenon took place in the village church of Moure, Barcelos council, during a mass held on May 8, 1996, according to the testimony of father Antonio Miranda, who celebrated mass that day. Miranda claims that the effigy resembles the "Christ of the Azores" and while it cannot be seen clearly, the crown of thorns, shadows resembling weals on its face and hands crossed over its chest can be seen. Father Miranda called father Olavo Teixeira and both men reached the conclusion that the effigy seen on the communion wafer is by no means an optical illusion. Their opinion has been corroborated by hundreds of worshippers.

From that day onward, a special service lasting an hour has been taking place on the eighteenth day of each month.

The archdiocese of Braga has confirmed the phenomenon, which has caused great astonishment and curiosity throughout the region, but it has refused to make any official comment on the situation.

A detailed report on the matter has been prepared, and although the Church authorities remain quiet on the matter, they have nevertheless methodological approaches, seeking the rigorous application of various disciplinary instruments taken from the humanities and from the natural sciences.

CNIFO has and tries to stimulate contacts with academic consultants, and has set up a good relationship with official Portuguese entities, mainly with the Air Force and with the Republican National Guard (similar to Spain's Guardia Civil). Within its limits, it has published a number of journals and assorted monographs which seriously reflect the UFO phenomenon's numerous implications.

The distribution of responsibilities of this association is the following: CNIFO North - Joaquim Fernandes; CNIFO North/Central - Eduardo Rui; CNIFO Center/South - Jose Sottomayor; CNIFO South - Leonte Paixao.

Two other notable Portuguese researchers, Cassiano Jose Monteiro (Villa Nova de Gaia) and engineer Fernando Fernandes (Oporto), who are distinguished members of CNIFO and CEAFI, remain the most thorough sources of information on close encounters, after Joaquim Fernandes.

* Tuesday, January 4, 1977 (00:30 hrs.) Carapito, Guarda (Beira Alta)

C.A.C.M., a technician in an auto plant, was training his German Shepherd dog in a copse of pine trees when the animal exhibited

suspiciously and remained close to him. It was then he noticed an object suspended in mid-air at a distance of some 10 meters and at some 10 meters in the air. The object had a metallic, dark, ellipsoid shape and a protuberance resembling a dome which was also dark. The object had a diameter of approximately 6 to 7 meters and made a noise similar to "radio static".

The witness then perceived a humanoid shape standing close to the vehicle: the figure stood around 1.80 or 2 meters and was physically to another, suddenly ending at a pine grove almost bordering the small rural home.

Elisabete experienced a nervous shock, which may have been the cause of a subsequent heart condition.

At the foot of the hill upon which the witnesses had first seen the creature, researchers discovered a small radioactive area (with measurements of 20 to 30 rads), although it should be noted that uranium deposits can be found in the region. They also saw a circular zone 2.6 meters in diameter in a sparsely forested region used as a pasture, not far from the pine trees. The earth within the circular zone had been compressed, grass would not grow and horses avoided it altogether.

The cases were not reported until both had been collected, thus apparently avoiding the possibility of any "contagion" (CEAFI, Insolito, IV, 33 June-July 1978, 14-17 and 26).

* October 15, 1978 (22:00 hrs.). Fuzeta, Olhao (Algarve). Approximate day.

Antonio Viegas Mendonça, 71, and his wife Leonor Dias Vasquez, 67, illiterate farmworkers, were in their home (a farmhouse located in a flat area in the vicinity of Fuzeta, approximately 550 meters NNW from the "fishermen's neighborhood" when they became aware of an intense red light coming in through the windows. Husband and wife went outside the house and headed to the rear, where they were startled to see a circular object some 5 meters away from them.

It had an ashen, metallic color and had a doorlike, rectangular opening which began in its midsection and ended at its base. A powerful reddish light issued from the the opening. The UFO was 2 meters in diameter and hovered 10 to 15 centimeters over the ground, making a constant up-and-down motion and no noise whatsoever. Frightened, Mr. Viegas remained partially concealed behind a wall while his wife, paying no heed to his warnings, approached out. When he came to, he found himself in an underground UFO hangar, confronted by three distinct species of extraterrestrials. The aliens told him that the Serra da Guardunha was one of their four "observation bases" on Earth. Machado then passed out again and, when he woke up, it was night, and he was lying on the ground outside the cave.

The morning of Wednesday, October 2, 1996, a shepherd named Fernando Soares checked on his flock, which was grazing in a pasture just north of Idanha-a-Nova. He made a truly gruesome discovery. Nine sheep lay dead, the bodies drained of blood. All told, 28 of Soares's sheep had been attacked by "something." Each sheep, including the nine dead, sustained an identical wound, "a deep circular hole on the right side of the neck," Soares said.

Skeptics claimed that a "practical joker" was trying to "stir up another Chupacabras scare, like the one in Mexico." (See the Toronto Globe and Mail for October 5, 1996)

Interestingly, Idanha-a-Nova, the site of the sheep mutilation, is 50 kilometers (30 miles) southeast of the Serra da Guardunha, where Machado had his strange experience the previous week.

Shortly after the Idanha-a-Nova incident, the U.S. government handed over 25 jet interceptors to Portugal. The package was rushed through the Defense Department military assistance pipeline. The equipment included 25 U.S. Air Force F-16 A/B fighter planes, five spare F100-PW-2200 jet engines, replacement parts and program support totalling \$258 million. Moreover, the F-16s were ferried directly from the USA to Portugal's Monsanto air base. (See the October 7, 1996 issue of Aviation Week and Space Technology, Industry Outlook, page 13)

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

Cattle Mutilation Stuns Ranchers

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 09:55:01 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 09:55:01 -0500
Subject: Cattle Mutilation Stuns Ranchers

Source: The Helena Independent Record - Montana, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/yehalh>

11/12/06

Cattle Mutilation Stuns Ranchers
By Karen Ogden

Great Falls -- Valier rancher John Peterson and his wife were recently headed out into the twilight to do chores when they spotted her.

The healthy young cow lay dead in a stubble field, just off the road.

Stopping the truck to investigate, they found the sickening, telltale signs.

The cow's udder, genitals and rectum were cut out with stunning precision. The left side of her face was carved off, the exposed bones stripped as clean as if they'd been boiled.

Peterson, who discovered a similarly mutilated cow on his neighbor's ranch five years ago, knew he was the latest victim in one of rural Montana's greatest mysteries.

Since the 1970s, Montana ranchers have found dozens of cattle carved up in similar, macabre fashion.

The first known incident was a mutilated steer reported near Sand Coulee in late August 1974. By December 1977, sheriff's deputies had investigated 67 mutilation cases in Cascade, Judith Basin, Chouteau, Teton and Pondera counties.

In each case, the cuts were made with surgical precision, often in circular shapes.

Similar cases have haunted ranchers in the Southwest since the 1970s, when a 300-page federally funded report concluded the killings were the work of natural predators.

Peterson, a lifelong rancher, says he knows a predator kill when he sees one. Grizzly bears, wolves and coyotes aren't suspects in this case, he said.

"It's the weirdest thing," he said. "A guy hates to say too much because I don't know how far you can go before they'll put you in the nut house."

Others theories, besides predators, involve pranksters, satanic cults and space aliens.

Whoever, or whatever, is responsible has left precious few clues for Pondera County Sheriff Tom Kuka. At least not the kind of clues lawmen are used to.

Like the others, Peterson's cow was found with no blood spills or splatters, no footprints and no sign of a struggle.

Nor were there footprints in past cases when the ground was muddy or snow-covered.

"There's no reasonable or rational explanation for this," said Kuka, who is investigating the case as felony criminal mischief. Peterson's cow was worth up to \$1,200.

"I'm hoping to find anything that would show how did this animal come to get there," he said.

Perhaps the most unsettling hallmark of the mutilations is that hungry predators leave the carcasses untouched.

Peterson discovered the cow Oct. 9 and the birds are just now starting to peck at it.

"We had a cow die a week after this one about a half a mile away and there's nothing left of that other cow," he said.

Those oddities -- no blood, no footprints and no predators -- were all part of a similar spate of mutilations in the area in 2002, when ranchers reported at least 15 killings.

In one case, a rancher west of Dupuyer found a carcass with the skin peeled off the left side of the face and nose in similar fashion to Peterson's cow. The left eyeball, rectum and genitals were cut out. Part of the left ear was cut off, but the udder was intact.

On a ranch between Fort Shaw and Cascade, a carcass was missing its left eye, one teat, its genitals and rectum.

But in this latest case at Peterson's ranch, Kuka found an intriguing clue.

A few feet south of the carcass there was an impression in the stubble field, like the cow had lain down there. But there were no footprints or drag marks between the impression and her final resting place.

It was as if the bovine had fallen from the sky - and bounced.

Could she have been pushed from an aircraft?

There are numerous farmhouses in the area, and none reported hearing low-flying aircraft.

Aliens?

Even Peterson, a down-to-earth sort, admits he's pondered extraterrestrial explanations.

"You never know," he said. "I ain't gonna say they're out there. But I ain't gonna say they're not."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 08:28:18 EST
Fwd Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 10:43:14 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 10:31:47 -0800
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 08:19:01 EST
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 18:49:39 +0000
>>>Subject: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>Listarians, Lurkers and Government Spooks,

>>>A few weeks ago I joined my friend, Ed Gehrman, for a day trip
>>>to the infamous and former Roswell ranch of Mac Brazel, known as
>>>the Foster Ranch. Not only did we have a great time, but it had
>>>been quite awhile since I had been on an outing. Having to carry
>>>all my medical supplies made the SUV look like a MASH unit.
>>><VBG>

>>>Ed has been concerned that Don Schmidt and Tom Carey had the
>>>location of the debris field and the crash site wrong. I've
>>>always thought that too, but have pretty much stayed away from
>>>the fracas until now. I believe the Sci-Fi Channel spent a lot
>>>of money to put a granite marker in the wrong place.

>Good Morning, Wendy, List, All -

>>Of course the fact that it was Bill Brazel who originally took
>>Don and me out to the debris field has been overlooked. He had
>>found debris in that area and he showed us where, specifically
>>he had found it and described the gouge to us. Bud Payne, who
>>had seen the area and the military working it, took us out there
>>as well. Tommy Tyree, who had been working for Brazel described
>>the area to us when we visited with him in Corona.

>Hi Kevin,

>I wasn't looking for Mac's site. I think that Mac's debris field
>was the missing port side of the craft the MP described, a
>result of a mid-air explosion. The site I was searching for was
>the site where the damaged craft eventually landed, the first
>recovery, before Mac appeared in Roswell.

Good Morning, All -

My first reaction was that of Roseanne Rosannadanna... "Never
mind..."

But then I see that he mentions, specifically, the debris field
as being mislocated so it certainly sounded as if part of the
search was for Mack Brazel's debris field. So, it is possible to
think that, at the very least, part of the problem here was the
discussion of the original debris field.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 13](#)

Re: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?

From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 09:23:16 EST
Fwd Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 10:45:42 -0500
Subject: Re: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 09:48:11 EST
>Subject: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?

>Many of you have been studying or presenting UFO data on the web
>for 10 years or more now.

>What's the call? After this unprecedented reach to and from the
>global community what can you say about the mysteries
>surrounding this field?

>When I started I was amazed that I could talk 24/7 with other
>ufologists and the public at large. On a daily basis it would
>be dozens to hundreds of people discussing UFOs.

>One can literally listen to UFO topic radio 24/7 in several
>languages nowadays.

>The majority of people I've communicated with are
>sound folks, maybe a bit passionate on certain issues not
>necessarily UFO related, but they're sane and rational. ...

>Bottom line to me is it's a subject that is highly interesting
>to people worldwide. The diversity of sciences and humanities
>one must study to comprehend it is for me challenging and
>delightful.

Bottom line is that the online crowd may be "sane and rational"
(which is disputable) but you do not have Nobel Prize winning
scientists, not a single one, online 24/7 discussing UFO's and
trying to solve the mystery, despite as you say the "diversity
of sciences" required by the UFO subject.

Renowned theoretical physicist Michio Kaku is favorably disposed
to the UFO subject as he stated in his ABC Peter Jennings
interview yet he would not be caught dead on UFO UpDates or
other Web forums on UFOs. Think about why these forums and web-
based media outlets are so avoided by Nobel-caliber scientists.

Besides the "flaming" and the unrestrained, unpoliced postings
by the insane and irrational (contra your "sane and rational")
there is also the factor that the level of discourse is so low
that such renowned scientists would have to reinvent the wheel
every day, repeating themselves endlessly, proving the same
points year after year because the points do not "stick" the
first time. No one reads the fundamental textbooks before
spouting off their ignorant nonsense in these Web forums.

Then there is the anti-intellectualism which prides itself in
the UFO world as not needing the despised "science", the
"scientific method", and scientists, that amateur methods are
all that is needed to solve the UFO mystery.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Secrecy News - 11/13/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 11:49:11 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 07:30:46 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News - 11/13/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 118
November 13, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** REVIVING CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT
** ROBERT GATES ON OPENNESS, OVERSIGHT

REVIVING CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT

"I believe that the first order of business when we reorganize after the first of the year is congressional oversight," said Senate Democratic Leader Sen. Harry Reid (D-NV) on November 10 after it became clear that Democrats would control the Senate and House in the next Congress.

"There simply has been no oversight in recent years," Sen. Reid said.

That of course is an exaggeration. Even on the narrow subject of government secrecy, for example, Rep. Christopher Shays (R-CT) held multiple oversight hearings over the last two years that substantially enriched the public record.

But it is nevertheless true that congressional oversight atrophied under Republican leadership and that many fateful national policy decisions escaped scrutiny or challenge. That is expected to change as Democrats take charge in January.

New members and staff may need to learn or relearn the tools and techniques of oversight.

Beginning with the basics, the Congressional Research Service explains: "Congressional oversight refers to the review, monitoring, and supervision of federal agencies, programs, activities, and policy implementation."

More simply still: "Oversight is a way for Congress to check on, and check, the executive."

A 146 page manual prepared by CRS in 2004 describes the purposes and practices of congressional oversight in detail.

See "Congressional Oversight Manual," October 21, 2004:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RL30240.pdf>

See also "Congressional Oversight," updated January 3, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/97-936.pdf>

ROBERT GATES ON OPENNESS, OVERSIGHT

As Director of Central Intelligence from 1991-1993, Robert M. Gates, the nominee to be the next Secretary of Defense, grappled with questions of government secrecy more than almost any other agency head and helped to inaugurate a decade of increasing openness in intelligence and elsewhere.

Though he said the term "CIA openness" was "an oxymoron," Mr. Gates also expressed the view that the interests of the CIA would best be served by eliminating unnecessary restrictions on disclosure of Agency information.

He undertook several initiatives to increase openness in U.S. intelligence, some of which did not fail.

He directed the publication of unclassified and declassified articles from the CIA journal *Studies in Intelligence*; he began the process of declassifying records concerning major U.S. covert actions during the cold war; he signaled the CIA's willingness to cooperate in a government-wide program of declassifying records pertaining to the assassination of President Kennedy; and he initiated a program of declassification of National Intelligence Estimates on the former Soviet Union.

"Over the years, CIA's approach to dealing with the media and the public has been, at best, uneven," he said in a 1992 speech. It "took place against a backdrop of overall continuing and undifferentiated secrecy.... This is going to change."

Mr. Gates laid out his views on the subject and his new initiatives in "CIA and Openness," a speech to the Oklahoma Press Association, on February 21, 1992:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/eprint/gates1992.html>

Most of Mr. Gates's changes in intelligence disclosure policy were incremental and did not fundamentally transform either internal or external communications. Many of the proposed changes were adopted half-heartedly or inconsistently, or later abandoned. Some were not implemented at all.

For example, at his 1991 confirmation hearing, Mr. Gates expressed support for the idea of declassifying the intelligence budget total, but he never did so.

An excellent proposal that he presented in his 1992 speech - to "publish on an annual basis an index of all documents [CIA] has declassified" - was never accomplished, though it remains a valuable and perfectly achievable objective, for CIA and other national security agencies.

Mr. Gates' halting efforts to increase openness were explicitly motivated by bureaucratic self-interest, but they were not less effective for that reason. To the contrary, he seemed to understand what few agency heads do: that openness and responsiveness to the public can advance the interests of an agency over the long run.

Mr. Gates has also displayed an appreciation for the role of congressional oversight that may yet serve him and the nation well.

"I sat in the Situation Room in secret meetings for nearly twenty years under five Presidents, and all I can say is that some awfully crazy schemes might well have been approved had everyone present not known and expected hard questions, debate, and criticism from the Hill," he wrote in his 1996 memoir "From the Shadows" (p. 559).

"And when, on a few occasions, Congress was kept in the dark, and such schemes did proceed, it was nearly always to the lasting regret of the Presidents involved. Working with the Congress was never easy for Presidents, but then, under the Constitution, it wasn't supposed to be. I saw too many in the White House forget that."

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Shostak Says ET May Phone Home Soon

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 12:12:08 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 07:32:17 -0500
Subject: Shostak Says ET May Phone Home Soon

Shostak Says ET May Phone Home Soon... Do I See A Pattern?

http://www.athensnews.com/issue/article.php3?story_id=26636

Okay, so Shostak's got this highly optimistic viewpoint in this story that ET will be phoning us soon.

Shostak seems more visible in the press than usual and I suspect he's holding out on some juicy bit of news.

This will be fun to keep an eye on.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 11:53:02 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 07:42:20 -0500
Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Shell

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 15:01:52 -0000
>Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

>>From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 16:36:43 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

><snip>

>><http://www.daviddarling.info/encyclopedia/Z/zoohypoth.html>

>>Zoo hypothesis

>>"One way this monitoring could be carried out would be through
>>automatic equipment surreptitiously located on the Earth or
>>elsewhere in the solar system which relays back to its masters
>>news of our progress."

><snip>

>And, as there's cause to think the 'speed of light' barrier to
>communication (or at least signalling) has already been broken,
>your hypothesis looks fairly reasonable.

How much power (EM) do you think it would take to run one of
these subspace transmission stations? If it was a lot, maybe
there would be some kind of recognizable power signature we
could spot. And it might take a lot of power, if the
signal/noise problem is as bad in subspace as it is in normal
spacetime.

On the other hand, if it turns out to be like a psychic power,
like a remote viewing or OOB projection, where the problem is
more about attenuation than overcoming noise, then it might not
take much power at all. Any little critter with a brain working
in 6 dimensions might be able to do it. So the "monitoring
equipment" might just be our own friendly, fluffy dogs and cats,
who gather information and pass it along to the aliens keeping
tabs on us.

Or maybe we're the transmitters, ourselves, and don't even know
it. A curious alien consciousness might just "drop in" to our
minds, experience our existence as kind of a virtual reality
game through our eyes for a while, then leave after they've
gotten enough information. Maybe we're nothing but a kind of
Playstation to them. Or we're each a kind of tiny telepathic
nerve cell in a huge organism that we can't really comprehend,
but which occasionally communicates to us in symbols.

Still, that doesn't explain all the apparently shiny metallic
flying saucers flitting around in the sky.

Technology, at least advanced human technology, always seems to
get either smaller or bigger. Our computers get teensy-tiny, and
our construction projects get humongous. But at the moment,
human beings only work within a relatively narrow range of

sizes. Flying saucers fit in that range. They're not larger than the Moon, or smaller than a bacterium. They're generally nice and "human sized."

This makes me again think that these flying saucer things are not as "alien" as some others here on the list think they are. Time travelers? Human-like beings from other "expressions" of reality? I don't know. Just don't know. My little half-Neanderthal brain is very limited, and just can't figure it out with the currently available evidence.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gerhrman

From: Ed Gerhrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 11:04:01 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 07:44:22 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gerhrman

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 08:28:18 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 10:31:47 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 08:19:01 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Fri, 10 Nov 2006 18:49:39 +0000
>>>>Subject: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>>Listarians, Lurkers and Government Spooks,

>>>>A few weeks ago I joined my friend, Ed Gehrman, for a day trip
>>>>to the infamous and former Roswell ranch of Mac Brazel, known as
>>>>the Foster Ranch. Not only did we have a great time, but it had
>>>>been quite awhile since I had been on an outing. Having to carry
>>>>all my medical supplies made the SUV look like a MASH unit.
>>>><VBG>

>>>>Ed has been concerned that Don Schmidt and Tom Carey had the
>>>>location of the debris field and the crash site wrong. I've
>>>>always thought that too, but have pretty much stayed away from
>>>>the fracas until now. I believe the Sci-Fi Channel spent a lot
>>>>of money to put a granite marker in the wrong place.

>>Good Morning, Wendy, List, All -

>>>Of course the fact that it was Bill Brazel who originally took
>>>Don and me out to the debris field has been overlooked. He had
>>>found debris in that area and he showed us where, specifically
>>>he had found it and described the gouge to us. Bud Payne, who
>>>had seen the area and the military working it, took us out there
>>>as well. Tommy Tyree, who had been working for Brazel described
>>>the area to us when we visited with him in Corona.

>>Hi Kevin,

>>I wasn't looking for Mac's site. I think that Mac's debris field
>>was the missing port side of the craft the MP described, a
>>result of a mid-air explosion. The site I was searching for was
>>the site where the damaged craft eventually landed, the first
>>recovery, before Mac appeared in Roswell.

>Good Morning, All -

>My first reaction was that of Roseanne Rosannadanna... "Never
>mind..."

>But then I see that he mentions, specifically, the debris field

>as being mislocated so it certainly sounded as if part of the
>search was for Mack Brazel's debris field. So, it is possible to
>think that, at the very least, part of the problem here was the
>discussion of the original debris field.

Kevin,

"Never mind"? I'm confused. Aren't you interested in finding the correct sites for both Mac's and the MP's testimony? I don't have a problem with the present location of the generally accepted Foster ranch debris field. Neil Morris supplied me with an aerial map which showed most possible locations based on witnesses descriptions. All are within two miles of each other. I didn't visit these sites because all are on private property and none fit the relevant criteria the MP supplied.

While Wendy has her doubts about the present Foster ranch debris site, this location is not an important issue for me as long as I'm within a half mile or so. I can't see how the feather weight material left a gouge or distinguishing mark of any kind. I would like to walk the area and see if I could find signs of disruption.

Could you also clear up the confusion regarding the Roswell yearbook and the MP's name and rank?

Thanks,

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?

From: Nick Balaskas <nikolaos.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 14:57:35 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 07:46:38 -0500
Subject: Re: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 09:48:11 EST
>Subject: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?

>Many of you have been studying or presenting UFO data on the web
>for 10 years or more now.

>What's the call? After this unprecedented reach to and from the
>global community what can you say about the mysteries
>surrounding this field?

<snip>

Hi Greg!

Like the newbies we all are, I feel the quote below by Graham Hancock sent to me in a personal e-mail from Robert Morningstar gives us the reason why we are not any closer to solving the UFO mystery and answering the questions regarding intelligent life in the universe after all these years of debate on the web.

"Mankind is a species with amnesia... We don't know who we are and we don't remember where we came from."

We are still very much an Earth bound species and our search for the truth behind the UFO mystery and the answer to the question, "Are we alone?" can only be determined from the whole body of knowledge available to us and through our indirect observations made from this restricted vantage point in the universe. That said, I am very surprised that what our parents and ancestors had to say on this subject which they accepted without question (like many of us older listers still accept that man did indeed walk on another world in the later 1960s and early 1970s) is totally ignored or discounted.

Maybe we are happy with the speculations and the status quo and are not that curious to search harder for the truth, especially if it conflicts with our popular world views or challenges our cherished beliefs. We may not yet be ready to handle the truth.

Nick Balaskas

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: Audio History of Ufology Project Announcement

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 19:59:57 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 07:47:41 -0500
Subject: Re: Audio History of Ufology Project Announcement

Greetings to Listarians, Lurkers and Government Spooks (I hear the ET Unit at Sandia Labs Enjoyed their NUFORC Compilation. Makes ya think, eh boys?):

If you want audio history compilations in time for Christmas, please donate by December 10th. After that date I cannot guarantee delivery in time for the holidays.

Order 3 and get one free. Order 2 and get a bonus document disc of Dr. Hynek's, "Conferences with Astronomers on Unidentified Aerial Objects," 1952.

Ho, Ho, Ho!

Wendy Connors
www.fadeddiscs.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

New UFO Documentary DVD Needs Your Help!

From: Michael Bourne <thebookofthoth.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 20:04:32 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 07:50:22 -0500
Subject: New UFO Documentary DVD Needs Your Help!

New UFO Documentary DVD Needs Your Help

Best selling author Philip Gardiner with Reality Entertainment
and

<http://www.book-of-thoth.com>

is about to embark on the making of a new documentary about the
phenomena of UFO's and needs your help.

The production team are on the lookout for new and rare footage
or photographs of any strange sightings of either craft or
indeed natural or human phenomena. Have you taken a photograph?
Have you some footage of a UFO that you would like to share with
the world? Or do you have a story to tell that you believe would
intrigue the world and add to the evidence? Do you know of any
locations in the UK in particular that would be beneficial for
the crew to come and film?

If you have anything to offer then go to:

<http://www.gardinersworld.com>

and contact Philip through the contacts page. Or:

<http://www.book-of-thoth.com>

and contact Michael (THoTH) through the feedback page.

We are not looking to repeat all that has already been reported.
Instead, we are on the lookout for new material and new ideas
for what this strange phenomena is all about.

If you want to tell your tale or show your evidence, be it
footage, photographs or even artefacts then please contact
Philip or Michael. We are aiming at filming and producing in the
next few months so please hurry. Anybody who provides something
for the DVD will receive free goodies from Reality Entertainment
as payment as well as being credited on the DVD.

Webmaster at The Book Of THoTH
<http://www.book-of-thoth.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Black Operations and Government Cover-Ups

From: Lyle Michel <courtroomevidence456.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 12:36:44 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 07:53:59 -0500
Subject: Black Operations and Government Cover-Ups

Black Operations and Government Cover-Ups! The Catch 22 of it all!

It is extremely important to understand that our government employees in black operations are professionals. It is also important to understand that some employees in black operations feel that the people should be told what is going on and some do not. Thus we have a catch 22. One of the ways they have compromised on this issue is to teach people without actually telling people they are being taught something important. This is done through science fiction sometimes, and sometimes through fact and fiction. They really get a kick out of this one. The alien autopsy film is one of the best examples on the point I am trying to make.

Did aliens actually die in the 1947 Roswell Crash! Of course they did! We have enough courtroom witnesses to make this point. Did they autopsy the aliens to learn as much about these extraterrestrials as they possibly could.. Of course they did! Is the alien autopsy film that many of us have seen the actual film of the autopsy. Maybe and maybe not! Black operations gets a real kick out of this! They know full well that those of us who have a need to dot every i and cross every t will analyze this to death. So all they have to do is create the slightest discrepancies and many will immediately call the film a fake! For example, they might take the actual 1947 film and reproduce it on a film that was made in say 1963 (I am making this up!) Immediately the experts among us will shout fraud and off they will go! Pleased as punch that they have proven the film is fraudulent. Is the film fraudulent! Well yes and no! In this example the film does represent an actual autopsy of an alien. It just doesn't happen to represent the autopsy of an alien who died in the 1947 crash. It is important to note that a number of aliens have died in crashes. Thus it could be an autopsy of aliens who were involved in any one of a number of different crashes including the 1947 crashes

Has black operations taught us something and still kept the peace among themselves! Of course they have! No panic here! The facts are that aliens have died when their craft have crashed on earth. The facts are some if not all of these beings were autopsied. The facts are that the autopsy's were probably filmed! The facts are that we the public have been shown film that has been altered in some way to make us question our own judgment. Thus the public has been taught that aliens have died in extraterrestrial crashes on earth. The public has also been taught that our government is fully aware of these events and has done everything they can possibly do to learn as much about the extraterrestrials as they can.

In conclusion, I hope many of you will be able to make sense out of what I have just tried to inform you about. We the public are being taught about the beings from other planets coming to earth. Sometimes with facts, meaning things are exactly what they appear to be! And sometimes through science fiction. Meaning the theme of story is essentially true even if the story itself is made up. The best public example of this is the Steven Spielberg film TAKEN. The story is made up but the behavior and abilities of our government are essentially true and the

behavior, abilities and technology of the extraterrestrials as presented in the film are also essentially true.

Anyway I hope you find this interesting!

Lyle Michel

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 07:08:26 +1000
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 07:57:08 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 08:28:18 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 10:31:47 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 08:19:01 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>Hi Kevin,

>>>I wasn't looking for Mac's site. I think that Mac's debris field
>>>was the missing port side of the craft the MP described, a
>>>result of a mid-air explosion. The site I was searching for was
>>>the site where the damaged craft eventually landed, the first
>>>recovery, before Mac appeared in Roswell.

>Good Morning, All -

>My first reaction was that of Roseanne Rosannadanna... "Never
>mind..."

>But then I see that he mentions, specifically, the debris field
>as being mislocated so it certainly sounded as if part of the
>search was for Mack Brazel's debris field. So, it is possible to
>think that, at the very least, part of the problem here was the
>discussion of the original debris field.

Hi Kevin,

It seems you haven't addressed the second part of Eds comment re
the MP.

"Did you find his name in the Yearbook or not?"

This is an important point. If his name is there, it places the
MPs story in a much better light.

Regards

William

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Possible Reason For Cattle Mutilations?

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 13:55:02 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 07:58:50 -0500
Subject: Possible Reason For Cattle Mutilations?

How Stuff Works: Why would scientists want to create a hybrid
human-cow embryo?

<http://science.howstuffworks.com/ref/human-cow-embryo.htm?cid=s36>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

2 New Articles At UFO Digest

From: Robert Morningstar <robert_morningstar.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 14:15:06 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:01:27 -0500
Subject: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

2 NEW ARTICLES ON UFO DIGEST

Treasure of the Abyss: Discovers Luminous 'Sky Object' in
Apollo 16 Photograph:

<http://www.ufodigest.com/news/1106/skyobject.html>

Follow-Up To "TMA-1" The Martian Artifact =96 Part I:

<http://www.ufodigest.com/news/1106/tma.html>

TMA-1: The Martian Artifact: More On Martian Meteorology =96 Part
II:

<http://www.ufodigest.com/news/1106/tma5.html>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Northern Wisconsin UFO Sighting

From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 18:10:43 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:03:29 -0500
Subject: Northern Wisconsin UFO Sighting

Source: Barron News Shield - Cumberland, WI

<http://tinyurl.com/yaep4g>

11/05/2006

UFO Sighting Just A Day Before Halloween
by
Eric Quade

Some of this year's 'best dressed' trick-or-treaters in Barron County might not have been in costume-or even from this galaxy-if this week's reported UFO sighting is any indication.

A 26-year-old man called the sheriff's department at 3:53 Monday morning and reported seeing a UFO just east of Cumberland and north of Hwy. 48, according to county dispatch records.

<http://tinyurl.com/ybaxus>

He further described the UFO as hovering 200 feet above the ground, having a bluish tint and emitting a very bright light. The caller said he had observed the object for about five minutes.

Dispatchers immediately alerted a county officer in the area of the call. An officer with the Cumberland Police Department, who reported having just spoken to another witness of the UFO, also responded at that time.

Cumberland Police Chief Steve Linton said authorities were unable to locate the disturbance north of Hwy. 48 near Eighth Street and the search was called off at 4:30 a.m.

(92.4 mi South from my location)

<http://tinyurl.com/ynddbji>

I've been their before <g>

<http://tinyurl.com/ymvbsji>

John

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? - Lee

From: **Regan Lee** <rlee15.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 17:28:19 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:06:59 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? - Lee

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 17:20:00 -0500
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: Dimitris Hatzopoulos <dhatz-ufo.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 05:15:22 +0200
>>Subject: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

><snip>

>>So, after almost 60 years, what should Ufology be focusing on
>>today?

>My early understanding, a few years back, was that the
>'exopolitics' movement was about trying to force government
>to open up about all they know and almost certainly have in
>their possession. <snip>
>Eleanor White

Interesting points Eleanor about the exopolitics movement. I've bounced around that topic for awhile; it seems like a good thing, well-meaning anyway. On the other hand, spending (er, wasting?) time on how to deal with ET assumes that there are ETs, and, that we'd know enough about them ("culture," etc) to interact productively. (It also assumes the government would allow "the folk" to get away with it.)It has veered off into Space Brother stuff. Because of that, a lot of investigators, researchers have ignored exopolitics. It's become pretty silly to a lot of people.

I think we should keep going regarding efforts to get the government to release documents, etc. but at the same time I have that dollop of pessimism, and paranoia, even, that for everything released, there's a whole lot still hidden away. And even if they trotted out a flying saucer on CNN and world leaders somberly told us that this is a craft with outer space beings inside, I still wouldn't believe. Not all of it, anyway.

Still, despite that, I think working towards the release of information on UFOs from as many sources as possible is a good thing.

R Lee

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:20:51 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:20:51 -0500
Subject: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

Source: History Channel - Stamford, Connecticut, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/yhtyr5>

November 14th 2006

Wednesday, November 15, 2006, 11 a.m.

UFO Files: New UFO Revelations China's Roswell - legends from China tell of 716 mysterious stone discs, known as "The Dropa Stones". Some believe the stones hold secrets about ancient contact with extraterrestrials. Discovered in a cave in 1938, each 12" disc contains a double spiral of tiny hieroglyphs that are said to contain the historical record of an alien race called the Dropa that crash-landed in an isolated region of China 12,000 years ago.

The story of the Dropa Stones is an amazing tale filled with mystery, deceit, and conspiracy, and today, skeptics and true believers wage an ongoing battle over what they are, what they mean, and if they even exist at all. Regardless, the Dropa Stones continue to consume the imaginations of scientists, journalists, historians, UFO buffs, and stargazers in general.

Sunday, November 19, 2006, 1 p.m.

Special: An Alien History Of Planet Earth - Nick Cook, a British aerospace journalist with a 20-year history of "getting below the surface" of some of the strangest military aircraft to take to the skies, applies his expert, investigative skills to a world of mystery and deceit - a fantastical place full of UFOs, strange encounters, and alien abductions. Much of his time has been spent at the respected journal, Jane's Defence Weekly. His job is to investigate secret programs - the shadow defense industry, worth billions of dollars, but hidden from public view.

Now, with more people than ever believing in UFOs, Cook wants to know what really has been flying through our air space. He traces the phenomenon from early sightings of UFOs during WWII to the mass reports of alien abductions that swept America in the 1980s and '90s. Entering the world of bluff and double-bluff, he investigates such landmark cases as the Roswell Incident and the Zamora Sighting to try to answer the question: Are UFOs real?

Sunday, November 19, 2006, 3 p.m.

UFO Files: Deep Sea UFOs - Join us for a detailed examination of the little-known phenomenon of USOs, or "Unidentified Submerged Objects", an advanced type of UFO that can operate just as

efficiently in water as in the atmosphere. These supposed otherworldly vessels have been reported, some believe, as far back as ancient Egypt. Others believe that USOs were reported by Alexander the Great and Christopher Columbus, and might even involve the lost city of Atlantis.

Highlights include the 1967 "Shag Harbour Incident," a government-documented USO crash off the coast of Nova Scotia, Canada, and a trip to the area around Laguna Cartegena in Puerto Rico, a reported hotbed of USO activity. Interviewees include the U.S. Navy's Bruce Maccabee, UCLA's Kathryn Morgan, as well as USO and UFO experts Stanton Friedman, Bill Birnes, and Preston Dennett.

Sunday, November 19, 2006, 4 p.m.

UFO Files: Deep Sea UFOs: Red Alert - in this hour, we'll dive deeper into the ongoing mystery of USOs - Underwater Submerged Objects - UFOs that have reportedly been witnessed going into and out of Earth's oceans. The show features a dive into the Santa Catalina Channel near Los Angeles to search for trace evidence of a 1992 USO event - a detailed account of the USS FDR, a magnet for USO and UFOs from the early 1950s until its decommissioning in the 1970s, with at least eight major sightings.

Australia's famous Tully Water-Crop Circle Case is explored, as well as many other astounding and recent USO cases from the U.S. and the world. Interviews include USS FDR veterans Chet Gruisinsky & Harry Jordan, and Australian UFO expert Bill Chalker.

Sunday, November 19, 2006, 6 p.m.

UFO Files: Hangar 18: The UFO Warehouse! - What happens when a UFO crashes? Some experts claim that the UFO wreckage and even the pilots are transported to a top-secret facility in Dayton, Ohio called "Hangar 18" located on Wright Patterson Air Force Base. Declassified Government documents prove that the "disk" from the famous Roswell event and fragments of other mysterious crashes were shipped to Wright Patterson.

Hear stories involving elected officials, UFO researchers, and former base employees, some of whom are going on the record regarding "Hangar 18." They spin a tale of flying saucer debris, alien bodies, cryogenic chambers and a vast underground network that may hold the secrets to the UFO mystery. Is there a conspiracy to hide UFO evidence from the highest branches in the U.S. Government, or is it all just a myth?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?

From: Robert Gates <RGates8254.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 23:13:41 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 12:42:45 -0500
Subject: Re: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 09:48:11 EST
>Subject: 10 Years Of UFOs On The Web & What's The Call?

>Many of you have been studying or presenting UFO data on the web
>for 10 years or more now.

>What's the call? After this unprecedented reach to and from the
>global community what can you say about the mysteries
>surrounding this field?

>When I started I was amazed that I could talk 24/7 with other
>ufologists and the public at large. On a daily basis it would
>be dozens to hundreds of people discussing UFOs.

>One can literally listen to UFO topic radio 24/7 in several
>languages nowadays.

>The majority of people I've communicated with are
>sound folks, maybe a bit passionate on certain issues not
>necessarily UFO related, but they're sane and rational. ...

>Bottom line to me is it's a subject that is highly interesting
>to people worldwide. The diversity of sciences and humanities
>one must study to comprehend it is for me challenging and
>delightful.

Hi Greg, listers

The bottom line is very simple. The Internet has given us an unparalleled opportunity to post and exchange information on various cases and research leads which is a good thing. Look at the information posted out on web sites that originated from researchers such as Ann Drufel, Brad Sparks, CUFOS, NICAP, Richard Hall, Kevin Randle, Wendy Connors, and MANY, MANY others. UFOs and science is an interesting topic which is a whole different story.

Most of so called "main stream science" is various groups of people researching what "main stream science" views as an accepted scientific topic. Needless to say UFOs are viewed as a "fringe" subject, so as a group, so called "main stream science" doesn't care a whit about the topic. UFO research also doesn't draw "funding" or money, which is the mother milk of science. However such topics as Global warming is drawing cash by the car load to just mention one topic. Needless to say, more research will be done on so called "global warming" then the money spent in other scientific fields.

Lets take a look at so called "main stream science." So called main stream science is actually a bunch of people, with a bunch of interests that generally don't inter connect.

For example, the Astronomical community does their thing, and doesn't care about whats going on in the Geological community, unless something geological happens to have some connection to some piece of astronomical research. Likewise Geologists generally don't care a whit about something out of the

astronomical community unless it has some bearing on something geological. All these groups have their various "journals" where they publish results from their research. Sounding an awful lot like the UFO community.

Alot of it is money. Most of the so called "main stream" scientists are working for various schools and organizations and as one told me its all about getting funding for research and if environmental issues are being bank rolled by schools/govt/organizations then so called main stream science will study those issues. The ones I know have told me that the topic they are studying is not necessairly the one they "love" and wanted to study in the first place, but it was the one where the money was.

Now the main difference between so called "main stream science" and the UFO community is that the fields represented by so called "main stream science" are accepted fields, while topics such as UFOs and others are considered "fringe", and therefor not funded, not interesting and not spending time to research on. When I discuss accepted fields, I would point out that any kind of pronouncement from an accepted field is far more warmly received (even though it may turn out to be total crap 2 years later) then any breath of paper from the UFO community.

Astronomers can look at a picture from Hubble and tell us all the things that are in the picture, in essence based upon photo interpretation, but if you showed these same so called scientists something like the Hefflin UFO picture, they would instantly start back peddling and telling people who more proof was needed then a photo, and they would have to have a physical same in their hands etc etc.

So called respected scientists have told us that dark matter exists in the universe, again in essence based upon photo interpretation. If we put the same standard of proof on them that so called "Main Stream Science" demands of the UFO community, we would say something like: "Now wait a minute, all this is interesting, but ya'all need to get some actual physical samples of this alleged dark matter, and send it off to 23 labs and once they all come to the same conclusion that its dark matter, then we will consider the possibility...."

The point being about main stream science and UFO research is no matter how many pronoucements come from UFO researchers, the only time so called "main stream science" is going to be interested is when something spectacular happens such as a UFO landing on the White House lawn. Only when they have a physical craft, or actual dead ET body to piece off and send to 23 labs, and or actually handle a UFO power plant in their hands will there be interest. Meaning that the evidence of reality is so over whelming and so un deniable that its no longer a "fringe" subject. Until that day happens individuals, groups, organizations and scientists WITHIN the UFO community will have to carry the ball, do the research and publish their findings, as they have virutally since day one.

In the mean time, while those of us are discussing the latest developments say with the Roswell crash site, or the Hefflin photo, or some new video, you can probably count on the fact that the astronomers are discussing the latest hubble or data from ground based scopes, the geologists are discussing the latest information out of the geological field and the fields of endeavor continue on. Bottom line is in 10 years we will have more data, but I suspect the mystery and the questions will carry on.

Cheers,

Robert

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

UFOs As Nuts-And-Bolts Flying Machines

From: **Dimitris Hatzopoulos** <dhatz-ufo.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 06:32:00 +0200
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 12:48:28 -0500
Subject: UFOs As Nuts-And-Bolts Flying Machines

UFOs as nuts-and-bolts flying machines, Paul Hill's book

I'm approaching the subject of UFOs from a strictly 'nuts and bolts' perspective, as advanced flying machines built by ETs - vs the more exotic theories I've read, one of which goes as far as to consider UFOs "living space-dwelling creatures, coming to Earth to mate!"...

I found support for this "nuts and bolts" approach in the book "Unconventional Flying Objects" ISBN 1-57174-027-9 by Paul Hill (Bio at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul_R._Hill NASA scientist, who privately acted as a "citizen's clearinghouse" of UFO sighting data, separating wheat from chaff, for 2 decades). It was written in the 1970s but published post-humously in 1995.

Here follows a list of the key points from that book from:

<http://www.gedcorp.com/pcr/pcr/ufo.html>

March 30, 1998

I just got the book Unconventional Flying Objects. It looks good so far - up to p. 49. Call 800 766 8009, or fax 804 296 5096 or call 804 296 2772 to get a copy. It is the UFO "smoking gun". This book is a must-read especially for CSICOPs skeptics like Leon Jaroff and Victor Stenger. Phillip Corso's book gains a new credibility. Unlike Corso, Hill is a no-nonsense matter-of-fact well-trained (NASA) engineer who knows the right stuff, understands scientific method and conventional physics and has detailed data and checkable analysis. Hill personally saw UFOs and his descriptions are very detailed. Hill could be teaching undergraduate physics or basic engineering at any California State University. He would fit right in if he were still alive. If NASA's Marc Millis and his friends have not yet read this book they better now. They probably have read it but are not going public. Indeed, Hill's book is probably the reason that NASA Administrator, Dan Goldin, is supporting "breakthrough propellantless propulsion".

All of my military friends reading this, especially my special friend with combat pilot-training writing the new manual, need to study this book ASAP! In fact, the NATO air forces need to be advised on this ASAP. Hill's book needs to be distributed to key NATO military. It also needs to be distributed to Colonel John Alexander's "Nonlethal Warfare" Network. The Navy also has a need to know because UFOs can maneuver on the surface of and under water. Make it so.***

A few key points. I will go into more detail as I read through the book.

These objects are real machines, not merely projections of Jungian archetypes in the mind or intrusions of extra-dimensional beings. I am not denying that both of the latter may

not also be playing a more subtle role. I am a bit puzzled now about exactly where Jacques Vallee stands on the metallic machine evidence for UFOs?

1. There are smaller disk-shaped craft that come from a larger cigar-shaped "mother ship".
2. Sizes range from 8 inches to a 1000 feet for the mother cylindrical craft. There is also a "dirigible" version of a few hundred feet. The disks are in range of 1 to 30 meters. Saturn-like vehicles with a "ring" are in 5 to 15 meter range. Conical hat types about 200 meters.
3. Color in daylight brightly polished silver to dull aluminum. Flat-bottoms are darker underneath in a central circular area or in annular ring near the rim. Running lights at night in many patterns. Blinking, theater marquee, Xmas tree. Solid lights in red, orange, amber, yellow, blue, blue-violet, brilliant white singly and in combinations. Solid colors resemble neon lighting.
4. Halos at night, neon-like, solid color luminescence emanating from an envelope of air around the metallic hard surfaces. Bullets ricochet from these surfaces. In saucers and saturns the halo concentrates near the "ring" rim more intensely below than above it. Sometimes an ice cream cone halo underneath the saucer. The big cylindrical mother ships sometimes have great white cloud.
5. Wakes: dirigibles and cylinders have plume-like wakes when accelerating rapidly or moving a high speeds. These wakes are gray to straw-colored in daylight, flame-colored at night. UFOs can move slow at 100 mph without generating a wake.
6. Jitter - at times they vibrate heavily. UFO motion is jerky and jittery at low speed. This could be an optical effect rather than a real jitter.
7. Absence of heat near a UFO. So not much infra-red emanations. Mild sensation of warmth. The surfaces of the UFO are not very hot. Nothing is at a red heat.
8. Maneuvers. Hovering at any altitude is common. UFOs hover close to the ground for long times instead of landing. The different maneuvers include:
 - a. falling leaf or UFO-rock like a coin falling in water
 - b. silver-dollar wobble - like a coin with a slow spin on a flat surface. This maneuver is consistently observed at the end of a rapid descent as the UFO initiates hovering
 - c. acute-angle turn with rapid stop at the vertex
 - d. sudden reversal of direction
 - e. bank and turn - exactly like conventional aircraft
 - f. straight-away speed run either horizontal or vertical!
 - g. tilt to maneuver "UFOs tilt to perform all maneuvers ... they sit level to hover, tilt forward to move forward, tilt backward to stop, bank to turn"
9. Speed 9,000 mph at altitudes 60,000 feet, 18,000 feet,, 3,500 feet from military radar observations.
10. Accelerations are typically 100g, which is 10x larger than our fighting air machines, but less than our small anti-tank tube or gun-launched missiles whose internal computers and gyroscopes are designed to withstand 9,000g back in 1975. What would such a small missile do to a UFO at close range?

* Note this 100g figure is what is seen by external observers. The ship itself and its occupants may be in the local inertial frame (LIF) motion of "free-float" i.e. zero g just like our astronauts orbiting the Earth with rockets switched off and no spinning of the craft.*
11. UFOs observed as high as 200 miles from surface of Earth. So they are space-capable.
12. Sound: hum, buzz, whine at close quarters. Sound rises in both pitch and intensity seconds before and during take-off. Steady motion either a light swish-of-air sound or running absolutely silent. With few exceptions NO ROAR OR BOOM WHEN MOVING AT SUPERSONIC SPEED!

13. Weight 30 tons - for an ellipsoidal craft 6 meters axial, 3 meters cross diameter. This is 965 kilos per cubic meter, which is like our modern nuclear submarines, but is more than our jet airplanes. UFOs have retractable landing gear three 6"x12" rectangles in equilateral triangle of 6 meter sides with X-print 5" deep in hard soil, for a 10 meter disk.

14. Nests and rings: saucers land without extending their retractable gear in reeds or soft ground leaving nest-like imprints. They swirl down grass rings like the "crop circles" perhaps? Hovering saucers form chemically and physically altered annular rings in the earth itself. Also charred roots and wilted plants are found.

15. Propulsion: no visible means. There is no jet propulsion. There is no ejection of propellant of any kind. The 100g accelerations would require conventional jet engines that are unattainable with known technology. This is not how they do it. Some kind of force field is involved. These fields bend and break tree branches, bump or slow automobiles spinning them out of control or tipping them over, stop people by force or knock them down.

16. High degree of nuclear radioactivity and X-rays near UFOs! This is not healthy.

17. Merging: spheres and saucers leave and enter large cylinders and dirigibles.

18. Occupants seen engaged in collecting plants, minerals, water, manually and using suction hoses.

19. EMP is strong. All electrical circuitry interrupted, batteries are burned out, gasoline engines stop, diesel engines OK. Conventional fighter aircraft attacking UFOs at close quarters will be destroyed by EMP unless specially hardened.

20. Alien weaponry includes heat beams, paralyzing beams, force tractor beams both as tools and weapons. UFO occupants are apparently not mad dogs killing sadistically. Generally they use nonlethal levels for their weapons to defend against attacks. NATO forces should not initiate attacks unless attacked. UFOs have pattern of intruding on our defense bases and also key hydroelectric plants. They are also interested in getting close to water like lakes.

And a synopsis/review of the same book, written by H. E. Puthoff, Ph.D., Institute for Advanced Studies can be found in the archives of this List:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/1997/may/m26-020.shtml>

Regards,

Dimitris

<http://www.hyper.net/ufo.html>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

UFOs As Straw Men A How-To Guide

From: **Mac Tonnies** <macbot.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 00:48:23 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 12:51:55 -0500
Subject: UFOs As Straw Men A How-To Guide

List,

Here's my latest ufological editorial, available on my blog at:

<http://posthumanblues.blogspot.com>:

Let's face it: If you write about lofty, exciting (but dauntingly strange) ideas, you're going to need straw men. Why? To keep your readers alert to the fact that you know of what you speak, obviously. But more importantly, to keep your own ego afloat. It's a weird universe out there; even on the best of days, answers are elusive. Unless you dose yourself with the illusion of intellectual omnipotence, things get out of hand . . . but of course you know this already.

Which is why I come to you with a offer you can't refuse. Make no mistake: You're going to need it. Because when you write about seemingly remote and inaccessible subjects, there are always a few readers who don't buy it. And they take out their consternation by insinuating that your grip on reality has in some way slackened (when you know perfectly well that it hasn't).

Naturally, you can only take so much of this. After all, you know you're right. You know your paradigm will prevail. You need to strike back, let off some steam, give yourself a much-needed - if utterly undeserved - chance to indulge in some therapeutic gloating and smug laughter. Yes, it's short-lived and never really amounts to anything - but if it wins you some brittle camaraderie it's more than served its designated purpose.

Anyway, I sense your impatience. You want the goods, and I'm happy to assist. Here's what you're after: UFOs.

You're probably wondering what UFOs have to do with anything. How can they can help you in your quest to seem all-knowing and ever-prescient?

Here's how it works: Most people don't know a damned thing about UFOs. They've never heard of the Condon Report, let alone taken the time to familiarize themselves with the likes of Jacques Vallee and J. Allen Hynek. Their awareness of the UFO phenomenon is limited to pop culture.

For example, they've likely seen "The X-Files." They may even qualify as "enthusiasts," having read a few sensational titles about altruistic visitors from the Pleiades or the diabolical threat posed by the "Grays," who hail from a dying world and need our DNA to survive. And no doubt they've thrilled to one or two Hollywood treatments of the UFO theme, cementing their illusory sense of expertise. Broadly speaking, it's fair to say that their comprehension of the subject is limited to knee-jerk references to government cover-ups, crashed saucers and human-ET treaties deep below the unassuming surface of Area 51.

If this sounds rather pathetic, don't let it get you down - because you need these people.

Of course, that's not to infer that everyone's knowledge of the

subject is confined to the fringe. Many scientists, including NASA's Paul Hill and esteemed theoretical physicist Bernard Haisch, have expressed a keen interest in the phenomenon, availed themselves of the data compiled by trained observers, and arrived at provocative conclusions.

Granted, you don't care what scientists and researchers have to say. That's fine. Because, for the most part, neither does anyone else. Your job isn't to relate factual information or even to concede that there's a mystery. Quite the opposite: For you, UFOs are something to be laughed at - not because the core phenomenon is in any way laughable, but because attempting to grasp the subject's complexity is so daunting and unfashionable that the notion of taking any of it seriously - even as a mental exercise - virtually guarantees that you can rely on others' ignorance.

The fragile associations that accompany the very mention of unidentified flying objects ensure an easy ideological victory at little or no personal expense. All it takes is the willingness to condescend. And, just maybe, a small piece of your self-respect - but certainly that's a small price to pay when there are arguments to be won.

Mac Tonnies <macbot.nul>

Mac's website: <http://www.mactonnies.com>

Mac blogs at: <http://posthumanblues.blogspot.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: New UFO Documentary DVD Needs Your Help! - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 13:28:53 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 12:53:15 -0500
Subject: Re: New UFO Documentary DVD Needs Your Help! - Hall

>From: Michael Bourne <thebookofthoth.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 20:04:32 +0000
>Subject: New UFO Documentary DVD Needs Your Help!

>New UFO Documentary DVD Needs Your Help

>Best selling author Philip Gardiner with Reality Entertainment
>and

><http://www.book-of-thoth.com>

>is about to embark on the making of a new documentary about the
>phenomena of UFO's and needs your help.

>The production team are on the lookout for new and rare footage
>or photographs of any strange sightings of either craft or
>indeed natural or human phenomena. Have you taken a photograph?
>Have you some footage of a UFO that you would like to share with
>the world? Or do you have a story to tell that you believe would
>intrigue the world and add to the evidence? Do you know of any
>locations in the UK in particular that would be beneficial for
>the crew to come and film?

>If you have anything to offer then go to:

><http://www.gardinersworld.com>

>and contact Philip through the contacts page. Or:

><http://www.book-of-thoth.com>

>and contact Michael (THoTH) through the feedback page.

>We are not looking to repeat all that has already been reported.
>Instead, we are on the lookout for new material and new ideas
>for what this strange phenomena is all about.

[This] Phenomenon.

>If you want to tell your tale or show your evidence, be it
>footage, photographs or even artefacts then please contact
>Philip or Michael. We are aiming at filming and producing in the
>next few months so please hurry. Anybody who provides something
>for the DVD will receive free goodies from Reality Entertainment
>as payment as well as being credited on the DVD.

>Webmaster at The Book Of THoTH
><http://www.book-of-thoth.com>

Yes, let's break out more 'tales,' junk photos, and dubious
artifacts and we will have another typical schlockumentary.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:51:35 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 12:55:26 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 11:04:01 -0800
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 08:28:18 EST
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 10:31:47 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>>I wasn't looking for Mac's site. I think that Mac's debris field
>>>was the missing port side of the craft the MP described, a
>>>result of a mid-air explosion. The site I was searching for was
>>>the site where the damaged craft eventually landed, the first
>>>recovery, before Mac appeared in Roswell.

>>Good Morning, All -

>>My first reaction was that of Roseanne Rosannadanna... "Never
>>mind..."

>>But then I see that he mentions, specifically, the debris field
>>as being mislocated so it certainly sounded as if part of the
>>search was for Mack Brazel's debris field. So, it is possible to
>>think that, at the very least, part of the problem here was the
>>discussion of the original debris field.

>Kevin,

>"Never mind"? I'm confused. Aren't you interested in finding the
>correct sites for both Mac's and the MP's testimony? I don't
>have a problem with the present location of the generally
>accepted Foster ranch debris field. Neil Morris supplied me with
>an aerial map which showed most possible locations based on
>witnesses descriptions. All are within two miles of each other.
>I didn't visit these sites because all are on private property
>and none fit the relevant criteria the MP supplied.

Ed, List, All -

My original comments were directed toward the information about
Carey and Schmitt having the wrong site because Ed and Wendy had
talked of the debris field and I was saying that Bill Brazel
among others took us out there, stood on the ground and pointed,
saying, "This is where I found debris. There is where I saw the
gouge that took about two years to grass back over."

They were talking about another site on the ranch that is what
now, about 115,000 acres and could be miles away. So, in
reference to that, and their search for a different site...
never mind. My comments referred only to the location of the
debris field.

>While Wendy has her doubts about the present Foster ranch debris
>site, this location is not an important issue for me as long as
>I'm within a half mile or so. I can't see how the feather weight
>material left a gouge or distinguishing mark of any kind. I
>would like to walk the area and see if I could find signs of
>disruption.

Well, it has to do with the physics of the crash, speed and mass rather than just weight... but the point here is doubt all you want, but the fact remains, Bill Brazel showed Schmitt and me where he found debris and where the gouge was. We walked the site. With CUFOS we made an archaeological site survey looking for evidence. We flew over the site and took video tape looking for signs of the gouge. We used metal detectors, we dug into the nests of ground dwelling animals, among the roots of plants that looked to be old enough, and we plotted the prevailing winds in an attempt to locate debris that might have been blown about by the winds including fence lines several miles distant. So we looked for signs of the disruption and failed to find anything definitive.

But, we had the right place... the debris field, because witnesses took us to that location. Not this other site that your MP has told you about. So my original comments about that still rates a "never mind."

>Could you also clear up the confusion regarding the Roswell
>yearbook and the MP's name and rank?

Are you suggesting here you want me to name the MP? Yes, he is in the Yearbook... I had been thinking of another MP when I wrote that and I assumed that given his desire not to be dragged into this, the less said, at the moment, the better. And yes, I am attempting to get his records from St. Louis, but as I mentioned to you off-line, it is a difficult process without either a serial number or a social security number, not to mention the time it takes St. Louis to respond.

But remember one important fact here. Frank Kaufmann is also in the Yearbook... as is Robert Shirkey and another MP, who is not in the Yearbook has documents showing that he was in Roswell at the same time as these events and tells a story that is somewhat in conflict with that told by your MP. So, the investigation continues, cluttered by people who are making up stuff which confuses the issue (people like Gerald Anderson for example...)

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 11:11:50 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 12:58:40 -0500
Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Shell

>From: Robert Morningstar <robert_morningstar.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 14:15:06 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

>2 NEW ARTICLES ON UFO DIGEST

>Treasure of the Abyss: Discovers Luminous 'Sky Object' in
>Apollo 16 Photograph:

><http://www.ufodigest.com/news/1106/skyobject.html>

It looks like Venus. Yes, it's true that other stars don't show up in the lunar sky, but Venus is so darned bright, I bet it would have a chance.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Flying Saucer Reported Over Chihuahua Mexico

From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 12:17:39 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 13:02:27 -0500
Subject: Flying Saucer Reported Over Chihuahua Mexico

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
November 14, 2006

Source: www.frontenet.com
Date: 11-13-06

MEXICO: FLYING SAUCER REPORTED OVER CHIHUAHUA
*** Observation lasted nearly half an hour***

SAUCILLO - Residents of Las Varas had the surprise of their lives when almost at the stroke of midnight on Thursday, they looked into the clear skies to see an enormous flying saucer moving from one point to another with great ease - an exhibition that lasted nearly half an hour.

Among the fortunate few who were able to videotape the event was Jesus Jose Melendez Hermosilo, a correspondent for Televisa in Chihuahua, who lives in the aforementioned district.

The video shows an enormous flying saucer traveling with certain ease through the sky, something that can even an airplane from our own planet can hardly do.

Among the discoverers of this find are officers of the municipal police, who saw a light darting around the sky when they looked up. Finally, the UFO vanished into the clear skies over Saucillo, leaving behind a video where its entire path can be seen.

Translation (c) 2006 Scott Corrales, IHU
Special thanks to Ana Luisa Cid and Alex Astua

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 11:47:30 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 13:04:08 -0500
Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings - Shell

>Source: History Channel - Stamford, Connecticut, USA

><http://tinyurl.com/yhtyr5>

>November 14th 2006

>---

>Wednesday, November 15, 2006, 11 a.m.

>UFO Files: New UFO Revelations China's Roswell - legends from
>China tell of 716 mysterious stone discs, known as "The Dropa
>Stones". Some believe the stones hold secrets about ancient
>contact with extraterrestrials. Discovered in a cave in 1938,
>each 12" disc contains a double spiral of tiny hieroglyphs that
>are said to contain the historical record of an alien race
>called the Dropa that crash-landed in an isolated region of
>China 12,000 years ago.

>The story of the Dropa Stones is an amazing tale filled with
>mystery, deceit, and conspiracy, and today, skeptics and true
>believers wage an ongoing battle over what they are, what they
>mean, and if they even exist at all. Regardless, the Dropa
>Stones continue to consume the imaginations of scientists,
>journalists, historians, UFO buffs, and stargazers in general.

My personal opinion is that this show is a remarkable example of
how an entire hour-long television program can be created from a
couple of blurry photos and a story that even the old FATE
Magazine article might reject.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 18:33:47 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 14:52:11 -0500
Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings - Hall

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 11:47:30 -0600 (CST)
>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

>>Source: History Channel - Stamford, Connecticut, USA

>><http://tinyurl.com/yhtyr5>

>>November 14th 2006

>>Wednesday, November 15, 2006, 11 a.m.

>>UFO Files: New UFO Revelations China's Roswell - legends from
>>China tell of 716 mysterious stone discs, known as "The Dropa
>>Stones". Some believe the stones hold secrets about ancient
>>contact with extraterrestrials. Discovered in a cave in 1938,
>>each 12" disc contains a double spiral of tiny hieroglyphs that
>>are said to contain the historical record of an alien race
>>called the Dropa that crash-landed in an isolated region of
>>China 12,000 years ago.

<snip>

>My personal opinion is that this show is a remarkable example of
>how an entire hour-long television program can be created from a
>couple of blurry photos and a story that even the old FATE
>Magazine article might reject.

That's show biz! Anyone who expects to get serious documentaries
out of the History Channel simply is out of touch with reality.
Like the Sci-Fi Channel they are primarily in the entertainment
business, not science or careful reporting. They are
occasionally able to broadcast a reasonably good program when
they happen upon the right production company that in turn calls
on the right people to be talking heads, but that clearly is an
exception.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 18:52:40 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 14:54:05 -0500
Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Kasten

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 11:11:50 -0600 (CST)
>Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

>>From: Robert Morningstar <robert_morningstar.nul>
>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 14:15:06 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

>>2 NEW ARTICLES ON UFO DIGEST

>>Treasure of the Abyss: Discovers Luminous 'Sky Object' in
>>Apollo 16 Photograph:

>><http://www.ufodigest.com/news/1106/skyobject.html>

>It looks like Venus. Yes, it's true that other stars don't show
>up in the lunar sky, but Venus is so darned bright, I bet it
>would have a chance.

Bobby:

Unenhanced, it looks like a planet. Such as one might see
through high binoculars or telescope. I'm with
Tim on this one.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -

From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 19:55:10 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 15:26:09 -0500
Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 11:47:30 -0600 (CST)
>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

>>Source: History Channel - Stamford, Connecticut, USA

>><http://tinyurl.com/yhtyr5>

>>November 14th 2006

>>---

>>Wednesday, November 15, 2006, 11 a.m.

>>UFO Files: New UFO Revelations China's Roswell - legends from
>>China tell of 716 mysterious stone discs, known as "The Dropa
>>Stones". Some believe the stones hold secrets about ancient
>>contact with extraterrestrials. Discovered in a cave in 1938,
>>each 12" disc contains a double spiral of tiny hieroglyphs that
>>are said to contain the historical record of an alien race
>>called the Dropa that crash-landed in an isolated region of
>>China 12,000 years ago.

>>The story of the Dropa Stones is an amazing tale filled with
>>mystery, deceit, and conspiracy, and today, skeptics and true
>>believers wage an ongoing battle over what they are, what they
>>mean, and if they even exist at all. Regardless, the Dropa
>>Stones continue to consume the imaginations of scientists,
>>journalists, historians, UFO buffs, and stargazers in general.

>My personal opinion is that this show is a remarkable example of
>how an entire hour-long television program can be created from a
>couple of blurry photos and a story that even the old FATE
>Magazine article might reject.

The Dropa nonsense is just about the only UFO story that Gordon
Creighton, the editor of FSR in its last days and a notably
uncritical UFO believer, denounced as nonsense. As probably the
only ufologists who was able to speak and read Tibetan, I feel
that on this matter at least, his opinion is correct.

John Rimmer

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 20:05:21 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 15:27:44 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Regan Lee <rlee15.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 17:28:19 -0800
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 17:20:00 -0500
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>>From: Dimitris Hatzopoulos <dhatz-ufo.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 05:15:22 +0200
>>>Subject: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>><snip>

>>>So, after almost 60 years, what should Ufology be focusing on
>>>today?

>>My early understanding, a few years back, was that the
>>'exopolitics' movement was about trying to force government
>>to open up about all they know and almost certainly have in
>>their possession. <snip>
>>Eleanor White

Of course, researchers like Dr David Clarke, Andy Roberts and
Joe MacGonagle have forced the UK Government to release their
UFO 'secrets'. Unfortunately, because these did not reveal the
existence of underground UFO bases in Wiltshire or captured UFOs
in a bus depot in Croydon, they seem to get denounced as
'pelicanists' or government stooges.

Is this why:

>I think we should keep going regarding efforts to get the
>government to release documents, etc. but at the same time I
>have that dollop of pessimism, and paranoia, even, that for
>everything released, there's a whole lot still hidden away. And
>even if they trotted out a flying saucer on CNN and world
>leaders somberly told us that this is a craft with outer space
>beings inside, I still wouldn't believe. Not all of it, anyway.
>
>Still, despite that, I think working towards the release of
>information on UFOs from as many sources as possible is a good
>thing.

Indeed, so why not be a bit more appreciative when people do
manage that?

--
John Rimmer

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 20:28:57 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 16:34:55 -0500
Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Shough

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 18:52:40 +0000
>Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

>>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 11:11:50 -0600 (CST)
>>Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

>>>From: Robert Morningstar <robert_morningstar.nul>
>>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 14:15:06 -0800 (PST)
>>>Subject: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

>>>2 NEW ARTICLES ON UFO DIGEST

>>>Treasure of the Abyss: Discovers Luminous 'Sky Object' in
>>>Apollo 16 Photograph:

>>><http://www.ufodigest.com/news/1106/skyobject.html>

>>It looks like Venus. Yes, it's true that other stars don't show
>>up in the lunar sky, but Venus is so darned bright, I bet it
>>would have a chance.

>Bobby:

>Unenhanced, it looks like a planet. Such as one might see
>through high binoculars or telescope. I'm with
>Tim on this one.

You can see from the position of the sun that the "phase" - what makes it look to you like a half-Venus through bins - is inverted. If the shape is significant then it is not signifying a planet. If it isn't significant - i.e. if it's caused by camera movement or something - then it's just a light which neither "looks like" nor "looks unlike" a planet.

Anyway Robert Morningstar (how felicitous!) has proved to you that it is not Venus but a large anomalous object floating over the moon:

"The image of the 'Sky Object' shows it to be a very large, highly luminous triangular object floating above the lunar surface and apparently quite far beyond the lunar horizon. This would indicate that the object was very large."

In other words, it's a very large object so it must be far beyond the horizon, and because it's so far away and looks so big, well, it must be very large.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Wickramasinghe Red Rain Form Of Alien Life

From: Robert Morningstar <robert_morningstar.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 13:08:50 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 16:37:44 -0500
Subject: Wickramasinghe Red Rain Form Of Alien Life

Red Rain _is_ Form of Alien Life

"All this has convinced Professor Wickramasinghe that the red rain is a form of alien life." BBC News

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/6146292.stm>

I have been in touch with Dr. Louis since early this year regarding mystery of the Red Rain of Kerala. I informed him earlier this year that I observed a similarity between the structure of Red Rain and the spores that caused The Irish Potato Blight during the 1840s. Although they have not found nuclear DNA, a possibility remains that the cells may contain mitochondrial DNA, which is much more difficult to find.

M*

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 21:16:22 +0000 (GMT)
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 16:40:02 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 20:05:21 +0000
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: Regan Lee <rlee15.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 17:28:19 -0800
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 17:20:00 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>>>From: Dimitris Hatzopoulos <dhatz-ufo.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 05:15:22 +0200
>>>>Subject: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>>><snip>

>Of course, researchers like Dr David Clarke, Andy Roberts and
>Joe MacGonagle have forced the UK Government to release their
>UFO 'secrets'. Unfortunately, because these did not reveal the
>existence of underground UFO bases in Wiltshire or captured
>UFOs in a bus depot in Croydon, they seem to get denounced as
>'pelicanists' or government stooges.

>Is this why:

>>I think we should keep going regarding efforts to get the
>>government to release documents, etc. but at the same time I
>>have that dollop of pessimism, and paranoia, even, that for
>>everything released, there's a whole lot still hidden away.
>>And even if they trotted out a flying saucer on CNN and world
>>leaders somberly told us that this is a craft with outer
>space beings inside, I still wouldn't believe. Not all of it,
>anyway.

>>Still, despite that, I think working towards the release of
>>information on UFOs from as many sources as possible is a
>good thing.

>Indeed, so why not be a bit more appreciative when people do
>manage that?

Oh do calm down. Who's calling Clark et al pelicanists and
government stooges? Point them out, go on, and I'll box their
ears!

Apologies for the lack of etiquette but its fun on that other
List at the mo John isn't it? I mean, there we all were, but a
few weeks ago, absolutely mortified at the thought of this
Cosford documentary on Channel 5 and how Pope had hijacked the
whole thing and turned it into something anomalous when we all
knew that really the case had been absolutely and defintely

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

solved back in the 90's and we were even in the silly situation of the MoD saying it was still open and Ufology was saying, "Oh no its not. It's just the rocket boost from Cosmos 2238". Daft, wasn't it?

Then Shoughie goes and starts asking impertinent questions and this case that was as tightly shut down and as absolutely solved as any case can possibly be starts leaking like a sieve and we're into Andy Roberts-type research now, where we guess and make conclusions based on the most logical and reasonable course of events, while at the same time cutting through swathes of "credible" witness testimony 'cos what those peasants are saying can't be right. After all, what do they know? They were only there.

Martin, you have provided the best entertainment Ufologically speaking I have had in years. Thank you. It has been truly priceless.

You were saying Rimmer?

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 14](#)

Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings - Clark

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 15:23:01 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 16:41:32 -0500
Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings - Clark

>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 19:55:10 +0000
>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

>>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 11:47:30 -0600 (CST)
>>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

>>>The story of the Dropa Stones is an amazing tale filled with
>>>mystery, deceit, and conspiracy, and today, skeptics and true
>>>believers wage an ongoing battle over what they are, what they
>>>mean, and if they even exist at all. Regardless, the Dropa
>>>Stones continue to consume the imaginations of scientists,
>>>journalists, historians, UFO buffs, and stargazers in general.

>>My personal opinion is that this show is a remarkable example of
>>how an entire hour-long television program can be created from a
>>couple of blurry photos and a story that even the old FATE
>>Magazine article might reject.

Though John is quite correct about Creighton's crackpot credulity, perhaps it bears mentioning that the Dropa silliness was not quite the only outlandish claim Creighton rejected.

It was probably his language skills that led him to reject the science-fictional speculations of Erich Von Daniken and imitators. Creighton wrote in a 1973 issue of FSR: "It now turns out that well-nigh every hitherto unexplained mystery, be it archaeological, palaeontological, anthropological, theological, geographical, topographical, or toponymical, simply has to be connected with 'Outer Space' and 'Flying Saucers.'"

Creighton's decidedly atypical attack of good sense brings to mind the old saw that a stopped clock is accurate twice a day.

Jerry Clark

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

UFO Chatter Fills Conference

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 14:17:21 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 14:17:21 -0500
Subject: UFO Chatter Fills Conference

Source: The Review-Journal - Las Vegas, Nevada, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/vox9g>

Nov. 13, 2006

UFO Chatter Fills Conference

300 huddle to share views on paranormal

By Lawrence Mower
Review-Journal

Ken Jenkins could be anybody's dad or grandfather.
The 77-year-old retired Navy man and Las Vegas is enjoying his retirement and traveling with his wife.

One reason he likes retirement is that he now has more time to learn about UFOs.

That includes attending events such as the 4th Annual UFO Crash Retrieval Conference, which took place at the Tuscany, on Flamingo Road near Koval Lane, over the weekend.

"This (type of conference) is the only place you can go to get information on the paranormal," Jenkins said Sunday.

The three-day conference, which ended Sunday, attracted about 300 people from across the United States and featured some experts on the topic, according to Ryan S. Wood, the chairman of the conference who is among those who say they long have studied the issue of UFO crashes.

But for Jenkins, who has attended similar conferences in Laughlin and California, such gatherings are a way to hear about research being done that is largely ignored by the mainstream media.

"People who should be recognizing this and investigating it are laughing it off," Jenkins said.

"It's a shame," his wife, Maxine, added, "because it's one of the best-kept secrets of the government, and people deserve to know about it."

Although UFO sightings and reports frequently made headlines in the late 1940s through the 1950s, the topic largely has been pushed to the fringes of society and remains relegated to cable television specials and science fiction gatherings.

This is a stereotype that KLAS-TV reporter George Knapp, the conference's keynote speaker, said he has been stuck with.

"No matter where I go or what I'm doing, I'm the UFO reporter," Knapp told the audience Saturday night.

Knapp, who also writes a column for Las Vegas CityLife, a Stephens Media publication, has spent much of his career reporting on the paranormal and the goings-on at Area 51, 90 miles north of Las Vegas.

"I think the assumption for far too long among mainstream media, my colleagues, is that anyone who's interested in UFOs is a crackpot, a whacko, someone in desperate need of some kind of psychiatric attention," Knapp said.

"And, unfortunately, a lot of times, they're right," he added.

Since interest in UFOs exploded onto the scene 60 years ago, Knapp said, the only consensus among people interested in the topic is that there is "an elusive, unknown intelligence that's within our midst that's occasionally interacting with our lives."

Knapp added: "But there are no answers to the big questions: Who are they? Why are they here? Where are they from?"

There is no lack of evidence of the existence of UFOs, Knapp said, but the lack of consensus only adds to the stereotype that the topic is not legitimate.

"We've accumulated a vast amount of evidence, information, photos, videos, eyewitness accounts, government documents, physical traces from landing sites or encounters -- a lot of this solid, verifiable proof of something. But of what?" Knapp said.

Former television reporter and producer Linda Moulton Howe, who now runs the UFO Web site earthfiles.com, told the audience Sunday that the topic doesn't get enough mainstream coverage.

"Much of this should be on the nightly news, '60 Minutes,' (in) The New York Times," Howe said.

Richard Dolan, who has researched UFO sightings for 12 years and has appeared on numerous television documentaries about UFOs, said believers and skeptics alike should take a step back and look at the evidence for UFOs from a scientific standpoint.

"There are enough (unexplained phenomena) to make you really scratch your head," Dolan said.

He said the field has made some progress in understanding the phenomena.

"Just because there isn't the ultimate answer doesn't mean there aren't any answers."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

Total Amnesia What If?

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 21:30:56 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 14:19:09 -0500
Subject: Total Amnesia What If?

Hello List,

Apparently Graham Hancock thinks we're a `species with amnesia' in that we've lost or deleted a great deal from ancient times.

We've considered that question selectively on-List, concerning sightings:

www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/nov/ml1-002.shtml

and personally have to sort-of agree.

But what if we had a total amnesiac attack - and woke up with no history books (mostly propoganda), and no science books (mostly false assumptions). In fact, nothing but our present technology (and its manuals and handbooks and the mathematics to support them).

What would we be likely to invent or discover (notice that's not `re-discover' or `re-invent') to replace the vanished paradigms?

And how long would it take for a replacement human mythology - including all types of religion - to be invented, or discovered? Or would we not bother?

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 22:41:39 +0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 14:21:10 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 21:16:22 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

<snip>

>Then Shoughie goes and starts asking impertinent
>questions and this case that was as tightly shut down
>and as absolutely solved as any case can possibly be
>starts leaking like a sieve and we're into Andy
>Roberts-type research now, where we guess and make
>conclusions based on the most logical and reasonable
>course of events, while at the same time cutting
>through swathes of "credible" witness testimony 'cos
>what those peasants are saying can't be right. After
>all, what do they know? They were only there.

Hi Stuart, List,

I for one did not find Martin's questions impertinent. His posts pointed out a couple of errors at the site, which in themselves were minor, but I corrected them within hours of becoming aware of them. I am very grateful to Martin for this.

Martin also challenged the merits of conclusions reached in relation to the Shawbury sighting. To an extent, I agree with his challenges, but looking between the alternatives, I still think that the case was due to a helicopter with the possible influence of a high-level aircraft. This seems more likely to me than a radar-invisible black triangle that can hover and move at supersonic speed shining a light at the ground for no apparent reason. That is the conclusion that I have reached based on the evidence in front of me. Others can draw their own different conclusions if they want to, but it would help them if they familiarised themselves with the available evidence.

It is very rare in ufology that we can be certain about anything. This is also the case in regard to Cosford, partly because it wasn't investigated by the MoD, merely "assessed". What can be said with certainty is that there were many errors made by the MoD, things like recording the time incorrectly, failing to identify the object which re-entered accurately, and linking totally unrelated events as if they were all part of the same single event.

Added to this, we have a the Commander-in-Chief of the UFO Project responsible for overseeing the assessment, with no training relevant to following up UFO cases, dazzled by the height-finding skills of policemen, and getting all excited.

The documents are available for whoever wants to read them, and people can draw their own conclusions. I for one am satisfied that the majority of sightings can be attributed to the re-entry. It is a pity that less time was not spent on the (to me) obviously explainable reports and more time was spent on the more interesting reports.

It is also a pity that UFO Project Division did not have the

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

resources to make a thorough investigation rather than a flimsy assessment.

In response to the question posed in the title of this thread, I think that when things are quiet (no big cases, etc) we should knuckle down and do some housekeeping, re-examining past cases to see how well they really were covered, filtering out the bad cases from the existing data. Cosford is a case in point. If we don't do so, all of our perceptions will be based on contaminated data.

Cheers,

Joe

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 18:14:02 -0600
Fwd Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 14:23:16 -0500
Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Scheldroup

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 11:53:02 -0600 (CST)
>Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 15:01:52 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

>>>From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 16:36:43 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

>><snip>

>>><http://www.daviddarling.info/encyclopedia/Z/zoohypoth.html>

>>>Zoo hypothesis

>>>"One way this monitoring could be carried out would be through
>>>automatic equipment surreptitiously located on the Earth or
>>>elsewhere in the solar system which relays back to its masters
>>>news of our progress."

>><snip>

>>And, as there's cause to think the 'speed of light' barrier to
>>communication (or at least signalling) has already been broken,
>>your hypothesis looks fairly reasonable.

>How much power (EM) do you think it would take to run one of
>these subspace transmission stations? If it was a lot, maybe
>there would be some kind of recognizable power signature we
>could spot. And it might take a lot of power, if the
>signal/noise problem is as bad in subspace as it is in normal
>spacetime.

Problem:

Source (target) were invisible Tim, how to disrupt
(signal/noise) to make it visible ?

<http://tinyurl.com/y2w8wt>

United States Patent 6,414,332
Lin, et al. July 2, 2002

"Media for control of thermal emission and methods of
applications thereof "

Abstract

A new class of media for control of emission of thermal
radiation from an object or part thereof is disclosed. These
materials can be used for a wide variety of thermal control

applications.

Assignee: Sandia Corporation (Albuquerque, NM)

Thermal radiation...

"Modern equipment to detect such thermal radiation range from simple infrared pyrometers to hand-held thermal scanners designed to detect and image objects whose temperature varies from the (nominally room-temperature) surroundings by less than 1 K, to sensors which can detect the thermal signature of a object re-entering the atmosphere 1000 kilometers away. "

"Just as a quantum-mechanical harmonic oscillator exhibits some kinetic energy in its ground state, so does each photon state exhibit some electromagnetic fields, instead of no field at all... "

"Return to the problem of a body with temperature T in thermal equilibrium with the electromagnetic field. To create the proper photon energy distribution for thermal equilibrium, photons must be emitted and absorbed, both by the body and by the quantum vacuum."

See below.. signal to noise problem better now :)

<http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0304139>

Boiling the Vacuum with an X-Ray Free Electron Laser

"Thus, X-ray FELs may be employed possibly as vacuum boilers²⁸."

<http://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0206004>

Quantum effects with an X-ray free electron laser

"It is a long standing prediction that the QED vacuum is unstable in the presence of a strong, constant electric field, decaying via the production of $e^- e^+$ pairs [8]. "

John

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 00:22:12 +0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 14:25:14 -0500
Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 18:33:47 +0000
>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

>>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 11:47:30 -0600 (CST)
>>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

>>>Source: History Channel - Stamford, Connecticut, USA

>>>Wednesday, November 15, 2006, 11 a.m.

>>>UFO Files: New UFO Revelations China's Roswell - legends from
>>>China tell of 716 mysterious stone discs, known as "The Dropa
>>>Stones". Some believe the stones hold secrets about ancient
>>>contact with extraterrestrials. Discovered in a cave in 1938,
>>>each 12" disc contains a double spiral of tiny hieroglyphs that
>>>are said to contain the historical record of an alien race
>>>called the Dropa that crash-landed in an isolated region of
>>>China 12,000 years ago.

><snip>

>>My personal opinion is that this show is a remarkable example of
>>how an entire hour-long television program can be created from a
>>couple of blurry photos and a story that even the old FATE
>>Magazine article might reject.

>That's show biz! Anyone who expects to get serious documentaries
>out of the History Channel simply is out of touch with reality.
>Like the Sci-Fi Channel they are primarily in the entertainment
>business, not science or careful reporting. They are
>occasionally able to broadcast a reasonably good program when
>they happen upon the right production company that in turn calls
>on the right people to be talking heads, but that clearly is an
>exception.

>- Dick

I have personal experience with the History Channel's production approach. Every once in a while, I understand, they still run (very late at night) a piece where I am interviewed along with some people with very contentious and unproven theories. It was in connection with our (a team which included Mexican archeologists) work maintaining that certain archeology sites were intentionally created to point the way for some ancient group of people moving north from Mexico into North American. Those sites included the mounds under Rock Lake, Wisconsin. If you want to verify what I am saying about those mounds, one of them is called the Harleston-Kasten mound.

It was 17 years of work reduced to a 1 minute interview. The rest of the "documentary" was all kinds of unproven nonsense.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

Strange Object Seen Over Chicago's O'Hare Airport

From: Peter B. Davenport <director.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 11:37:23 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 14:27:56 -0500
Subject: Strange Object Seen Over Chicago's O'Hare Airport

To the List:

I have just sent the following statement to the NUFORC webmaster for posting to our homepage The documentation that NUFORC has received about the alleged event appears to me to be very good, and an active investigation is underway.

I will continue to release information as we receive it, and as we get clearance from the sources of the information to do so.

This appears to me to be a very good sighting case, with up to 1-2 dozen witnesses, and possibly including FAA personnel.

Peter Davenport
NUFORC

SIGHTING OF DISC-SHAPED OBJECT OVER O'HARE AIRPORT, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, AT 16:30 HRS. (CENTRAL) ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 07, 2006--The National UFO Reporting Center has received the following information from a single source, who, for the time being, wishes to remain anonymous, and prefers not to reveal for what entity he works. We have received documentation about the alleged sighting, which satisfies us as to the veracity of the report, and as to the credentials of the party reporting the incident.

We have delayed release of this case, principally because an investigation was begun almost immediately after our receipt of the initial report, and because we were hoping to obtain addition documentation about the sighting, before it could be concealed, or destroyed.

At approximately 16:30 p.m. (Central) on Tuesday, November 07, 2006, Federal authorities at O'Hare Airport received a report that approximately a dozen witnesses were observing a small, round disc-shaped object, metallic in appearance, which hovered over Gate C 17 at that airport.

The object was first spotted by an employee, working on the ramp, who was engaged in "pushing back" Flight 446, departing Chicago for Charlotte, NC. The employee reported to his supervisors that the object appeared to be almost directly above his location at Gate C 17, it appeared to be perfectly round, and that its size was approximately equal to a U. S. quarter, held at arm's length. The object had a metallic appearance, according to the first witness, and it appeared to him to be spinning.

The first witness apprised the flight crew of Flight 446 of the existence of the object above their aircraft, and we believe both the pilot and copilot were witness to the bizarre object, as well. The witness also contacted his supervisors, who also witnessed the object, which was visible for approximately 2 minutes.

At the end of that time, the object was seen to suddenly accelerate straight up at a very rapid pace, and it "shot"

through the solid overcast, which was at 1,900 feet at the time. The witness added that the object appeared to leave a "hole" in the clouds, where it had streaked upwards through the overcast.

Both the Federal Aviation Administration and Transportation Security Administration were apprised of the event at the time it was occurring, and personnel in one, or more, of the towers at O'Hare may have witnessed the object, possibly with binoculars. The FAA apparently reported that the object was not visible on radar, although that fact has not been confirmed at the time of this writing.

We hope to be able to release more information about the incident at some time in the near future. In the meantime, we would like to invite anyone who may have been personal witness to the event to submit a report of their sighting, using our Online Report Form. We would be most grateful if you would indicate in your report where you were located, at the time of the sighting, and what the object looked like, from your vantage point.

This case will be discussed during the Jeff Rense Radio Program on Tuesday night, November 14, 2006. The program begins at 7:30 p.m. (Pacific), and it can be heard over the website, www.RENSE.com.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 19:00:39 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:02:46 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 21:16:22 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 20:05:21 +0000
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>>From: Regan Lee <rlee15.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 17:28:19 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

<snip>

>>Of course, researchers like Dr David Clarke, Andy Roberts
>>and Joe MacGonagle have forced the UK Government to release
>>their UFO 'secrets'. Unfortunately, because these did not
>>reveal the existence of underground UFO bases in Wiltshire
>>or captured UFOs in a bus depot in Croydon, they seem to
>>get denounced as 'pelicanists' or government stooges.

>>Is this why:

>>>I think we should keep going regarding efforts to get the
>>>government to release documents, etc. but at the same time I
>>>have that dollop of pessimism, and paranoia, even, that for
>>>everything released, there's a whole lot still hidden away.
>>>And even if they trotted out a flying saucer on CNN and world
>>>leaders somberly told us that this is a craft with outer

>>space beings inside, I still wouldn't believe. Not all of it,
>>anyway.

>>>Still, despite that, I think working towards the release of
>>>information on UFOs from as many sources as possible is a

>>good thing.

>>Indeed, so why not be a bit more appreciative when people do
>>manage that?

>Oh do calm down. Who's calling Clark et al pelicanists and
government stooges? Point them out, go on, and I'll box their
ears!

>Apologies for the lack of etiquette but its fun on that other
>List at the mo John isn't it? I mean, there we all were, but a
>few weeks ago, absolutely mortified at the thought of this
>Cosford documentary on Channel 5 and how Pope had hijacked the
>whole thing and turned it into something anomalous when we all
>knew that really the case had been absolutely and defintely
>solved back in the 90's and we were even in the silly situation
>of the MoD saying it was still open and Ufology was saying, "Oh
>no its not. It's just the rocket boost from Cosmos 2238". Daft,
>wasn't it?

>Then Shoughie goes and starts asking impertinent questions and
>this case that was as tightly shut down and as absolutely solved
>as any case can possibly be starts leaking like a sieve and
>we're into Andy Roberts-type research now, where we guess and
>make conclusions based on the most logical and reasonable course
>of events, while at the same time cutting through swathes of
>"credible" witness testimony 'cos what those peasants are saying
>can't be right. After all, what do they know? They were only
>there.

>Martin, you have provided the best entertainment Ufologically
>speaking I have had in years. Thank you. It has been truly
>priceless.

>You were saying Rimmer?

Pretty involved case, Stuart. Gary Anthony posted a web site on another list that claims that this case is solved. Joe McGonagle's site was posted to this list a few days ago with all of the witness reports listed there. Joe has done a great job of setting up the timeline and the cases making it easy to check each as you go.

From what I've read on both Gary's and Joe's sites there seems to be much taken on faith such as witnesses that can't reset clocks even after two days have gone by since the change to BST., Directions of some of the sightings are in question, either uncertain or incorrectly reported either by the witness or the investigator.

This case-Number 19-is a problem for me.

31/3/93 01:10, Moorswater, Liskeard, Cornwall.

Reported heading: North to South

Remarks: 2 white lights, and 3rd unlit object on parallel course leaving vapour trails

Although the time on the military signal indicates 01:10(Z), Sec(AS)2a has recorded this as 01:10(A). This would tally with police statements which were among the reports in the Sec(AS)2a file, so it would appear that the time indicated on the signal is incorrect.

Why would it be assumed that the signal time is incorrect. There isn't even the excuse of the time change to fall back on since ZULU time [now UTC] doesn't change. The signal was probably electronically imprinted with the time by computer in 1993. Even if it were not this is the signalman's job done daily for years. I find it unlikely that on this occasion that the signalman was wrong for expediency's sake.

Interesting case; but I think Gary is being a little hasty claiming this as solved.

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 01:57:07 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:03:48 -0500
Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained - Dickenson

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 11:53:02 -0600 (CST)
>Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 15:01:52 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

>>>From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 11 Nov 2006 16:36:43 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Recent Fermi Paradox Explained

>><snip>

>>><http://www.daviddarling.info/encyclopedia/Z/zoohypoth.html>
>>>Zoo hypothesis

>>>"One way this monitoring could be carried out would be through
>>>automatic equipment surreptitiously located on the Earth or
>>>elsewhere in the solar system which relays back to its masters
>>>news of our progress."

>><snip>

>>And, as there's cause to think the 'speed of light' barrier to
>>communication (or at least signalling) has already been broken,
>>your hypothesis looks fairly reasonable.

>How much power (EM) do you think it would take to run one of
>these subspace transmission stations? If it was a lot, maybe
>there would be some kind of recognizable power signature we
>could spot. And it might take a lot of power, if the
>signal/noise problem is as bad in subspace as it is in normal
>spacetime.

<snip>

>This makes me again think that these flying saucer things are
>not as "alien" as some others here on the list think they are.
>Time travelers? Human-like beings from other "expressions" of
>reality? I don't know. Just don't know. My little
>half-Neanderthal brain is very limited, and just can't figure it
>out with the currently available evidence.

Hi Tim,

That first point just now seems unanswerable and maybe
inapplicable - since we're probably talking about 'entanglement'
signaling or some future adaptation. That seems to work over
unlimited distance instantaneously. As we have no idea of the
nature of the field (if any) that it's tapping, there's no way
of detecting its use - just yet.

Second point seems very relevant, bearing in mind Cohen &
Stewart's belief that a really advanced group would be totally
undetected, and then what Sagan said about the sheer

unlikeliness of two species ever meeting on the same technological level. That's probably even more true of three (or more).

Maybe all those UFO accidents aren't accidents.

Cheers

Ray

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

UFO over Necochea Argentina

From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 06:55:34 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:07:51 -0500
Subject: UFO over Necochea Argentina

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
November 15, 2006

Argentina: UFO Over Necochea

A Report From Guillermo Gimenez, Planeta UFO

On a clear starry night, several families from Necochea were witnesses to the transit of a large circular object that irradiated a considerable number of whitish-blue lights at 21:30 hours on Tuesday, November 14 (2006).

The object, flying at a low altitude, passed over the city of Necochea in southeastern Buenos Aires province, coming in from the west in a completely silent manner with a powerful beam of light, losing itself toward the south, heading toward the sea. People in the beach area were astonished by the object's transit on a cloud-free night.

Surely other people in other parts of the city of Necochea were also treated to the sight of this low-flying UFO.

When local airport sources were contacted, they confirmed that no conventional artifact had flown over the city at night.

Translation (c) 2006, S. Corrales, IHU

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

Air Traffic Controllers Fired By Reagan

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 22:48:02 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:09:36 -0500
Subject: Air Traffic Controllers Fired By Reagan

Air Traffic Controllers Fired By Former President Reagan

I was sitting here thinking about all the times I've asked air traffic controllers about UFO sightings in their area and all the bs they would throw around even when I or others were standing in the same vicinity where huge ufo sightings occurred.

Then I wondered what about the ones that had been fired by former President Reagan? I remember how sore they were when they got fired and would bet if they saw something they would probably talk about it.

Anything like this ever come up?

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? - Lee

From: Regan Lee <rlee15.nul>
Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 20:39:17 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:11:49 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? - Lee

>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 20:05:21 +0000
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: Regan Lee <rlee15.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 17:28:19 -0800
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sun, 12 Nov 2006 17:20:00 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

<snip>

>>>My early understanding, a few years back, was that the
>>>'exopolitics' movement was about trying to force government
>>>to open up about all they know and almost certainly have in
>>>their possession. <snip>
>>>Eleanor White

>Of course, researchers like Dr David Clarke, Andy Roberts and
>Joe MacGonagle have forced the UK Government to release their
>UFO 'secrets'. Unfortunately, because these did not reveal the
>existence of underground UFO bases in Wiltshire or captured UFOs
>in a bus depot in Croydon, they seem to get denounced as
>'pelicanists' or government stooges.

>Is this why:

>>I think we should keep going regarding efforts to get the
>>government to release documents, etc. but at the same time I
>>have that dollop of pessimism, and paranoia, even, that for
>>everything released, there's a whole lot still hidden away. And
>>even if they trotted out a flying saucer on CNN and world
>>leaders somberly told us that this is a craft with outer space
>>beings inside, I still wouldn't believe. Not all of it, anyway.

>>Still, despite that, I think working towards the release of
>>information on UFOs from as many sources as possible is a good
>>thing.

>Indeed, so why not be a bit more appreciative when people do
>manage that?

Er, I didn't say anything about not being appreciative of those
who do the work in getting hold of such documents. In fact,
I'm quite appreciative. Why would you think otherwise?

I didn't call anyone a Pelicanist, etc. (Not here, anyway :)

My comment on pessimism isn't directed towards those who do the
research and get government documents out to us regarding UFOs.
It's directed towards the government, not UFO researchers.

R. Lee

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

Keel News Now & Mothman 40 Years Ago

From: Loren Coleman <lcoleman.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 00:33:48 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:12:40 -0500
Subject: Keel News Now & Mothman 40 Years Ago

Keel News Now & Mothman 40 Years Ago

John A. Keel's recovery news is good on the 40th anniversary of the start of the modern age of Mothman. How the invasion began=8A.

Read Full Post...

<http://www.cryptomundo.com/cryptozoo-news/40-keel-m-man/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 08:42:17 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:13:58 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 21:16:22 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 20:05:21 +0000
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

<snip>

>Apologies for the lack of etiquette but its fun on that other
>List at the mo John isn't it? I mean, there we all were, but a
>few weeks ago, absolutely mortified at the thought of this
>Cosford documentary on Channel 5 and how Pope had hijacked the
>whole thing and turned it into something anomalous when we all
>knew that really the case had been absolutely and defintely
>solved back in the 90's and we were even in the silly situation
>of the MoD saying it was still open and Ufology was saying, "Oh
>no its not. It's just the rocket boost from Cosmos 2238". Daft,
>wasn't it?

>Then Shoughie goes and starts asking impertinent questions and
>this case that was as tightly shut down and as absolutely solved
>as any case can possibly be starts leaking like a sieve and
>we're into Andy Roberts-type research now, where we guess and
>make conclusions based on the most logical and reasonable course
>of events, while at the same time cutting through swathes of
>"credible" witness testimony 'cos what those peasants are saying
>can't be right. After all, what do they know? They were only
>there.

>Martin, you have provided the best entertainment Ufologically
>speaking I have had in years. Thank you. It has been truly
>priceless.

I'm pleased you've been entertained, Stuart. However for the
avoidance of confusion I should explain to the List that this
debate has not been about re-evaluating the Cosmos booster
decay, which remains a very plausible explanation for the
largest group of reports, most of them densely clustered around
the re-entry time (established convincingly by Gary Anthony and
Chris Fowler from NASA data) of ~0110 BST.

Martin Shough

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

An Abductee's Film

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:20:11 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:20:11 -0500
Subject: An Abductee's Film

Source: About.Com - New York, New York, USA

<http://ufos.about.com/b/a/256802.htm>

Wednesday November 15, 2006

An Abductee's Film
Billy Booth

Jeremy Vaeni is a columnist at UFO Magazine. He is much like the other writers, except for one very important thing. He believes that he has been abducted by aliens, and now has documented his own story in a film titled, "No One's Watching: An Alien Abductee's Story." This is certainly one way to get your point across. His film was first aired in March of this year at Two Boots Pioneer Theater in Manhattan. His efforts only cost him about \$500.00, and it debuted before only about 30 people. He documented his everyday life as an abductee, and just a regular person. He states that:

"If I were looking at this, I would be skeptical too," referring to an audience member's question as to why he didn't really try to prove his story in the film. "I just wanted to focus on waking people up and make them question what reality's about."

Wake Up and Question Reality by By Kate Mammolito, gives Vaeni's whole story. The first half of his film tells of his great love, Jenny, but then he breaks up with her. But, he explains to the audience that it was all scripted, and he just paid an actress to fill the role. He does discuss his abduction in the film, telling us that the last time it happened was in October of 2001. He does not believe that being an abductee makes him anything special, but it was just a matter of being in the wrong place at the wrong time. He recounts his early life experiences which began at the young age of 13, when he saw his first UFO. Then, only two years later, he was visited in his bedroom by an alien being, and since then, he has experienced strange visual and auditory sensations, like staring for hours at the same thing, like a curb on the street. No... I'm not making this up. If you don't believe his story, don't feel bad, even his parents think he is loco. This may be all you ever know about Vaeni. Don't hold your breath... this film won't be coming to a theater near you anytime soon.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 15](#)

UFOs Light Up The Sky

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:23:33 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:23:33 -0500
Subject: UFOs Light Up The Sky

Source: The Welwyn & Hatfield Times - Hertfordshire, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/vosh4>

15 November 2006

UFOs Light Up The Sky

Times Territory became a hotbed of UFO activity last weekend with dozens of residents spotting mysterious lights in the sky.

The WHT reported last week that several people had reported seeing a "spacecraft" around Hatfield and WGC.

But only now - after our newsdesk was swamped with calls from eye-witnesses - can we reveal the full magnitude of the event.

Readers young and old claim to have seen bright orange lights flying smoothly in a triangular formation, pulling off manoeuvres that no 21st century aircraft could achieve.

Many people who found themselves staring at the night sky last Friday, Saturday and Sunday initially believed they were about to watch a catastrophic air crash.

The WHT contacted Luton Airport to check if there could be a more rational explanation for the phenomena.

But a spokeswoman confirmed that, to her knowledge, there had been no scheduled fly pasts of planes or helicopters through the area.

Here is a selection of eye-witness reports from Welwyn Hatfield residents:

Lauren Sullivan, of Barnard Green, WGC, was enjoying a bonfire party on Sunday night with around 10 other friends when she spotted the lights.

She even managed to capture the moment on video camera although the footage is too dark to print in the paper.

She said: "Logic said it must have been something like a firework but it just didn't go out.

"I'd like to think it was a UFO and I do like watching things about them on TV. I'd like to believe there's something out there!"

Jo and Frank McNally, of Sweet Briar, WGC, were on their way to a party on Saturday night when they pulled their car over to watch the lights.

"They went right over the top of us," said Jo, 36.

"There was no noise or anything. We just stood there in total

disbelief. It's the kind of thing you never think you will see.

"We got to the party and everyone was like 'yeah right!'. Nobody believed us!"

Sally Metcalfe, 43, of Heronswood Place, WGC, said last Friday night was the second time her family had seen an Unidentified Flying Object this year.

"We were so rattled by what we saw," she said. "And I'm not the type of person who drinks a lot or hallucinates!"

Anthony Hawkins, 33, of Newfields, WGC, was walking to a friend's house on Sunday evening when he spotted the lights flying in a V formation.

He said: "They were about half-a-mile away and 100ft off the ground at a guess.

"I phoned my wife to tell her and she said 'don't be so stupid, it's a firework!' But I know what a firework looks like.

"This is basically what a UFO is, 'unidentified'. There might be some rational explanation but I couldn't work out what."

Amateur astronomer Rob Bentley, 45, of Mount Way, WGC, said he was used to watching satellites re-entering Earth's atmosphere but what his family witnessed last Sunday night was totally different.

"I've never seen anything like this before," he said.

"It gave me a cold shudder at first. Then I thought it had to be a helicopter but there was no noise. My kids were gobsmacked."

Christine and Alan Evans, of Moorlands, WGC, saw the lights late on Sunday night.

"My husband saw it first," said Christine, 65.

"Being an ex-policeman he knew it wasn't a helicopter or firework. We both rushed to the window and said 'God, there's going to be an air crash!'. But nothing happened and there was no noise.

"I promise you we weren't drinking! It was amazing."

Your questions answered

WITH so many baffling UFO sightings last weekend, we asked American UFO investigator Chris Augustin to shed some light on the matter.

Are the orange lights our readers have described a common occurrence in UFO sightings?

Many people have reported seeing such orbs of light. There have been a lot of sightings in the past several months where witnesses described formations of lights just like these.

They have been spotted flying in a triangular formation of between three and 12 lights - is this 'common'?

One of the most common shapes that UFOs are reported is a triangular shape. Many times, these are very large triangular craft, that fly silently, or sometimes emit a low humming sound. The large triangular crafts have been seen worldwide, but became prevalent in Belgium in the late 80s early 90s.

Any idea what they are? Actual space crafts? Balls of energy?

With many other sightings, these balls of light are identified to be individual objects, they tend to fly back and forth, sometimes merging or separating with other lights, but tend to move in an organised formation for long durations. These lights can number anywhere from one to dozens.

How many sightings are there roughly a day?

On average, the number of UFO sightings reported to MUFON (Mutual UFO Network) and NUFORC (National UFO Research Center)

per month are around 250 to 300. This is just in the US.

What would you say to sceptics to convince them UFOs exist?

The first thing I ask people is if they accept the possibility of life on other planets. There's life on this one so, mathematically speaking, it'd be impossible for it not to exist elsewhere.

Once that issue is resolved, I present the multitude of evidence that has been collected. Every year, there are literally thousands of sightings reported, photographs taken, videos recorded, radar trackings identified, etc, that show UFOs performing manoeuvres that would be labelled impossible by conventional aircraft.

Many of these sightings can be explained away by traditional means, but it's that small percentage, where no explanation can be found that begs the question.

Do you subscribe to the well-known conspiracy theories that governments know about it/use alien technology/Area 51/Roswell, etc?

There's no doubt in my mind that our world powers have such knowledge. The Roswell crash gave our military and government some very advanced technology that they have been reverse engineering for years. I have personally visited Area 51 twice in the past few years.

Check out Chris's website at <http://www.alienshethetruth.com>

Open to alien attack

Reports of UFOs over Welwyn Hatfield come just days after a Government advisor warned our planet was open to an alien attack.

Nick Pope, who ran the Ministry of Defence UFO project from 1991 to 1994 was speaking after it was revealed his former department was being closed down.

He told Sky News there had been a series of "highly credible" alien sightings and landings in the UK.

"The X-Files have been closed down," he said.

"Frankly we are wide open. If something does not behave like a conventional aircraft now it will be ignored.

"The consequences of getting this one wrong could be huge.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Secrecy News -- 11/15/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 13:20:02 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 07:44:05 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 11/15/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 119
November 15, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** CONFRONTING SECRET LAW
** THE LIMITS OF TRANSPARENCY
** ARMY DOCTRINE ON URBAN OPERATIONS
** IN OTHER NEWS
** ILLUMINATING RUSSIA'S MAIN DIRECTORATE OF SPECIAL PROGRAMS

CONFRONTING SECRET LAW

The U.S. Supreme Court should reject the idea of a secret law or directive that purports to regulate public behavior yet cannot be disclosed, several public interest groups argued yesterday.

The groups filed amicus curiae briefs in support of a petition by John Gilmore, who challenged a government requirement that he produce official identification in order to board an airplane and was told that he could not see the underlying policy document because it is "sensitive security information."

The government says that Mr. Gilmore had adequate notice of the ID requirement without inspecting the written policy.

But "The laws of the United States do not permit the Executive Branch to govern public conduct through secret laws," wrote Marcia Hofmann of the Electronic Frontier Foundation (EFF), and the Court should therefore agree to review the Gilmore matter. The FAS Project on Government Secrecy signed on to the EFF brief.

Other amicus briefs were filed by the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press and the Electronic Privacy Information Center.

The latest briefs, and other background on the case, can be found here:

<http://papersplease.org/wp/category/secret-law/>

See also "Groups ask high court to review aviation ID policies," by Andrew Noyes, National Journal's Technology Daily, November 14:

http://www.govexec.com/story_page.cfm?articleid=35494

THE LIMITS OF TRANSPARENCY

Openness in government is a prerequisite to democratic self-rule

and is the best available antidote to official corruption.

Yet greater transparency, particularly on the international level, "is not an unmitigated good," argues Kristin M. Lord in a new, somewhat contrarian book.

"In all likelihood, the trend toward greater transparency will be at once positive and pernicious," she writes, particularly since some disputes are based on real conflicts of interest and are not simple misunderstandings that could be resolved through greater disclosure.

"More information about the military capabilities of other states may show vulnerability and encourage aggression by the strong against the weak. Greater transparency can highlight hostility and fuel vicious cycles of belligerent words and deeds.... Transparency sometimes can make conflicts worse."

The author illustrates her thesis with case studies of the role of information in the unfolding of the Rwanda genocide, and of information policy in Singapore's relatively open yet rather authoritarian society. She seeks to distinguish between the means of openness and the hoped-for ends that are implicitly believed to follow from them, sometimes without justification.

For more information, including the first chapter of the book, see "The Perils and Promise of Global Transparency" by Kristin M. Lord, State University of New York Press, 2006:

<http://www.sunypress.edu/details.asp?id=61337>

The ill effects of too much transparency are still a rather hypothetical problem, since national and international efforts to control disclosure of information persist and in some cases are growing.

In another recent book, author Alasdair Roberts identifies several factors that are inhibiting transparency, including the privatization of certain categories of government information, the increasing influence of international organizations with restrictive information policies, and the growing international collaboration of security agencies.

See "Blacked Out: Government Secrecy in the Information Age" by Alasdair Roberts, Cambridge University Press, 2006:

<http://www.cambridge.org/us/catalogue/catalogue.asp?isbn=0521858704>

ARMY DOCTRINE ON URBAN OPERATIONS

The conduct of military operations in urban areas is the subject of a new Army doctrinal manual.

"Of all the environments in which to conduct operations, the urban environment confronts Army commanders with a combination of difficulties rarely found elsewhere [due to its] intricate topography and high population density."

The hazards and threats posed by the urban environment, and the spectrum of potential responses to mitigate or exploit them, are considered at length in the 315-page unclassified manual.

See "Urban Operations," U.S. Army Field Manual FM 3-06, 26 October 2006 (a large 14 MB PDF file):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/army/fm3-06.pdf>

IN OTHER NEWS

"For those who believe in transparent government and fact-driven legislation, the power shift in the U.S. Congress represents a unique opportunity to open up one important Congressional institution -- the Congressional Research Service -- and bring back another one -- the Office of Technology Assessment -- twelve years after it was disbanded," suggests Christian Beckner in Homeland Security Watch:

<http://www.hlswatch.com/2006/11/13/free-the-crs-and-bring-back->

the-ota/

The Army Science Board has drastically reduced public disclosure of its unclassified advisory studies, Inside the Army reported. And by doing so, it may have undermined the impact of its own work. See "Citing Security, Army Tightens Reins On Science Board Research" by Fawzia Sheikh, Inside the Army, November 13:

http://defense.iwpnewsstand.com/insider_special.asp?issue=11132006sp

The unprecedented prosecution of two former pro-Israel lobbyists who are charged with improperly receiving and disseminating classified information has unpleasant implications for reporters who cover national security, among others. The case was reviewed by civil libertarian Nat Hentoff in "Bush Revives Espionage Act," Village Voice, November 10:

<http://villagevoice.com/news/0646,hentoff,75002,6.html>

"The mainstream news media is too fond of articles in which it is said some flavor of demonical terror menace can be put together from cookbooks found on the Internet," George Smith blasts in his Dick Destiny blog and in the UK's The Register.

http://www.theregister.co.uk/2006/11/10/dd_nuke_cookbooks/

Federal Computer Week did a profile this week of, ahem, me. See "A career as a secrecy watchdog" by Aliya Sternstein, FCW, November 13:

<http://www.fcw.com/article96769-11-13-06-Print>

ILLUMINATING RUSSIA'S MAIN DIRECTORATE OF SPECIAL PROGRAMS

The Main Directorate of Special Programs (Russian acronym: GUSP) is a somewhat mysterious Russian security organization that was established as one of the various successors to the former KGB.

"The directorate's specialists have a great deal of experience in building fortified structures and tunnels and know how to handle explosives," according to an article in Moskovskiy Komsomolets (16 September 1999).

"Moreover, the GUSP is the president's very own special service and is accountable only to the head of state."

In a neat bit of detective work, the Open Source Center (OSC) of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence noticed that new details of GUSP's internal structure could be gleaned from official badges sold by commercial vendors of military paraphernalia.

"Russian commercial websites specializing in the sale of military insignia provided identifying information for a number of military units belonging to the Special Facilities Service (SSO) of the Main Directorate for Special Programs of the Russian Federation President (GUSP)," the Open Source Center reported this week.

"[This] is in most instances the only available public reference for these units and their affiliation with the Special Facilities Service," the OSC said.

In another neat bit of work, Allen Thomson retrieved images of those telltale military insignia and combined them with other published material to produce "A Sourcebook on the Russian Federation Main Directorate of Special Programs (GUSP)" which may be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/world/russia/gusp.pdf>

Secrecy News will resume publication after Thanksgiving.

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

SkyView Virtual Telescope

From: **John Scheldroup** <jschel.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 16:03:51 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 07:47:57 -0500
Subject: SkyView Virtual Telescope

List et al.

This comes as news for me, here's where you can find a nice image of Zeta Reticuli 1 and 2, you might have noticed it on a few websites, where did they get such from now we know. What the NASA image database really needs is an interface similiar to Google Earth to model a potential star map.

Which reminds me... has anyone heard of it being attempted to computer-model the Hill/Fish Star Map using Celestia software?

<http://www.shatters.net/celestia/>

We could model the hypothetical 'alien Earth' in orbit of Zeta 2, to determine or play with the orbital properties of the planet, physical distance from the star, would there be any Jupiter-size planets in conjunction with the solar system and so forth.

Here are the Coordinates for Zeta 1- 2 Reticuli

<http://skyview.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/skvadvanced.pl>

Required Parameters:

Co-ordinates or Source: 3 18 1.00, -62 33 23.98
Digitized Sky Survey

Optional Parameters:

Coordinate System: Equatorial
Projection: Orthographic
Image size(pixels): 300 x 300 or 1280 x 1024
Image size(degrees): Default
Brightness scaling: Linear
Name/Resolver: NED/SIMBAD
Equinox: 2000.00
Grid: Yes/No
Color table: B_W Linear
Smoothing: 1
Image at pixel center: Yes
Pixel Resampling: Nearest Neighbor

John

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 18:43:04 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 07:58:29 -0500
Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 00:22:12 +0000
>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 18:33:47 +0000
>>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

<snip>

>I have personal experience with the History Channel's
>production approach. Every once in a while, I understand, they
>still run (very late at night) a piece where I am interviewed
>along with some people with very contentious and unproven
>theories. It was in connection with our (a team which included
>Mexican archaeologists) work maintaining that certain
>archaeology sites were intentionally created to point the way
>for some ancient group of people moving north from Mexico into
>North American. Those sites included the mounds under Rock Lake,
>Wisconsin. If you want to verify what I am saying about those
>mounds, one of them is called the Harleston-Kasten mound.

>It was 17 years of work reduced to a 1 minute interview. The
>rest of the "documentary" was all kinds of unproven nonsense.

Depends on who is doing the production. WKIP in New York did a full 48 minutes on Shag Harbour for the History Channel and it was well done though they included some stuff we [Chris Styles and me] considered squirrel tracks at the end. But I've done three others for History channel where I didn't want to buy into some of the questions and explanations, asked and offered so it was cut-with extreme prejudice. But they took the documents and ran. I ended up being more of a producer than a talking head.

But it looks like it's coming around to bite them as observed by David Cherniack on SDI Saturday evening. He's a documentary film maker and is doing one on UFOs but found that many investigators are turning down his requests for interviews due to being burnt in the past and more so since the Peter Jennings special.

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 18:23:58 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 08:29:28 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

<snip>

Ufologists should focus on encouraging the producers of non-fiction television to explore the subject in greater detail and with more accuracy. TV producers are the only people who seem to have connections to any kind of money to devote to the field, since UFO programs draw good numbers - or why would so many be trotted-out during ratings?

I suggest that a UFO documentary production company could be formed specifically for the purpose of creating high-quality, low-budget UFO programs for cable TV and webcasting.

It would also be good to create a clearinghouse of UFO information where producers can easily and quickly go to get accurate, cheap or royalty-free information, video footage, high-quality computer simulations, images, contacts, interview referrals, etc.

Ufology is a field with tons of history and available data, but only a few very limited forums through which that data is presented to the general public. The shows that pop up on the History Channel, SciFi Channel, National Geographic Channel, or wherever, are currently the most visible public face of the UFO field.

Hey, Stan Friedman knows it. He's on every one of those shows. He and Bruce and Shermer and Shostak. Not that Stan & Bruce aren't doing a good job, but maybe it would be worthwhile to provide a way to broaden that scope a little bit, delve more deeply into more individual cases besides Roswell, and give the viewing public a little better understanding of the surprising size of the UFO experience.

The overall goal would be to increase public awareness to a point where people start to take a more active interest in UFOs, and maybe get 'The Powers That Be' thinking that the general public wants and is ready for a more open exchange of information.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: An Abductee's Film - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 16:44:35 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 08:30:42 -0500
Subject: Re: An Abductee's Film - Nielsen

>Source: About.Com - New York, New York, USA

><http://ufos.about.com/b/a/256802.htm>

>Wednesday November 15, 2006

>An Abductee's Film
>Billy Booth

>Jeremy Vaeni is a columnist at UFO Magazine. He is much like the
>other writers, except for one very important thing. He believes
>that he has been abducted by aliens, and now has documented his
>own story in a film titled, "No One's Watching: An Alien
>Abductee's Story." This is certainly one way to get your point
>across. His film was first aired in March of this year at Two
>Boots Pioneer Theater in Manhattan. His efforts only cost him
>about \$500.00, and it debuted before only about 30 people. He
>documented his everyday life as an abductee, and just a regular
>person. He states that:

>"If I were looking at this, I would be skeptical too," referring
>to an audience member's question as to why he didn't really try
>to prove his story in the film. "I just wanted to focus on
>waking people up and make them question what reality's about."

>Wake Up and Question Reality by By Kate Mammolito, gives Vaeni's
>whole story. The first half of his film tells of his great love,
>Jenny, but then he breaks up with her. But, he explains to the
>audience that it was all scripted, and he just paid an actress
>to fill the role. He does discuss his abduction in the film,
>telling us that the last time it happened was in October of
>2001. He does not believe that being an abductee makes him
>anything special, but it was just a matter of being in the wrong
>place at the wrong time. He recounts his early life experiences
>which began at the young age of 13, when he saw his first UFO.
>Then, only two years later, he was visited in his bedroom by an
>alien being, and since then, he has experienced strange visual
>and auditory sensations, like staring for hours at the same
>thing, like a curb on the street. No... I'm not making this up.
>If you don't believe his story, don't feel bad, even his parents
>think he is loco.This may be all you ever know about Vaeni.
>Don't hold your breath... this film won't be coming to a theater
>near you anytime soon.

I might be alone in this, but I think this is a good idea. That
is, recording and accurately documenting abduction accounts.

Wouldn't it be nice to have access to a database of these
accounts like Larry Hatch's online UFO database? He did a
wonderful job of getting the UFO cases, all the cases, into a
consistent, online database, reviewable and researchable by
anyone with internet access.

Right now, we just have a few abduction accounts referred to in
books published on that topic. There is nothing available
online, on which to do research.

BTW, is anything currently happening with Larry's online UFO
database? Does anyone know if it will become available again?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

True Story Of A Movie UFO Encounter

From: Lyle Michel <courtroomevidence456.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:00:44 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 08:43:05 -0500
Subject: True Story Of A Movie UFO Encounter

I often bring up the some aspect of the UFO phenomena with someone I have met for the first time. I do this because I am often surprised by their replies!

I was discussing some aspects of the phenomena over breakfast when the person proceeded to share the following story.

For those of you familiar with the movie Close Encounters, this person indicated to me that he knew the policeman/state trooper in the story.

There was a scene where police are chasing a UFO in the movie. If I remember correctly Richard Dreyfus was sitting in his car when the UFO comes flying by and shortly thereafter a police car comes roaring by and eventually runs off of the road.

I remembered the chase scene in the movie, but I did not know these scenes were based on a real state trooper/policeman's experience. I imagine that some of you did not know this either.

The person I had breakfast with said he was in the military with the person who had the experience. They were in Vietnam together in the late 1960's. They became friends and still stay in touch to this day.

The actual experience with the flying object occurred in late 1974/early 1975. As I understand the story the policeman was written about in the local newspapers in Illinois.

As a result of the articles he was contacted by the Steven Spielberg organization and his story became part of the composite story for Close Encounters. It was this person's understanding that Close Encounters was essentially a true story. The film itself might have been fiction, but the events were true and happened over a period of time.

The downside was that the policeman in the story was visited by black operations because of the publicity surrounding him. Black operations told the policeman to keep his mouth shut and to stop talking about his experiences.

As often happens he apparently refused to follow black operations orders and kept talking about his experience. Thus Black Operations had him fired from his state trooper's job. They also harassed him via phone calls and the proverbial cars following him and people he knew. Black operations continued with this policy until he gave in and stopped talking about his experiences/sighting.

As the story was related to me Black Operations made a mess out of this person's life. I am sure some of you know of others who have had a similar experiences.

Lyle Michel

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 19:03:14 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 08:47:09 -0500
Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings - Shell

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 18:33:47 +0000
>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

<snip>

>Anyone who expects to get serious documentaries out of the
>History Channel simply is out of touch with reality.

>Like the Sci-Fi Channel they are primarily in the entertainment
>business, not science or careful reporting. They are
>occasionally able to broadcast a reasonably good program when
>they happen upon the right production company that in turn calls
>on the right people to be talking heads, but that clearly is an
>exception.

Yeah, it's a shame. I used to work in a documentary production company and I know that they have basically no budgets. But there are plenty of UFO stories out there with natural drama built in, that could be done cheaply, and would be perfectly entertaining if they were simply presented per the available documentation.

This ties into my previous post about the need for a better UFO clearinghouse where documentary producers can get inexpensive or free information and resources so they can do a halfway-decent and more accurate job.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

From: **Ed Gehrman** <egehrman.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 08:50:48 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:51:35 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Ed Gerhrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 11:04:01 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>While Wendy has her doubts about the present Foster ranch debris
>>site, this location is not an important issue for me as long as
>>I'm within a half mile or so. I can't see how the feather weight
>>material left a gouge or distinguishing mark of any kind. I
>>would like to walk the area and see if I could find signs of
>>disruption.

>Well, it has to do with the physics of the crash, speed and mass
>rather than just weight

Hi Kevin,

Mass is weight; the MP said that two soldiers were easily able to lift the craft; I used the Wilmons' estimate of between 400-500 MPH. By all testimony the material was extremely light. If the MP is correct, then the debris at the Foster ranch was the remains of the craft's port side. I don't think the craft traveled too far from the Foster ranch, but the dynamics of its landing are what interest me.

There was heavy rain and if this storm was like most NM monsoon rains, it was accompanied by strong winds. I think, from my exploration, that the craft took a long time to land, and in the process, deposited a mud-like substance across the entire region, just like the craft in Socorro spun out its cristobalite material.

>but the point here is doubt all you
>want, but the fact remains, Bill Brazel showed Schmitt and me
>where he found debris and where the gouge was.

What happened to the gouge? Change of terrain comes slowly in the desert.

>We walked the
>site. With CUFOS we made an archaeological site survey looking
>for evidence. We flew over the site and took video tape looking
>for signs of the gouge. We used metal detectors, we dug into the
>nests of ground dwelling animals, among the roots of plants that
>looked to be old enough, and we plotted the prevailing winds in
>an attempt to locate debris that might have been blown about by
>the winds including fence lines several miles distant. So we
>looked for signs of the disruption and failed to find anything
>definitive.

Maybe you didn't know what to look for. I'm not being negative or smart-assed but experience is important. Were there any desert rats or rock hounds on your team?

>But, we had the right place... the debris field, because
>witnesses took us to that location. Not this other site that
>your MP has told you about. So my original comments about that
>still rates a "never mind."

Ok but I wasn't talking about Mac's site. The site I'm trying
to describe and defend is the MP's site.

>>Could you also clear up the confusion regarding the Roswell
>>yearbook and the MP's name and rank?

>Are you suggesting here you want me to name the MP? Yes, he is
>in the Yearbook...

No I don't want you to release his name; all I wanted was
verification from you that the name he used was an actual MP, in
Squadron T.

>I had been thinking of another MP when I
>wrote that and I assumed that given his desire not to be dragged
>into this, the less said, at the moment, the better. And yes, I
>am attempting to get his records from St. Louis, but as I
>mentioned to you off-line, it is a difficult process without
>either a serial number or a social security number, not to
>mention the time it takes St. Louis to respond.

Yes I understand.

>But remember one important fact here. Frank Kaufmann is also in
>the Yearbook... as is Robert Shirkey and another MP, who is not
>in the Yearbook has documents showing that he was in Roswell at
>the same time as these events and tells a story that is somewhat
>in conflict with that told by your MP. So, the investigation
>continues, cluttered by people who are making up stuff which
>confuses the issue (people like Gerald Anderson for example...)

There are others working on this and I hope to have more
information soon.

The MP's story doesn't conflict with Mac's original testimony in
any way. The MP and Mac were involved with separate events.
Mac's site was almost exactly five miles from the MP's site.
Their recoveries took place at different times. The MP's craft
and creatures were found and recovered on the 3rd & 4th of July,
and Mac's debris was collected on the 8th & 9th of July.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: UFOs Light Up The Sky - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 02:45:57 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 08:54:46 -0500
Subject: Re: UFOs Light Up The Sky - Kasten

>Source: The Welwyn & Hatfield Times - Hertfordshire, UK

><http://tinyurl.com/vosh4>

>15 November 2006

>UFOs Light Up The Sky

>Times Territory became a hotbed of UFO activity last weekend
>with dozens of residents spotting mysterious lights in the sky.

>The WHT reported last week that several people had reported
>seeing a "spacecraft" around Hatfield and WGC.

>But only now - after our newsdesk was swamped with calls from
>eye-witnesses - can we reveal the full magnitude of the event.

>Readers young and old claim to have seen bright orange lights
>flying smoothly in a triangular formation, pulling off
>manoeuvres that no 21st century aircraft could achieve.

>Many people who found themselves staring at the night sky last
>Friday, Saturday and Sunday initially believed they were about
>to watch a catastrophic air crash.

>The WHT contacted Luton Airport to check if there could be a
>more rational explanation for the phenomena.

>But a spokeswoman confirmed that, to her knowledge, there had
>been no scheduled fly pasts of planes or helicopters through the
>area.

And, all those who saw, fell on their knees shouting to the
skies "we believe, we believe." Also, "it changed my life!"
There went forth the word unto all "they have arrived."
Who "they"? Who knows.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 03:20:31 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 08:57:15 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 19:00:39 -0400
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

Hi Don, List,

<snip>

>Pretty involved case, Stuart. Gary Anthony posted a web site on
>another List that claims that this case is solved. Joe
>McGonagle's site was posted to this List a few days ago with
>all of the witness reports listed there. Joe has done a great
>job of setting up the timeline and the cases making it easy to
>check each as you go.

Thanks for the compliment. I have also provided copies of the contents of the DI55 and Sec(AS) files, kindly provided by Dave Clarke, Gary Anthony and Chris Fowler. They can be viewed (with commentary) at:

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford/modpage.html>

>From what I've read on both Gary's and Joe's sites there seems
>to be much taken on faith such as witnesses that can't reset
>clocks even after two days have gone by since the change to
>BST., Directions of some of the sightings are in question,
>either uncertain or incorrectly reported either by the witness
>or the investigator.

Funny you should mention that - I noticed tonight that the clock in my bathroom is still on BST (we switched back to GMT on 29th October, over 2 weeks ago). I also haven't got around to resetting my video equipment yet, either.

>This case-Number 19-is a problem for me.

># 31/3/93 01:10, Moorswater, Liskeard, Cornwall.

>Reported heading: North to South

>Remarks: 2 white lights, and 3rd unlit object on parallel
>course leaving vapour trails

>Although the time on the military signal indicates 01:10(Z),
>Sec(AS)2a has recorded this as 01:10(A). This would tally with
>police statements which were among the reports in the
>Sec(AS)2a file, so it would appear that the time indicated on
>the signal is incorrect.

>Why would it be assumed that the signal time is incorrect.
>There isn't even the excuse of the time change to fall back on
>since ZULU time [now UTC] doesn't change. The signal was
>probably electronically imprinted with the time by computer in
>1993. Even if it were not this is the signalman's job done daily
>for years. ?I find it unlikely that on this occasion that the
>signalman was wrong for expediency's sake.

Just a quick mention about the technology - I know for a fact

that in 1986, the British Army were still using Siemens T100 teleprinters - horrible, electromechanical devices that weighed a ton! At the time, 'new' daisywheel teleprinters were being introduced, but they had no automatic time-stamping features. Even if they had, it would only timestamp the time of transmission and receipt of signals, the times of the UFO reports would need to be manually transcribed.

The following may be a bit long-winded, but please bear with me. The issue of time is an important factor in this case.

Firstly, the MoD signal with the time on it can be viewed here:

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford/moo310110-1.gif>

Note that row 'A' contains the following:

A. 310110Z MAR 93. 30 SECONDS

The '31' is the date, 01:10 the time, and Z indicates the timezone used. The 30 seconds is the duration of the sighting. At face value, this related to the report of a sighting taking place at 00:10 local time. Other details in the report give the location as "Moorswater, Lis(k)heard, Cornwall (there was a misspelling of Liskeard in the signal). The signal also indicates that the reporting witnesses were police officers (row 'N').

The only close match to this report in the files in terms of location and witnesses is the police report at:

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford/moo310110-2.gif> (Page 1)

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford/moo310110-3.gif> (Page 2)

and a handwritten report on a UFO report form apparently written by the witness himself at:

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford/moo310110-4.gif> (Page 1)

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford/moo310110-5.gif> (Page 2)

There is another report made by police to UFO researcher Doug Cooper (report #20), in the same general area at the same time but there is no official report relating to this in the files (it is possible that Cooper's report is duplicated by the MoD report, but this is unlikely since one gives the witness' location as to the SE of Liskeard, and the other to the West of Liskeard).

The only document which offers up a time of 01:10Z is the military signal. The other two documents from the same location do not provide an explicit timezone indication, but since the police interface mainly with civilians and the courts, nearly all references to time made by them is local time.

Up until two days earlier, ZULU time was the same as local time for the previous 5 months. The military operator would function at a personal level on local time, since shops, banks, post offices and public transport all operated on local time. They would have been used ZULU and local time having been the same for the previous 5 months.

So we have 3 documents reporting what appears to be the same event (and possibly a fourth if it includes a location error). Two (possibly three) of them almost certainly expressing a time as 01:10(L) and one expressing it as 01:10(Z). We either have two separate events (one of which is not recorded on Pope's notes), or it is likely that the third document containing the 01:10(Z) time is in error. Unfortunately, it doesn't appear that this was noticed by Sec(AS), so it is now not possible to be 100% certain about it.

>Interesting case; but I think Gary is being a little hasty
>claiming this as solved.

I can't speak for Gary, but I think that there are some reports in the batch which have been lumped together for no good reason and that should have been followed up in more detail. Unfortunately, the skills, time, and resources were not available within the MoD at the time to do so.

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

Cheers ,

Joe

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 07:51:54 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 08:58:52 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Regan Lee <rlee15.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 20:39:17 -0800
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 20:05:21 +0000
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>Indeed, so why not be a bit more appreciative when people do
>>manage that?

>Er, I didn't say anything about not being appreciative of those
>who do the work in getting hold of such documents. In fact,
>I'm quite appreciative. Why would you think otherwise?

>I didn't call anyone a Pelicanist, etc. (Not here, anyway :)

>My comment on pessimism isn't directed towards those who do the
>research and get government documents out to us regarding UFOs.
>It's directed towards the government, not UFO researchers.

Similarly my point was a general comment about the way one
particular piece of research was received by some ufologists,
not specifically directed at you.

John Rimmer

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: Black Operations And Government Cover-Ups -

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 00:54:23 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 09:01:44 -0500
Subject: Re: Black Operations And Government Cover-Ups -

>From: Lyle Michel <courtroomevidence456.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 12:36:44 -0800
>Subject: Black Operations And Government Cover-Ups

>Did aliens actually die in the 1947 Roswell Crash! Of course
>they did! We have enough courtroom witnesses to make this point.

Now, them's fightin' words! As the ongoing DNA testing of death row convicts continues to dramatically demonstrate, there can still be a mighty big difference between being found guilty in a court of law and actually being guilty.

Despite the wealth of anecdotal evidence and the interesting, though questionable, documentation, the conspicuous lack of physical evidence from the Roswell incident is still a pretty sharp sticking point. Even most murders require at least some habeas corpus.

Personally, taking the descriptions of the craft and occupants into account, along with the Corso Sr. and Jr. stuff, I could just as easily make a case for the whole thing being a time travel journey gone wrong. Little folks from our future (or, to be open-mined, advanced humanoids from our past) got caught in a rare crossfire combination of unusually strong lightning plasma blasts, super-powerful radar pulses, and maybe some reverse-time shockwaves from the atomic tests of the 1950s. They blew a fuse and crashed into the desert before they had a chance to fire off a rescue signal. Oops.

Given the available evidence, I'd say the above scenario is just as plausible as the "alien" one.

I guess my point is, as much as we'd like to simply accept that Roswell equals aliens, there's still not nearly enough good evidence to send anybody to the electric chair for it.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 09:08:09 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 09:03:58 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 21:16:22 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

<snip>

>Apologies for the lack of ettiquette but its fun on that other
>List at the mo John isn't it? I mean, there we all were, but a
>few weeks ago, absolutely mortified at the thought of this
>Cosford documentary on Channel 5 and how Pope had hijacked the
>whole thing.

<snip>

I know I'm demonised by a small clique of British ufologists,
but I've been intrigued by the latest suggestions that I'm now
capable of hijacking mainstream primetime TV documentaries.

Dusting off my MIB suit and pulling out my PsyOps Manual

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:02:36 +0000 (GMT)
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 09:14:17 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 08:42:17 -0000
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 21:16:22 +0000 (GMT)
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 20:05:21 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

<snip>

>>Martin, you have provided the best entertainment Ufologically
>>speaking I have had in years. Thank you. It has been truly
>>priceless.

>I'm pleased you've been entertained, Stuart. However for the
>avoidance of confusion I should explain to the List that this
>debate has not been about re-evaluating the Cosmos booster
>decay, which remains a very plausible explanation for the
>largest group of reports, most of them densely clustered
>around the re-entry time (established convincingly by Gary
>Anthony and Chris Fowler from NASA data) of ~0110 BST.

Martin,

You promised me a terse reply. You might as well have smacked me with a wet sprat.

We're in the slightly odd situation of this thread taking place on at least three different Lists simultaneously.

Upon seeing my response to John Rimmer, Martin felt obliged to apologise to his colleagues for inadvertantly drawing "the matter" to the attention of someone, me, who responded to it in a childish manner. You might as well apologise for being a researcher then. Far be it for me, Martin, to call anyone naive but that's what public e-mail Lists are all about; you know, the public sit and read the messages, and then form their own conclusions. I think part of your shock at what I said was the realisation that Ufologyuk isn't after all a private message board, though you wouldn't know it by the way you and Roberts had been carrying on.

I don't mean to be any ruder than I normally am so please excuse me if for a moment I side-step you and address some comments to Gary Anthony, one of the authors of an excellent piece of research on the Cosford case who is not a member here and who has complained on another List about my comments and behaviour.

You cannot have it both ways Gary, which is what you want. You have said that the Cosford case was closed, done, finished. You have said that by implication and by association. Those

words have never actually, to my knowledge, come out of your mouth or your keyboard, but your colleagues with whom you are closely associated, namely Jenny Randles and David Clark have said them. In fact David said them to my face, on my TV in the course of that documentary, and to the million people watching in their homes. Not by the way "the millions" that he alleges were watching and to whom Nick Pope was apparently lying to while holding a piece of paper countering what he was saying. Pope lies to millions; Dave Clark misleads a million. Semantics, I know.

So go on, disassociate yourself from your colleagues, at least on this point, if you will - here's your chance.

Hello again Martin.

It is a matter of some personal criticism that you only remembered you were British and were reminded about the existence of Ufologyuk after Joe posted a "We're moving" notice here. And if you had actually been a member for a while longer instead of jumping in mid-fray, then you would have been party to the unbridled arrogance that pervaded that List in the days leading up to the transmission of that documentary, the denigration of Nick Pope that preceded it, the childish temper tantrums because the nasty TV people had chosen to go with Nicholas and didn't want to know about "our" work, and the immense irritation that undoubtedly Pope would go with a resolution to Cosford that was simply wrong because "we" were right.

It is that, more than most, that has irritated.

But it seems after all that, that this case isn't definitely resolved, thanks to you. You haven't stabbed your colleagues in the back and no one is alleging that you have, although there is a certain sweet justice in it all. You have asked simple, straightforward, reasonable questions that at times have tested their abilities to respond with clear-cut answers. Great, that's what good research is all about and no one has criticised what you've done or complained about it, to everyone's credit. In fact I think you've shown all of us up; everyone.

But as a result, the events of that evening no longer resemble the absolutely closed and shut version as insisted upon by Clark and Randles and now more closely resemble the picture that Pope painted of it at the end of that documentary. Worth the cost of the ticket just for that, old chap.

I'll also acknowledge that this is a fluid and developing situation, but at the moment it has only been going the one way. Nevertheless, it will be interesting to see where we are with it in a few more months time.

And neither is anyone dismissing or mocking the work done by anyone in relation to this case whatsoever, as you implied in your apology. I congratulate Gary and Joe for the hard work they have done. I have featured that work on my news site and directed people towards it.

But it strikes me that UK Ufology is entering a new phase, and a novel one at that. It involves an incident happening, witnesses being identified, researchers tracking them down and asking them for their version of what happened, the witness saying, "I saw this strange light..." and the researcher immediately interjecting and saying, "Right mate, thanks, we'll take it from there".

Never before can I remember so much work being done where the testimony of the witness has fundamentally been rejected or where it has been alleged to be wrong, because it doesn't fit. While you're all 'guilty', I particularly have in mind Jenny Randles comments. We will soon be in a new smooth, sleek phase where we will have witness-less Ufology altogether. Take it beyond that and we'll eventually do away with the sighting as well.

What you've done actually Martin is to turn Cosford into a typically weird and wild night out in Britain. We do those evenings so well here, you know, when the celestial orchestra leader mounts the rostrum, calls everyone to heel, twirls his baton and sets off the bollides, the minor earthquakes, the

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

strange distortions of light, the bizarre shadows in the clouds, the MOD confusion, the press reports, chases across moors, police helicopters, Russ Kellett, and so on until we're left with a mess of conflicting testimony that drags on for years. Berwyn, Sheffield, Caldebeck, and now Cosford. They're all the same.

Another night with a fundamentally mundane explanation accompanied by a load of anomaly. This is UK Ufology, and that's where this case is heading now.

Please carry on as you were because its good work and its interesting. I wouldn't be too put out if a child flaps his hand about occasionally and makes some asanine comments. Let uncle Andy at him. That professional dysfunctional is so much more interesting now that I know all about his "special paranormal experiences" and "the fact that soemtimes(sic) it's necessary to take an opposing view purely to provide balance and sanity". Remember that little nugget from last weekend? And you agreeing with him too? Bless you Martin, we're so grateful for your concern.

Arrogance, don't you just love it.

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -

From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 08:31:09 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 09:22:28 -0500
Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 18:43:04 -0400
>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

<snip>

>Depends on who is doing the production. WKIP in New York did a
>full 48 minutes on Shag Harbour for the History Channel and it
>was well done though they included some stuff we [Chris Styles
>and me] considered squirrel tracks at the end. But I've done
>three others for History channel where I didn't want to buy into
>some of the questions and explanations, asked and offered so it
>was cut-with extreme prejudice. But they took the documents and
>ran. I ended up being more of a producer than a talking head.

>But it looks like it's coming around to bite them as observed by
>David Cherniack on SDI Saturday evening. He's a documentary
>film maker and is doing one on UFOs but found that many
>investigators are turning down his requests for interviews due
>to being burnt in the past and more so since the Peter Jennings
>special.

Hi Don,

I don't believe that the History Channel is directly responsible for the content, and is purchasing the shows from another producer that does the leg work. I have found that some shows to be good reviews of well known (and usually researched) cases, but they unfortunately keep relating them to the name "Roswell" for effect. I think that's stupid, but I suppose Roswell has become more of a meme than we would like to believe.

Many researchers have been complaining for years about their being "used" by producers for their own purposes and lament that it rarely has any impact on the field that is positive. There was a lot of hope for the Peter Jennings special and some researchers agreed to interviews after rejecting many other requests, but when their segments were completely cut out they took it personally. And, of course, the show fell short of expectations and didn't make the in-roads that everyone had hoped would fall into place if the right production spread the word.

I can blame researchers for their frustration, and I'm afraid that the situation is likely to get worse. The Sci-Fi Investigates program ends its season this week, and it has been roundly criticised for a variety of reasons.

As I posted a couple of days ago, the Fund for UFO Research has been approached by a Casting Director for "Wife Swap", so they can involve a family involved in paranormal research like UFOs or Cattle Mutilations.

The Sci-Fi Investigates program was one of two such shows being planned by two different production companies. The producers of "Ghost Hunters" are trying to come up with a UFO-related show and someone was floating the idea of a team of researchers that

would go from one investigation to another and discuss findings. This sounded a lot like the Sci-Fi Channel's program, but if they can sell it, more power to them.

I got into a short email exchange with the casting person working with the production company and they were also willing to accept other program ideas, as long as you could outline it completely and film a 15 minute segment for review. Of course, I learned this about a week before it was due for consideration, so that was a non-starter - even if I had a program idea to promote. FYI, this was several months ago and they were preparing for the 2007 Season.

Yes, there's a lot of nonsense in the works for future broadcast, and many researchers are simply heading for cover. Unfortunately, that leaves the fringe in a position of being interviewed and setting the tone for the field in the mind of the general public.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

New Abduction Accounts Database

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 05:32:41 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 10:53:18 -0500
Subject: New Abduction Accounts Database

I think its time for an online database of alien abduction accounts, reminiscent of Larry Hatch's UFO Database. This would be an outlet for anyone who wants to post or compare or comment or research alien abduction accounts, just like what Larry has done for UFO accounts.

I claim no special knowledge or ability here, but I am willing to maintain this database, for now using a current free blog host (blogger.com) and a free database creator - openoffice.org.

This online database can currently be found at:

<http://alienabductionaccounts.blogspot.com/>

The header for this blog states:

"This blog lists accounts of the non-human abduction of humans. No attempts have been made to verify these accounts. Anyone may post or comment here. Send your posts to nilthchi.nul. Anonymity requests will be honored. The author reserves the right to edit out language deemed offensive."

I realize this will allow some who have motives other than the sharing of honest accounts. On the other hand, it will allow that kind of dishonesty to be seen for what it is.

It is my hope that this type of online database helps those victims of abduction as well as those who would like to stop and prevent this activity.

Rick Nielsen
<http://nilthchi.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 14:10:04 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 10:55:23 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Shough

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:51:35 EST
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>Well, it has to do with the physics of the crash, speed and mass
>>rather than just weight

>Hi Kevin,

>Mass is weight;

<snip>

Mass is not weight. Speed (strictly, veolocity) x mass = momentum.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 11:03:13 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 11:03:13 -0500
Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 18:43:04 -0400
>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 00:22:12 +0000
>>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

<snip>

>>I have personal experience with the History Channel's
>>production approach.

<snip>

>But it looks like it's coming around to bite them as observed by
>David Cherniack on SDI Saturday evening. He's a documentary
>film maker and is doing one on UFOs but found that many
>investigators are turning down his requests for interviews due
>to being burnt in the past and more so since the Peter Jennings
>special.

Hi Errol,

Could you please correct Don Leger's post for me. I did not say
many people turned me down because of being burnt. I said many
people were initially reluctant because of being burnt. In fact
only two people turned me down after talking to me and they both
did it for additional reasons.

Best,

David

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

In Search Of... Lobbying Clout

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 11:27:29 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 11:27:29 -0500
Subject: In Search Of... Lobbying Clout

Source: Roll Call - Washington, DC, USA

http://www.rollcall.com/issues/52_47/vested/15964-1.html

November 13, 2006

In Search Of... Lobbying Clout
Only Full-Time UFO Lobbyist Struggles to Attract Attention

By Tory Newmyer
Roll Call Staff

Stephen Bassett has a lobbying pitch straight from outer space.

He wants to tell Members of Congress about the alien spacecrafts visiting Earth. They've been coming for years, he says, often scooping up humans for test probes. And if lawmakers could muster the political backbone to address the issue, Bassett would like to present some people to testify about making contact, as well as reams of evidence to back up their claims.

Trouble is, it's tough to get a meeting on Capitol Hill when your subject is little green men. Bassett himself, founder of the Paradigm Research Group and the only full-time lobbyist on the extraterrestrial issue, acknowledges these are dark days for the UFO lobby.

"The guys on the Hill won't touch it," even though, according to Bassett, "it's more important than the war."

Many of Bassett's mainstream counterparts on K Street have expressed similar frustration this year with their inability to advance their clients' priorities, as lawmakers spent valuable time trying to stem political scandals.

But advocates of more eccentric causes have felt the tightest pinch. Bassett, a former tennis pro and business consultant, moved from a second-story home office in Bethesda, Md., to Northern California, where he says friends are supporting him as he hunts for new benefactors to restart his push in Washington, D.C.

It wasn't always this way. Just a few years ago, the UFO cause had backing from some of the Beltway's heaviest hitters. Former White House chief of staff John Podesta headlined an October 2002 press conference at the National Press Club calling on the government to disclose what it knows about the flying craft.

"It's time to find out what the truth really is that's out there," Podesta, a self-proclaimed fan of the spooky Fox show X-Files, told the crowd that day.

The event was sponsored by the SciFi Channel, which in part was looking for promotional opportunities to attract viewers to "Out of the Blue," a documentary that argued for the existence of

life beyond Earth.

The channel decided to put some major corporate muscle behind a lobbying campaign on the UFO issue, hiring PodestaMattoon, the firm headed by Podesta's brother, Tony, and Dan Mattoon, a confidant of Speaker Dennis Hastert (R-Ill.), to spearhead it.

Lobbyists at the firm collected \$380,000 working the issue for the next three years. They helped the science-fiction network set up the Coalition for the Freedom of Information, or CFi, to pry new disclosures from the federal government. The group launched with a slick Web site and a well-publicized lawsuit against NASA to get the space agency to release information about a reputed UFO crash in Pennsylvania during the 1960s.

To top it off, the CFi commissioned a poll showing that not only do roughly seven in 10 Americans believe the government is withholding information about extraterrestrials, but that one in seven report they or someone they know actually has had a close encounter with aliens.

For the PodestaMattoon team, however, close encounters with Members and staff were harder to come by. Firm officials decline to speak publicly about their work on the issue, since it ended in 2004. But a source close to the effort said finding receptive audiences in Congress was "very hard."

"I don't think most Members were that much into it," the source said. "The bottom line is that if you're living in the real world today, everything else is more important, so this kind of issue has no traction whatsoever."

Any group of 535 people should include a few with offbeat interests, but the few friendly faces that UFO enthusiasts once found are no longer there. As recently as 1994, they had won their biggest advance when then-Rep. Steven Schiff (R-N.M.) decided to look into claims that an alien craft had crashed 50 years earlier in his district near the tiny town of Roswell and that the government swiftly covered it up.

Federal officials over the years had issued several explanations for the craft - which slammed into the desert in the summer of 1947 - including identifying it as a weather balloon and a Soviet satellite. But UFO believers dismissed the reports, and a mythology involving a repaired spacecraft and recovered alien bodies made the incident a rallying point.

Responding to constituent pressure to get new answers, Schiff asked to see radio traffic records from Roswell Army Air Corps Base between 1946 and 1949, but both the Defense Department and the National Archives declined to help. The lawmaker then took his request to what was then known as the General Accounting Office. He again was denied: Officials there told him records for that time period were missing.

At the GAO's request, the Air Force launched its own inquiry, arriving at the conclusion that the craft was an experimental high-altitude balloon to monitor Soviet nuclear tests. But Barry Bitzer, the lawmaker's then-chief of staff, said the Air Force's report left more questions than answers.

"My conjecture at this point is that none of the answers we've been given so far square with all the facts," said Bitzer, who's now chief of staff to the mayor of Albuquerque.

Schiff died in 1998, and Roswell and the nearby crash site now are represented by Republican Rep. Steve Pearce. David Host, the lawmaker's communications director, said Pearce believes the Air Force report was "fairly conclusive and credible."

"He is not actively working on that issue today, but there is a tremendous interest in this story across the country, and Rep. Pearce certainly encourages everybody to come to Roswell and find out for themselves," he said.

Those who work on the UFO issue agree that the challenge is getting Members of Congress past the fear of looking foolish by taking the subject seriously. Bassett says the lawmakers are "too weak, in terms of fortitude, to put their status on the line."

But others say figures like Bassett, who talks openly about an alien civilization attempting to disclose its existence to humankind, only contribute to that problem. Leslie Kean, an investigative journalist who now heads the CFI, said that "by leaving himself open to ridicule through his particular style of rhetoric, [Bassett] has greatly diminished his possible effectiveness."

A better approach, Kean said, would be to treat the subject as a valid, open question, without pushing any conclusions. In other words, The Truth Is Out There.

"Our premises are different," she said. They are "based on the fact that there is much evidence that demands further scientific investigation, while he believes that the government and the media must accept the UFO phenomenon as a self-evident extraterrestrial incursion."

Either way, while the current elected establishment regards both of those sides as if they'd just flown in from Mars, the UFO lobby takes heart in knowing that a long line of top-ranking politicians have indicated they're open to considering the topic.

Former President Gerald Ford held hearings on the issue while serving in the House in the 1960s. Before Ford's successor won the White House, then-Georgia Gov. Jimmy Carter filed two formal reports describing his observations of UFOs.

"I don't laugh at people anymore when they say they've seen UFOs, because I've seen one myself," he was quoted as saying.

And enthusiasts have long gossiped about a report that President Ronald Reagan, after a 1982 screening of the movie E.T., turned to director Steven Spielberg and said, "There are probably only six people in this room who know how true this is."

Meanwhile, UFO advocates are holding out hope that a breakthrough is on the horizon.

The SciFi Channel, since purchased by NBC, has shifted its focus, but Ed Rothschild, a PodestaMattoon lobbyist who worked on the account, is still listed as a volunteer director of the CFI. Kean, who is working on a documentary about the possibility that consciousness survives after death, also is birddogging the group's NASA lawsuit.

Bassett, for his part, has signed up two new clients - the Exopolitics Institute and www.exopolitics.com - albeit on a pro bono basis. And he has set up a political action committee called the Extraterrestrial Phenomena PAC. It has received only \$4,555 and has given no money out to candidates, but Bassett said he is hopeful it will grow.

Shortly before the elections, Bassett sent out an e-mail alert announcing that in anticipation of Democratic majorities, his group was swinging back into action. "Direct meetings will be sought with a larger than usual freshman class of members," he wrote. "More importantly, secrecy, institutional lying and abuse of power are part of the lexicon of issues being addressed in the election coverage - all aspects of the past and present management of the extraterrestrial presence truth embargo."

To kick off the new push, Bassett said he is planning to return to Washington. "There's going to be a concerted effort to engage some of the new Members in the Senate and House and let them know there's a real issue here," he said.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Do UFOs Exist In The History Of Arts?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 11:46:04 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 11:46:04 -0500
Subject: Do UFOs Exist In The History Of Arts?

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/y2umaf>

November 13, 2006

Do UFOs Exist In The History Of Arts?
by
Daniela Giordano

Daniela Giordano has been an Italian free-lance writer for many years. She has always been interested in parapsychology and avant-garde research about UFOs and other unusual phenomena. In 1999 she won first prize of the Donald E. Keyhoe Journalism Award, a contest promoted by the Fund for UFO Research in Washington, D.C., with the article titled UFOs In The History Of Arts. The winning article has been published in an Italian magazine about astronomical and science information and in some inte [truncated at site]

The desire to communicate is inherent in the man. It is a part of his own nature. Since the first graffito to the Renaissance, since the Baroque to the Impressionism, Art has been and it is the first form of communication, base of our civilization. Only with this Muse is possible to express totally our reality in a spontaneous way.

It is almost unbelievable as by artworks or signs gushed from an hand one can know enough thoroughly aspect of cultural, social and political situation of the environment surrounding the artist.

Actually Art can be considered as a book of history, culture and science telling the man in many of his aspects in a more complete form than an unique branch of knowledge.

Since the beginning of man, humans have always felt a need to reproduce celestial events, first on the wall of caves, and then on canvas. It is not a case that sometimes History, Arts, Archaeology and Anthropology have been often rewritten on occasion in light of new elements whose existence no one had previously suspected.

From the past we receive strange signals of interference in our life and in our culture. Strange flying objects depicted in ancient works of art raise disturbing questions about our history and the role of man in the Universe.

A flying device in Palazzo Vecchio

Enigmatical images from our distant past arrive silently to the Man of the 20th century. They provoke curiosity and perplexity. They have been always there, in front of our eyes, as expressions of real experiences or as anomalous allegoric and symbolic representations arising forth from the hands of, more

or less, famous artists telling us of their epoch. We have never noticed these images - or it is better to say we have not observed them carefully - because they are not the main subject of the artwork. They lie in the background as if the authors wanted to communicate their particular experiences "with discretion".

For a long time a painting has been displayed at Palazzo Vecchio, Florence, in the Elements section. This 15th century painting made on wood is still in the news. It is known as "La Madonna e San Giovannino" (The Virgin Mary and Saint Giovannino), a nativity ascribed to the Florence painter Filippo Lippi (1406-1469) or his school. The round medium-size wood painting placed inside the Saturno Hall does not, however, have a sure attribution. In the Palazzo Vecchio list it is classified as artwork n. 344, by an unknown Florence author and originating from an abandoned Saint Orsola monastery.

The sweetness and the sacredness of this image do not equal the curiosity it rouses when one observes a detail in the upper right part of the depiction - near the head of the Virgin Mary. It is a gray-lead object, sloping to the left, provided with a "dome" or a "turret", apparently identifiable as a flying object with an oval shape in motion. This "mysterious" object is characterized by the presence of bright rays, colored in yellow-gold, which seem to emanate from the hull. Below is some kind of barely visible spheroidal structure.

On the opposite side of the round wood is a sun and immediately below "three little fires". These details show that the artist well knew the difference between a mystic-symbolic representation and a real event. In confirmation of his will to communicate through his work something of special emotional intensity, one can note a little human figure below observing the object in the sky with his hand shielding his eyes - a sign of attention. Near the figure is a dog barking at the mysterious flying object.

In the 15th century flying machines did not exist, and therefore the question arises of what the artist wished to represent.

As in the comics

Likewise emblematic is "La Tebaide" by Paolo Uccello (nee Paolo di Dono, 1397-1475) kept at the Gallery of Academy in Florence. It must be stated this artist has given remarkable notes on the development of the perspective as method of representation. In this artwork he has hidden an object shaped as a dish overhanging a dome between the detached umbrella-like sections of some very high cluster-pines. The ovoid top of the trees makes a corollary to the crucifixion in the background. To underline this "information", he illustrates the motion of the object with some semicircular swirl, as if to indicate a turning - something similar to the manner in which motion is represented in the comics. Moreover, the mordant effect of the color used (red) by the Aretinian artist makes one think that he wished to underline the possible incandescence of the object.

The ambition of flying has existed in the mind of the man ever since he was capable of observation and actively perceived the world around him and his place in the mankind's progress (see the Icaro myth). But the development of the flying shape and its aerodynamic consequences are a technological process, something that has been conquered step by step only in this last century. A Renaissance painting from 1595, ascribed to Bonaventura Salimbeni, resides at the church of Saint Peter in Montalcino. It illustrates perfectly the symbolic evolution of forms. According to the historiographers of Art, this altar piece represents the Holy Trinity - the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit - in accordance with the canons of the Roman Catholic apostolic tradition. But the object reigning over the center of the painting - under the large wings of a fading dove, usually a classic symbolic reproduction of the Holy Spirit - is an image reminding us of the 1950s in our century, when the Russian began to explore space by putting in orbit the first artificial satellites called Sputnik, marked usually with a progressive number.

"Missiles" and "montgolfiers"

There is also a tapestry by Charles Le Brun (1619-1690),

Parisian painter, sculptor and one of the greatest representative of French academic classicism in the 17th century, who also directed the manufacture the "Gobelin", which has a detail found outside of its historical age. As part of the decoration surrounding the main subject of the artwork entitled "The four elements: the fire", there is a medallion reproducing something that remind us not only of a missile in flight but of an ogive. In addition, the artist has encircled the image with the Latin words "Splendet et Ascendit" (Shine and Ascend).

A miniature excerpted from a French text of 1453 could also represent some unusual experience the artist lived through: a noble medieval lady wearing a conical hat meets a group of knights while in the background a huge and mysterious gilded sphere, richly decorated, hovers in the sky and impends over the scene. It could be also the pictorial representation of an allegoric image if not for the detail on the right of a man observing the object with surprise.

"La Contemplazione di San Geremia" (Saint Geremia's Contemplation), an other miniature from Renaissance period, this time excerpted from the "Bibbia (Bible) Urbinata" kept in Vatican Museums, is an example that mystic representation, the anomalous factor and the daily reality are very clear in the artist knowledge.

Since the mountains, the surrounding countryside, the town, the men and the horses are perfect representations of objective reality, and the divine image falls in the classic patterns of the religious iconography, the object represented on the upper right side seems to be a representation of an unusual visual experience. It is a sphere emitting blazing rays - dissimilar and "undulating" respective to those divine images in the painting - and a "clear straight beam" of light comes from the object. Does it represent perhaps a fireball of meteoric origin with a very unusual train?

Comments and suppositions, specially for those who know the behavior of the light, can never state for certain what the artist has seen in reality, but one thing seems to be clear: he wanted to tell us something.

And, finally, what can one say about the fresco painted on the vault of the Aleksander Nevski Cathedral - erected in Sofia, Bulgaria in 1882, and titled "To Almighty God"? To the side of the almighty God, surrounded by bright rays, and the little Jesus, there is an object in direction of the God's forefinger that is without precedent in the religious iconography. The object is round, and it is also possible to see a spheroidal structure in the lower part of the object.

There are still many artworks whose meaning is not clear, as, for instance, the Roman epoch fresco on the Augusto Home wall, at Capitolino Hill, in Rome, representing a huge object like a rocket ready to take off observed by patricians with surprised expressions on the faces.

Without setting ourselves so many questions, we could justify the pictorial representations of the objects we have introduced - aliens to the culture of the epochs in which they have been painted - as allegoric images due to the inspiration of those who painted them. But in such a case we would not know what they symbolize.

However, it seems that these paintings depict devices suited to the flight, devices unknown by the technology of those centuries.

If we can make a supposition as to what the authors of the paintings had observed in the skies, we could state that they witnessed some kind of unusual events they wanted to hand down to the future generations.

If we think the painted objects represent real flying devices able to fly, we cannot ascribed to any civilization from those centuries their construction; on the other hand, we do not have any scientifically valid proof to claim they came from other worlds.

As we await in hope an Art expert who will provide a concrete explanation about the meaning of the objects described in these

pages, what remain are some disquieting questions to which there are no possible answers . (D.G.)

Year 776 Sigiburg Castle, France. This sighting happened as the Saxons were attempting to invade Emperor Charlemagne's Sigiburg castle. A French garrison was attacking them from behind. Suddenly two flaming shields were witnessed hovering above a nearby church. * The Saxons became frightened and fled. They thought the knights were piloting the two objects and were leading the French into battle! The description and two images of this event are from an eighth century book entitled "Annales Laurissenses" (books about historical and religious events.) They are possibly the earliest illustrations of UFOs in book form. The first picture represents a French soldier with his arms up, and object is above him in the sky. It is shaped like a sphere with little circles like portholes around it. The artist is trying to convey the movement of the object by drawing flame-like shapes from it. The second image depicts a nobleman or even Charlemagne riding a horse and pointing directly at a disc shaped object. Again the object has porthole like circles around it. Imagine back then what the witnesses must have thought on viewing two UFOs. Today a witness would think it was a secret military aircraft or an extraterrestrial device. Back then it was assumed knights bringing them into battle piloted the disks. On reflection we are left wondering whether the UFOs appearance was by accident or whether whoever was behind the objects had the intention to influence the course of events.

The church plays an interesting part in our story because prior to the sighting another strange event took place. During one of the innumerable raids by the Saxons on the French, they reached the place of Frisdilar where there was a chapel founded by Saint Bonifacio, preacher, then martyr. He predicted the chapel would never be burnt. The Saxons surrounded the chapel, entered and attempted to set fire to it. Suddenly, two men dressed in white appeared in the sky. They were seen by the Christians who had taken refuge in the castle and the Saxons who were outside. These pair of strange beings protected the chapel in such a way that the Saxons were unable to set fire to it, neither from the inside nor outside. This terrified them so much they ran away even though no one pursued them. One crusader who decided not to flee was found dead, resting on his knees and elbows, with his mouth covered by his hands. He showed clear signs of death from asphyxia.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

E.T. Liable To Phone Any Day

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 11:54:45 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 11:54:45 -0500
Subject: E.T. Liable To Phone Any Day

Source: The Athens News - Ohio, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/wmly4>

2006-11-13

Astronomer Tells Athens Audience: E.T. Liable To Phone Any Day

By Andrew Tillotson
Athens News Campus Reporter

Intelligent life is likely abundant in the cosmos, and we will find evidence of it soon, according to one of the world's top experts on the ongoing search for extraterrestrial life.

Seth Shostak, the senior astronomer at the SETI Institute in Mountain View, Calif., gave a pair of talks in Athens last week about what his organization does to search for alien life, why he believes it is out there, and what might happen when we find it.

SETI is a general acronym for "Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence" that can apply to any group that does such work, and does not exclusively refer to Shostak's institute.

"The bottom line is we will find E.T. in the next two dozen years," Shostak said. "I'll bet you all a cup of Starbucks on that." "E.T. the Extra-Terrestrial," of course, was the name of Steven Spielberg's popular 1982 film about a lovable alien.

His prediction of such a specific timeframe relies on statistical projections of how many intelligent civilizations lie within our Milky Way Galaxy, as well as how his institute's searching capacity will continue to grow exponentially in the coming years.

In fact, he said each SETI experiment usually gathers more data than all the previous ones combined.

Shostak compared our generation to the generation of Australian aborigines whose 40,000-year "watchglass of isolation" was shattered when Europeans arrived in the 18th century. He predicted that ours will be the generation to have our planet's 4 -billion year watchglass shattered in similar fashion.

Shostak asked those who doubt the existence of life elsewhere in the universe to consider just what that would mean statistically.

He said the currently accepted estimate of 100 billion galaxies in the universe, each containing 100 billion stars, means there are more stars in the universe than grains of sand on all the beaches in North America.

"[Those] who think that we're the only grain of sand where anything interesting is happening, you have to admit that that's

a very audacious point of view," Shostak said. "And if there's one thing that astronomy's taught us in the last 500 years, it's that every time we thought we were special, we were wrong."

He said that Earth was bombarded with meteors up until 3.8 billion years ago, and that the earliest known evidence of microbial life has been dated not long after, around 3.5 billion years ago.

"Life got started on earth essentially as fast as it could, and that suggests to me, if not to you, that life must be very probable... very easy to get started," Shostak said.

And if life is very easy to get started, then it stands to reason that it may also be very common, he said.

A theological argument he offered, which he said is not made anymore, is sort of a "real-estate developer theory of God."

"Why would God have made that galaxy with all its stars, all its interstellar mediums, black holes, all that stuff, if there's nobody there to enjoy it?"

Shostak said that while his organization's desires are often hampered by a lack of funding, and while NASA seems content to focus on searching nearby planets for microbes - "pond scum," as he put it - there are several sound strategies that no one is employing to search for intelligent life.

He said that a common practice now is to "look at random stars for a few minutes, once, and that's it." If nothing is found, that star is deserted for the next, and never returned to.

He suggested it might be better to focus on the same area for longer periods of time and look for very short signals that are repeated every few hours or days.

Another tactic he said has never been tried is focusing on two-star solar systems.

Of all the possible intelligent civilizations in the universe, he said, it's probable that some exist in two-star systems. Shostak reasoned that such civilizations would have inevitably explored and perhaps begun to colonize the other side of their system. At the very least, they would have established outposts on other planets as we have in places like Antarctica.

Such a setup would mean, of course, that the two ends of the system would be constantly engaged in some sort of communication. Therefore, if we knew to be looking in that direction when the two stars were lined up from our vantage point, we could reasonably expect to detect their communication.

But even if we do detect some transmission in the near future, Shostak said that asking us to understand whatever communication we receive will be comparable to us giving Neanderthals the end of our modem cord and asking them to understand it.

UFO abduction theories and similar conspiracies are mere fantasy, he said, as there is no way an alien civilization could even know about us yet, let alone have already arrived.

As he explained, the human race has only been transmitting signals powerful enough to escape our atmosphere for the last 60 years. For a civilization to have picked up our signals and reacted to them at this point, it obviously would have had to have been no more than 60 light years away, and probably no more than 30, to allow time for a response.

He said it is far more likely that intelligent civilizations are hundreds, thousands, or millions of light years away from us.

And as for what the aliens might look like, he said the best guess is what we might look like in our near future - machines.

Regardless of what happens in outer space, he implied, the prospect of having machines that can out-think us here on earth by as early as 2020 is far more ominous:

"You may be the last generation to run the planet, ergo I suggest a hedonistic lifestyle."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Mysterious Lights In Algarve Skies

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 11:59:53 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 11:59:53 -0500
Subject: Mysterious Lights In Algarve Skies

Source: The Resident - Lagoa, Algarve, Portugal

<http://tinyurl.com/ybbb2p>

16 November 2006

Mysterious Lights In Algarve Skies

An unidentified Flying Object (UFO) was spotted in the Algarve over the weekend, no less than three times in one night.

Miguel Horta and Noel Rodrigues, two friends and residents of Altura in Castro Marim, claim to have spotted a rotating object emanating blue and red light over their backgardens.

Miguel Horta, a 29-year-old receptionist, was leaving his house to go to work when he saw an object in the sky hovering over his back garden.

"It was travelling at a great speed and then suddenly slowed down and hovered. It emanated red and blue light as it rotated" he said.

Earlier that evening his friend Noel, a lifeguard from the same area, had shown him a picture of a strange object he had seen from his balcony. Miguel immediately called Noel to describe what he had just seen. Intrigued by their sightings, Noel decided to stay up into the early hours of the night, hoping to get a photo of the mysterious object. At 2am the lights appeared again and Noel captured an image of an object that looks eerily similar to what is now termed a flying saucer.

An hour and 45 minutes later, it appeared for a third time to the amazement of Noel, who later showed his photos to Miguel. "We both knew that what we saw was a foreign and strange object" he stated.

The sighting is the second in the Algarve in the past three months. In September, a holiday maker registered several sightings from September 8 until September 15, while staying at the Vale do Lobo resort.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

UFO Visits Shanghai Infrequent

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:02:15 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:02:15 -0500
Subject: UFO Visits Shanghai Infrequent

Source: The Shanghai Daily - China

<http://tinyurl.com/ycyfya>

2006-11-16

UFO Visits Shanghai Infrequent
Gu Jia

All of the recent reports on unidentified flying objects in Shanghai have been false, and it has been seven years since the city received its last valid UFO report, a local newspaper said.

Four UFOs appeared over the city and its neighboring area in 1987, 1990, 1991 and 1999, Wu Jialu, director with the city's newly established UFO Research Center, told Labor Daily. Wu, an expert in aviation, has been working at the Shanghai Aircraft Design and Research Institute for 30 years, the report said.

They were acknowledged as UFOs as no physics theory could explain their actions in the air, Wu said.

The center, a non-government organization, has videos and recordings of the four UFO incidents and reports from thousands of witnesses. "They were not performances or illusions," Wu said.

Wu said he could still clearly recall the flying object he saw seven years ago, which were moving in a way that couldn't be explained by aviation technologies.

"It was visible for about 10 minutes," Wu said.

"There have been at least 280 UFO sightings since 1999," Wu said. "However, all the reports were proved false later as they were just light reflections on buildings, balloons or kites."

The latest UFO sighting came from the city's north Baoshan area on October 31. It was later confirmed as a balloon launched by the local weather bureau to gather temperature and humidity statistics.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: SkyView Virtual Telescope - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 11:31:53 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:52:28 -0500
Subject: Re: SkyView Virtual Telescope - Balaskas

>From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 16:03:51 -0600
>Subject: SkyView Virtual Telescope

<snip>

>This comes as news for me, here's where you can find a nice
>image of Zeta Reticuli 1 and 2, you might have noticed it on a
>few websites, where did they get such from now we know. What the
>NASA image database really needs is an interface similiar to
>Google Earth to model a potential star map.

Hi John!

According to the recent space.com news story below, 20 more
stellar neighbours within a radius of 33 light years of the Sun
have been discovered.

http://www.space.com/scienceastronomy/061115_stellar_neighbors.html

These new stars to our neighbourhood will not add to or change
the appearance of Betty Hill's 3-D star map shown to her by the
ET leader while she and her husband Barney were still aboard
the saucer shaped spacecraft. These additional stars all being
Red Dwarfs, although much more common than other stars in the
galaxy, are not likely to have planets but if they do, they
would not have conditions suitable for life as we know it.

For this reason, Marjorie Fish, the school teacher who first
identified the stars in Betty's map did not include the Red
Dwarfs in some of her many 3-D models. Interestingly, all the
stars in Betty's star map which are connected by dashed, solid
and double solid lines are more like our own Sun (older stars
that would likely have one or more planets within the "habitable
zone and thus ideal homes for living organisms to establish
themselves or be settled by ETs).

>Which reminds me... has anyone heard of it being attempted to
>computer-model the Hill/Fish Star Map using Celestia software?

><http://www.shatters.net/celestia/>

>We could model the hypothetical 'alien Earth' in orbit of Zeta 2,
>to determine or play with the orbital properties of the planet,
>physical distance from the star, would there be any Jupiter-size
>planets in conjunction with the solar system and so forth.

<snip>

Although there are several astronomy software packages available
that will allow us to reproduce Fish's 3-D models of the nearest
stars around the Sun for which we now have slightly better
knowledge of their true distances than she had a few decades
earlier, since their locations in the sky relative to the Sun is
the same, the computer generated models would not look much
different.

One can model a hypothetical Earth-like planet in orbit around

Zeta 2 but there is no way to predict the existence of other planets around this star, including Jupiter-size worlds or to determine just how their presence would perturb the orbit of this hypothetical Earth-like planet.

Ignoring popular but baseless beliefs about the planets (eg. Serpo) in orbit around Zeta 2 what we currently know about any planets that may be in orbit around this star is nothing (with the possible exception of the announcement of a planet by University of Texas astronomer Artie Hatzes, et. al. which was quickly withdrawn without a really satisfactory explanation).

Until we obtain more and better astronomical observations using ground and space-based instruments (such as Kepler or even Most, Canada's "Hubble" space telescope) to detect the wobbles of nearby stars or the tiny changes in brightness when a planet transits the star, we are not any better now than we were several decades ago when Fish's built her 3-D models and came to the well reasoned and rational conclusion that the home base of the ETs which abducted Betty and Barney Hill on that night back in September 1961 on their way home from Montreal must be the Zeta 1 and 2 Reticuli star system.

Nick Balaskas

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 11:33:44 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:53:25 -0500
Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Balaskas

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 11:11:50 -0600 (CST)
>Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

>>From: Robert Morningstar <robert_morningstar.nul>
>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 14:15:06 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

>>2 NEW ARTICLES ON UFO DIGEST

>>Treasure of the Abyss: Discovers Luminous 'Sky Object' in
>>Apollo 16 Photograph:

>><http://www.ufodigest.com/news/1106/skyobject.html>

>It looks like Venus. Yes, it's true that other stars don't show
>up in the lunar sky, but Venus is so darned bright, I bet it
>would have a chance.

Hi Tim!

Robert correctly points out that the angular shaped Sky Object is unlikely to be a bright star since no other stars are visible in the lunar sky that make up nearly half of the photograph.

The Sky Object in the the photograph cannot be the planet Venus since it was photographed in the lunar sky almost directly opposite the Sun (note the direction of the shadow of the dish antenna). Venus orbits closer to the Sun than the Earth/Moon system so it would always be observed no more than about 45 degrees in the sky from the Sun itself. This Sky Object is closer to 180 degrees in the sky from the Sun.

My guess would be that this Sky Object is a particle of dust reflecting the bright sunlight that is hovering just above the lunar surface. Since the surface material on the Moon would be electrically charged, whenever the lunar rover (which the camera in the photograph is mounted on) or an astronaut would kick up some lunar soil, certain particles with the right amount of mass and electrical charge would remain hovering when the downward force of gravity would balance the repulsive electric force of the charge lunar surface. This would also explain why a haze is sometimes seen in photographs of astronauts working on the surface of the Moon.

This is just my guess. If this Sky Object was a much larger and more distant object rather than simply a bright dust particle close to the camera, then it should also have been captured in other Apollo 16 photographs or images taken of that same horizon at about that same time. I understand Robert will be checking out the other photos taken by the Apollo 16 astronauts that day to see if he could find other images of this Sky Object.

Nick Balaskas

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Sci-fi Investigates 11/15

From: Jason Gammon <BoyintheMachine.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 11:33:52 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:55:22 -0500
Subject: Sci-fi Investigates 11/15

I've been disappointed with the series but decided to watch last night's episode non-the-less. I am completely shocked by the cattle mutilation part and am wondering if anyone is researching the specific case shown.

The individuals claimed to know that a Black Ops project is behind the phenomena, not 'aliens' as many would have us believe.

As far as evidence, the individuals claim to have samples of high-octane fuel residue and a gas mask found near the scene of some of the incidents. The individuals also claim to have had their home 'bugged' and have retrieved evidence of two 'bugs.'

One individual - the Sheriff? - was very cryptic with his comments indicating that he knew a lot more than what he felt comfortable revealing. In fact, he actually used the word, 'sensitive', to describe his knowledge of what is behind the phenomena.

I also completely agree with the comment by the Archaeologist on the team, that it is far more convincing to hear from firsthand witnesses rather than an 'expert' on the phenomena.

On a differing subject, does anyone know what happened to the blond crime-scene investigator that was apparently 'swapped-out' with the brunette?

- Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 16:49:26 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 13:09:35 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:02:36 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 08:42:17 -0000
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>I'm pleased you've been entertained, Stuart. However for the
>>avoidance of confusion I should explain to the List that this
>>debate has not been about re-evaluating the Cosmos booster
>>decay, which remains a very plausible explanation for the
>>largest group of reports, most of them densely clustered
>>around the re-entry time (established convincingly by Gary
>>Anthony and Chris Fowler from NASA data) of ~0110 BST.

>Martin,

>You promised me a terse reply. You might as well have smacked
>me with a wet sprat.

With hindsight, had I a spratt to hand, and had I been speaking
solely to you, it would have added appropriate emphasis. However
I felt the facts sufficed for my purpose, which was to correct
misinformation given to this list.

>Upon seeing my response to John Rimmer, Martin felt obliged to
>apologise to his colleagues for inadvertantly drawing "the
>matter" to the attention of someone, me, who responded to it in
>a childish manner. You might as well apologise for being a
>researcher then.

I did indeed regret having provided the excuse for your post,
which not only traduced the facts, and my own written opinions
about them, but also misrepresented (wilfully or ignorantly is
hard to say) what had been - and still is - a conscientious and
thoughtful argument on all sides about some difficult and
ambiguous evidence. Your brand of nonsense is dispiriting and
wearying for everyone involved. It's no doubt fun in its place
(and for you UpDates was the place on this occasion), but if you
imagined that your gleeful schadenfreude would be helpful in my
cause of pricking the establishment balloon (which is how you
appear to see it) then you have little imagination.

>Far be it for me, Martin, to call anyone naive but that's what
>public e-mail Lists are all about; you know, the public sit
>and read the messages, and then form their own conclusions.

This being so you should perhaps have shut up and allowed
opinions to form, on the basis of what everyone can see is one
restrained factual paragraph correcting your misrepresentation.
Instead you seem to feel that your "public" are in need of a long
defensive, and offensive, diatribe from Stuart Miller to help
them conclude the right thing.

>I think part of your shock at what I said was the
>realisation that Ufologyuk isn't after all a private message

>board,

Stuart, the only way you could shock me would be to actually conduct some investigation and know whereof you speak before rattling off another frivolous email.

>though you wouldn't know it by the way you and Roberts
>had been carrying on.

"Me and Roberts"? "carrying on"? You must be completely deluded if you think you can portray one fleeting exchange (three brief List posts, and that's the total for the two of us!) as some kind of cosy "carrying on". If you knew anything at all about it you would say that on past history Andy Roberts and I are two of the last people in ufology to ever get cosy. But if it offends you so much - and if it makes things all square - I'm prepared to publicly apologise to you for daring to publicly agree with something Andy Roberts said (nothing whatsoever to do with Cosford I should say, but then none of this time-wasting nonsense is really to with Cosford is it Stuart?)

<snip>

>It is a matter of some personal criticism that you only
>remembered you were British and were reminded about the
>existence of Ufologyuk after Joe posted a "We're moving" notice
>here. And if you had actually been a member for a while longer
>instead of jumping in mid-fray, then you would have been party
>to the unbridled arrogance that pervaded that List in the days
>leading up to the transmission of that documentary, the
>denigration of Nick Pope that preceeded it, the childish temper
>tantrums because the nasty TV people had chosen to go with
>Nicholas and didn't want to know about "our" work, and the
>immense irritation that undoubtedly Pope would go with a
>resolution to Cosford that was simply wrong because "we" were
>right.

"A matter of some personal criticism"? "remembered I am British"? I'm agog to see such fatuous pomposity, quaint chauvinism and factual error in one sentence. You are comical Stuart (you probably take that as a complement). In point of fact my presence on the ufologyinuk List has nothing whatsoever to do with the fact that the List happens to have moved or with Joe's advert to that effect, as he would tell you if it was any of your business. In point of fact I did read those posts in the days leading up to the documentary, yes, and read the lamentations that it was going to be a crock. And in point of fact they were right - it was!

>It is that, more than most, that has irritated.

Well you'll have to get over it and recover some objectivity, if you can.

>But it seems after all that, that this case isn't definitely
>resolved, thanks to you.

If you'd said no more than that in the first place there would have been no need to correct misinformation and no issue now. Objectivity, Stuart. It saves a lot of time in the long run.

>You haven't stabbed your colleagues in the back and no one is
>alleging that you have, although there is a certain sweet
>justice in it all.

The only person thinking in such childish terms is you. This is a transparent attempt to backhandedly suggest some kind of clubbiness in my relationship with "my colleagues", and your faint praise for my implied loyalty drips with unctuous venom.

Snip the rest. I have no patience with your incessant personality politics and smoke-blowing, Save it for your editorials.

Martin Shough

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 16](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:27:22 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 13:12:00 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: Ed Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:51:35 EST
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Ed Gerhrman <egerman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 11:04:01 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>While Wendy has her doubts about the present Foster ranch debris
>>>site, this location is not an important issue for me as long as
>>>I'm within a half mile or so. I can't see how the feather weight
>>>material left a gouge or distinguishing mark of any kind. I
>>>would like to walk the area and see if I could find signs of
>>>disruption.

>>Well, it has to do with the physics of the crash, speed and mass
>>rather than just weight

Good Morning, Ed, List, All -

>Mass is weight;

Not really, which explains why a bit of straw can penetrate
plywood and a 2 x 4 can penetrate a cinderblock wall.

>the MP said that two soldiers were easily able
>to lift the craft; I used the Wilmons' estimate of between 400-
>500 MPH.

Why do you assume that the object Wilmon saw was the one that
crashed or that Wilmon's estimate of speed is correct?

>By all testimony the material was extremely light. If
>the MP is correct, then the debris at the Foster ranch was the
>remains of the craft's port side. I don't think the craft
>traveled too far from the Foster ranch, but the dynamics of its
>landing are what interest me.

The dynamics of the destruction of the craft are what interest
me. How could something made of such tough material disintegrate
the way it apparently did... and if we're asking questions, why
didn't those forces rip the bodies apart as well?

<snip>

>>but the point here is doubt all you
>>want, but the fact remains, Bill Brazel showed Schmitt and me
>>where he found debris and where the gouge was.

>What happened to the gouge? Change of terrain comes slowly in
>the desert.

Grassed over, according to Bill Brazel... took about two years before the grass all came back.

>>We walked the
>>site. With CUFOS we made an archaeological site survey looking
>>for evidence. We flew over the site and took video tape looking
>>for signs of the gouge. We used metal detectors, we dug into the
>>nests of ground dwelling animals, among the roots of plants that
>>looked to be old enough, and we plotted the prevailing winds in
>>an attempt to locate debris that might have been blown about by
>>the winds including fence lines several miles distant. So we
>>looked for signs of the disruption and failed to find anything
>>definitive.

>Maybe you didn't know what to look for. I'm not being negative
>or smart-assed but experience is important. Were there any
>desert rats or rock hounds on your team?

I tried to make it clear that we had tried many different ways to locate the debris and now this. Well, how about two trained archaeologists on the team, not to mention another who studied anthropology and many discussions with the residents of the area such as Bill Brazel, Tommy Tyree, Loretta Proctor, people at various rock shops, the BLM, the ranch owners and the ranch foreman?

>>But, we had the right place... the debris field, because
>>witnesses took us to that location. Not this other site that
>>your MP has told you about. So my original comments about that
>>still rates a "never mind."

>Ok but I wasn't talking about Mac's site. The site I'm trying
>to describe and defend is the MP's site.

Got it. Understood it in the last message. Tried to make that clear. Tried to let you know it was Mack and not Mac...

>>>Could you also clear up the confusion regarding the Roswell
>>>yearbook and the MP's name and rank?

>>Are you suggesting here you want me to name the MP? Yes, he is
>>in the Yearbook...

>No I don't want you to release his name; all I wanted was
>verification from you that the name he used was an actual MP, in
>Squadron T.

Actually, Squadron T was not an MP unit. Those were the 1395 MP Company and 390th Air Service Squadron. The MP Company was responsible for patrolling the town, etc. and the Service Squadron responsible for base security. Both units fell under the direction of the provost marshal, Edwin Easley.

<snip>

>>But remember one important fact here. Frank Kaufmann is also in
>>the Yearbook... as is Robert Shirkey and another MP, who is not
>>in the Yearbook has documents showing that he was in Roswell at
>>the same time as these events and tells a story that is somewhat
>>in conflict with that told by your MP. So, the investigation
>>continues, cluttered by people who are making up stuff which
>>confuses the issue (people like Gerald Anderson for example...)

>There are others working on this and I hope to have more
>information soon.
>The MP's story doesn't conflict with Mac's original testimony in
>any way.

I didn't say it did. I said it conflicted with others...

>The MP and Mac were involved with separate events.
>Mac's site was almost exactly five miles from the MP's site.
>Their recoveries took place at different times. The MP's craft
>and creatures were found and recovered on the 3rd & 4th of July,
>and Mac's debris was collected on the 8th & 9th of July.

Which, ironically, is the same suggestion that Kaufmann made, or rather, that the bodies were found on July 4... of course, we know that Kaufmann was making up his involvement.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 14:22:08 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 06:36:53 -0500
Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -

UFO UpDates - Toronto wrote:

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 18:43:04 -0400
>>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 00:22:12 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

><snip>

>>>I have personal experience with the History Channel's
>>>production approach.

><snip>

>>But it looks like it's coming around to bite them as observed
>>by David Cherniack on SDI Saturday evening. He's a documentary
>>film maker and is doing one on UFOs but found that many
>>investigators are turning down his requests for interviews due
>>to being burnt in the past and more so since the Peter Jennings
>>special.

>Hi Errol,

>Could you please correct Don Leger's post for me. I did not say
>many people turned me down because of being burnt. I said many
>people were initially reluctant because of being burnt. In fact
>only two people turned me down after talking to me and they both
>did it for additional reasons.

>Best,

>David

Hi David,

I haven't heard the podcast. I was going from memory. The impression I got from the discussion between you and Errol was that it was a little more serious than a couple of of refusals and that it was becoming a problem. The Peter Jennings special was mentioned as part of the problem. However I'm not laying this at your door, I'm going from my own experience. Right now there are only a couple of documentary producers whom I trust. Sorry for any confusion.

Best,

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 10:24:05 -0800
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 06:39:19 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 14:10:04 -0000
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:51:35 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>Well, it has to do with the physics of the crash, speed and mass
>>>rather than just weight

>>Hi Kevin,

>>Mass is weight;

>><snip>

>>Mass is not weight. Speed (strictly, velocity) x mass = momentum.

Hi Martin,

Yes you are correct. That is the concept I was wrestling with - momentum. I see the problem; mass doesn't equal weight, but can be expressed as weight. Thanks for the clarification.

By my reckoning and the MP's testimony, the craft weighed less than two hundred pounds, with a diameter of 30 feet, and was traveling at a speed of 500 mph. If the craft the Wilmot's observed exploded in midair over the Foster ranch, while it was traveling in a north-west direction, and the port side was blown outward, that would push the craft toward the north east.

So that's where I began my search of aerial photos. I used a five mile radius from the recognized Foster ranch site as an arbitrary limit.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Re: SkyView Virtual Telescope - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:34:16 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 06:40:45 -0500
Subject: Re: SkyView Virtual Telescope - Shell

>From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 11:31:53 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
>Subject: Re: SkyView Virtual Telescope

>>From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 16:03:51 -0600
>>Subject: SkyView Virtual Telescope

><snip>

>>This comes as news for me, here's where you can find a nice
>>image of Zeta Reticuli 1 and 2, you might have noticed it on a
>>few websites, where did they get such from now we know. What the
>>NASA image database really needs is an interface similiar to
>>Google Earth to model a potential star map.

>Hi John!

>According to the recent space.com news story below, 20 more
>stellar neighbours within a radius of 33 light years of the Sun
>have been discovered.

>http://www.space.com/scienceastronomy/061115_stellar_neighbors.html

>These new stars to our neighbourhood will not add to or change
>the appearance of Betty Hill's 3-D star map shown to her by the
>ET leader while she and her husband Barney were still aboard
>the saucer shaped spacecraft. These additional stars all being
>Red Dwarfs, although much more common than other stars in the
>galaxy, are not likely to have planets but if they do, they
>would not have conditions suitable for life as we know it.

>For this reason, Marjorie Fish, the school teacher who first
>identified the stars in Betty's map did not include the Red
>Dwarfs in some of her many 3-D models. Interestingly, all the
>stars in Betty's star map which are connected by dashed, solid
>and double solid lines are more like our own Sun (older stars
>that would likely have one or more planets within the "habitable
>zone and thus ideal homes for living organisms to establish
>themselves or be settled by ETs).

Aside from the Zeta Reticuli stars, I think the smart money for
aliens is still on 82 Eridani. A nice, relatively close, mid-
sequence star a lot like our Sun, except that it's about twice
as old. Plenty of time for some bacteria to grow up to be
intelligent space faring critters.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Found In Used Book Store

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 18:40:33 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 06:43:04 -0500
Subject: Found In Used Book Store

You never know what you are going to find in a second hand book store. I just returned from Second Look with a first printing copy of Behind The Flying Saucer Mystery, by George Adamski.

A couple questions:

1) Adamski claimed to have photographed the "machines" his visitors arrived in, and there is a photo on the front and back cover of the book. Does anyone know if this is an actual Adamski photo?

2) In some circles, this would be considered a "rare book." Is that true? If so, how much would a first edition of Adamski's book go for in the collector's market?

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Nick Pope [Was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On

From: Paul Kimball <TheRobieShark.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 13:43:46 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 06:44:57 -0500
Subject: Nick Pope [Was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On

>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 09:08:09 -0000
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 21:16:22 +0000 (GMT)
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

<snip>

>I know I'm demonised by a small clique of British ufologists,
>but I've been intrigued by the latest suggestions that I'm now
>capable of hijacking mainstream primetime TV documentaries.

I've never understood this antipathy towards Nick. I interviewed him back in June in London, and he was one of the most gracious folks I've ever had the pleasure to work with, both on and off camera. He's erudite, knowledgeable, and still relatively young - exactly the type of person who should be appearing in public to talk about UFOs. One should be able to disagree with what he says (I do on some things) without it becoming personal.

The one thing he didn't do was "hijack" my film. He answered the questions I asked, but didn't - as some interviewees are wont to do (a certain former emergency room physician, for example) go off on long-winded tangents that have nothing to do with the question that was asked.

I wish Nick all the best now that he's left the MoD.

Best regards,

Paul Kimball
www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -

From: Paul Kimball <TheRobieShark.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 13:50:05 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 06:46:18 -0500
Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings -

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 19:03:14 -0600 (CST)
>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

<snip>

>Yeah, it's a shame. I used to work in a documentary production
>company and I know that they have basically no budgets.

Tim:

Don't feel too sorry for us - yes, budgets are tight, but we
still all make money. On the other hand, nobody gets rich. The
real killer is usually travel - kudos to David Cherniak for his
idea to travel around in an RV. I wish I had thought of that!

>But there are plenty of UFO stories out there with natural drama
>built in, that could be done cheaply, and would be perfectly
>entertaining if they were simply presented per the available
>documentation.

True enough for a few folks, but not for the general population,
who expect to see something more. I wish it was different, but
almost a decade of work in the industry has convinced me
otherwise. The trick, therefore, is to manage to please both
groups.

Best regards,

Paul Kimball
www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Re: Ufology A Primer in Audio - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 19:34:37 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 06:50:10 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology A Primer in Audio - Kasten

Wendy,

The MP3 format works on my DVD equipment, so I will be sending you \$25.00.

I have already listened to Mike Wallace's interview with Keyhoe. Keyhoe sounds like a twin of Col. John Alexander. As I listened to Keyhoe talk, I could not get the image of Alexander out of my mind.

Anyway, the most interesting thing to me is how the mainstream media was handling this 'new' phenomena. There is a sense that the field is open and it is anybody's guess as to what might be going on.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 19:36:16 +0000 (GMT)
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 06:52:51 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 16:49:26 -0000
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:02:36 +0000 (GMT)
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 08:42:17 -0000
>>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

<snip>

>>Martin,

>>You promised me a terse reply. You might as well have smacked
>>me with a wet sprat.

>However I felt the facts sufficed for my purpose, which was
>to correct misinformation given to this list.

What misinformation?

<Snip>

>I did indeed regret having provided the excuse for your post,
>which not only traduced the facts, and my own written opinions
>about them, but also misrepresented (wilfully or ignorantly is
>hard to say)

How was anything traduced or misrepresented? Explain.

>but if you imagined that your gleeful schadenfreude would be >
>helpful in my cause of pricking the establishment balloon
>(which is how you appear to see it)

Can you read my mind? How do you know what I'm thinking or what
my motives are?

>This being so you should perhaps have shut up.....

I love that line. I'd like to let it stand there on its own
without comment.

>and allowed opinions to form, on the basis of what everyone
>can see is one restrained factual paragraph correcting your
>misrepresentation.

What misrepresentation? Explain.

>Instead you seem to feel that your "public" are in need of a
>long defensive, and offensive, diatribe from Stuart Miller to
>help them conclude the right thing.

If I have a public its news to me. Who? Where?

>daring to publicly agree with something Andy Roberts said
>(nothing whatsoever to do with Cosford I should say, but then >
none of this time-wasting nonsense is really to with Cosford >
is it Stuart?)

What is it about then Martin?

><snip>

>>But it seems after all that, that this case isn't definitely
>>resolved, thanks to you.

>If you'd said no more than that in the first place there would
>have been no need to correct misinformation and no issue now.
>Objectivity, Stuart. It saves a lot of time in the long run.

Err, no. You seem to be saying, "If you'd been nice to me right
from the start.....".

>>You haven't stabbed your colleagues in the back and no one is
>>alleging that you have, although there is a certain sweet
>>justice in it all.

>The only person thinking in such childish terms is you. This
>is a transparent attempt to backhandedly suggest some kind of
>clubbiness in my relationship with "my colleagues", and your
>faint praise for my implied loyalty drips with unctuous venom.

Unctuous venom? What kind of talk is that?

>Snip the rest.

Good idea. You were rather getting yourself worked up a bit.

Thanks Martin.

Okay, so what have we got?

Martin is very cross. Why? Because I have traduced and
misrepresented. He says this more than once but doesn't explain
how.

He has a clear idea of what my motives are for bringing this all
up but only alludes to them. His allusions imply personal glory,
mischief making, gallery playing, unctiousness.

He wants me to shut-up.

He's happy when I say nice things about him.

Okay Martin, not that I think this is going to interest you or
concern you in the slightest but perhaps you could consider
this;

Ufologyuk is considered the heart of sensible ufological
discussion in Britain. At one point its membership numbered over
1,100. It is true that many of those were spam generated
memberships and Joe was pruning a lot of it out. Nevertheless,
there were a few hundred real members left. When the List
migrated to its own server, 65 people made the effort to go with
it. 65. Why do you think that was?

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:14:14 -0800
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 06:54:52 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:27:22 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:51:35 EST

>>>>From: Ed Gerhrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 11:04:01 -0800
>>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>the MP said that two soldiers were easily able
>>to lift the craft; I used the Wilmons' estimate of between 400-
>>500 MPH.

>Why do you assume that the object Wilmon saw was the one that
>crashed or that Wilmon's estimate of speed is correct?

Just for the record, I think Ed means the Mr. & Mrs. Dan Wilmot (not Wilmon) Roswell sighting of July 2 at 10:00 p.m., reported in the Roswell Daily Record July 8, 1947 along with the infamous base flying disk press release.

Interestingly, when Marcel returned to Roswell around 1980, he spoke with the Wilmot's son Paul. According to Marcel, in his very last interview with Linda Corley in 1981, Paul Wilmot told him that his parents had seen more than just the glowing saucer flying overhead towards the northwest in the direction of the Foster Ranch. They had also seen it explode (or an explosion) in the distance. Marcel then added that the rancher came to Roswell a few days later to report the crashed saucer at his ranch.

For those who don't already know this (Kevin and Ed certainly do), in earlier interviews, Marcel had already mentioned how Brazel had told him of hearing a tremendous explosion in the midst of a violent electrical storm, then discovering the debris the following day. (Bill Brazel also independently reported this.) Marcel also said by the way the debris was shattered into mostly small pieces and strewn about over such a large area along a long linear path, he thought the object had exploded or disintegrated in the air while traveling at high speed.

The Wilmot saucer was of July 2 was the original crashed saucer theory put out in Berlitz & Moore's "The Roswell Incident" from 1980. Since then, other scenarios have been put out there. However, if we give a lot of credance to the originally reported Wilmot sighting and the subsequent Paul Wilmot revelation to Marcel, then we are back to the original July 2 crash date of Berlitz & Moore. In this scenario, a July 3 or 4 recovery of the main craft and bodies would not be out of the question, with

perhaps the military still being unaware of the Brazel debris field.

>>By all testimony the material was extremely light. If
>>the MP is correct, then the debris at the Foster ranch was the
>>remains of the craft's port side. I don't think the craft
>>traveled too far from the Foster ranch, but the dynamics of its
>>landing are what interest me.

>The dynamics of the destruction of the craft are what interest
>me. How could something made of such tough material disintegrate
>the way it apparently did... and if we're asking questions, why
>didn't those forces rip the bodies apart as well?

It all depends on the scenario. E.g., if there were 2 craft involved, maybe one flying to the assistance of a crippled one, then the violent explosion of one could produce the debris field and maybe destroy the crew (assuming it was "manned"), while the nearby second one suffered damage from the explosion, but remained basically intact, and came down a short distance away, with crew inside.

Another similar possibility: the "intact" craft was an escape module from a much larger craft. Moments before the main craft exploded, the crew "ejected" in the escape module, but still suffered damage that brought it down.

Possibly other crash models might explain some things too. E.g., if the propulsion system was magneto-hydrodynamic, it would require a large electrical power supply. One possible way of storing large quantities of energy in a small space is using supercapacitors. An ordinary capacitor is basically two sheets of metal foil (!) usually separated by an insulating dielectric substance (such as plastic), to prevent electrical shorting of the two charge storage plates and boost the charge storage. If you overload the capacitor, the dielectric insulator breaks down, an electrical short is created with rapid discharge, and the capacitor can explode (!), often scattering pieces of foil and dielectric all over the place. I've seen small capacitors explode and it is a rather spectacular if not unnerving event.

A supercapacitor is just an ordinary capacitor on steroids. Charge storage plate area is greatly increased, the plates are charged to very high voltages to greatly increase energy storage, and there is some sort of superdielectric material able to withstand very high voltages (Jesse Marcel Jr.'s "bakelite-like" material???) I can imagine a lightning strike during a violent electrical storm possibly overloading an external storage capacitor and causing an explosion, scattering large quantities of capacitor foil and insulator all over the Foster Ranch. If it were to blow outward, the crews compartment and most of the craft might be spared, but still fatally crippled, thus bringing it down elsewhere.

<snip>

>>The MP and Mac were involved with separate events.
>>Mac's site was almost exactly five miles from the MP's site.
>>Their recoveries took place at different times. The MP's craft
>>and creatures were found and recovered on the 3rd & 4th of July,
>>and Mac's debris was collected on the 8th & 9th of July.

>Which, ironically, is the same suggestion that Kaufmann made, or
>rather, that the bodies were found on July 4... of course, we
>know that Kaufmann was making up his involvement.

Kaufman obviously lied about some things. He clearly forged "documents" he said would prove his story. (But also curiously left them behind to be exposed as fraudulent after his death, when he had months to destroy them while he died of cancer. It's like he wanted them to be found.)

Allow me to play devil's advocate here. I haven't totally written off Kaufman being involved in some way or knowing something of what happened, though probably not being some big central character in the whole thing as he tried to portray himself. Possibly one element of his story in his favor, Kaufmann spoke of the object having electrical storage units on the bottom. As I've noted above, these might be like what we call supercapacitors, which might explain both the cause of the explosion and much of debris described at the debris field. I

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak

don't know of another witness bringing up this point, so it isn't as if he was just familiar with the literature and added it to his story.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Countdown To An Official & Open Contact With ET?

From: **A. J. Gevaerd** - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 16:20:20 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 07:03:13 -0500
Subject: Countdown To An Official & Open Contact With ET?

For The Record

Countdown to an official and open contact with ETs?

Dear Colleagues of the World UFO Community:

Jan Val Ellam, a Brazilian prominent author as lecturer about Ufology and Spirituality, says that several ET races are coming to Earth for an official and open contact with the human race. The meeting should take place anytime from November 16, 2006 to April 30, 2007. Today is the first day of the predicted event and the many members of the Brazilian UFO Community are in countdown waiting for the alleged arrival.

Jan Val Ellam is the literary pseudonym of the executive Rogerio de Almeida Freitas, from Rio Grande do Norte, one of the states of the Brazilian Northeast region. With 15 books published and over 200 lectures per year, he is regarded one of the most serious and reliable sources in the vast segment of Spirituality in Brazil. Freitas is also a recognized businessman and represents several European tourism companies in the country. He started in Ufology under his 20s as a prolific field investigator who used to cooperated with Irene Granchi, Brazil's UFO pioneer, and American writer Bob Pratt.

Freitas soon started his research into Spirituality and eventually got it overlapped with Ufology in a peculiar way and with very coherent conclusions, as noted by distinguished representatives of both fields. This is when he started what he alleges to be a "continuous daily contact with space and spiritual beings, sometimes apart from each other, sometimes operating together". The contacts have lasted two decades, according to him. He kept it private for several years before started writing his books.

Freitas had a very close encounter with aliens back in November 18, 1999, in Natal, the capital city of Rio Grande do Norte, in a night at the Ocean Palace Hotel, just after a meeting between technicians from NASA, ESA and Brazilian Space Agency at that facility. By that time, he was the general manager of that hotel. It was then, as he claims, that he was told that the so expected contact between the human race and other species would happen in 7 years from that date. As from March this years, he claims that he was contacted again and was given the information that the alleged contact will happen anytime from November 16, 2006 to April,30 2007. The clock is ticking for him.

He was also given specifics of such meeting: "It will involve many huge spacecrafts that will be seen by everybody all over the world. The sighting will last only a couple of hours and the ETs will not perform any direct contact with the governments, but only with selected people here and there". Freitas also claims that the UFOs will be seen and largely registered by media, in such a way that the sighting will be undeniable by any means. "They will go away after a few hours but will be back after some months, and repeat it over and over, until we are prepared for their landing".

Last August, Rogerio de Almeida Freitas, or Jan Val Ellam, gave

an exclusive interview to the Brazilian UFO Magazine where he explained in detail his contacts, visions and most importantly, his predictions of an official and open contact with ETs and what they are based on. The Brazilian UFO Magazine carried the long interview in 13 pages in its October edition, number 126. The Magazine is neutral about the Freitas story and served only as a channel of communication of his story to its readership, as any other specialized UFO magazine would do, especially considering who the source is and what information it is giving.

Soon after the magazine reached the news stands, and even prior to that, Freitas has gained a lot of support from people who share his visions but also a lot of criticism from those who won't accept his predictions and what they are based on, which are his alleged personal contacts with "space and spiritual beings". There has been a great debate over Freitas claims that nearly divided Brazilian UFO Community in two polarized groups. And because of that, both the Brazilian UFO Magazine website (www.ufo.com.br) and its December edition, number 128, already in newsstands, are continuously publishing the opinions of those UFO researchers who oppose and those who support the predictions, and still keeping a neutral position.

Upon request of a few colleagues outside Brazil, we are publishing this message and including some of the editorial and parts of the interview with Rogerio de Almeida Freitas translated into English. They follow and are sent with the sole purpose of communication to the rest of the world of what is going on.

As Freitas says that such official and open contact with ETs is scheduled for anytime from November 16, 2006 to April 30, 2007, we are spreading this info. And again, the Brazilian UFO Magazine is neither supporting nor rejecting his claims, but informing the Worldwide UFO Community.

Best regards to everyone.

A. J. Gevaerd,
Editor, Brazilian UFO Magazine
Director, Brazilian Center for Flying Saucer Research
www.ufo.com.br
gevaerd@aj.nul
aj.nul

The Brazilian UFO Magazine 126 (October 2006). Drawing by Luca Oleastri

Jan Val Ellam or Rogerio de Almeida Freitas. Photo credit:
Brazilian UFO Magazine

Note: Below is the introduction of the interview given by Jan Val Ellam to the Brazilian UFO Magazine and his three first answers to our questions. The whole interview has 32 questions and is 13 pages long. Links are available in the news found at the magazine's website:

www.ufo.com.br

Jan Val Ellam: The date for the official contact is set and it will be for the next few months

Interview given to A. J. Gevaerd, editor. With collaboration of Nelson Vilhena Granado, Reinaldo Prado Mello, and Miriam Heder, consultants

Introduction

Few people in the World and Brazilian Ufological Community share the reputation of Jan Val Ellam, pseudonym of the executive from the State of Grande do Norte, northeastern Brazil, Rogerio de Almeida Freitas. With a similarly easy transit among Brazilian spiritualists, we can say that Ellam is renowned among them as well. Author of 15 books approaching pure spiritualism and mixed ufological and spiritual studies - as well as several others yet to be published -, he excels for making strong and revealing statements in both fields. Ellam seems to have a very precise notion of where ufology and spiritualism meet one another and

gives a practical sense to this view by giving lectures that face alien demonstrations in our planet in a unique way. He sees and narrates with exceptional assertiveness the way our visitors interact with the terrestrial human race, which to him is only a small and dormant portion of a broad species of cosmic beings that inhabit countless planets but has no idea of its importance inside this set.

"I don't ask you to believe me, but only to think about what I'm saying. I ask you to think if I'm doing this because I believe to have revelations passed on to me by those many of us call 'cosmic brothers', which they really are: beings very similar to us, though much ahead in evolution, like elder brothers", states the spiritualist ufologist. This is not simply rhetoric. He confesses many times to be surprised with the information he receives - or "accesses" as he prefer to say, just like someone who finished reading a book and tries to digest what the pages contain. Ellam is far from being a guru or having a mystical profile. Graduated in business administration, he holds a position as executive at the Federation of Commerce, Services, and Tourism of the State of Rio Grande do Norte, besides being a consultant for foreign groups that invest in that State. In order to add credibility to his figure, we should also say that Ellam, while still Freitas more than 20 years ago, was an affiliate field ufologist always ready to go out and investigate cases of ETs attacking humans in the Brazilian Northeast region. He worked with the pioneer ufologist Irene Granchi (president of honor of Brazilian UFO Magazine's Editorial Council) and with the late US journalist Bob Pratt. He was mentioned in his book Brazil: UFO danger Zone.

Powerful forces approaching

His inclination for a spiritualist interpretation for the UFO phenomenon began with his personal experiences. "It was a series of events that transcended the common pattern of human view, which took me to isolate myself even more in my attempts to understand what was happening. I believe I didn't go mad because I have enough good humor to carry on with facts in life", he said to Brazilian UFO Magazine in an interview published in our issue # 103, September 2004. The impact of these events were so strong on Freitas that he chose a pseudonym - Jan Val Ellam: in order to write under these "cosmic brothers" orders the news perspectives through which he became to see the actions of our visitors on Earth. When questioned about such a strange pseudonym, which made many think of an esoteric nature in his choice, he explains that it was a personal choice saying that in case the messages were of any use it would not matter under which name they would come.

Some Brazilian and foreign spiritualist sectors already mentioned that it is neither Freitas nor Ellam who writes the books, but really strong forces that constantly instruct him. The electrical engineer and Brazilian UFO Magazine's consultant Reinaldo Prado de Albuquerque Mello even compared Ellam's activity to a "broadband internet connection with other realities", through which a constant symphony and perfect integration is kept between Ellam and the cosmic intelligences he always quote. He makes no secret on that and admits to be really in constant contact with advanced cosmic intelligences, while seeing them with the spontaneity of a person who has been living with this for years. While more orthodox ufologists question his statements, the fact is that few human beings have the knowledge to write so many books with such a vast, rich, and profound content in such a brief period of time as he did. Ellam write them during the night since he works full time as every mortal and still needs to share his professional activities with presentation of lectures in Brazil and abroad. In other countries, he is also known as the mentor of Projeto Orbum, a manifesto dealing on planetary citizenship (www.orbum.org).

In one of his books, Fator Extraterrestre (Extraterrestrial Factor), Ellam discusses on the origin of life, the understanding called "missing link of the human genesis", the cosmic isolation of our species, the abductions and psychological barriers that impairs a transparent study of the extraterrestrial themes. In a recent conference in Campo Grande (MS) he condemned the insistent religious, scientific, and government deny on the alien presence on Earth, giving reasons why after so many decades of evidence there is still a strong resistance against such reality. "The human being lacks

knowledge of his own past and also humbly enough to understand minimal facts that happen since the dawn of ages and links us to the extraterrestrial issues and indicate the broadness of life in the Universe". His lectures and books greatly refer to the figure of Jesus whom he calls a Master and who would be closely linked to the UFO phenomenon. This attracts many of his admirers and a lot of misunderstanding from those who want to set Ufology apart from religious matters.

Radical changes on Earth

"This interpretation of the facts is a mistake", says Ellam. "Since Jesus has absolutely nothing to do with any religion. A study of pre-Bible times shows us that the UFO phenomenon already existed in our planet with great comprehensiveness much before Jesus had come to Earth. And he came as one of our own species, though from other 'room of the Father's house', to show that we were wrong in almost everything we were doing". Ellam is not afraid of attracting the rage of orthodox and doctrinaire spiritualists who see Jesus in an almost religious manner. He calls him an "Authority from the Skies" and believes he came to Earth with special powers and a well-defined and clear message that could not be understood to anyone, not in that time neither today. "Jesus has never asked us to raise religions to him or to the Creator, but only to respect and to love one another, concepts that are an absolutely normal living condition in his world of origin and also in the places he visited, and that only on Earth seem to be senseless".

Jan Val Ellam is a daring man and yet reasonable and known for the seriousness with which he treats all ufological and spiritualist themes without any appeal to sensationalism. Now, he gets totally out of the prudent stance from the previous weeks by surprising everyone with his serious statements concerning facts that are to come to the planet. "I never wanted to show up. I work to spread the information I receive because I find them important to many people. Now, what I've been receiving since last March is so heavy and incisive that I need to reveal to any who wants to listen". In his most recent lectures, Ellam has crossed a barrier untouchable even to the most daring prophets in order to convey facts he says to have made known through his channels. And these are really shocking. Ellam states that he was informed that the moment for an official and formal contact with our extraterrestrial visitors is not only near, but has its date set to happen - within the next months, between November 2006 and April 2007.

Yes, Jan Val Ellam states his cosmic inspirers - the same that guided him to write his rich contented texts - informed him that a global and undeniable presentation is to finally happen and has its date set. "It'll be something for nobody to question. Not the media, nor scientists or governments. There will be no way to question. And after that they will come many other times, always to fill the skies with their gigantic vehicles not to land but to interact with us until the right time comes".

The interview (only 3 Q&A out of 32)

Brazilian UFO Magazine - Many others made similar statements before concerning an official and ultimate contact with ETs, but none of them was so emphatic. Nobody established such a near date for the contact fearing it could not happen. Why are you doing exactly the opposite?

Jan Val Ellam: This is actually one of the issues in my personal drama. If I were spreading a "warning" from my cosmic friends saying that something would happen in a distant future, that would be great for my mind since my personal responsibility would be softened. However, all that's been happening with me since 1986 promoted by these spiritual beings and entities who work together - no matter how orthodox ufologists and spiritualists think - has the power to make me realize that the moment so long announced may finally come into place in the present days. More than this: "they" asked me something they never did before. They asked me to convey the announcement of an official and ultimate contact with extraterrestrial beings by means of the fulfillment of the promise made by Jesus when he was among us, the promise of returning to Earth in his natural condition of an authority from the skies. This will be the first official contact that earthly beings would have with beings from other worlds in the present days. His return would happen a

little later in a time between the second half of November 2006 until April 2007.

Brazilian UFO Magazine: If this really comes to happen that will be a moment of great commotion for the humankind indeed. What do you think such fact would cause to the planetary population?

Jan Val Ellam: This fact is to mark the first moment of the so long awaited reintegration of our planet to the cosmic interchange. This would be the end of the isolation experienced by us for so long since we live on Earth under some kind of "cosmic quarantine". After all, we are the "unwanted companions" in this part of the Galaxy, since we commit all sorts of crimes against the dignity of life. After this first visit - which would be objective, clear, unquestionable, filmed and reported, yet very brief, maybe lasting for a few hours or even less - others will happen in order to make terrestrials even more used to resuming their communion with humankind of other planets. That should be followed by future contacts with many other extraterrestrials species, all of them linked to what I call "cosmic brotherhood ideals".

Brazilian UFO Magazine: Aren't you afraid of being seen as a guru or even a lunatic when presenting these facts in your lectures and to UFO readers? Wouldn't that jeopardize all the credibility you've acquired along two decades of ufological and spiritual work?

Jan Val Ellam: No, I'm not. I'm doing this because I can't find another way to live with these facts. It would be comfortable or even a good strategy to stay quiet according to human standards without exposing myself by setting a date only to say I knew it before. If it were only for my convenience, you can be sure that this would be my choice. However, to my despair, there is an explicit demand from these beings I call "cosmic brothers" which makes me clearly understand what was performed by them along these 20 years of shared experiences. It's as if every other option planned by them had failed and the appeal "from the other side" had the objective of creating another option that didn't exist before once they insist in telling me that I had taken a commitment with this process. I don't know. What I know is that it would be dishonest on my part towards them to refrain in my human condition when the invitations tells us to grow stronger in love, regardless of any price the world my charge for such a daring attitude. What I got from the whole story is that my spirit might have committed itself with them in order to accomplish the task in case they ask me to. Now they came to ask me and I have to find forces to carry on with the orders presented to me. As I'm not a believer and not moved by religious faith, I can only rely on myself, on the philosophical code of behavior I developed as a master rule in my life. I'm now dealing with facts and not with issues arising from spiritualist experiences. There's nothing I can say against facts. I can only watch and live with them while increasing my levels of intellectual reasoning as highly as possible. That's what I've been doing.

The complete interview will soon be made available in English language.

For the Portuguese version of the interview:

<http://www.ufo.com.br/index.php?arquivo=notComp.php&id=2253>

<http://www.ufo.com.br/index.php?arquivo=notComp.php&id=2180>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Cosford 1993 [was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing

From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 15:55:33 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 07:18:45 -0500
Subject: Cosford 1993 [was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing

>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 03:20:31 +0000
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 19:00:39 -0400
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

<snip>

>>Pretty involved case, Stuart. Gary Anthony posted a web site on
>>another List that claims that this case is solved. Joe
>>McGonagle's site was posted to this List a few days ago with
>>all of the witness reports listed there....

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford/modpage.html>

<snip>

>>This case-Number 19-is a problem for me.

>># 31/3/93 01:10, Moorswater, Liskeard, Cornwall.

>>Reported heading: North to South

>>Remarks: 2 white lights, and 3rd unlit object on parallel
>>course leaving vapour trails ...

>>Why would it be assumed that the signal time is incorrect.
>>There isn't even the excuse of the time change to fall back on
>>since ZULU time [now UTC] doesn't change.
>>... this is the signalman's job done daily

>Firstly, the MoD signal with the time on it can be viewed here:

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford/moo310110-1.gif>

>Note that row 'A' contains the following:

>A. 310110Z MAR 93. 30 SECONDS

>The '31' is the date, 01:10 the time, and Z indicates the
>timezone used. The 30 seconds is the duration of the sighting.
>At face value, this related to the report of a sighting taking
>place at 00:10 local time. ...

That is incorrect. A 0110 Z time or GMT/UTC is 0210 BST (British
Summer Time), the local time then in effect, not 0010.

>The only close match to this report in the files in terms of
>location and witnesses is the police report at:

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford/moo310110-2.gif> (Page 1)

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford/moo310110-3.gif> (Page 2)

>and a handwritten report on a UFO report form apparently

>written by the witness himself at:

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford/moo310110-4.gif>

Page 1

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford/moo310110-5.gif>

Page 2

As Don Ledger has rightly pointed out there are serious problems trying to fit this Cornwall police Sergeant's sighting report to the Cosmos 2238 rocket re-entry.

The Sergeant said he was driving on road A38 at Looe Mills just past Liskeard heading towards Dobwalls, which by reference to a map is seen as heading NW (northwest). The geodetic map co-ordinates are easily determined to be about 50-27.4 N, 4-29.5 W.

The Sergeant said he sighted two lights at about his 2 o'clock position (60 degrees to his right) or at "due North" as his report states. The two lights started moving towards him, appearing to ascend in angular elevation because they were headed to come straight overhead. As they did he noticed they had moved to his left to about the 1 o'clock position and at this point he saw luminous trails being left behind. He stopped his patrol car and got out to watch. They were "curving overhead and heading due South." Then he watched as "they passed directly overhead at '12 o'clock high.'" He spotted a third object from its vapor trail.

The objects were "on a dead straight North_South course" traveling at about the angular velocity of a "high flying passenger jet" which is easily calculated to be about 1-1/2 degrees per second, which means for a total sighting duration of about 45-60 seconds, about 60 to 90 degrees of arc of sky covered from the north to overhead which seems about right and provides a check on consistency of sighting details which the witness would have had no idea how to bias or fabricate. The objects disappeared behind the "high ground" of the Lantoom Quarry to his left to the West.

The problem for a Cosmos 2238 rocket explanation aside from the question of the clock time, is that in Cornwall the reentry track was far to the EAST and the course was toward the Southeast. Moreover, even if the police Sergeant had his cardinal directions off by 45 degrees and it was actually coming toward him from say the NE not the N, it still would not even come close to accounting for moving from his 2 o'clock to his 1 o'clock to his 12 o'clock then appearing directly overhead of him in Cornwall, heading Southwest in that case not Southeast, disappearing behind high terrain of the Lantoom Quarry to the West. This is entirely the wrong part of the sky.

Also, I am still waiting to read the accounts of actual amateur satellite observers as to what they saw when the Cosmos 2238 rocket stage decayed. We should have that as a control and a check on the other sightings that night. We should also have a map of the ground trace of the Cosmos 2238 rocket's course so we can see how far away and in what directions it was in from various observers and alleged observers. Did satellite observers see 2-3 main objects as the rocket perhaps broke up? If they did not see any breakup then that would make the Cornwall Sergeant's sighting even more anomalous and discrepant from the Cosmos 2238 rocket reentry.

Brad Sparks

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

David Cherniack [was: US History Channel]

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 13:10:35 -0800
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 07:23:07 -0500
Subject: David Cherniack [was: US History Channel]

UFO UpDates wrote:

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 18:43:04 -0400
>>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 00:22:12 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

><snip>

>>>I have personal experience with the History Channel's
>>>production approach.

><snip>

>>But it looks like it's coming around to bite them as observed by
>>David Cherniack on SDI Saturday evening. He's a documentary
>>film maker and is doing one on UFOs but found that many
>>investigators are turning down his requests for interviews due
>>to being burnt in the past and more so since the Peter Jennings
>>special.

>Could you please correct Don Leger's post for me. I did not say
>many people turned me down because of being burnt. I said many
>people were initially reluctant because of being burnt. In fact
>only two people turned me down after talking to me and they both
>did it for additional reasons.

>David Cherniack

David Cherniack interviewed me about 2 months ago (mostly about the Ramey memo). Unlike some UFO 'documentary' film-makers, I had no hesitation whatsoever concerning possible hidden agendas or being 'burned' by him. He makes it clear from the get-go that he is very "pro-UFO" and the documentary was going to be very point-of-view this way.

A few minutes talking to him and I also realized that he was also at least one or two orders of magnitude better informed on the subject than any other UFO documentary film-maker I'd ever met, obviously a guy who's spent many years studying and thinking about the topic. He's incredibly intelligent and has also had a helluva interesting life. He's also different in being a one-man production crew: interviewer, camera-man, sound-man, and editor all in one.

Bottom line: If David calls for an interview, don't worry about getting burned and prepare yourself for interesting day of conversation.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Tarbell

From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 14:47:07 -0700
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 07:24:47 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Tarbell

>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 14:10:04 -0000
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:51:35 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>Well, it has to do with the physics of the crash, speed and mass
>>>rather than just weight

>>Hi Kevin,

>>Mass is weight;

>><snip>

>>Mass is not weight. Speed (strictly, velocity) x mass = momentum.

Quite so. Moreover, the response of the soil to the impact would involve a number of factors in addition to the debris momentum, one being the effective areal density of the debris (essentially its total mass divided by its projected area along the velocity vector). The fact that the material was very lightweight does not preclude a cratering/gouging response. A proper assessment would include the total mass, speed, angle of incidence, and orientation of the debris at impact, as well as at least some soil properties (e.g., bulk density and degree of saturation).

If the estimated speed mentioned in the unsnippeted post (400-500 mph) is accurate, and there were numerous debris fragments with random orientations, it is likely that at least some of them were quite deeply buried (at least several meters), irrespective of whether any significant cratering occurred. Somewhere I have a code adapted from work done at Sandia that estimates penetration in various soil types, but I can't find it on this hard drive. I'll see if I can track it down.

Mike

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Re: In Search Of... Lobbying Clout - White

From: **Eleanor White** <eleanor.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 18:22:57 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 07:26:44 -0500
Subject: Re: In Search Of... Lobbying Clout - White

>Source: Roll Call - Washington, DC, USA

>http://www.rollcall.com/issues/52_47/vested/15964-1.html

>November 13, 2006

>In Search Of... Lobbying Clout
>Only Full-Time UFO Lobbyist Struggles to Attract Attention

>By Tory Newmyer
>Roll Call Staff

<snip>

>Trouble is, it's tough to get a meeting on Capitol Hill when
>your subject is little green men.

Anyone ever counted how many of the well investigated encounter reports described "little green men?"

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Cosford 1993 [was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 03:17:50 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 07:31:06 -0500
Subject: Cosford 1993 [was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing

>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 09:08:09 -0000
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

<snip>

>I know I'm demonised by a small clique of British ufologists,
>but I've been intrigued by the latest suggestions that I'm now
>capable of hijacking mainstream primetime TV documentaries.

>*Dusting off my MIB suit and pulling out my PsyOps Manual*

Hello Nick,

Congratulations on your retirement from the Civil Service.

I would hope that by now you will have familiarised yourself
with the content at:

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford>

Are you going to offer this list any comment on what is there?
I am sure that you could clear up one or two grey areas, and
possibly correct any errors that I have made (I am after all
fallible, but I genuinely welcome valid criticism).

Regards,

Joe

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 05:24:52 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 07:36:01 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:02:36 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

Hi Stuart, List,

<snip>

>Upon seeing my response to John Rimmer, Martin felt obliged to
>apologise to his colleagues for inadvertantly drawing "the
>matter" to the attention of someone, me, who responded to it in
>a childish manner. You might as well apologise for being a
>researcher then. Far be it for me, Martin, to call anyone naive
>but that's what public e-mail Lists are all about; you know, the
>public sit and read the messages, and then form their own
>conclusions. I think part of your shock at what I said was the
>realisation that Ufologyuk isn't after all a private message
>board, though you wouldn't know it by the way you and Roberts
>had been carrying on.

Let me just smooth out a little wrinkle here first.

The ufologyinuk is public in the sense that anyone can join it,
but private in the sense that only registered members can view
the archives. This is deliberately intended to reduce the risk
of comments on-List being taken and repeated out of context as
has happened here.

I have no idea why you have been totally silent on-list during
what you apparently consider to be nothing more than wanton and
unmeritted criticism of Pope, and choose to raise your
grievances about it on a totally different list, having had the
opportunity for several weeks to take up your deep-seated
concerns either on the original list or via private email.

I really don't have a problem with you doing so, so long as you
provide objective context for the readers of this List, which
you haven't done. Very few members of this List are members of
the ufologyinuk List, and I doubt that many will want to take up
membership to check the context that you are not providing. I
suggest that you either provide that context, or shut up.

<snip>

>It is a matter of some personal criticism that you only
>remembered you were British and were reminded about the
>existence of Ufologyuk after Joe posted a "We're moving" notice
>here. And if you had actually been a member for a while longer

Martin correctly mentioned that this is not the case - Martin
approached me about joining the List shortly before I announced
the migration, and I suggested that he might like to wait until
the migration was over. He did in fact join the old List,
presumably so that he could read the archives.

>instead of jumping in mid-fray, then you would have been party
>to the unbridled arrogance that pervaded that List in the days
>leading up to the transmission of that documentary, the

>denigration of Nick Pope that preceeded it, the childish temper

If you feel so strongly about this, why have you left it until now to speak out, and why on another list?

>tantrums because the nasty TV people had chosen to go with
>Nicholas and didn't want to know about "our" work, and the
>immense irritation that undoubtedly Pope would go with a
>resolution to Cosford that was simply wrong because "we" were
>right.

Utter nonsense. The irritation was because the TV company chose to broadcast a materially flawed perspective of the case. I was not surprised by that at all, after all, television is all about entertainment, not factual accuracy.

>It is that, more than most, that has irritated.

Again, if you were so irritated by all this, why did you not raise the matter before and in a more appropriate setting?

>But it seems after all that, that this case isn't definitely
>resolved, thanks to you. You haven't stabbed your colleagues in
>the back and no one is alleging that you have although there is
>a certain sweet justice in it all. You have asked simple,
>straightforward, reasonable questions that at times have tested
>their abilities to respond with clear-cut answers.Great,that's
>what good research is all about and no one has criticised what
>you've done or complained about it, to everyone's credit. In
>fact I think you've shown all of us up; everyone.

Here is an example of false context. My perspective is that "The Cosford incident", in the sense of a single anomalous event, never existed. Instead, a single satellite re-entry generated a multitude of reports. Pope tagged on a few other reports either side of the re-entry and the series of reports became known as "The Cosford incident". The "Cosford Incident" as such never really existed, and is therefore solved. What remains are a number of isolated (some of them interesting) cases, some of which may also have explanations.

>But as a result, the events of that evening no longer resemble
>the absolutely closed and shut version as insisted upon by Clark
>and Randles and now more closely resemble the picture that Pope
>painted of it at the end of that documentary. Worth the cost of
>the ticket just for that, old chap.

See above. "The Cosford Incident" never existed. It is solved. How can it more closely resemble Pope's picture?

>I'll also acknowledge that this is a fluid and developing
>situation,but at the moment it has only been going the one way.
>Nevertheless,it will be interesting to see where we are with it
>in a few more months time.

>And neither is anyone dismissing or mocking the work done by
>anyone in relation to this case whatsoever, as you implied in
>your apology.I congratulate Gary and Joe for the hard work they
>have done. I have featured that work on my news site and
>directed people towards it.

Thank you. All you need to do now is read and absorb the contents.

>But it strikes me that UK Ufology is entering a new phase and a
>novel one at that. It involves an incident happening, witnesses
>being identified,researchers tracking them down and asking them
>for their version of what happened, the witness saying, "I saw
>this strange light..." and the researcher immediatley
>interjecting and saying, "Right mate,thanks,we'll take it from
>there".

Where do you get that from?

>Never before can I remember so much work being done where the
>testimony of the witness has fundamentally been rejected or
>where it has been alleged to be wrong, because it doesn't fit.

That's not the case. Are you suggesting that police officers have special powers of estimating height, distance and size of an unknown object in the night sky? If not, are you rejecting

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

their evidence because it doesn't fit? Please don't misrepresent what has been said.

>While you're all 'guilty', I particularly have in mind Jenny
>Randles comments. We will soon be in a new smooth, sleek phase
>where we will have witness-less Ufology altogether. Take it
>beyond that and we'll eventually do away with the sighting as
>well.

I am bemused - which comments are you alluding to here? (and I'm on the list!).

>What you've done actually Martin is to turn Cosford into a
>typically weird and wild night out in Britain. We do those
>evenings so well here, you know, when the celestial orchestra
>leader mounts the rostrum, calls everyone to heel, twirls his
>baton and sets off the bollides, the minor earthquakes, the
>strange distortions of light, the bizarre shadows in the clouds,
>the MoD confusion, the press reports, chases across moors,
>police helicopters, Russ Kellest, and so on until we're left
>with a mess of conflicting testimony that drags on for years.
>Berwyn, Sheffield, Caldebeck, and now Cosford. They're all the
>same.

I almost agree with you here.

>Another night with a fundamentally mundane explanation
>accompanied by a load of anomaly. This is UK Ufology, and
>that's where this case is heading now.

I do agree with you here.

Regards,

Joe

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Re: Total Amnesia What If? - Maccabee

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <brumac.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 00:56:59 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 07:38:14 -0500
Subject: Re: Total Amnesia What If? - Maccabee

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 21:30:56 -0000
>Subject: Total Amnesia What If?

>Hello List,

>Apparently Graham Hancock thinks we're a `species with amnesia'
>in that we've lost or deleted a great deal from ancient times.

In this he is following Velikovsky, who argued that we suffered from "cultural amnesia" because we had forgotten all the details of planets interacting with the earth causing great catastrophes in the distant past. The forgotten details were then portrayed in a way by the mythological stories of the ancient gods and their celestial doings.

>We've considered that question selectively on-List, concerning
>sightings:

I pointed out to a 'follower of Velikovsky' that many of the stories that he has argued were highly distorted versions of interactions of planet (Mars getting close to earth, for example) could be distorted versions of interactions with ET/Other Intelligences. That suggestion produced a big (or small) ho-hum!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Scientists Predict Discovery Of ET Life

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 09:42:10 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 07:50:54 -0500
Subject: Scientists Predict Discovery Of ET Life

As part of New Scientist's 50th anniversary celebrations, they asked Scientists to offer a prediction about (amongst other things) the possibility of the discovery of extraterrestrial life within the next 50 years.

<http://www.newscientist.com/channel/opinion/science-forecasts>

What will be the biggest breakthrough of the next 50 years? As part of our 50th anniversary celebrations we asked over 70 of the world's most brilliant scientists for their ideas:

Paul Davies forecasts the future
The director of a new cosmic think tank is passionate about alien life, and suspects we may well find it soon.

<http://tinyurl.com/uept6>

Chris McKay forecasts the future
A "second genesis" of life! We may find evidence of alien life frozen in Martian permafrost or on other worlds, perhaps dead but preserved

<http://tinyurl.com/yx3ah9>

Freeman Dyson forecasts the future
We have been searching alien life for 50 years and found nothing, but in the next half century we shall discover it

<http://tinyurl.com/y36ejt>

Monica Grady forecasts the future
Many theories predict that at least Mars and Europa are suitable hosts for life within our solar system - it will be disappointing if our increasingly sophisticated tools fail to find some

<http://tinyurl.com/y7kbxy>

Piet Hut forecasts the future
The discovery of life elsewhere in the universe would be the most significant breakthrough, not only for astrophysics, but also for biology, philosophy and culture

<http://tinyurl.com/vvxoz>

Colin Pillinger forecasts the future
We have been promised humans on Mars for so long now that I almost despair that this will be achieved by 2056, but we should at least have brought back Martian samples

<http://tinyurl.com/y6tklr>

Carolyn Porco forecasts the future
The most significant development in planetary exploration in the next 50 years will be the discovery of either extant or fossilised extraterrestrial life forms on another solar system body

<http://tinyurl.com/y8lwdt>

Steve Squyres forecasts the future
There could be no more significant advance than learning whether
there is life elsewhere in the universe - either way, a
definitive answer would be profound

<http://tinyurl.com/uk8ex>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 17](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 10:49:08 +0100
Fwd Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 07:53:49 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:27:22 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>What happened to the gouge? Change of terrain comes slowly in
>>the desert.

>Grassed over, according to Bill Brazel... took about two years
>before the grass all came back.

Kevin, Ed and all,

One question which intrigued me about the gouge is that Major
Marcel did not notice it when he inspected the site on the
Monday.

So, I submit another idea. Could it be that the gouge was made
later by the soldiers when cleaning the site? Perhaps they were
told to dig and remove the soil at the point of impact, in order
to erase any evidence of it?

Gildas Bourdais

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

Re: David Cherniack - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 13:14:14 +0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 08:08:29 -0500
Subject: Re: David Cherniack - Hall

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 13:10:35 -0800
>Subject: David Cherniack [was: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings]

>UFO UpDates wrote:

>>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 18:43:04 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

>>>>I have personal experience with the History Channel's
>>>>production approach.

>><snip>

>>>>But it looks like it's coming around to bite them as observed by
>>>>David Cherniack on SDI Saturday evening. He's a documentary
>>>>film maker and is doing one on UFOs but found that many
>>>>investigators are turning down his requests for interviews due
>>>>to being burnt in the past and more so since the Peter Jennings
>>>>special.

>>>>Could you please correct Don Leger's post for me. I did not say
>>>>many people turned me down because of being burnt. I said many
>>>>people were initially reluctant because of being burnt. In fact
>>>>only two people turned me down after talking to me and they both
>>>>did it for additional reasons.

>>>>David Cherniack

>>>>David Cherniack interviewed me about 2 months ago (mostly about
>>>>the Ramey memo). Unlike some UFO 'documentary' film-makers, I
>>>>had no hesitation whatsoever concerning possible hidden agendas
>>>>or being 'burned' by him. He makes it clear from the get-go that
>>>>he is very "pro-UFO" and the documentary was going to be very
>>>>point-of-view this way.

>>>>A few minutes talking to him and I also realized that he was
>>>>also at least one or two orders of magnitude better informed on
>>>>the subject than any other UFO documentary film-maker I'd ever
>>>>met, obviously a guy who's spent many years studying and
>>>>thinking about the topic. He's incredibly intelligent and has
>>>>also had a helluva interesting life. He's also different in
>>>>being a one-man production crew: interviewer, camera-man, sound-
>>>>man, and editor all in one.

>>>>Bottom line: If David calls for an interview, don't worry about
>>>>getting burned and prepare yourself for interesting day of
>>>>conversation.

I agree with David Rudiak. David Cherniack definitely is an
exception to the rule that documentary producers are cretinous
media whores. Like Paul Kimball he is intelligent, well-
informed, and well-intentioned. Since both are Canadian, perhaps
we should send our U.S. film producers to school in Canada.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 08:17:02 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 08:10:06 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Shell

>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 10:49:08 +0100
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>One question which intrigued me about the gouge is that Major
>Marcel did not notice it when he inspected the site on the
>Monday.

>So, I submit another idea. Could it be that the gouge was made
>later by the soldiers when cleaning the site? Perhaps they were
>told to dig and remove the soil at the point of impact, in order
>to erase any evidence of it?

Gildas,

My take on this:

I don't think there was a deep gouge. I have the impression that it was more of a superficial scar where the grass was gone. Mack Brazel said it vanished in a couple of years as the grass grew back, so it could not have been deep. Maybe it was more of a surface scrape, with just the grass and topsoil removed.

I have the impression of something dragging or being dragged along the ground, tearing up the grass in the process. I don't think anything Brazel found caused this.

I'm sure extensive photographic documentation of the site and recovery exists somewhere in a government archive.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

Re: In Search Of... Lobbying Clout - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 08:32:12 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 08:11:48 -0500
Subject: Re: In Search Of... Lobbying Clout - Kaeser

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 18:22:57 -0500
>Subject: Re: In Search Of... Lobbying Clout

>>Source: Roll Call - Washington, DC, USA

>>http://www.rollcall.com/issues/52_47/vested/15964-1.html

>>November 13, 2006

>>In Search Of... Lobbying Clout
>>Only Full-Time UFO Lobbyist Struggles to Attract Attention

>>By Tory Newmyer
>>Roll Call Staff

><snip>

>>Trouble is, it's tough to get a meeting on Capitol Hill when
>>your subject is little green men.

>Anyone ever counted how many of the well investigated encounter
>reports described "little green men?"

Very few, Eleanor, but the point is still the same.

Having worked on the "Hill" for some 20 years, I can say that there's little likelihood that any politician is going to enter into this discussion unless the proverbial "landing on the White House lawn" takes place. It's not that "little green men" are the subject of discussion, but rather that there are far more pressing issues to deal with on a daily basis.

Few are aware of several efforts during the 80's and 90's to work with Congressional staff and advisors to hold some sort of investigation into Government secrecy surrounding UFOs and other subjects, but most Members were too interested in attacking the Administration and when the Republicans took the control there was no chance to get this subject heard. Then there was Dr. Greer's circus, but that's another issue.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Warren

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 08:01:47 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 08:14:32 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Warren

>From: Ed Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 10:24:05 -0800
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 14:10:04 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

Greetings Ed, Et Al,

<snip>

...>and the port side was blown>outward, that would push the
>craft toward the north east.

>So that's where I began my search of aerial photos. I used a
>five mile radius from the recognized Foster ranch site as an
>arbitrary limit.

>Ed

Let me interject that you are applying "physics as we know it" for your location; that is, you're drawing a conclusion using "human" explication with possible "unknown" factors involved, i.e., the craft's propulsion system.

"If" the craft "manipulates" gravity in some way via its means of propulsion, in lay person's terms, this "changes" the rules as we know them.

For example, the "high speed right angle turns" reported by UFOs; physics tells us that based on a "person's mass" this action would kill a human being; assuming there are occupants in these craft, and they are similar to us, one might surmise that their "mass is changed" (decreased) in some way. (Possibly by their propulsion systems).

If in fact any of the above is true, (and I believe it should be considered) then the "action/reaction" laws of physics may not apply, or at the very least would be altered in some way; henceforth we cannot assume that a "port-side outward explosion" in such a craft would react in the way we might expect.

On the other hand your location is as good as any to begin searching. :>)

Cheers,

Frank

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

Re: Found In Used Book Store - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 09:12:50 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 08:16:57 -0500
Subject: Re: Found In Used Book Store - Kaeser

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 18:40:33 +0000
>Subject: Found In Used Book Store

>You never know what you are going to find in a second hand book
>store. I just returned from Second Look with a first printing
>copy of Behind The Flying Saucer Mystery, by George Adamski.

>A couple questions:

>1) Adamski claimed to have photographed the "machines" his
>visitors arrived in, and there is a photo on the front and back
>cover of the book. Does anyone know if this is an actual Adamski
>photo?

>2) In some circles, this would be considered a "rare book."
>Is that true? If so, how much would a first edition of
>Adamski's book go for in the collector's market?

You won't be able to retire on your profits...

<http://tinyurl.com/yzed9h>

These were all used soft cover copies that ranged in price from
\$3.00 to \$20.00 and it's difficult to tell if they were First
Editions.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 08:48:59 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 08:24:22 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:27:22 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:51:35 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>Well, it has to do with the physics of the crash, speed and
>>>mass rather than just weight

>Good Morning, Ed, List, All -
>>Mass is weight;

>Not really, which explains why a bit of straw can penetrate
>plywood and a 2 x 4 can penetrate a cinderblock wall.

Hi Kevin,

Yes I understand the problem. See my reply to Martin.

>>the MP said that two soldiers were easily able
>>to lift the craft; I used the Wilmons' estimate of between 400-
>>500 MPH.

>Why do you assume that the object Wilmon saw was the one
>that crashed or that Wilmon's estimate of speed is correct?

Of course there is no way to know for sure, but his testimony fits with the other information (Woodys), and a trajectory toward the Foster ranch can be calculated from his observation that the object disappeared over six-mile hill.

>>By all testimony the material was extremely light. If
>>the MP is correct, then the debris at the Foster ranch was the
>>remains of the craft's port side. I don't think the craft
>>traveled too far from the Foster ranch, but the dynamics of its
>>landing are what interest me.

>The dynamics of the destruction of the craft are what interest
>me. How could something made of such tough material disintegrate
>the way it apparently did... and if we're asking questions, why
>didn't those forces rip the bodies apart as well?

That's something I can't answer. All I have to go on is the description of the debris field, the detailed accounts of the nature of the debris and the MP's testimony. Maybe their suits protected them. There's some indication that Mack may have found bodies or body parts. We don't have enough information.

>>>but the point here is doubt all you
>>>want, but the fact remains, Bill Brazel showed Schmitt and me

>>>where he found debris and where the gouge was.

>>What happened to the gouge? Change of terrain comes slowly in
>>the desert.

>Grassed over, according to Bill Brazel... took about two years
>before the grass all came back.

Sorry I don't believe that. If there were a gouge, it would be
apparent and easily seen for many years, maybe hundreds. Besides
the material was too light to cause a gouge. It was in bits and
pieces when it hit the ground.

>>>We walked the
>>>site. With CUFOS we made an archaeological site survey looking
>>>for evidence. We flew over the site and took video tape looking
>>>for signs of the gouge. We used metal detectors, we dug into the
>>>nests of ground dwelling animals, among the roots of plants that
>>>looked to be old enough, and we plotted the prevailing winds in
>>>an attempt to locate debris that might have been blown about by
>>>the winds including fence lines several miles distant. So we
>>>looked for signs of the disruption and failed to find anything
>>>definitive.

>>Maybe you didn't know what to look for. I'm not being negative
>>or smart-assed but experience is important. Were there any
>>desert rats or rock hounds on your team?

>I tried to make it clear that we had tried many different ways
>to locate the debris and now this. Well, how about two trained
>archaeologists on the team, not to mention another who studied
>anthropology and many discussions with the residents of the area
>such as Bill Brazel, Tommy Tyree, Loretta Proctor, people at
>various rock shops, the BLM, the ranch owners and the ranch
>foreman?

I'm not convinced. A fifty year old gouge would be easily seen.
That's the type of disruption of the desert terrain that's hard
to repair.

>>>But, we had the right place... the debris field, because
>>>witnesses took us to that location. Not this other site that
>>>your MP has told you about. So my original comments about that
>>>still rates a "never mind."

>>Ok but I wasn't talking about Mac's site. The site I'm trying
>>to describe and defend is the MP's site.

>Got it. Understood it in the last message. Tried to make that
>clear. Tried to let you know it was Mack and not Mac...

Yes I see.

>>>>Could you also clear up the confusion regarding the Roswell
>>>>yearbook and the MP's name and rank?

>>>>Are you suggesting here you want me to name the MP? Yes, he is
>>>>in the Yearbook...

>>No I don't want you to release his name; all I wanted was
>>verification from you that the name he used was an actual MP, in
>>Squadron T.

>Actually, Squadron T was not an MP unit. Those were the 1395 MP
>Company and 390th Air Service Squadron. The MP Company was
>responsible for patrolling the town, etc. and the Service
>Squadron responsible for base security. Both units fell under
>the direction of the provost marshal, Edwin Easley.

The MP indicated that he had guard duty at the gates. He was
on the base most of the time.

>>>>But remember one important fact here. Frank Kaufmann is also in
>>>>the Yearbook... as is Robert Shirkey and another MP, who is not
>>>>in the Yearbook has documents showing that he was in Roswell at
>>>>the same time as these events and tells a story that is somewhat
>>>>in conflict with that told by your MP. So, the investigation
>>>>continues, cluttered by people who are making up stuff which
>>>>confuses the issue (people like Gerald Anderson for example...)

>>There are others working on this and I hope to have more

>>information soon.

>>The MP's story doesn't conflict with Mac's original testimony in
>>any way.

>I didn't say it did. I said it conflicted with others...

And "which others" are those? As far as I know, the MP's
testimony is in a class by itself. Which witnesses do you
believe? Is there anyone with a coherent story besides the MP?

>>The MP and Mac were involved with separate events.
>>Mac's site was almost exactly five miles from the MP's site.
>>Their recoveries took place at different times. The MP's craft
>>and creatures were found and recovered on the 3rd & 4th of July,
>>and Mac's debris was collected on the 8th & 9th of July.

>Which, ironically, is the same suggestion that Kaufmann made, or
>rather, that the bodies were found on July 4... of course, we
>know that Kaufmann was making up his involvement.

No it isn't the same. The MP said that the recovery started on
the 3rd of July and was completed by the morning of the 4th of
July.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Zukowski

From: Chuck Zukowski <zukowski.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 09:54:26 -0700
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 08:26:59 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Zukowski

>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 10:49:08 +0100
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:27:22 EST
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

><snip>

>>>What happened to the gouge? Change of terrain comes slowly in
>>>the desert.

>>Grassed over, according to Bill Brazel... took about two years
>>before the grass all came back.

<snip>

>One question which intrigued me about the gouge is that Major
>Marcel did not notice it when he inspected the site on the
>Monday.

<snip>

Where have you read Marcel did not notice a gouge?

chuck zukowski
www.ufonut.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

Re: Countdown To An Official & Open Contact With

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 11:22:52 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 08:35:23 -0500
Subject: Re: Countdown To An Official & Open Contact With

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <aj.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 16:20:20 -0500
>Subject: Countdown To An Official & Open Contact With ET?

>For The Record

>Countdown to an official and open contact with ETs?

>Dear Colleagues of the World UFO Community:

>Jan Val Ellam, a Brazilian prominent author as lecturer about
>Ufology and Spirituality, says that several ET races are coming
>to Earth for an official and open contact with the human race.
>The meeting should take place anytime from November 16, 2006 to
>April 30, 2007.

<snip>

You'd think that a highly-advanced interstellar civilization
would be able to narrow it down a little better than that. These
guys are worse than telephone repair service.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

UFOs Over Fountainbleau Forest

From: **Robert Morningstar** <robert_morningstar.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 09:25:34 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 08:37:22 -0500
Subject: UFOs Over Fountainbleau Forest

New at UFO Digest:

Observations of UFOs Over Fountainbleau Forest and The Great National French Blackout of 1978 By French Ufologist Christian Mace... (Translated by Robert Morningstar)

A well documented account of the French UFO Wave of the late 1970s:

<http://www.ufodigest.com/news/1106/fontainbleau.html>

Enjoy,

M*

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 11:53:46 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 08:39:37 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Shell

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 10:24:05 -0800
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 14:10:04 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:51:35 EST
>>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>>Well, it has to do with the physics of the crash, speed and mass
>>>>rather than just weight

>>>Hi Kevin,

>>>Mass is weight;

>>><snip>

>>>Mass is not weight. Speed (strictly, velocity) x mass = momentum.

>Yes you are correct. That is the concept I was wrestling with -
>momentum. I see the problem; mass doesn't equal weight, but can
>be expressed as weight. Thanks for the clarification.

>By my reckoning and the MP's testimony, the craft weighed less
>than two hundred pounds, with a diameter of 30 feet, and was
>traveling at a speed of 500 mph. If the craft the Wilmot's
>observed exploded in midair over the Foster ranch, while it was
>traveling in a north-west direction, and the port side was blown
>outward, that would push the craft toward the north east.

>So that's where I began my search of aerial photos. I used a
>five mile radius from the recognized Foster ranch site as an
>arbitrary limit.

Is it possible to get a Google Earth image of the site, or other
satellite image? Just out of curiosity. Just to get a look at
the overall area. I understand it probably wouldn't show much
more than scrub brush and a dried up riverbed.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kasten

From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 17:49:57 +0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 08:58:36 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kasten

>From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 14:47:07 -0700
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 14:10:04 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:51:35 EST
>>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>>Well, it has to do with the physics of the crash, speed and mass
>>>>rather than just weight

>>>Hi Kevin,

>>>Mass is weight;

>>><snip>

>>>Mass is not weight. Speed (strictly, velocity) x mass = momentum.

>Quite so. Moreover, the response of the soil to the impact would
>involve a number of factors in addition to the debris momentum,
>one being the effective areal density of the debris (essentially
>its total mass divided by its projected area along the velocity
>vector). The fact that the material was very lightweight does
>not preclude a cratering/gouging response. A proper assessment
>would include the total mass, speed, angle of incidence, and
>orientation of the debris at impact, as well as at least some
>soil properties (e.g., bulk density and degree of saturation).

>If the estimated speed mentioned in the unsnipped post (400-500
>mph) is accurate, and there were numerous debris fragments with
>random orientations, it is likely that at least some of them
>were quite deeply buried (at least several meters), irrespective
>of whether any significant cratering occurred. Somewhere I have a
>code adapted from work done at Sandia that estimates penetration
>in various soil types, but I can't find it on this hard drive.
>I'll see if I can track it down.

To All Interested in the Gouge at the Foster Impact Site: Dr.
Dolman claims in his excavation report that the gouge the team
dug was in fact an old coyote hole. Dr. Dolman, of the
University of New Mexico - Archaeology Dept., and a contract
archaeologist, had the final word. The "gouge" is a coyote hole.
Not an artefact of any thing crashing.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

Re: Cosford 1993 - Pope

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 18:26:05 -0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 09:03:45 -0500
Subject: Re: Cosford 1993 - Pope

>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 03:17:50 +0000
>Subject: Cosford 1993 [was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?]

>>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 09:08:09 -0000
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

><snip>

>>I know I'm demonised by a small clique of British ufologists,
>>but I've been intrigued by the latest suggestions that I'm now
>>capable of hijacking mainstream primetime TV documentaries.

>>*Dusting off my MIB suit and pulling out my PsyOps Manual*

>Congratulations on your retirement from the Civil Service.

Thank you.

>I would hope that by now you will have familiarised yourself
>with the content at:

><http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford>

Yes. It's based on the file I opened on the incident, when
leading the MOD investigation, so I was already familiar with
the material.

>Are you going to offer this List any comment on what is there?

<snip>

No. I'm afraid that most of the 'investigation' posted at the
site is:

a. Conclusion-led.

b. Tainted by the usual 'criticise Nick Pope and downplay his
role at the MOD' approach; and

c. A continuation of the 'toys out of the pram' reaction to the
recent Channel Five documentary, which dared to reject the
skeptics' view that the case was solved.

As such, constructive debate is clearly not on the agenda,
despite suggestions to the contrary.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 10:58:06 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 09:05:39 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak

>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 10:49:08 +0100
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:27:22 EST
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

><snip>

>>>What happened to the gouge? Change of terrain comes slowly in
>>>the desert.

>>Grassed over, according to Bill Brazel... took about two years
>>before the grass all came back.

>One question which intrigued me about the gouge is that Major
>Marcel did not notice it when he inspected the site on the
>Monday.

>So, I submit another idea. Could it be that the gouge was made
>later by the soldiers when cleaning the site? Perhaps they were
>told to dig and remove the soil at the point of impact, in order
>to erase any evidence of it?

Gildas, I agree. I've had the same thought. Maybe the gouge
wasn't from impact at all. If many small pieces of debris in a
confined area had been driven into the soil by an explosion, it
may have been easier to scoop everything up and sift through it
later.

Couple the idea with Robert Smith saying he saw a lot of farm
soil in the hangar with metal debris as he helped load C-54s.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 13:10:09 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 09:09:35 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:14:14 -0800
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:27:22 EST
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 08:51:35 EST

>>>>>From: Ed Gerhrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>>>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 11:04:01 -0800
>>>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>the MP said that two soldiers were easily able
>>>to lift the craft; I used the Wilmons' estimate of between 400-
>>>500 MPH.

>>Why do you assume that the object Wilmon saw was the one that
>>crashed or that Wilmon's estimate of speed is correct?

>Just for the record, I think Ed means the Mr. & Mrs. Dan Wilmot
>(not Wilmon) Roswell sighting of July 2 at 10:00 p.m., reported
>in the Roswell Daily Record July 8, 1947 along with the infamous
>base flying disk press release.

>Interestingly, when Marcel returned to Roswell around 1980, he
>spoke with the Wilmot's son Paul. According to Marcel, in his
>very last interview with Linda Corley in 1981, Paul Wilmot told
>him that his parents had seen more than just the glowing saucer
>flying overhead towards the northwest in the direction of the
>Foster Ranch. They had also seen it explode (or an explosion) in
>the distance. Marcel then added that the rancher came to Roswell
>a few days later to report the crashed saucer at his ranch.

>For those who don't already know this (Kevin and Ed certainly
>do), in earlier interviews, Marcel had already mentioned how
>Brazel had told him of hearing a tremendous explosion in the
>midst of a violent electrical storm, then discovering the debris
>the following day. (Bill Brazel also independently reported
>this.) Marcel also said by the way the debris was shattered into
>mostly small pieces and strewn about over such a large area
>along a long linear path, he thought the object had exploded or
>disintegrated in the air while traveling at high speed.

Hi David,

Yes I agree with Marcel's observations; this scenario also
agrees with the MP's insistence that there was a large section

missing from the port side. He described the craft as being shaped like a Manta Ray. As the craft was being lifted, by crane, onto the flatbed, it split in two pieces; two men were able to easily lift them. The Manta Ray shape agrees, in a general way with Arnold's drawings, and the drawings by Bill McDonald which were based on the Kaufmann description, and the William Rhodes photos taken on July 7th, 1947 in Phoenix.

>The Wilmot saucer was of July 2 was the original crashed saucer
>theory put out in Berlitz & Moore's "The Roswell Incident" from
>1980. Since then, other scenarios have been put out there.
>However, if we give a lot of credence to the originally reported
>Wilmot sighting and the subsequent Paul Wilmot revelation to
>Marcel, then we are back to the original July 2 crash date of
>Berlitz & Moore. In this scenario, a July 3 or 4 recovery of the
>main craft and bodies would not be out of the question, with
>perhaps the military still being unaware of the Brazel debris
>field.

Paul's testimony is additional support for the MP's rendition of events.

>>>By all testimony the material was extremely light. If
>>>the MP is correct, then the debris at the Foster ranch was the
>>>remains of the craft's port side. I don't think the craft
>>>traveled too far from the Foster ranch, but the dynamics of its
>>>landing are what interest me.

>>The dynamics of the destruction of the craft are what interest
>>me. How could something made of such tough material disintegrate
>>the way it apparently did... and if we're asking questions, why
>>didn't those forces rip the bodies apart as well?

>It all depends on the scenario. E.g., if there were 2 craft
>involved, maybe one flying to the assistance of a crippled one,
>then the violent explosion of one could produce the debris field
>and maybe destroy the crew (assuming it was "manned"), while the
>nearby second one suffered damage from the explosion, but
>remained basically intact, and came down a short distance away,
>with crew inside.

Why speculate when you have an eye witness who testifies that the port side was missing from the craft? There's no need to involve more than one craft. The best explanation is that the craft exploded in midair, deposited its debris fragments across the Foster ranch, and then crashed, with an unknown degree of control, and as you wrote: "remained basically intact, and came down a short distance away, with crew inside."

I believe it also left a mud-like material in it's wake and may have touched down several times before finally landing.

>Another similar possibility: the "intact" craft was an escape
>module from a much larger craft. Moments before the main craft
>exploded, the crew "ejected" in the escape module, but still
>suffered damage that brought it down.

I guess anything is possible.

>Possibly other crash models might explain some things too. E.g.,
>if the propulsion system was magneto-hydrodynamic, it would
>require a large electrical power supply. One possible way of
>storing large quantities of energy in a small space is using
>supercapacitors. An ordinary capacitor is basically two sheets
>of metal foil (!) usually separated by an insulating dielectric
>substance (such as plastic), to prevent electrical shorting of
>the two charge storage plates and boost the charge storage. If
>you overload the capacitor, the dielectric insulator breaks
>down, an electrical short is created with rapid discharge, and
>the capacitor can explode (!), often scattering pieces of foil
>and dielectric all over the place. I've seen small capacitors
>explode and it is a rather spectacular if not unnerving event.

>A supercapacitor is just an ordinary capacitor on steroids.
>Charge storage plate area is greatly increased, the plates are
>charged to very high voltages to greatly increase energy
>storage, and there is some sort of superdielectric material able
>to withstand very high voltages (Jesse Marcel Jr.'s "bakelite-
>like" material???) I can imagine a lightning strike during a
>violent electrical storm possibly overloading an external
>storage capacitor and causing an explosion, scattering large

>quantities of capacitor foil and insulator all over the Foster
>Ranch. If it were to blow outward, the crews compartment and
>most of the craft might be spared, but still fatally crippled,
>thus bringing it down elsewhere.

Yes this is certainly plausible speculation.

Wendy found a plastic, tar-like material, very light, which was melted into round globs, about two to three inches in diameter. It melts easily and leaves a black residue on skin after it's touched. It may just be tar from a nearby gas pipeline, but I've never seen anything like it. I think it could be describe as being similar to Bakelite. You're welcome to a sample.

>>>The MP and Mac were involved with separate events.
>>>Mac's site was almost exactly five miles from the MP's site.
>>>Their recoveries took place at different times. The MP's craft
>>>and creatures were found and recovered on the 3rd & 4th of July,
>>>and Mac's debris was collected on the 8th & 9th of July.

>>Which, ironically, is the same suggestion that Kaufmann made, or
>>rather, that the bodies were found on July 4... of course, we
>>know that Kaufmann was making up his involvement.

>Kaufman obviously lied about some things. He clearly forged
>"documents" he said would prove his story. (But also curiously
>left them behind to be exposed as fraudulent after his death,
>when he had months to destroy them while he died of cancer. It's
>like he wanted them to be found.)

>Allow me to play devil's advocate here. I haven't totally
>>written off Kaufman being involved in some way or knowing
>something of what happened, though probably not being some big
>central character in the whole thing as he tried to portray
>himself. Possibly one element of his story in his favor,
>Kaufmann spoke of the object having electrical storage units on
>the bottom. As I've noted above, these might be like what we
>call supercapacitors, which might explain both the cause of the
>explosion and much of debris described at the debris field. I
>don't know of another witness bringing up this point, so it
>isn't as if he was just familiar with the literature and added
>it to his story.

The MP described the bottom of the craft as having a tile-like covering. It also glowed with a metallic purple color.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: Paul Kimball <TheRobieShark.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 16:41:50 EST
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 09:12:45 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 05:24:52 +0000
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:02:36 +0000 (GMT)
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

<snip>

>>tantrums because the nasty TV people had chosen to go with
>>Nicholas and didn't want to know about "our" work, and the
>>immense irritation that undoubtedly Pope would go with a
>>resolution to Cosford that was simply wrong because "we" were
>>right.

>Utter nonsense. The irritation was because the TV company chose
>to broadcast a materially flawed perspective of the case. I was
>not surprised by that at all, after all, television is all about
>entertainment, not factual accuracy.

One should always be leery of such a broad, sweeping statement.
Yes, television is about entertainment (as are most things that
are designed for public consumption), but when done right (and
it is "done right" more often than some members of this List
might like to admit), it is also about factual accuracy, at
least as much as anything else that involves debatable or
controversial issues or material, including books, or university
lectures, might be.

Paul Kimball
www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 18](#)

Re: Found In Used Book Store - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 20:53:39 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 09:15:07 -0500
Subject: Re: Found In Used Book Store - Balaskas

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 18:40:33 +0000
>Subject: Found In Used Book Store

>You never know what you are going to find in a second hand book
>store. I just returned from Second Look with a first printing
>copy of Behind The Flying Saucer Mystery, by George Adamski.

>A couple questions:

>1) Adamski claimed to have photographed the "machines" his
>visitors arrived in, and there is a photo on the front and back
>cover of the book. Does anyone know if this is an actual Adamski
>photo?

Hi Kathy,

Is this the image on the front cover of your first edition copy
of 'Behind the Flying Saucer Mystery' by George Adamski?

<http://www.anomalyarchives.com/images/readerware/1497.JPG>

There is another book written by Adamski, 'Inside the Space
Ships' (later retitled 'Inside the Flying Saucers'), that was
also published with what is alleged to be a photo of UFOs in
space (a large dark mothership craft surrounded by small
brighter scout flying saucers) on the front cover.

<http://www.oldanddusty.com/images/dec112005006.jpg>

>2) In some circles, this would be considered a "rare book."
>Is that true? If so, how much would a first edition of
>Adamski's book go for in the collector's market?

There are many first edition or limited printed UFO books that
are now hard to find used copies of. Many of these books are not
as old as Adamski's books such as 'UFO Crash at Aztec' by
William Steinman and Wendelle Stevens (1985) or 'UFOs, MJ-12,
and the Government' by Grant Cameron and T. Scott Crain (1991)
which are much more factual and very enlightening. Many of the
old UFO books in my large collection were found in second-hand
book stores too, including church and garage sales, flea
markets, etc.

Like with any collectable hobby, the price a book will fetch
does not depend on its rarity. For example, I have rare ancient
coins and old sports cards that are worth less than some of the
newer ones I have. A quick check with AbeBooks.com today came up
with prices ranging between \$3.00 to \$22.65 for Adamski's 1967
'Behind the Flying Saucer Mystery' while for his 1955 'Inside
the Space Ships' ranged from \$2.99 to \$100.00 (\$35.00 to \$99.99
for those copies signed by Adamski himself).

Nick Balaskas

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 16:01:59 +0100
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 11:18:34 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 10:58:06 -0800
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 10:49:08 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:27:22 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
>>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>><snip>

>>>>What happened to the gouge? Change of terrain comes slowly in
>>>>the desert.

>>>Grassed over, according to Bill Brazel... took about two years
>>>before the grass all came back.

>>One question which intrigued me about the gouge is that Major
>>Marcel did not notice it when he inspected the site on the
>>Monday.

>>So, I submit another idea. Could it be that the gouge was made
>>later by the soldiers when cleaning the site? Perhaps they were
>>told to dig and remove the soil at the point of impact, in order
>>to erase any evidence of it?

>Gildas, I agree. I've had the same thought. Maybe the gouge
>wasn't from impact at all. If many small pieces of debris in a
>confined area had been driven into the soil by an explosion, it
>may have been easier to scoop everything up and sift through it
>later.

>Couple the idea with Robert Smith saying he saw a lot of farm
>soil in the hangar with metal debris as he helped load C-54s.

>David Rudiak

David,

Yes, if Robert Smith noticed farm soil in the hangar, together
with metal debris, it may very well explain why Marcel did not
see it on Monday: the gouge was not dug yet! If he had seen it,
he would most probably have mentioned it during his many
interviews.

To pursue the idea, perhaps the military, after digging to
retrieve the portion of soil mixed with small debris, finished

the job so as to make it look as ordinary as possible, when they cleaned the site before leaving. They would not have left a very deep gouge anyway. Of course, such a scenario is not proven, but is is compatible with all the known facts.

Gildas

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 16:37:04 +0100
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 11:26:13 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais

>From: Chuck Zukowski <zukowski.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 09:54:26 -0700
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 10:49:08 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

><snip>

>>One question which intrigued me about the gouge is that Major
>>Marcel did not notice it when he inspected the site on the
>>Monday.

><snip>

>Where have you read Marcel did not notice a gouge?

Marcel spent the whole Monday, July 7, exploring the debris field. He later described it in detail, in a lot of interviews, but never mentioned a gouge. So, it is implicit that, apparently, he did not find one, and it is not absurd to suppose that, maybe, the gouge was not there yet. Do you dig that?

Gildas Bourdais

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 11:32:43 EST
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 11:28:32 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 17:49:57 +0000
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>To All Interested in the Gouge at the Foster Impact Site: Dr.
>Dolman claims in his excavation report that the gouge the team
>dug was in fact an old coyote hole. Dr. Dolman, of the
>University of New Mexico - Archaeology Dept., and a contract
>archaeologist, had the final word. The "gouge" is a coyote hole.
>Not an artefact of any thing crashing.

Good Morning, Kathy, List, All -

This isn't quite right. While digging on the debris field with a backhoe, the operator told Dr. Doleman that he "felt" something. They examined it and thought it might be the remnants of the gouge... except that it wasn't quite in the right location, as described by Bill Brazel. There was talk of an old cattle trail through there that might have accounted for what the backhoe operator 'hit'. So, if Dr. Doleman has now identified that artifact as a coyote hole, then so be it... but that just doesn't translate into an identification of the gouge as a coyote hole.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 11:43:50 EST
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 11:31:32 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 13:10:09 -0800
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>Yes I agree with Marcel's observations; this scenario also
>agrees with the MP's insistence that there was a large section
>missing from the port side. He described the craft as being
>shaped like a Manta Ray. As the craft was being lifted, by
>crane, onto the flatbed, it split in two pieces; two men were
>able to easily lift them. The Manta Ray shape agrees, in a
>general way with Arnold's drawings, and the drawings by Bill
>McDonald which were based on the Kaufmann description, and the
>William Rhodes photos taken on July 7th, 1947 in Phoenix.

I can't let this pass. Let me be clear on it. Frank Kaufmann was making up his involvement in this case. He offered documentation that was clearly forged, using white out and a copy machine, he changed his discharge papers to make it look as if he had been a master sergeant in intelligence when he was, in fact, a staff sergeant in personnel. He told me once that copy machines were "as loose as a goose," meaning that he knew how to doctor papers to make them look official. He created a letter supposedly written by Edwin Easley that is clearly a forgery. He altered his tale as certain investigations began to bear fruit.

Bill McDonald's work, based on his discussions with Kaufmann (though the design more closely resembles some of the craft being developed at the Skunk Works is certainly interesting), must be examined carefully because Kaufmann lied. There is now no evidence that he was anything more than a personnel clerk who jumped on the Roswell bandwagon for personal gain.....

So, arguing that the MP's story agrees with Kaufmann's is not advancing your cause but weakening it.

And, for those keeping score at home, the shape of Arnold's craft, as seen in his original drawings looks like the heel of a boot, but in later drawings has taken on a crescent shape that has little resemblance to his first drawings... not that I want to begin a long discussion about the Arnold sighting. I'm merely making an observation that would tend to suggest that the MP's story is in conflict with other testimonies... some of which Ed has introduced.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: Viktor Golubik <Diverge247.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 14:42:43 EST
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 11:37:39 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Paul Kimball <TheRobieShark.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 16:41:50 EST
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 05:24:52 +0000
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:02:36 +0000 (GMT)
>>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

><snip>

>>>tantrums because the nasty TV people had chosen to go with
>>>Nicholas and didn't want to know about "our" work, and the
>>>immense irritation that undoubtedly Pope would go with a
>>>resolution to Cosford that was simply wrong because "we" were
>>>right.

>>Utter nonsense. The irritation was because the TV company chose
>>to broadcast a materially flawed perspective of the case. I was
>>not surprised by that at all, after all, television is all about
>>entertainment, not factual accuracy.

>One should always be leery of such a broad, sweeping statement.
>Yes, television is about entertainment (as are most things that
>are designed for public consumption), but when done right (and
>it is "done right" more often than some members of this List
>might like to admit), it is also about factual accuracy, at
>least as much as anything else that involves debatable or
>controversial issues or material, including books, or university
>lectures, might be.

>Paul Kimball
>www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com

Balancing the swaying seesaw action of controversial subject
matter usually renders limited satisfaction to all sides of the
argument.

Fairness and subtlety often given up in exchange to meet rapid
deadlines. It certainly helps if the producer has some
insightful suggestions and is drawn to the subject matter with
some knowledgeable forethought; With anything less he/she may be
convinced to leave some of the best material on the editing
floor or find it entertainingly distasteful to provide a voice
to the middle ground.

Hopefully, if done correctly, rendering a conclusion from a
controversial broadcast is left in equal measure to both
broadcaster and viewer alike. And, it certainly helps if enough
new questions are raised that leave enough residual doubt on
each side of a debate, forcing them at least to admit that it
can never really hurt to dig a little deeper.

Viktor Golubik

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 20:42:34 +0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 11:41:30 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

Greetings to the Listarians, Lurkers and Government Spooks,

'Tis an interesting question. For my two cents I would say Ufology should be focusing on the following areas:

1. Developing a textbook on Ufology to teach younger generations of who, what, when, where and how the subject developed. If a person interested in the subject doesn't know the history, they are wasting their time on anything new.
2. Preserve the documentation, samples, etc. for future generations.
3. Begin laying the groundwork for the new science now that it has a history and enough documentation to sink the Queen Mary.
4. Organize a media union to handle requests from filmmakers to ensure that when researchers materials are used, adequate monetary compensation is given and the reaseacher has the right to determine how those materials will be used. Further, to eliminate the perception that just because a filmmaker makes a film or two on Ufology, doesn't mean the filmmaker is an expert.

Well, I can think of a thousand more, but this should get the levels on UpDates off the scale.

Wendy Connors
www.fadeddiscs.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

Re: Found In Used Book Store - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 21:50:08 +0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 11:43:43 -0500
Subject: Re: Found In Used Book Store - Kasten

>From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 20:53:39 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
>Subject: Re: Found In Used Book Store

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 18:40:33 +0000
>>Subject: Found In Used Book Store

>>You never know what you are going to find in a second hand book
>>store. I just returned from Second Look with a first printing
>>copy of Behind The Flying Saucer Mystery, by George Adamski.

>>A couple questions:

>>1) Adamski claimed to have photographed the "machines" his
>>visitors arrived in, and there is a photo on the front and back
>>cover of the book. Does anyone know if this is an actual Adamski
>>photo?

>Hi Kathy,

>Is this the image on the front cover of your first edition copy
>of 'Behind the Flying Saucer Mystery' by George Adamski?

><http://www.anomalyarchives.com/images/readerware/1497.JPG>

Nick:

The above link shows exactly the photo on the book I bought.
However, I sincerely doubt that it is really the photo of a
mother 'ship' with 'scouts' clustering across it. I can't even
guess what Adamski might have used to get the image.

Thanks for the info,

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

Re: Found In Used Book Store - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 21:53:59 +0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 11:45:38 -0500
Subject: Re: Found In Used Book Store - Kasten

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 09:12:50 -0500
>Subject: Re: Found In Used Book Store

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 18:40:33 +0000
>>Subject: Found In Used Book Store

>>You never know what you are going to find in a second hand book
>>store. I just returned from Second Look with a first printing
>>copy of Behind The Flying Saucer Mystery, by George Adamski.

>>A couple questions:

>>1) Adamski claimed to have photographed the "machines" his
>>visitors arrived in, and there is a photo on the front and back
>>cover of the book. Does anyone know if this is an actual Adamski
>>photo?

>>2) In some circles, this would be considered a "rare book."
>>Is that true? If so, how much would a first edition of
>>Adamski's book go for in the collector's market?

>You won't be able to retire on your profits...

><http://tinyurl.com/yzed9h>

>These were all used soft cover copies that ranged in price from
>\$3.00 to \$20.00 and it's difficult to tell if they were First
>Editions.

Steven:

I paid \$1.50 for the book. So, at \$3.00 I would have doubled my
money. However, the book will be staying in my library. It is
such a chatty retelling by Adamski of his travels "around the
world" on his lecture tour.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

'UFO: The True Story Of Flying Saucers'

From: Robert Morningstar <robert_morningstar.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 22:29:03 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 11:59:06 -0500
Subject: 'UFO: The True Story Of Flying Saucers'

<http://www.amazon.com/U-F-O-True-Story-Flying-Saucers/dp/B00004Y86X>

I highly recommend this film, UFO: The True Story Of Flying Saucers, a docu-drama version of the story of reporter and early 1950s Pentagon PR Chief, Al Chop, to all ufologists. In particular, I prize this film for the historical value of real appearances by some of the most significant personages of the early era of UFOlogy, including:

General John A. Samford, USAF

<http://www.af.mil/bios/bio.asp?bioID=7019>

Major Dewey Fornet - Project Blue Book Officer in Pentagon

Captain Edward Ruppelt - W-P AFB, Blue Book Officer

Capt. Sprague - Airline Captain who describes his airborne close encounter

Warrant Officer Delbert C. Newhouse (USN), cinematographer of Tremonton Utah UFO Film

<http://www.ufologie.net/htm/tremontonruppelt.htm>

Nicholas Marianna, cinematographer of Montana UFO Film

In addition, this film contains the best available copies (what's left of them!) of both the Utah and Montana UFO Films shot by Newhouse and Marianna. Even as an 8 year- old boy, I noticed some "funny business," i.e. glitches in the film as the 2 "Egg Shaped" Saucers flew past the water tower. I recommend reading Kevin Randle's analysis of the Marianna Film as the definitive description and history of the many alterations imposed on and deletion of frames from the Marianna Film:

<http://www.nicap.org/mariana.htm>

The telling of the Mantell Incident, shot at Godman AFB, Kentucky and the re-enactment of the "Washington UFO flap of July 25-26th, 1952" and the White House Flying Saucer overflights are classics.

This film, without a doubt, 'kick started' my interest and fascination with Ufology in 1956 and today, 50 years later, it is as fresh as the day I first saw it.

Robert M*

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Warren

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 08:02:06 -0800
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 12:04:17 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Warren

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 10:58:06 -0800
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 10:49:08 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>One question which intrigued me about the gouge is that Major
>>Marcel did not notice it when he inspected the site on the
>>Monday.

>>So, I submit another idea. Could it be that the gouge was made
>>later by the soldiers when cleaning the site? Perhaps they were
>>told to dig and remove the soil at the point of impact, in order
>>to erase any evidence of it?

>Gildas, I agree. I've had the same thought. Maybe the gouge
>wasn't from impact at all. If many small pieces of debris in a
>confined area had been driven into the soil by an explosion, it
>may have been easier to scoop everything up and sift through it
>later.

>Couple the idea with Robert Smith saying he saw a lot of farm
>soil in the hangar with metal debris as he helped load C-54s.

>David Rudiak

Might I add, that since the sight was 'sanitized', i.e.,
removing any and all evidence, wouldn't it be prudent to cover
any 'gouge' or 'anything' that might suggest something occurred
in that specific area?

Cheers,

Frank

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 19](#)

Closure Of Mystery Park Is No Enigma

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 12:13:50 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 12:13:50 -0500
Subject: Closure Of Mystery Park Is No Enigma

Source: NZZ Online - Zurich, Switzerland

<http://www.nzz.ch/2006/11/19/eng/article7266028.html>

19. November 2006

Closure Of Mystery Park Is No Enigma
Alexander Kunzle - Swissinfo

The demise of the Mystery Park in the Bernese Oberland resort of Interlaken, which closes on Sunday, is no enigma but the result of bad decisions, experts say.

They argue that the main reasons for the closure are the static nature of the exhibition, the slow involvement of local tourism and the stock exchange decline between 2001 and 2003.

"Mystery Park needs extraterrestrial saviour" and "Career dreamer Erich von Daniken pulls back" were just two of the sarcastic headlines journalists made in a long series of negative reports.

The criticism stepped up in 2005 and was particularly heavy in the spring of 2006 when the park had to ask for a delay in bankruptcy proceedings and protection from its creditors.

It all began in 1997 with ambitious plans. Best-selling Swiss author Erich von Daniken announced he wanted to open a theme park devoted to unsolved (extra-)terrestrial mysteries on the site of the former local military airport.

As an international personality and mystery expert whose books have been translated into numerous languages, von Daniken appeared to be a guarantee that the park would be managed professionally.

UFO image

But Hannes Imboden, the former Bernese Oberland tourism director, said the communications department of the Mystery Park did not correct the one-sided UFO image that most people have of von Daniken.

A similar assessment comes from the current director, Fritz Zemp, who has been at the mystery park since April 2005.

"The marketing segment to target was not systematically approached at the outset," Zemp said. "What was more important entertainment, focusing on the UFO element of the hard core of von Daniken followers, or learning and education aspects?"

After critical financial questions, the park opened its doors in May 2003. As the banks declined to provide money, the finances came from a group of private investors and the public who bought shares at a low par value despite the troubles at the stock exchange.

"Von Daniken was unable to put into place what he'd really wanted," Thomas Vaszary, a lecturer at Lucerne's tourism college, told swissinfo.

"It seemed a bad realisation of von Daniken's ideas. It lacked life and interaction."

At the beginning Imboden had advised that at least a third of the park's exhibition should be changeable, a strategy that might have encouraged people to make return visits.

Lack of life

Zemp also felt there was a lack of life in the park. "Technically it would have been easy to change the software and hardware to counter the statics of the exhibition," he said.

Christian Laesser, deputy director of the St Gallen Institute for Tourism, criticised a lack of innovation after the park had been built.

He said that successful parks were those that continuously developed like, for example, the Europa-Park in Rust, Germany. "Only that [kind of development] maintains a steady flow of visitors," he told swissinfo.

Zemp said there were many professional providers of tourist services in the Bernese Oberland ? the Jungfrau Railways, many hotels, adventure firms and tour operators ? that would have been possible partners for the park.

He said the Mystery Park had only begun very late to offer packages that included meals and overnight stays.

The park's financing ran into difficulties and was not helped by falls on the stock market. There were also groups of small and large shareholders that had different interests, according to Zemp.

The fate of the Mystery Park now lies with the liquidator, who Zemp feels will probably put forward a number of proposals at next month's meeting of creditors.

Insolvency proceedings are due to end on January 10. If none of the suggestions are accepted by the creditors, the park will be auctioned.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

UFO Reported During Grand Prix In Mexico

From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 11:15:59 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 09:59:10 -0500
Subject: UFO Reported During Grand Prix In Mexico

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
November 19, 2006

Source: www.analuisacid.com
Date: 11.18.06

Mexico: UFO Reported During Grand Prix

Mexican researcher Alfonso Salazar reports that on Sunday, November 12, 2006 at 13:30 hours, several people located on the ninth row of the grandstand of the Hermanos Rodriguez Speedway reported the transit of an amorphous, red-colored flying object traveling in a west-to-east direction over Mexico City at some 3000 meters, separated by half a kilometer, approximately, from the Bell 206 helicopter that was engaging in aerial photography at the time, according to witness reports.

The sighting took place during the pace lap performed by the racecar drivers shortly before the race began.

This is the second time that a UFO report has taken place during the Mexico Grand Prix. The first was in 2003, when several people reported the manifestation of a metallic sphere suspended at a height over the city, also at the start of the race. At the time, it was a Brazilian news crew who noticed the event and their report appeared on a news website in that country, with information supplied by journalist Sandro Borges.

The current report concerning the alleged UFO over the Hermanos Rodriguez Speedway will be discussed by researcher Alfonso Salazar during the "Alta Velocidad" racing program hosted by senior race-car commentator Rodolfo Sanchez Noya on ABC Radio.

Translation (c) 2006. Scott Corrales, IHU
Special thanks to Ana Luisa Cid

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 10:42:09 -0800
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 10:01:42 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak

>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 16:01:59 +0100
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 10:58:06 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>Gildas, I agree. I've had the same thought. Maybe the gouge
>>wasn't from impact at all. If many small pieces of debris in a
>>confined area had been driven into the soil by an explosion, it
>>may have been easier to scoop everything up and sift through it
>>later.

>>Couple the idea with Robert Smith saying he saw a lot of farm
>>soil in the hangar with metal debris as he helped load C-54s.

>Yes, if Robert Smith noticed farm soil in the hangar, together
>with metal debris, it may very well explain why Marcel did not
>see it on Monday: the gouge was not dug yet! If he had seen it,
>he would most probably have mentioned it during his many
>interviews.

>To pursue the idea, perhaps the military, after digging to
>retrieve the portion of soil mixed with small debris, finished
>the job so as to make it look as ordinary as possible, when they
>cleaned the site before leaving. They would not have left a very
>deep gouge anyway. Of course, such a scenario is not proven, but
>is is compatible with all the known facts.

Gen. Exon said he flew over the area months later and still saw
tire tracks and gouges in the terrain. So they may not have
bothered to fill any gouge or gouges, or did so only minimally.
They wouldn't prove anything anyway. Only actual debris would
do that.

Bill Brazel said the "gouge" was maybe 12 inches to 18 inches
deep, and extended down to the hard clay layer underneath. It
also wasn't that wide, maybe 10 feet at the widest points. Soil
erosion from wind and thunderstorms might well be able to fill
that back in, though I would still expect some sort of surface
trace 60 years later.

Just some side questions for Kevin Randle. The Bill Brazel
description comes from your various books. Exactly when did Bill
Brazel provide the gouge dimensions and under what
circumstances? Was it during an on-site visit with you? Do you
have an exact quote, or is it just from notes?

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 11:19:33 -0800
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 10:06:06 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 11:43:50 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 13:10:09 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>Yes I agree with Marcel's observations; this scenario also
>>agrees with the MP's insistence that there was a large section
>>missing from the port side. He described the craft as being
>>shaped like a Manta Ray. As the craft was being lifted, by
>>crane, onto the flatbed, it split in two pieces; two men were
>>able to easily lift them. The Manta Ray shape agrees, in a
>>general way with Arnold's drawings, and the drawings by Bill
>>McDonald which were based on the Kaufmann description, and the
>>William Rhodes photos taken on July 7th, 1947 in Phoenix.

>I can't let this pass. Let me be clear on it. Frank Kaufmann was
>making up his involvement in this case. He offered documentation
>that was clearly forged, using white out and a copy machine, he
>changed his discharge papers to make it look as if he had been a
>master sergeant in intelligence when he was, in fact, a staff
>sergeant in personnel. He told me once that copy machines were
>"as loose as a goose," meaning that he knew how to doctor papers
>to make them look official. He created a letter supposedly
>written by Edwin Easley that is clearly a forgery. He altered
>his tale as certain investigations began to bear fruit.

Hi Kevin,

I agree that Kaufmann's testimony is shaky and is now ignored by most ufologists. I just wanted to point out the similarities to the MP's statements, especially the damage to the port side of the craft.

My discussions with the MP led me to believe he was totally ignorant about many UFO matters, although he had become a member of Updates so he could learn more. He didn't know who Kaufmann was or how he related to the Roswell event, so he wasn't being a copy cat as far as I could tell. He only became involved after he saw the AA presentation on Fox TV.

Although I also have some doubts about Kaufman's account, I found it interesting that the MP's description of the craft's damage matched Kaufman's description and McDonald's drawings.

>Bill McDonald's work, based on his discussions with Kaufmann
>(though the design more closely resembles some of the craft
>being developed at the Skunk Works is certainly interesting),
>must be examined carefully because Kaufmann lied. There is now
>no evidence that he was anything more than a personnel clerk who
>jumped on the Roswell bandwagon for personal gain.....

>So, arguing that the MP's story agrees with Kaufmann's is not
>advancing your cause but weakening it.

I'm not saying that the "MP's story agrees with Kaufmann's" account. There is a similarity between their descriptions of the craft and the damage. I don't think this, by itself, proves or advances the MP's story. There is one other interesting factor we might want to consider. McDonald's drawings of the site are a close match for the site I found. I didn't have them with me to refer to, but when I returned home and reexamined them in detail, there was no mistaking their resemblance to the site I explored. Maybe, as David indicated, we shouldn't be so quick to judge.

>And, for those keeping score at home, the shape of Arnold's
>craft, as seen in his original drawings looks like the heel of a
>boot, but in later drawings has taken on a crescent shape that
>has little resemblance to his first drawings... not that I want
>to begin a long discussion about the Arnold sighting. I'm merely
>making an observation that would tend to suggest that the MP's
>story is in conflict with other testimonies... some of which Ed
>has introduced.

I've asked you before: which witness testimony do you currently believe and what is your scenario for Roswell? As far as I can tell, the MP's story stands alone. Is there anyone you believe?

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

Re: 'UFO: The True Story Of Flying Saucers' -

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 19:28:01 +0000
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 10:08:05 -0500
Subject: Re: 'UFO: The True Story Of Flying Saucers' -

>From: Robert Morningstar <robert_morningstar.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 22:29:03 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: 'UFO: The True Story Of Flying Saucers'

<snip>

Captain Edward J. Ruppelt does not appear in the film.

Wendy Connors
www.fadeddiscs.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 20:13:23 +0000
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 10:09:51 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kasten

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 11:32:43 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 17:49:57 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

><snip>

>>To All Interested in the Gouge at the Foster Impact Site: Dr.
>>Dolman claims in his excavation report that the gouge the team
>>dug was in fact an old coyote hole. Dr. Dolman, of the
>>University of New Mexico - Archaeology Dept., and a contract
>>archaeologist, had the final word. The "gouge" is a coyote hole.
>>Not an artefact of any thing crashing.

>Good Morning, Kathy, List, All -

>This isn't quite right. While digging on the debris field with a
>backhoe, the operator told Dr. Doleman that he "felt" something.
>They examined it and thought it might be the remnants of the
>gouge... except that it wasn't quite in the right location, as
>described by Bill Brazel. There was talk of an old cattle trail
>through there that might have accounted for what the backhoe
>operator 'hit'. So, if Dr. Doleman has now identified that
>artifact as a coyote hole, then so be it... but that just
>doesn't translate into an identification of the gouge as a
>coyote hole.

Kevin and List:

Please see Dr. Doleman's Final Report (in the book Roswell Dig
Diaries, page 237):

"One is that the feature is a large animal burrow (coyote or fox
size) that were serendipitously besected by the SU103 trench. As
noted earlier, a coyote or fox burrow was observed near Study
Unit 8 and is assumed to be typical of such features, exhibiting
a single entrance that enters the ground at an angle of ca. 40-
45 degrees, the same angle as the left side of the SU 103
anomaly. In this scenario, the burrow is old enough to have
collapsed and filled in, and the steeper right side of the
anomaly represents a face created by the initial collapse of the
deposits above the burrow."

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

Re: A "Duh" Moment? - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 23:32:28 -0000
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 10:12:24 -0500
Subject: Re: A "Duh" Moment? - Dickenson

Hello List,

Confession time - think I'm guilty of a big "duh".

For quite a while been looking at evidence of clamp-downs on science research:

<http://preview.tinyurl.com/rx7c3> html

<http://tinyurl.com/y7p5zq> text

also

<http://tinyurl.com/yxvdcf>

a news page - html)

And also looking at evidence of clamp-downs on UFO testimony and research:

<http://tinyurl.com/y86pxx> html

<http://tinyurl.com/y5z7bu> html

There's lots more examples of those few above - no room.

What hadn't hit me was that these strange changes, and more, are seemingly traceable to a point in the 1940's - 1950's. There's been no real paradigm-change allowed since then, and oppression/censorship - especially of professional scientists - has vastly increased.

Just didn't connect the two; probably putting it all down to the stupidity/perversity of politicians & bureaucrats.

Wrong.

Only one thing would make politicians - 'rulers' - lose the selfish incentive for scientific advantage over others:- the certain knowledge that we're outclassed. Maybe reinforced by orders from 'above'?

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: **Eleanor White** <eleanor.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 18:57:58 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 10:16:08 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 20:42:34 +0000
>Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 11:41:30 -0500
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>Greetings to the Listarians, Lurkers and Government Spooks,

>'Tis an interesting question. For my two cents I would say
>Ufology should be focusing on the following areas:

>1. Developing a textbook on Ufology to teach younger generations
>of who, what, when, where and how the subject developed.

Your next project?

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

UFO Digest Article On Black Operations!

From: Lyle Michel <courtroomevidence456.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 20:36:46 -0800
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 10:18:51 -0500
Subject: UFO Digest Article On Black Operations!

<http://www.ufodigest.com/news/1106/coverups.html>

Some of you may wish to check out my article on Black Operations. UFO Digest was kind enough to publish it.

Lyle Michel

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

Re: David Cherniack - Kimball

From: Paul Kimball <TheRobieShark.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 04:28:56 EST
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 10:22:12 -0500
Subject: Re: David Cherniack - Kimball

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 13:14:14 +0000
>Subject: Re: David Cherniack

<snip>

>I agree with David Rudiak. David Cherniack definitely is an
>exception to the rule that documentary producers are cretinous
>media whores. Like Paul Kimball he is intelligent, well-
>informed, and well-intentioned. Since both are Canadian, perhaps
>we should send our U.S. film producers to school in Canada.

>Dick

Dick:

I wish I could be a cretinous media whore - they make a lot more money, and the missus would like a new Infiniti G35, plus a trip to... well, at this point, she'll take a trip to anywhere outside of the Maritimes.

Seriously, though...

Thanks for the kind words. Cherniak is much further up the food chain in the Canadian film & television biz, so it's nice to be compared to him. I'm looking forward to seeing his film when it's done.

Speaking of films getting done...

The original delivery date for Best Evidence was Fall 2006, but it's been pushed back until early January, which means an airdate/release date sometime in March probably. I should have had it finished by now, but I'm crossing the proverbial T's and dotting the proverbial I's.

Folks will be able to see Dick in the film, in a couple of different segments, as he was kind enough to be agree to be interviewed - and a fine interview it was! Alas, given a one hour, top ten format, there will be lots of stuff we won't be able to use, but I'll be sure to put sizeable dollops of it on the DVD when it comes out, and some of the really good stuff up on YouTube at some point, so people can access at least some stuff for free (that goes for all of the interviews).

We've secured a British distributor for the film, with a pre-sale outside Canada already (New Zealand), so this is one film that hopefully will wind up everywhere, and spark some real debate.

Other notables who were interviewed were Bruce Maccabee, Brad Sparks (!), Nick Pope, Stuart Miller, Mac Tonnie, Karl Pflock, and... well, some surprises - all of whom were officers in the United States military at one point or another.

After all, 'best evidence' means 'best witnesses'.

Best regards,

Paul Kimball

www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com

P.S. Before you enthuse too much about we Canuck filmmakers, just remember that Peter Jennings was a Canadian, born and bred. While I happened to like his Seeing Is Believing doc, and thought it good work (especially the first hour), I know that view is not shared in all ufological quarters. :-)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

Re: Cosford 1993 - McGonagle

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 16:28:38 +0000
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:36:42 -0500
Subject: Re: Cosford 1993 - McGonagle

>From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 15:55:33 EST
>Subject: Cosford 1993 [was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?]

>>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 03:20:31 +0000
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

Hello Brad, List,

<snip>

>>The '31' is the date, 01:10 the time, and Z indicates the
>>timezone used. The 30 seconds is the duration of the sighting.
>>At face value, this related to the report of a sighting taking
>>place at 00:10 local time. ...
>
>That is incorrect. A 0110 Z time or GMT/UTC is 0210 BST (British
>Summer Time), the local time then in effect, not 0010.

You're absolutely right, I was probably still thinking about
resetting my bathroom clock when I wrote that - it just goes to
show how confusing the time correction can be!

<snip>

>As Don Ledger has rightly pointed out there are serious problems
>trying to fit this Cornwall police Sergeant's sighting report to
>the Cosmos 2238 rocket re-entry.

>The Sergeant said he was driving on road A38 at Looe Mills just
>past Liskeard heading towards Dobwalls, which by reference to a
>map is seen as heading NW (northwest). The geodetic map
>co-ordinates are easily determined to be about 50-27.4 N,
>4-29.5 W.

The map at a reasonable scale can be viewed at the following link:

<http://tinyurl.com/yf373b>

The witness provided a grid reference, if you enter "SX230650"
in the multimap "find" field, it will indicate where this is.

>The Sergeant said he sighted two lights at about his 2 o'clock
>position (60 degrees to his right) or at "due North" as his
>report states. The two lights started moving towards him,
>appearing to ascend in angular elevation because they were
>headed to come straight overhead. As they did he noticed they
>had moved to his left to about the 1 o'clock position and at
>this point he saw luminous trails being left behind. He stopped

Okay, so far, though it must be remembered that he was driving a
moving car at the time.

>his patrol car and got out to watch. They were "curving overhead
>and heading due South." Then he watched as "they passed
>directly overhead at '12 o'clock high.'" He spotted a third

>object from its vapor trail.

From the standing position, he would have needed to crane his neck to the maximum. Even if it was not directly over him, he could very easily have got the impression that it did. I find that I get quite disoriented when observing aircraft passing "directly over" me, especially at speed. I hope that I'm not alone in that?

>The objects were "on a dead straight _North_South_ course"
>traveling at about the angular velocity of a "high flying
>passenger jet" which is easily calculated to be about 1-1/2
>degrees per second, which means for a total sighting duration of
>about 45-60 seconds, about 60 to 90 degrees of arc of sky
>covered from the north to overhead which seems about right and
>provides a check on consistency of sighting details which the
>witness would have had no idea how to bias or fabricate. The
>objects disappeared behind the "high ground" of the Lantoom
>Quarry to his _left_ to the _West_.

As the object passed Southwards, he would have turned to face it. this would place his left to the East, not the West as I read it. I am not suggesting at all that he fabricated the description, but he is after all a human, and not a precision tool.

>The problem for a Cosmos 2238 rocket explanation aside from the
>question of the clock time, is that in Cornwall the reentry

I don't think there is any question in relation to the clock time? The Policeman reports that the time was 01:10. He doesn't specify A or Z time, but normal practice for him would be to express the time as A - BST. This being so, the signal must be in error.

>track was far to the EAST and the course was toward the
>_Southeast_. Moreover, even if the police Sergeant had his
>cardinal directions off by 45 degrees and it was actually coming
>toward him from say the NE not the N, it still would not even
>come close to accounting for moving from his 2 o'clock to his 1
>o'clock to his 12 o'clock then appearing directly _overhead_ of
>him in Cornwall, heading _Southwest_ in that case not
>_Southeast_, disappearing behind high terrain of the Lantoom
>Quarry to the _West_. This is entirely the wrong part of the
>sky.

He gave his estimates of direction (2 o'clock, 1 o'clock) from a moving car (driven by him), which was moving around a reasonably sharp bend to the left. He had to retain control of the car while he made his observations, so there is every reason to expect that his estimates are very approximate and fleeting.

To maintain view of an object that may have been as high as 50 Km (>150,000 feet) as it passed level with his position, even if it was some distance to one side or another would require that he craned his head to the maximum. This would give the impression that the object was directly over his position, even if it wasn't. See my comment above about him turning to face south, with East to his left.

We know there was a re-entry over the general area at the exact time of his report. He doesn't mention seeing any other events shortly before or after this report. What reason is there to think that this was anything other than the re-entry?

>Also, I am still waiting to read the accounts of actual amateur
>satellite observers as to what they saw when the Cosmos 2238
>rocket stage decayed. We should have that as a control and a
>check on the other sightings that night. We should also have a

I don't know where you are expecting to get these from, possibly from astronomical journals from the time? I don't have any ready access to them.

>_map_ of the ground trace of the Cosmos 2238 rocket's course so
>we can see how far away and in what directions it was in from
>various observers and alleged observers. Did satellite observers
>see 2-3 main objects as the rocket perhaps broke up? If they did
>_not_ see any breakup then that would make the Cornwall
>Sergeant's sighting even more anomalous and discrepant from the
>Cosmos 2238 rocket reentry.

A map may be of some help, but the way that the re-entry path is calculated inherently creates a margin of error, the scale of which I am ignorant. The trajectory calculation is based on spot observations (by radar or visually) and projected from the last series of observations. The calculations can only attempt to compensate for change in mass or direction following break-up, or buffeting effects on re-entry. The resulting ground track may have a margin of error in the order of +/- 30 miles at a guess. Gary may comment further on this, if he does I will convey his comments to this List. Others on this List may be able to offer a more qualified comment than I can.

[Note: since writing the above, Gary Anthony advises that the ground track would be far narrower based on his repeated calculations, only 3-6 miles wide]

Cheers,

Joe

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

Ufologyuk [was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 16:33:48 +0000
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:41:51 -0500
Subject: Ufologyuk [was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 19:36:16 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

<snip>

>Okay Martin, not that I think this is going to interest you or
>concern you in the slightest but perhaps you could consider
>this;

>Ufologyuk is considered the heart of sensible ufological
>discussion in Britain. At one point its membership numbered over
>1,100. It is true that many of those were spam generated
>memberships and Joe was pruning a lot of it out. Nevertheless,
>there were a few hundred real members left. When the List
>migrated to its own server, 65 people made the effort to go with
>it. 65. Why do you think that was?

Hello Stuart, List,

I'd be interested to know your thoughts on this Stuart. For nearly 12 months, Smartgroups was suffering postal delays of up to 3 weeks. I think that part of the reason for Smartgroups' problems was due to spam or virus generated false subscriptions - the sheer volume generated so many bounces that Smartgroups systems couldn't cope with it.

These delays will in themselves have caused people to lose interest - you can't have a meaningful discussion with erratic delays of 3 weeks.

Before migrating the List (I took the decision in January of this year), I needed to remove any obsolete or otherwise invalid email addresses. This involved sending out messages to each of the 1,500+ registered members. After a couple of batches of messages, I discovered the scale of the problem - in the order of 80% of the messages bounced! To prevent further false subscriptions, I made the List "invitation only", meaning that I had to approve new subscriptions manually. In doing so I prevented in the order of 1000 new false subscriptions.

By the time I had sent out all of the validation messages and allowed time for them to respond before removing the ones which didn't either respond positively or bounce, I finished up with 214 valid registered members. This was at great personal effort and investment of valuable time.

This is the second (and I hope the last) time that the List has migrated, and on each occasion, a solid core of people have taken the trouble to re-register. To my knowledge, no other UFO-related List has survived such trauma.

On November the 1st (18 days ago) I migrated the List. Membership currently stands at 85.

There are many possible reasons why the remainder haven't yet migrated. I could enumerate them here but it seems that I don't need to, as you somehow know the single reason why in the order

Ufologyuk [was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing

of 130 individuals have as yet not migrated. Please let me in on the secret.

Regards,

Joe

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 15:29:20 +0000
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:44:38 -0500
Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 18:57:58 -0500
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 20:42:34 +0000
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today? -

<snip>

>1. Developing a textbook on Ufology to teach younger generations
>of who, what, when, where and how the subject developed.

>>_Your_ next project?

No. I've got my plate full with thousands of recordings that need preservation and I won't live long enough to complete the audio project. I'd vote for Richard Hall and Jerry Clark to write the textbook.

Wendy Connors
www.fadeddiscs.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:06:17 EST
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:49:26 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 08:48:59 -0800
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:27:22 EST
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>>the MP said that two soldiers were easily able
>>>to lift the craft; I used the Wilmons' estimate of between 400-
>>>500 MPH.

>>Why do you assume that the object Wilmon saw was the one
>>that crashed or that Wilmon's estimate of speed is correct?

>Of course there is no way to know for sure, but his testimony
>fits with the other information (Woodys), and a trajectory
>toward the Foster ranch can be calculated from his observation
>that the object disappeared over six-mile hill.

And another question, why does everyone seem to believe the
crash was in a straight line? Since this was a piloted craft, we
certainly could expect it to maneuver or not, as the pilot
chose.

<snip>

>>>>but the point here is doubt all you
>>>>want, but the fact remains, Bill Brazel showed Schmitt and me
>>>>where he found debris and where the gouge was.

>>What happened to the gouge? Change of terrain comes slowly in
>>the desert.

>>Grassed over, according to Bill Brazel... took about two years
>>before the grass all came back.

>Sorry I don't believe that. If there were a gouge, it would be
>apparent and easily seen for many years, maybe hundreds. Besides
>the material was too light to cause a gouge. It was in bits and
>pieces when it hit the ground.

According to Bill, the gouge wasn't all that deep. If you have
dug on that specific field, you'd know that the top soil (and by
this I mean the loose dirt) only goes down about 18 inches.
After that there is a much more compacted, tougher clay that it
difficult to dig through. So, believe, or don't, but that is the
testimony from one of the few witnesses who hasn't seemed to
embellish his tale and who was actually on the debris field.

>>>>We walked the
>>>>site. With CUFOS we made an archaeological site survey looking
>>>>for evidence. We flew over the site and took video tape looking
>>>>for signs of the gouge. We used metal detectors, we dug into the
>>>>nests of ground dwelling animals, among the roots of plants that
>>>>looked to be old enough, and we plotted the prevailing winds in
>>>>an attempt to locate debris that might have been blown about by
>>>>the winds including fence lines several miles distant. So we
>>>>looked for signs of the disruption and failed to find anything
>>>>definitive.

>>>Maybe you didn't know what to look for. I'm not being negative
>>>or smart-assed but experience is important. Were there any
>>>desert rats or rock hounds on your team?

>>I tried to make it clear that we had tried many different ways
>>to locate the debris and now this. Well, how about two trained
>>archaeologists on the team, not to mention another who studied
>>anthropology and many discussions with the residents of the area
>>such as Bill Brazel, Tommy Tyree, Loretta Proctor, people at
>>various rock shops, the BLM, the ranch owners and the ranch
>>foreman?

>I'm not convinced. A fifty year old gouge would be easily seen.
>That's the type of disruption of the desert terrain that's hard
>to repair.

Same argument as above... although there was talk that we might
be able to use infrared film that would detect the disturbance
even after all this time. But the gouge wasn't all that deep and
according to what Bill Brazel told me on the field, not all that
wide. Maybe ten feet at its widest and tapering toward the ends.

<snip>

>>>No I don't want you to release his name; all I wanted was
>>>verification from you that the name he used was an actual MP, in
>>>Squadron T.

>>Actually, Squadron T was not an MP unit. Those were the 1395 MP
>>Company and 390th Air Service Squadron. The MP Company was
>>responsible for patrolling the town, etc. and the Service
>>Squadron responsible for base security. Both units fell under
>>the direction of the provost marshal, Edwin Easley.

>The MP indicated that he had guard duty at the gates. He was
>on the base most of the time.

Yes, but you see, Squadron T had no responsibility for the MP
functions and members of Squadron T were not MP's, which is not
to say that they might not have supplied soldiers for some of
these tasks... but your other problem is that your guy is a
sergeant and they rarely, if ever, would be assigned guard duty.
Sergeant of the Guard, yes... but not standing around at the
gate.

<snip>

>>>The MP's story doesn't conflict with Mac's original testimony in
>>>any way.

>>I didn't say it did. I said it conflicted with others...

>And "which others" are those? As far as I know, the MP's
>testimony is in a class by itself. Which witnesses do you
>believe? Is there anyone with a coherent story besides the MP?

Well, many of the witnesses tell coherent stories. That's
certainly not a criterion for determining the truth. However,
your boy's story conflicts with Glenn Dennis who is the one who
talked about hands with four fingers and no thumbs, your friend
Kaufmann who gave them five fingers and who, when shown the
alien autopsy film pointed out many features that were incorrect
(which I mention only because you seem to think Kaufmann might
have known something). Len Stringfield, in his Crash Retrieval
Reports wrote a description of the aliens that included only
four fingers and no opposable thumb (which matches Dennis'
description). Anna Willmon, (as opposed to Wilmot) said that the
bodies she saw were normal and the hands and feet were normal,
meaning five digits rather than four or six.

I think you can look at the testimonies provided by Bill Brazel, Loretta Proctor, Marian Strickland, Jesse Marcel (Sr. and Jr.), Edwin Easley, Patrick Saunders, Arthur Exon, Thomas DuBose, Robert Smith, Pappy Henderson (or actually Sappho), Phyllis McGuire, Elizabeth Tulk, Frankie Rowe... do I need to go on or is this list sufficient.

Of course the MP Story conflicts with some of the documentation. While your MP is in the Yearbook, he was assigned to neither of the MP units, but Squadron T... so there is a conflict for you.

>>>The MP and Mac were involved with separate events.
>>>Mac's site was almost exactly five miles from the MP's site.
>>>Their recoveries took place at different times. The MP's craft
>>>and creatures were found and recovered on the 3rd & 4th of July,
>>>and Mac's debris was collected on the 8th & 9th of July.

>>Which, ironically, is the same suggestion that Kaufmann made, or
>>rather, that the bodies were found on July 4... of course, we
>>know that Kaufmann was making up his involvement.

>No it isn't the same. The MP said that the recovery started on
>the 3rd of July and was completed by the morning of the 4th of
>July.

Well, Kaufmann had them cleaning the area on July 4 and he provided documentation. Your guy, as I understand it, is relying on his memory... If he has some documentation, that would certainly help you out here. If not, then he could certainly be off by a day or two.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:16:10 EST
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:50:29 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 20:13:23 +0000
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 11:32:43 EST
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 17:49:57 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

><snip>

>>>To All Interested in the Gouge at the Foster Impact Site: Dr.
>>>Dolman claims in his excavation report that the gouge the team
>>>dug was in fact an old coyote hole. Dr. Dolman, of the
>>>University of New Mexico - Archaeology Dept., and a contract
>>>archaeologist, had the final word. The "gouge" is a coyote hole.
>>>Not an artefact of any thing crashing.

>>Good Morning, Kathy, List, All -

>>This isn't quite right. While digging on the debris field with a
>>backhoe, the operator told Dr. Doleman that he "felt" something.
>>They examined it and thought it might be the remnants of the
>>gouge... except that it wasn't quite in the right location, as
>>described by Bill Brazel. There was talk of an old cattle trail
>>through there that might have accounted for what the backhoe
>>operator 'hit'. So, if Dr. Doleman has now identified that
>>artifact as a coyote hole, then so be it... but that just
>>doesn't translate into an identification of the gouge as a
>>coyote hole.

>Kevin and List:

>Please see Dr. Doleman's Final Report (in the book Roswell Dig
>Diaries, page 237):

>"One is that the feature is a large animal burrow (coyote or fox
>size) that were serendipitously beseected by the SU103 trench. As
>noted earlier, a coyote or fox burrow was observed near Study
>Unit 8 and is assumed to be typical of such features, exhibiting
>a single entrance that enters the ground at an angle of ca. 40-
>45 degrees, the same angle as the left side of the SU 103
>anomaly. In this scenario, the burrow is old enough to have
>collapsed and filled in, and the steeper right side of the
>anomaly represents a face created by the initial collapse of the
>deposits above the burrow."

Let's see if I can make this clearer. Dr. Doleman identified
what he thought might be a remnant of the gouge, but then
identified this area as a coyote burrow. Okay. Got it.

However, what he described is not what Bill Brazel described

because Brazel told me that the gouge was about five hundred yards long and about ten feet across at its widest and that it was no more than twelve to eighteen inches deep. I don't even know if Dr. Doleman was digging in the area that Bill Brazel pointed out to me. But the size, based on what Bill Brazel said would rule out a coyote burrow.

So, I agree that Dr. Doleman found what he thought might have been a remnant of the gouge, his research indicated that what he found was a coyote hole, but that does not mean that the gouge witnessed by Bill Brazel, Arthur Exon and others was a coyote hole, especially given the dimensions.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:39:27 EST
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 17:22:45 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 10:42:09 -0800
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 16:01:59 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 10:58:06 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>To pursue the idea, perhaps the military, after digging to
>>retrieve the portion of soil mixed with small debris, finished
>>the job so as to make it look as ordinary as possible, when they
>>cleaned the site before leaving. They would not have left a very
>>deep gouge anyway. Of course, such a scenario is not proven, but
>>is is compatible with all the known facts.

>Gen. Exon said he flew over the area months later and still saw
>tire tracks and gouges in the terrain. So they may not have
>bothered to fill any gouge or gouges, or did so only minimally.
>They wouldn't prove anything anyway. Only actual debris would
>do that.

>Bill Brazel said the "gouge" was maybe 12 inches to 18 inches
>deep, and extended down to the hard clay layer underneath. It
>also wasn't that wide, maybe 10 feet at the widest points. Soil
>erosion from wind and thunderstorms might well be able to fill
>that back in, though I would still expect some sort of surface
>trace 60 years later.

>Just some side questions for Kevin Randle. The Bill Brazel
>description comes from your various books. Exactly when did Bill
>Brazel provide the gouge dimensions and under what
>circumstances? Was it during an on-site visit with you? Do you
>have an exact quote, or is it just from notes?

Good Morning, David, Gildas, All -

We were standing on a hillside looking down at open area and he pointed out to us (meaning Don Schmitt and me) where the gouge began, how long it was, how deep it was, and how wide it was. We were probably no more than a hundred yards from where the gouge had been. I just noted the size in my notes as we were standing out there. This was on our second visit to Brazel, after we'd met him in Carrizozo several weeks earlier. He decided at that time that he would show us the location of the gouge and give us what information he could about what he had seen and done.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 20](#)

Re: David Cherniack - Clark

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:08:51 -0600
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 17:24:20 -0500
Subject: Re: David Cherniack - Clark

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 13:10:35 -0800
>Subject: David Cherniack [was: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings]

>>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 18:43:04 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

>>>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 00:22:12 +0000
>>>>Subject: Re: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings

>Bottom line: If David calls for an interview, don't worry about
>getting burned and prepare yourself for interesting day of
>conversation.

Allow me to second David Rudiak's words. David Cherniack and I have spoken over the phone sporadically for years - we were mutual friends of the late Marcello Truzzi - but we didn't meet in person till last summer, when he came all the way out to rural southwestern Minnesota to interview me for the same in-progress UFO documentary David R. is talking about.

I am deeply cynical about participation in cable-TV shows. I practically never watch them anymore and scarcely ever even acknowledge inquiries from them. In my view, they are doing no good for anybody who's serious about UFO study and its prospects for scientific respect.

Unlike those responsible for the just-stated, David C. is a serious, thoughtful filmmaker. His film Reservation, which concerns a year in the life of a tiny Indian tribe in British Columbia, is one of the most powerful documentaries I've ever seen. I'm stunned, too, at how he won the trust of these people to tell such an up-close, intimate, and ultimately heartbreaking story.

We spent a few hours together in a park just outside the small town where I reside, picking up on such on-going topics of phone conversation as our mutual obsessions with Deadwood (the HBO series), politics, and the like. I have every expectation that David Cherniack's UFO documentary will be very fine and smart indeed, and something eagerly to be anticipated.

Jerry Clark

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

Re: D.C. Thrope? - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 17:26:47 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 07:18:57 -0500
Subject: Re: D.C. Thrope? - Friedman

List:

I would be grateful if anybody can private contact information and bio for a D.C. Thrope who was editor of the IDEAL UFO magazine a number of years ago and wrote about battles between fighters and UFOs. Would like his full name and any other info.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Houran

From: James Houran <gemamant.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 14:42:01 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 07:36:05 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Houran

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:39:27 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>This was on our second visit to Brazel, after we'd met him in
>Carrizozo several weeks earlier. He decided at that time that he
>would show us the location of the gouge and give us what
>information he could about what he had seen and done.

Hi Kevin,

I was curious if you think, as you now think back to your visits
with Brazel, that Brazel had any reason(s) to deliberately
mislead you about the details about the gouge? I ask given the
reported threats lodged at Brazel by authorities.

Many thanks!

James Houran

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 14:49:30 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 07:40:20 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:06:17 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 08:48:59 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 12:27:22 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>>Date: Wed, 15 Nov 2006 17:16:33 -0800
>>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>Of course there is no way to know for sure, but his testimony
>>fits with the other information (Woodys), and a trajectory
>>toward the Foster ranch can be calculated from his observation
>>that the object disappeared over six-mile hill.

>And another question, why does everyone seem to believe the
>crash was in a straight line? Since this was a piloted craft, we
>certainly could expect it to maneuver or not, as the pilot
>chose.

Hi Kevin,

Yes, under normal circumstances that's correct, but can't we
also contend that the craft was malfunctioning in some way and
perhaps the pilots didn't have complete control?

I don't understand your point. The Foster ranch, where the
debris-field you studied is located, is in a direct line with
Six-mile Hill and the Wilmot home and the Woody ranch. Woody
wasn't sure about the date but other information he supplied
indicates that both he and the Wilmots saw the same object on
July 2nd, during the late evening.

Paul's testimony certainly needs to be considered, and
buttresses the argument for a midair explosion, over the Foster
ranch. That same explosion was heard by Mack, late in the
evening during a rain storm. He found the debris the following
day. Is there any reason why we can't agree to this?

I don't have any argument with the Foster ranch location for the
debris Mack found. I guess our disagreement is whether there was
a midair explosion. What do you think happened?

>>>>>but the point here is doubt all you
>>>>>want, but the fact remains, Bill Brazel showed Schmitt and me
>>>>>where he found debris and where the gouge was.

>>>What happened to the gouge? Change of terrain comes slowly in
>>>the desert.

>>>Grassed over, according to Bill Brazel... took about two years
>>>before the grass all came back.

>>Sorry I don't believe that. If there were a gouge, it would be
>>apparent and easily seen for many years, maybe hundreds. Besides
>>the material was too light to cause a gouge. It was in bits and
>>pieces when it hit the ground.

>According to Bill, the gouge wasn't all that deep. If you have
>dug on that specific field, you'd know that the top soil (and by
>this I mean the loose dirt) only goes down about 18 inches.
>After that there is a much more compacted, tougher clay that it
>difficult to dig through. So, believe, or don't, but that is the
>testimony from one of the few witnesses who hasn't seemed to
>embellish his tale and who was actually on the debris field.

My problem with a gouge is that it implies a solid object
hitting the ground with such impact that it digs up the dirt and
sand and leaves a disrupted desert surface. I don't believe that
happened and if it had, there would still be visible evidence of
that disruption. Mack didn't mention severe burning or indicate
an explosion. Most witnesses who described the debris field said
the material appeared to be dropped or scattered from above. I
think all this evidence adds up to a midair explosion directly
over the Foster Ranch.

<snip>

>>I'm not convinced. A fifty year old gouge would be easily seen.
>>That's the type of disruption of the desert terrain that's hard
>>to repair.

>Same argument as above... although there was talk that we might
>be able to use infrared film that would detect the disturbance
>even after all this time. But the gouge wasn't all that deep and
>according to what Bill Brazel told me on the field, not all that
>wide. Maybe ten feet at its widest and tapering toward the ends.

I don't understand. What would finding a gouge in the desert
prove? What's the point of all this? I think it would be great
if we could agree on the exact location of the debris field, and
I think it might be fruitful to search for overlooked debris. I
would love to spend a day there, but the general location was
sufficient for my calculations. I was trying to figure out where
the rest of it came down.

><snip>

>>>>No I don't want you to release his name; all I wanted was
>>>>verification from you that the name he used was an actual MP, in
>>>>Squadron T.

>>>Actually, Squadron T was not an MP unit. Those were the 1395 MP
>>>Company and 390th Air Service Squadron. The MP Company was
>>>responsible for patrolling the town, etc. and the Service
>>>Squadron responsible for base security. Both units fell under
>>>the direction of the provost marshal, Edwin Easley.

>>The MP indicated that he had guard duty at the gates. He was
>>on the base most of the time.

>Yes, but you see, Squadron T had no responsibility for the MP
>functions and members of Squadron T were not MP's, which is not
>to say that they might not have supplied soldiers for some of
>these tasks... but your other problem is that your guy is a
>sergeant and they rarely, if ever, would be assigned guard duty.
>Sergeant of the Guard, yes... but not standing around at the
>gate.

Yes he was at the gate. He may have been in charge, I don't
think he was just standing around. He did whatever the members
of Squadron T were assigned to do. There were ten or eleven Sgts
in the squadron, and about the same number of PFCs, and seven
Cpls. Somebody had to man the gate. Or are you implying that the
person who wrote me was using this MP's name and simply feeding
me a load of BS?

><snip>

>>>>The MP's story doesn't conflict with Mac's original testimony in

>>>>any way.

>>>I didn't say it did. I said it conflicted with others...

>>And "which others" are those? As far as I know, the MP's
>>testimony is in a class by itself. Which witnesses do you
>>believe? Is there anyone with a coherent story besides the MP?

>Well, many of the witnesses tell coherent stories. That's
>certainly not a criterion for determining the truth. However,
>your boy's story conflicts with Glenn Dennis who is the one who
>talked about hands with four fingers and no thumbs,

Yes but he was not an eye witness. He didn't see anything
himself.

>your friend Kaufmann.

I never met him but have watched his testimony on many occasions
and read his accounts. He's not important to me, but I do have
an open mind since I tend to think of him as a double agent who
gave some valuable, solid evidence, mixed with misinformation.

>who gave them five fingers and who, when shown the
>alien autopsy film pointed out many features that were incorrect

Yes this is an example of the misinformation.

>(which I mention only because you seem to think Kaufmann might
>have known something).

Yes I think he knew his part in the drama.

>Len Stringfield, in his Crash Retrieval
>Reports wrote a description of the aliens that included only
>four fingers and no opposable thumb (which matches Dennis'
>description).

Where did Len get his information?

>Anna Willmon, (as opposed to Wilmot) said that the
>bodies she saw were normal and the hands and feet were normal,
>meaning five digits rather than four or six.

I don't know her.

>I think you can look at the testimonies provided by Bill Brazel,
>Loretta Proctor, Marian Strickland, Jesse Marcel (Sr. and Jr.),
>Edwin Easley, Patrick Saunders, Arthur Exon, Thomas DuBose,
>Robert Smith, Pappy Henderson (or actually Sappho), Phyllis
>McGuire, Elizabeth Tulk, Frankie Rowe... do I need to go on or
>is this list sufficient.

Yes they all may have a part of the truth but none have a
complete story as the MP does. He was there, an eye witness. His
testimony is about the crashed craft and should not be mixed
with the Foster ranch witnesses.

There is another MP from Squadron "T": Thomas Gonzales. Do you
remember him?

<http://dSPACE.dial.pipex.com/ritson/scispi/roswell/reporter.htm>

You wrote: "Thanks to the efforts and cooperation of John Price
of The UFO Enigma Museum in Roswell and Don Ecker of UFO
Magazine, we have yet another first hand witness to the
recovered bodies.

Thomas L. Gonzales, a sergeant with Squadron T. of the 509th
Bomb Group, was one of those assigned to guard the impact site.
He has corroborated its location close to Roswell and the
retrieval of bodies which he called, "little men."

Gonzales described them as having eyes and heads that were
slightly larger than human. He also referred to the crashed
object as an "airfoil."

Did anyone ever follow up with Sgt. Gonzales? Is he still alive?

>Of course the MP Story conflicts with some of the documentation.
>While your MP is in the Yearbook, he was assigned to neither of

>the MP units, but Squadron T... so there is a conflict for you.

It isn't for me; for some reason I fail to grasp, I can see it is for you. He never caimed this single incident was anything but what it was - a one night assignment that he never could forget. On the night of July 3rd, he and some other MP were loaded into jeeps and driven for three hours to the crash site. They stood guard that night and next morning. Then they returned to the base. He never bragged or made it more than it was.

>>>>The MP and Mac were involved with separate events.
>>>>Mac's site was almost exactly five miles from the MP's site.
>>>>Their recoveries took place at different times. The MP's craft
>>>>and creatures were found and recovered on the 3rd & 4th of July,
>>>>and Mac's debris was collected on the 8th & 9th of July.

>>>Which, ironically, is the same suggestion that Kaufmann made, or
>>>rather, that the bodies were found on July 4... of course, we
>>>know that Kaufmann was making up his involvement.

>>No it isn't the same. The MP said that the recovery started on
>>the 3rd of July and was completed by the morning of the 4th of
>>July.

>Well, Kaufmann had them cleaning the area on July 4 and he
>provided documentation.

The morning of July 4th is still the 4th. The MP's dates agree with Kaufman's date. Where is the conflict?

>Your guy, as I understand it, is relying
>on his memory... If he has some documentation, that would
>certainly help you out here. If not, then he could certainly be
>off by a day or two.

Why would that be necessary? I don't see the problem.

How is the MP off "by a day or two". They both agree that cleanup was happening on the 4th. The MP says it also happened on the 3rd of July. He only knows what he could know and yes they may have found more bodies on the 4th, but this doesn't discredit his testimony. He would have no way of knowing anything but what he observed with his own eyes or in his discussions with Pappy Henderson.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

Re: David Cherniack - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 20:54:43 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 08:00:05 -0500
Subject: Re: David Cherniack - Friedman

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:08:51 -0600
>Subject: Re: David Cherniack

>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 13:10:35 -0800
>>Subject: David Cherniack [was: US History Channel UFO-Related Listings]

<snip>

>>Bottom line: If David calls for an interview, don't worry about
>>getting burned and prepare yourself for interesting day of
>>conversation.

>Allow me to second David Rudiak's words. David Cherniack and I
>have spoken over the phone sporadically for years - we were
>mutual friends of the late Marcello Truzzi - but we didn't meet
>in person till last summer, when he came all the way out to
>rural southwestern Minnesota to interview me for the same in-
>progress UFO documentary David R. is talking about.

>I am deeply cynical about participation in cable-TV shows. I
>practically never watch them anymore and scarcely ever even
>acknowledge inquiries from them. In my view, they are doing no
>good for anybody who's serious about UFO study and its prospects
>for scientific respect.

>Unlike those responsible for the just-stated, David C. is a
>serious, thoughtful filmmaker. His film Reservation, which
>concerns a year in the life of a tiny Indian tribe in British
>Columbia, is one of the most powerful documentaries I've ever
>seen. I'm stunned, too, at how he won the trust of these people
>to tell such an up-close, intimate, and ultimately heartbreaking
>story.

>We spent a few hours together in a park just outside the small
>town where I reside, picking up on such on-going topics of phone
>conversation as our mutual obsessions with Deadwood (the HBO
>series), politics, and the like. I have every expectation that
>David Cherniack's UFO documentary will be very fine and smart
>indeed, and something eagerly to be anticipated.

I must add my vote of confidence to the others having had many
contacts with David over the years. He did a fine documentary on
abductions many years ago, for example. He is both knowledgeable
and very honest.

I must also say that the Torontonians that did a Roswell show
for the Discover Channel a couple of years ago did a good job as
well.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:28:46 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 08:04:23 -0500
Subject: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

Hello List,

Thanks to ICIS for sending this Google.ca URL, don't know how long it'll stay active:

<http://tinyurl.com/yxwxv8>

It's the google-video featuring Art Bell's 1997 interview with Colonel Corso - with confirmation of his background and personal history by Dr. Alexander who had the military access for checking.

Personally, it seems to me that most of what I'd heard about Col. Corso was plain wrong - disinformation for the purpose of discrediting him. Interview was well worth listening to.

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 20:19:02 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 08:06:53 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 11:19:33 -0800
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 18 Nov 2006 11:43:50 EST
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 13:10:09 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>Yes I agree with Marcel's observations; this scenario also
>>>agrees with the MP's insistence that there was a large section
>>>missing from the port side. He described the craft as being
>>>shaped like a Manta Ray. As the craft was being lifted, by
>>>crane, onto the flatbed, it split in two pieces; two men were
>>>able to easily lift them. The Manta Ray shape agrees, in a
>>>general way with Arnold's drawings, and the drawings by Bill
>>>McDonald which were based on the Kaufmann description, and the
>>>William Rhodes photos taken on July 7th, 1947 in Phoenix.

>>I can't let this pass. Let me be clear on it. Frank Kaufmann was
>>making up his involvement in this case. He offered documentation
>>that was clearly forged, using white out and a copy machine, he
>>changed his discharge papers to make it look as if he had been a
>>master sergeant in intelligence when he was, in fact, a staff
>>sergeant in personnel. He told me once that copy machines were
>>"as loose as a goose," meaning that he knew how to doctor papers
>>to make them look official. He created a letter supposedly
>>written by Edwin Easley that is clearly a forgery. He altered
>>his tale as certain investigations began to bear fruit.

>Hi Kevin,

>I agree that Kaufmann's testimony is shaky and is now ignored by
>most ufologists. I just wanted to point out the similarities to
>the MP's statements, especially the damage to the port side of
>the craft.

Ed, List, All,

But if Kaufmann was making it up, this does nothing to
corroborate your MP. This is coincidence at best.

>My discussions with the MP led me to believe he was totally
>ignorant about many UFO matters, although he had become a member
>of Updates so he could learn more. He didn't know who Kaufmann
>was or how he related to the Roswell event, so he wasn't being a
>copy cat as far as I could tell. He only became involved after
>he saw the AA presentation on Fox TV.

Let's see if I understand this. He was totally ignorant about
many UFO matters but he watched the AA on FOX TV. Not to mention
he was smart enough to find UFO UpDates and to figure out that
you were a champion of the AA while many of us (contrary to the

editing done by FOX) were not so enthusiastic. That suggests he might have been a little more literate than you give him credit for. I know Gerald Anderson pleaded the same sort of ignorance, but as you checked his conversations carefully, you found that he was more in tune with the UFO field than you might think.

>Although I also have some doubts about Kaufman's account, I
>found it interesting that the MP's description of the craft's
>damage matched Kaufman's description and McDonald's drawings.

Yes, I find that interesting too, especially with what we know about Kaufmann now.

>>Bill McDonald's work, based on his discussions with Kaufmann
>>(though the design more closely resembles some of the craft
>>being developed at the Skunk Works is certainly interesting),
>>must be examined carefully because Kaufmann lied. There is now
>>no evidence that he was anything more than a personnel clerk who
>>jumped on the Roswell bandwagon for personal gain.....

>>So, arguing that the MP's story agrees with Kaufmann's is not
>>advancing your cause but weakening it.

>I'm not saying that the "MP's story agrees with Kaufmann's"
>account. There is a similarity between their descriptions of the
>craft and the damage. I don't think this, by itself, proves or
>advances the MP's story. There is one other interesting factor
>we might want to consider. McDonald's drawings of the site are a
>close match for the site I found. I didn't have them with me to
>refer to, but when I returned home and reexamined them in
>detail, there was no mistaking their resemblance to the site I
>explored. Maybe, as David indicated, we shouldn't be so quick to
>judge.

If you can find any evidence that Kaufmann was giving us a version of the truth that was not manufactured by him, I would be surprised. Yes, he said things that we all wanted to hear, he talked of cover up at the highest levels, but the truth is, he had no special intelligence training, he had no special skills that would have put him in a position to know of anything, and he wasn't even in the Army in July 1947. He was a civilian employee at the base, working as a clerk in the personnel office. He would have no reason to be involved. So, unless you have something I don't have, if you can show that Kaufmann had some special knowledge, then I don't think we should waste any more time on his tales. Stan Friedman and Karl Pflock were right on this one.

>>And, for those keeping score at home, the shape of Arnold's
>>craft, as seen in his original drawings looks like the heel of a
>>boot, but in later drawings has taken on a crescent shape that
>>has little resemblance to his first drawings... not that I want
>>to begin a long discussion about the Arnold sighting. I'm merely
>>making an observation that would tend to suggest that the MP's
>>story is in conflict with other testimonies... some of which Ed
>>has introduced.

>I've asked you before: which witness testimony do you currently
>believe and what is your scenario for Roswell? As far as I can
>tell, the MP's story stands alone. Is there anyone you believe?

Patience is a virtue... If you need more names, let me know.

And stand alone stories often have one trait in common... they are not grounded in reality... which is not to say that this story is false, only that it would be nice to have corroboration.

BTW, are you familiar with just how many places have double windmills? I know I was surprised.

KRandle

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

Re: Cosford 1993 - Sparks

From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 01:46:07 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 08:15:07 -0500
Subject: Re: Cosford 1993 - Sparks

>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 16:28:38 +0000
>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

>>From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 15:55:33 EST
>>Subject: Cosford 1993 [was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?]

>>>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 03:20:31 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>Hello Brad, List,

<snip>

>>>The '31' is the date, 01:10 the time, and Z indicates the
>>>timezone used. The 30 seconds is the duration of the sighting.
>>>At face value, this related to the report of a sighting taking
>>>place at 00:10 local time. ...

>>That is incorrect. A 0110 Z time or GMT/UTC is 0210 BST (British
>>Summer Time), the local time then in effect, not 0010.

>You're absolutely right, I was probably still thinking about
>resetting my bathroom clock when I wrote that - it just goes to
>show how confusing the time correction can be!

<snip>

>>As Don Ledger has rightly pointed out there are serious problems
>>trying to fit this Cornwall police Sergeant's sighting report to
>>the Cosmos 2238 rocket re-entry.

>>The Sergeant said he was driving on road A38 at Looe Mills just
>>past Liskeard heading towards Dobwalls, which by reference to a
>>map is seen as heading NW (northwest). The geodetic map
>>co-ordinates are easily determined to be about 50-27.4 N,
>>4-29.5 W.

>The map at a reasonable scale can be viewed at the following
>link:

><http://tinyurl.com/yf373b>

>The witness provided a grid reference, if you enter "SX230650"
>in the multimap "find" field, it will indicate where this is.

Those are round numbers for grid refs 230 and 650, the
Sergeant's detailed description specifies his location as on the
A38 road "at" Looe Mills, which is at about grid refs 232 and
648 if you play around with the map input codes "SX232648."

>>The Sergeant said he sighted two lights at about his 2 o'clock
>>position (60 degrees to his right) or at "due North" as his
>>report states. The two lights started moving towards him,

>>appearing to ascend in angular elevation because they were
>>headed to come straight overhead. As they did he noticed they
>>had moved to his left to about the 1 o'clock position and at
>>this point he saw luminous trails being left behind. He stopped

>Okay, so far, though it must be remembered that he was driving a
>moving car at the time.

No that is incorrect. The quote telling how he stopped and got
out was clipped. You then try to smear this "driving a car"
excuse through his entire sighting when you know perfectly well
he got out of his patrol car when the lights got to about his 1
o'clock position.

>>his patrol car and got out to watch. They were "curving overhead
>>and heading due South."=C2 Then he watched as "they passed
>>directly overhead at '12 o'clock high.'" He spotted a third
>>object from its vapor trail.

>From the standing position, he would have needed to crane his
>neck to the maximum.

So what? Is craning one's neck to look "directly overhead" a
physiological impossibility for a human body?

>Even if it was not directly over him, he
>could very easily have got the impression that it did. I find
>that I get quite disoriented when observing aircraft passing
>"directly over" me, especially at speed. I hope that I'm not
>alone in that?

This police officer with 14+ years of experience made a special
point of saying that from his standing position outside his
patrol car the objects "passed directly overhead at '12 o'clock
high' " (military air crew terminology) on a "dead straight
North South course" which is an extremely explicit statement,
not a casual one, and indicating pretty much 90 degrees
elevation approximately. Only way he could have been any more
explicit than that is if he had been an astronomer, and then he
would have said it passed through his "zenith" or said 90
degrees elevation angle.

>>The objects were "on a dead straight North South course"
>>traveling at about the angular velocity of a "high flying
>>passenger jet" which is easily calculated to be about 1-1/2
>>degrees per second, which means for a total sighting duration of
>>about 45-60 seconds, about 60 to 90 degrees of arc of sky
>>covered from the north to overhead which seems about right and
>>provides a check on consistency of sighting details which the
>>witness would have had no idea how to bias or fabricate. The
>>objects disappeared behind the "high ground" of the Lantoom
>>Quarry to his left to the West.

>As the object passed Southwards, he would have turned to face
>it. this would place his left to the East, not the West as I ...

The Sergeant indicates it disappeared behind the Lantoom Quarry
just after its "12 o'clock high" passage "directly overhead" so
he had not "turned" and was not facing South. All you have
to do since you are in the UK and I am not is locate the dang
Lantoom Quarry with respect to the A38 road and Looe Mills, and
settle this with facts to either confirm or correct the witness.
Lantoom does not show up on Multimap or Streetmap (which has
Ordnance Survey maps online).

I notice you completely ignore the angular velocity data.

>>The problem for a Cosmos 2238 rocket explanation aside from the
>>question of the clock time, is that in Cornwall the reentry

>I don't think there is any question in relation to the clock
>time? The Policeman reports that the time was 01:10. He doesn't
>specify A or Z time, but normal practice for him would be to
>express the time as A - BST. This being so, the signal must be
>in error.

This is pretty disingenuous to deny that there is even a
question on the time. This is like debunkers who cannot even
admit there is a question about the identification of a UFO
because to admit there is any doubt whatsoever starts them
down what they see as a slippery slope they cannot return from.

The MoD teletype was not written by the Sergeant so your argument about what the Sergeant's "normal practice" on timekeeping is irrelevant. The military's timekeeping is what is relevant and they usually kept Z time (GMT/UTC). The time of the Sergeant's sighting was reported by MoD as 0110 Z which is 2:10 AM (BST). Maybe it is wrong but it is not contradicted by the Sergeant's report which also was not a verbatim signed document but was an account as written down by the MoD, and does not specify Z (GMT/UTC) or A (local BST).

>>track was far to the EAST and the course was toward the
>>_Southeast_. Moreover, even if the police Sergeant had his
>>cardinal directions off by 45 degrees and it was actually coming
>>toward him from say the NE not the N, it still would not even
>>come close to accounting for moving from his 2 o'clock to his 1
>o'clock to his 12 o'clock then appearing directly overhead of
>>him in Cornwall, heading Southwest in that case not
>>_Southeast_, disappearing behind high terrain of the Lantoom
>>Quarry to the West. This is entirely the wrong part of the
>>sky.

My typo here. It should have read that even if the Sergeant had for sake of argument gotten his cardinal directions off by say 45 degrees and it was actually coming toward him from say the NW instead of his clear statement of North, it still would not explain the sequence of motion starting from his 2 o'clock going to his 1 o'clock then to his 12 o'clock, which is Right to Left, and would still come from the North headed South as he clearly stated but off to his Left, or W, side.

>He gave his estimates of direction (2 o'clock, 1 o'clock) from a
>moving car (driven by him), which was moving around a reasonably
>sharp bend to the left. He had to retain control of the car while
>he made his observations, so there is every reason to expect that
>his estimates are very approximate and fleeting.

This is bogus. He got out of his patrol car at about the 1 o'clock position of the objects. Pray tell how he could have seen anything "directly overhead" through his car windshield (when it was at 12 o'clock)??? He was no longer in the car by that point!

All this tendentious argument about he got things confused because he was having to "retain control of the car" - wow what a terribly difficult thing for a long-time police officer to do. I guess walking and chewing gum is something hard to "retain control" of too.

To maintain view of an object that may have been as high as 50 Km (>150,000 feet) as it passed level with his position, even if it was some distance to one side or another would require that he craned his head to the maximum. This would give the impression that the object was directly over his position, even if it wasn't. See my comment above about him turning to face south, with East to his left.

>We know there was a re-entry over the general area at the exact
>time of his report. He doesn't mention seeing any other events
>shortly before or after this report. What reason is there to
>think that this was anything other than the re-entry?

You've already taken it upon yourself to change the time reported by MoD then turn around and claim things about what happened at this time, as changed by you. At least own up to having to change or "correct" the time when you make presumptuous arguments like this. At the actual time reported by MoD for the Sergeant's sighting, at 2:10 AM BST, there was no Cosmos 2238 rocket reentry going on, it would have been an hour or so earlier.

I've already gone over the difficulties with correlating the Cosmos 2238 rocket reentry with the Sergeant's sighting, which "reasons" you disregard then ask me what "reasons" there are. I have the intellectual integrity to admit that a time change has to be made in order to make the sighting fit.

>>Also, I am still waiting to read the accounts of actual amateur
>>satellite observers as to what they saw when the Cosmos 2238
>>rocket stage decayed. We should have that as a control and a
>>check on the other sightings that night. We should also have a

>I don't know where you are expecting to get these from, possibly
>form astronomical journals from the time? I don't have any ready
>access to them.

You and your website on the Cosford case repeatedly make reference to the webpage by Gary Anthony and yet you do not know what you have linked to?? On that webpage it states:

"A space debris re-entry of satellite rocket booster (R/B) 22586 from Cosmos 2238 occurred over Ireland, Devon, and Belgium around 01:10 am local time on the 31st March 1993....

What's not generally known in the UFO community - up until now - is that this particular spectacular space debris was anticipated and observed and recorded by space enthusiasts here in the England, in Ireland and France and that it featured in Phillip Clark's =E2=80=98Worldwide Satellite Launches, 1993, "Decay from orbit was observed in Ireland and Belgium, the final burn-up coming approximately at 00.10 GMT on March 31."

So where are these space observer sightings from England, Ireland and France of the Cosmos 2238 rocket decay?? As I said before we should have these space observer sightings of the reentry as a control sample to check against the alleged UFO sightings that night.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

Testable Astronautical Theory For UFO Events

From: **Dimitris Hatzopoulos** <dhatz-ufo.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 10:57:25 +0200
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 08:21:24 -0500
Subject: Testable Astronautical Theory For UFO Events

A Testable Astronautical Theory For UFO Events by Roy Dutton

I wonder if anyone has examined the theory proposed by Mr. Dutton, who thinks we can "predict" UFO paths/target zones, as they perform automated surveillance of Earth. Searching the archives of UFO UpDates List I only find it mentioned back in 1997 and he has published updates to his work more recently in 2001 and 2003:

http://www.daviddarling.info/encyclopedia/U/UFOs_Dutton1.html

http://www.daviddarling.info/encyclopedia/U/UFOs_Dutton2.html

http://www.nidsci.org/essaycomp/dutton_ashpole.html

Summary of Claimed Discoveries

It is now possible to bring together all the elements of the Astronautical Theory for SAC/UFO Events, a theory encapsulating the following discoveries:

- Strange aerial craft (SAC) displaying inexplicable characteristics, but described by reliable eyewitnesses, are physically real.
- The SAC descend from the sky and depart back into it and display operational capabilities beyond our current understanding.
- The SAC are atmospheric probes. They are deposited into the atmosphere by advanced spacecraft ("motherships") and are, later, retrieved by them.
- All the fully automated craft are engaged in continuing Earth surveillance and exploration activities.
- The hypothetical spacecraft motherships follow well-defined paths in space as they approach the Earth and then orbit transiently, at super-orbital speeds, to release the SAC over selected target zones on the Earth's surface before accelerating away.
- The motherships' approach paths in space are governed by fixed (programmed) rules determining orbit inclination and celestial orientation.
- Two kinds of celestial orientation have been identified. Two orientations are referenced to the fixed stars. The remaining two are linked to the position of the Sun amidst the stars and, therefore, move round the sky with the Sun throughout any year.
- The presence of a solar system body (planet, minor planet, comet, etc.) in visual alignment with one of the programmed approach paths seems often to signal the choice of that path for the activity in hand.

All of these claimed discoveries are now in great need of rigorous scientific investigation. In view of their potential

importance and the weight of evidence supporting them, they surely warrant that kind of response, but to date there has been little movement in that direction.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

Brief Starchild Reminders

From: Lloyd Pye <lloyd.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 01:15:55 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 08:29:53 -0500
Subject: Brief Starchild Reminders

Everyone:

Squeezing in a few brief reminders ahead of the Thanksgiving distractions:

(1) I'll be on Coast to Coast with George Noory on Thanksgiving night, the 23rd. Catch it if you're not in a coma induced by food or football - or both!.

(2) On Monday the 27th at 8:00 pm E/P, the Starchild will be part of the National Geographic's Is It Real? cable TV series. The episode is Ancient Astronauts.

(3) In the last update I asked you all to please go to the Starchild website:

www.starchildproject.com

and put your email addresses on a Notify list we're accumulating to show publishers our community is interested in the book I've written about the Starchild. Of the 2000 on this mailing list, not quite 200 of you asked to be notified. Remember, being on the list does not obligate you to buy the book, so please go to the home page and click on the cartoon eyeglasses in the upper left corner, then follow the simple instructions. It's important.

(4) I've written a new 5000 word essay about Intervention Theory that is posted on my personal website

www.lloydpye.com

It's one of the best pieces I've ever done, and the feedback from it has been great. It's very informative and easy to read.

(5) Some observations about the National Geographic show - The NG channel is not carried as widely as Discovery or SciFi, so if you can't get it where you are - Amy and I can't - have a friend tape it and send it to you. And if you miss the debut, it will repeat regularly, so eventually you can see it if you want to.

If you do watch it, keep in mind that shows like this are in business to set us up and knock us down. The deal we all make is that they provide us with national coverage of our subjects (whether ghosts or vampires or bigfoot or the Starchild skull), while we give them something "weird" to hold up to ridicule to one degree or another.

Whatever they say to dismiss the skull as irrelevant and of no concern, we will post our answer to it within a day or two at most. At this point we have no idea what they will say to be dismissive, but we do know it won't have any real merit. This is just the way the game is played. They know it and we know it. Let the best team win!

Lloyd Pye
lloyd.nul

www.starchildproject.com
www.lloydpye.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

Re: Cosford 1993 - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 14:50:53 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 10:21:58 -0500
Subject: Re: Cosford 1993 - Shough

>From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 01:46:07 EST
>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

>>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 16:28:38 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

>>>The objects were "on a dead straight North_South course"
>>>traveling at about the angular velocity of a "high flying
>>>passenger jet" which is easily calculated to be about 1-1/2
>>>degrees per second, which means for a total sighting duration
>>>of about 45-60 seconds, about 60 to 90 degrees of arc of sky
>>>covered from the north to overhead which seems about right and
>>>provides a check on consistency of sighting details which the
>>>witness would have had no idea how to bias or fabricate. The
>>>objects disappeared behind the "high ground" of the Lantoom
>>>Quarry to his left to the West.

>>As the object passed Southwards, he would have turned to face
>>it. this would place his left to the East, not the West as I
>>...

>The Sergeant indicates it disappeared behind the Lantoom Quarry
>just after its "12 o'clock high" passage "directly overhead" so
>he had not "turned" and was NOT facing South. All you have to do
>since you are in the UK and I am not is locate the dang Lantoom
>Quarry with respect to the A38 road and Looe Mills, and settle
>this with facts to either confirm or correct the witness. Lantoom
>does not show up on Multimap or Streetmap (which has Ordnance
>Survey maps online).

I might be able to help here. I lived on Bodmin Moor nearby in
1989 and know this area a bit. I also have Ordnance Survey
Landranger 1:50,000 and Pathfinder 1:25,000 maps.

The 2006 Multimap is based on the OS Landranger and does show
Lantoom quarry approximately, the rock feature just above and to
the right of the "s" in "Dobwalls". This appears much larger and
more extended to the W than it appears on my printed Landranger,
which is dated 1980 with selected revisions to 1985, but both are
probably only indicative owing to scale limitations.

The larger scale OS Pathfinder is revised 1981 and 1983. This
shows the location and outline of the Lantoom quarry excavation
itself plus detail of the road junction and "lay by". As of 1983
this the excavation is located S of the A38 and W of a minor
access road shown on all maps. This road links the excavation
with the A38 to the N and with the railway to the S at Lantoom.
The excavation is centred on SX225649.

Brad is correct that SX230650 grid ref is just an area
approximation. The Sgt's account indicates that he drove around
the uphill bend from Looe Mills (SX232648) towards Dobwalls then
pulled up 100 yds short of the laybay at the Lantoom Quarry
junction. The grid ref of this point is SX228650 as close as can
be judged, at 45m MSL with the A38 oriented very close to E-W.

The quarry proper (SX225649) is therefore approximately 300 yds WSW of the position of the witness.

All of this means that if the lights passed directly overhead and were lost behind the Lantoom quarry they could not have been travelling N-S, still less NW-SE, but would have been travelling broadly NE-SW, so Brad is again correct.

However this depends on the meaning of the sentence: "I lost sight of them owing to the high ground of the quarry this immediately on my left". From the Sgt's position the quarry proper is not "immediately on my left" but arguably that phrase is a more reliable indicator of relative position than "the high ground of the quarry" which might refer to (e.g) the general land owned by the quarry company rather than the actual excavation.

On any hypothesis we would want to use the car as reference here, not the Sgt's own person, because if the Sgt is outside and turned to face the disappearing object it makes no sense to say that it disappeared "on my immediate left" rather than "directly ahead". There is high ground here on the immediate left (i.e. near side) of the parked car, rising from 45m MSL at the road to 136 m MSL in 400 yds due S of his position. In this case the objects were not heading SW but considerably E of that heading.

This is not inconsistent consistent with the Sgt's estimate of a "dead straight N-S course". At the same time the above "correction" is in the right direction for what is needed to fit the track with the Cosmos booster, heading SE. It arguably doesn't go far enough, but a misjudgment of heading by 45 degs or so is possible.

On the other hand it's true that a direction of SE (the Cosmos booster track) from the witness's position would miss the high ground immediately S of the witness. A SE bearing would be in the direction from which the witness has just driven, i.e. down the straight NW-SE stretch of the A38 running along the East Looe River valley. This is not a strong argument in itself because the topography isn't known in sufficient detail. The road runs in a cutting around this corner and there may be a high shoulder or embankment that in the dark was effectively part of the southern hillside referred to (my vague memory of the road is not helpful here).

Another factor is the clarity of the cardinal directions here with respect to the E-W direction of the road running past the quarry to Dobwalls. This immediately stands out when looking at the map and I dare say this experienced local Sgt was aware of this orientation and judged the trajectory relative to the well-known road. It is correct that he was outside of the car before the objects climbed overhead and was able to watch them cross from one side of the road to the other without confusion caused by relative motion. One might reasonably expect that when he specifies a "dead straight S-N course" he meant what he said, not NW-SE.

This would be consistent also with the Sgt's earlier description of the relative displacement of the objects in the windscreen as he was making the left turn. During the turn the object moved from his 2 o'clock to 1 o'clock as Brad points out. This is opposite to the tendency for an object which is truly stationary in relative azimuth, and a left turn would actually *accelerate* the apparent motion to the *right* (i.e towards 3 o'clock) of an object which was actually moving towards the right (W). This favours an object on a true heading S of his average line of travel rather than W of it.

Of course the foregoing assumes that the objects did pass through the zenith at "12 o'clock high". Maybe we have a bit more latitude here because the familiar reference frame of the E-W road doesn't apply and maybe "12 o'clock high" does really mean (say) "10 o'clock high". We know from many reports and from experiments that elevation angles are routinely overestimated, often drastically, so it's reasonable to suggest such a correction here. If the objects when "curving overhead" actually passed somewhat to the W of the Sgt's position, so that he was looking steeply up but not vertically, then they could have been on a NE-SW ballistic trajectory and still passed behind the high ground to the S as described, rather than down the East Looe

River valley.

One possible problem here is that a path to the W of the zenith for an observer here seems to conflict with the orbital elements for the Cosmos 2238 booster. Gary Anthony's calculated trajectory shown at

<http://www.mithrand.karoo.net/index.htm/cosford.htm>

appears well to the east of witnesses in this part of Cornwall:

"The re-entry track traversed south-easterly over the UK, through Ireland and Devon [east of Cornwall] and may account for delineated and different directions in the official witness reports for the sightings at or near the 01:10 local time. The re-entry was observed from Ireland before crossing over the Irish Sea to Devon."

The screen-grab of the computer simulation for 0110:03 BST shows the coordinates lat 50.47N long 4.20W. A NW-SE track passing through these coordinates (apparently within an error of less than +/- 3 miles) could not at any time pass to the W of the zenith for a witness at about 50.27N, 4.29W but would pass at high elevation to the E of the zenith, tending to strain the above explanation of how the objects could have passed behind the hill to the S rather than over the valley to the SE.

So there are some aspects of this report that do not add up very satisfactorily, in addition to the reported 1-hour time discrepancy, and in isolation one might want to keep the case open.

But the contemporaneous MoD report does relate the sighting to the same thing seen by other Devon and Cornwall police at 0110 BST, and as others have asked, given the time why did this witness (and the others) not see a UFO and a re-entering booster if the two things are distinct? It seems to me more economical to conclude (at present) that there is some error either in the report or in our interpretations of it, even if we can't identify exactly what it is.

<snip>

>The time of the >Sergeant's sighting was reported by MoD as 0110 Z which is 2:10 >AM (BST). Maybe it is wrong but it is not contradicted by the >Sergeant's report which also was not a verbatim signed document >but was an account as written down by the MoD, and does not >specify Z (GMT/UTC) or A (local BST).

The Sgt.'s typed and signed report appears to me to be a Devon & Cornwall Constabulary report.

Martin Shough

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bordais

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 15:11:30 +0100
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 11:18:07 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bordais

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:39:27 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 10:42:09 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>Gen. Exon said he flew over the area months later and still saw
>>tire tracks and gouges in the terrain. So they may not have
>>bothered to fill any gouge or gouges, or did so only minimally.
>>They wouldn't prove anything anyway. Only actual debris would
>>do that.

>>Bill Brazel said the "gouge" was maybe 12 inches to 18 inches
>>deep, and extended down to the hard clay layer underneath. It
>>also wasn't that wide, maybe 10 feet at the widest points. Soil
>>erosion from wind and thunderstorms might well be able to fill
>>that back in, though I would still expect some sort of surface
>>trace 60 years later.

>>Just some side questions for Kevin Randle. The Bill Brazel
>>description comes from your various books. Exactly when did
>>Bill Brazel provide the gouge dimensions and under what
>>circumstances? Was it during an on-site visit with you? Do you
>>have an exact quote, or is it just from notes?

>We were standing on a hillside looking down at open area and he
>pointed out to us (meaning Don Schmitt and me) where the gouge
>began, how long it was, how deep it was, and how wide it was.

>We were probably no more than a hundred yards from where the gouge
>had been. I just noted the size in my notes as we were standing
>out there. This was on our second visit to Brazel, after we'd
>met him in Carrizozo several weeks earlier. He decided at that
>time that he would show us the location of the gouge and give
>us what information he could about what he had seen and done.

Kevin, David and All,

Thank you for this clarification. It looks like we have a very
plausible explanation for the 'gouge mystery'.

The description given to you by Bill Brazel, one of the most
credible witnesses of Roswell, rules out the coyotte hole, which
may not even have been in the right place.

It also supports the idea that the gouge was made by the
military in the process of cleaning the site. Had it been made
by the craft, Marcel would have noticed it and mentioned it.

Apparently, the military did not try very hard to hide it, since
it remained visible for some time. But since the soil retrieved
was not deep at all, it is not surprising that the gouge would
be erased gradually, with time. For my part, I am quite

satisfied with this explanation. Unless someone comes up with a serious objection.

Gildas Bourdais

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso -

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 08:36:17 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 11:57:26 -0500
Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso -

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:28:46 -0000
>Subject: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>Hello List,

>Thanks to ICIS for sending this Google.ca URL, don't know how
>long it'll stay active:

><http://tinyurl.com/yxwxv8>

>It's the google-video featuring Art Bell's 1997 interview with
>Colonel Corso - with confirmation of his background and personal
>history by Dr. Alexander who had the military access for
>checking.

>Personally, it seems to me that most of what I'd heard about
>Col. Corso was plain wrong - disinformation for the purpose of
>discrediting him. Interview was well worth listening to.

List, EBK, Ray,

Thanks for bringing this interview to our attention.

Mike Kemp, who had little prior knowledge of Corso and no
opinion about his veracity, conducted a Voice Stress Analysis
of this entire program.

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2000/aug/m16-020.shtml>

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 12:12:35 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 15:57:50 -0500
Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Shell

>From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 11:33:44 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
>Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

>>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 14 Nov 2006 11:11:50 -0600 (CST)
>>Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

>>>From: Robert Morningstar <robert_morningstar.nul>
>>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 13 Nov 2006 14:15:06 -0800 (PST)
>>>Subject: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

>>>2 NEW ARTICLES ON UFO DIGEST

>>>Treasure of the Abyss: Discovers Luminous 'Sky Object' in
>>>Apollo 16 Photograph:

>>><http://www.ufodigest.com/news/1106/skyobject.html>

>>It looks like Venus. Yes, it's true that other stars don't show
>>up in the lunar sky, but Venus is so darned bright, I bet it
>>would have a chance.

>Robert correctly points out that the angular shaped Sky Object
>is unlikely to be a bright star since no other stars are visible
>in the lunar sky that make up nearly half of the photograph.

Yeah, but Venus is extra bright. As for its "angularity," that's
a processing artifact. A nice little Venusian crescent shape
blown up that much would show pretty much the same thing.

If I wasn't so incredibly lazy, I might look up the date and
time of the photo, then find a chart showing the phase Venus was
in at the time. If it turned out to be a quarter-crescent, that
would add more weight to the idea that it was Venus. I suppose
one could also get one of those extra-fancy astronomy programs
and figure out exact where Venus might be in the lunar sky on
that date and time, too.

Otherwise, we could just say it's another of the tens of
thousands of photos that shows an interesting bright speck in
them where there shouldn't be one. Maybe a shiny flying wing
from Zeta Reticuli. Maybe not. How would we ever know?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 19:26:22 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 15:59:34 -0500
Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso - Hall

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 08:36:17 -0800
>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:28:46 -0000
>>Subject: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>Thanks to ICIS for sending this Google.ca URL, don't know how
>>long it'll stay active:

>><http://tinyurl.com/yxwxv8>

>>It's the google-video featuring Art Bell's 1997 interview with
>>Colonel Corso - with confirmation of his background and personal
>>history by Dr. Alexander who had the military access for
>>checking.

>>Personally, it seems to me that most of what I'd heard about
>>Col. Corso was plain wrong - disinformation for the purpose of
>>discrediting him. Interview was well worth listening to.

>Thanks for bringing this interview to our attention.

>Mike Kemp, who had little prior knowledge of Corso and no
>opinion about his veracity, conducted a Voice Stress Analysis
>of this entire program.

><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2000/aug/ml6-020.shtml>

>Ed

So here we go again about Corso! I strongly suggest that anyone
who thinks Corso was credible read through the UFO UpDates
Archives to see what the strong consensus of the comparatively
scholarly UFO community is on that point.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 21](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 20:57:22 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 16:01:53 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kasten

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:16:10 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 20:13:23 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>Kevin and List:

>>Please see Dr. Doleman's Final Report (in the book Roswell Dig
>>Diaries, page 237):

>>"One is that the feature is a large animal burrow (coyote or fox
>>size) that were serendipitously beseated by the SU103 trench. As
>>noted earlier, a coyote or fox burrow was observed near Study
>>Unit 8 and is assumed to be typical of such features, exhibiting
>>a single entrance that enters the ground at an angle of ca. 40-
>>45 degrees, the same angle as the left side of the SU 103
>>anomaly. In this scenario, the burrow is old enough to have
>>collapsed and filled in, and the steeper right side of the
>>anomaly represents a face created by the initial collapse of the
>>deposits above the burrow."

>Let's see if I can make this clearer. Dr. Doleman identified
>what he thought might be a remnant of the gouge, but then
>identified this area as a coyote burrow. Okay. Got it.

>However, what he described is not what Bill Brazel described
>because Brazel told me that the gouge was about five hundred
>yards long and about ten feet across at its widest and that it
>was no more than twelve to eighteen inches deep. I don't even
>know if Dr. Doleman was digging in the area that Bill Brazel
>pointed out to me. But the size, based on what Bill Brazel said
>would rule out a coyote burrow.

>So, I agree that Dr. Doleman found what he thought might have
>been a remnant of the gouge, his research indicated that what he
>found was a coyote hole, but that does not mean that the gouge
>witnessed by Bill Brazel, Arthur Exon and others was a coyote
>hole, especially given the dimensions.

Kevin:

Isn't Bill Brazel the son of Mac?

Mac's description of where the debris site was located and what
was there is final testimony and the most reliable. Everybody
else is just guessing.

Further, are you saying that you and Don never interviewed Mac -
who would have been able to provide first hand testimony.

As for Bill, he was just a little boy at the time, and might
have thought he was looking at a really big gouge. The mind of a
child sees things much larger than they are. Everybody was in
fact looking at a caved in coyote/fox hole. In the

excitement/hysteria they could have thought it was part of the debris field.

Exon? He was not part of the original group who was at the debris site and was a first hand witness.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 16:57:33 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 06:51:54 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 20:57:22 +0000
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:16:10 EST
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sun, 19 Nov 2006 20:13:23 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>Kevin and List:

>>>Please see Dr. Doleman's Final Report (in the book Roswell Dig
>>>Diaries, page 237):

>>>"One is that the feature is a large animal burrow (coyote or fox
>>>size) that were serendipitously besected by the SU103 trench. As
>>>noted earlier, a coyote or fox burrow was observed near Study
>>>Unit 8 and is assumed to be typical of such features, exhibiting
>>>a single entrance that enters the ground at an angle of ca. 40-
>>>45 degrees, the same angle as the left side of the SU 103
>>>anomaly. In this scenario, the burrow is old enough to have
>>>collapsed and filled in, and the steeper right side of the
>>>anomaly represents a face created by the initial collapse of the
>>>deposits above the burrow."

>>Let's see if I can make this clearer. Dr. Doleman identified
>>what he thought might be a remnant of the gouge, but then
>>identified this area as a coyote burrow. Okay. Got it.

>>However, what he described is not what Bill Brazel described
>>because Brazel told me that the gouge was about five hundred
>>yards long and about ten feet across at its widest and that it
>>was no more than twelve to eighteen inches deep. I don't even
>>know if Dr. Doleman was digging in the area that Bill Brazel
>>pointed out to me. But the size, based on what Bill Brazel said
>>would rule out a coyote burrow.

>>So, I agree that Dr. Doleman found what he thought might have
>>been a remnant of the gouge, his research indicated that what he
>>found was a coyote hole, but that does not mean that the gouge
>>witnessed by Bill Brazel, Arthur Exon and others was a coyote
>>hole, especially given the dimensions.

Good Morning, Kathy, List, All -

>Isn't Bill Brazel the son of Mac?

Yes

>Mac's description of where the debris site was located and what
>was there is final testimony and the most reliable. Everybody
>else is just guessing.

Except for those who were around in 1947, found metallic debris and who saw the gouge.

>Further, are you saying that you and Don never interviewed Mac -
>who would have been able to provide first hand testimony.

It is a real temptation to make a snide comment here but I'll let it go with Mack Brazel died of a heart attack in 1963. He was alone at the time, laid down for a nap and never woke up.

>As for Bill, he was just a little boy at the time, and might
>have thought he was looking at a really big gouge. The mind of a
>child sees things much larger than they are. Everybody was in
>fact looking at a caved in coyote/fox hole. In the
>excitement/hysteria they could have thought it was part of the
>debris field.

This was Bill Brazel who served in the Navy in World War II, who lived in Albuquerque in July 1947 and who returned to the ranch after he read about his dad in the newspaper. He found bits of the debris, saw the gouge, and had his dad confirm for him that the pieces he found "looked like the contraption I found."

How you get a collapsed coyote hole out of a description of a gouge that was five hundred yards long and ten feet wide at the widest, I don't know... speculation? A will to disbelieve? And several other snotty things I can think of...

>Exon? He was not part of the original group who was at the
>debris site and was a first hand witness.

Okay, I'll give you that one... but he did talk of flying over the area and seeing the gouge. A collapsed coyote hole would not fit in with what he saw.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

Re: Cosford 1993 - Sparks

From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 16:58:36 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 06:55:14 -0500
Subject: Re: Cosford 1993 - Sparks

>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 14:50:53 -0000
>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

>>From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 01:46:07 EST
>>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

>>>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 16:28:38 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

>>>>The objects were "on a dead straight North_South_ course"
>>>>traveling at about the angular velocity of a "high flying
>>>><snip>
>>>>objects disappeared behind the "high ground" of the Lantoom
>>>>Quarry to his left_ to the West_.

>>>>As the object passed Southwards, he would have turned to face
>>>>it. this would place his left to the East, not the West ...

>>>>The Sergeant indicates it disappeared behind the Lantoom Quarry
>>>>just after its "12 o'clock high" passage "directly overhead" so
>>>>he had not_ "turned" and was NOT facing South. ...

>>>>I might be able to help here. I lived on Bodmin Moor nearby in
>>>>1989 and know this area a bit. I also have Ordnance Survey
>>>>Landranger 1:50,000 and Pathfinder 1:25,000 maps. ...

>>>>Brad is correct that SX230650 grid ref is just an area
>>>>approximation. The Sgt's account indicates that he drove around
>>>>the uphill bend from Looe Mills (SX232648) towards Dobwalls then
>>>>pulled up 100 yds short of the laybay at the Lantoom Quarry
>>>>junction. The grid ref of this point is SX228650 as close as can
>>>>be judged, at 45m MSL with he A38 oriented very close to E-W.
>>>>The quarry proper (SX225649) is therefore approximately 300 yds
>>>>WSW of the position of the witness.

>>>>All of this means that if the lights passed directly overhead
>>>>and were lost behind the Lantoom quarry they could not have been
>>>>travelling N-S, still less NW-SE, but would have been travelling
>>>>broadly NE-SW, so Brad is again correct....

>>>>On any hypothesis we would want to use the car as reference
>>>>here, not the Sgt's own person, because if the Sgt is outside
>>>>and turned to face the disappearing object it makes no sense to
>>>>say that it disappeared "on my immediate left" rather than
>>>>"directly ahead". There is high ground here on the immediate
>>>>left (i.e. near side) of the parked car, rising from 45m MSL at
>>>>the road to 136 m MSL in 400 yds due S of his position. In this
>>>>case the objects were not heading SW but considerably E of that
>>>>heading....

>>>>On the other hand it's true that a direction of SE (the Cosmos
>>>>booster track) from the witness's position would miss the high
>>>>ground immediately S of the witness. A SE bearing would be in

>the direction from which the witness has just driven, i.e. down
>the straight NW-SE stretch of the A38 running along the East
>Looe River valley....

>Another factor is the clarity of the cardinal directions here
>with respect to the E-W direction of the road running past the
>quarry to Dobwalls. This immediately stands out when looking at
>the map and I dare say this experienced local Sgt was aware of
>this orientation and judged the trajectory relative to the well-
>known road. It is correct that he was outside of the car before
>the objects climbed overhead and was able to watch them cross
>from one side of the road to the other without confusion caused
>by relative motion. One might reasonably expect that when he
>specifies a "dead straight [N-S] course" he meant what he said,
>not NW-SE.

>This would be consistent also with the Sgt's earlier description
>of the relative displacement of the objects in the windscreen as
>he was making the left turn. During the turn the object moved
>from his 2 o'clock to 1 o'clock as Brad points out. This is
>opposite to the tendency for an object which is truly stationary
>in relative azimuth, and a left turn would actually *accelerate*
>the apparent motion to the *right* (i.e towards 3 o'clock) of an
>object which was actually moving towards the right ([E])....

>One possible problem here is that a path to the W of the zenith
>for an observer here seems to conflict with the orbital elements
>for the Cosmos 2238 booster. Gary Anthony's calculated
>trajectory shown at

><http://www.mithrand.karoo.net/index.htm/cosford.htm>

>appears well to the east of witnesses in this part of Cornwall:

>"The re-entry track traversed south-easterly over the UK,
>through Ireland and Devon [east of Cornwall]...."

>The screen-grab of the computer simulation for 0110:03 BST shows
>the coordinates lat 50.47N long 4.20W. A NW-SE track passing
>through these coordinates (apparently within an error of less
>than +/- 3 miles) could not at any time pass to the W of the
>zenith for a witness at about [50 27N, 4 29W] but would pass at
>high elevation to the E of the zenith, tending to strain the
>above explanation of how the objects could have passed behind
>the hill to the S rather than over the valley to the SE.

>So there are some aspects of this report that do not add up very
>satisfactorily, in addition to the reported 1-hour time
>discrepancy, and in isolation one might want to keep the case
>open.

The bottom line here is that thanks to Martin's excellent work
in tracking down the Lantoom Quarry it is clear that the Quarry
was to the S of the road the police Sgt was traveling. My
reading of the Sgt's report was correct, that the Quarry was
located to his south and left, and Joe M's strained
interpretation that the Sgt was turned around is wrong.

Joe argues that the Sgt's left was to the E and thus consistent
with the reentering Cosmos 2238 rocket stage. But in fact the
Sgt correctly related his left to the W (or southwest) over the
Quarry where the objects disappeared, exactly as I had
contended.

That makes it extremely difficult to explain the Sgt's sighting.
We cannot make it fit the Cosmos 2238 simply by changing one or
two details. We would have to change ALL of the details and/or
delete those details which simply do not fit by any reasonable
scenario, such as eliminating the disappearance behind the
Lantoom Quarry. Just get rid of that entirely if you want to
make the Police Sgt's report fit C2238.

I'd be more inclined to dismiss the Sgt's report if it was vague
or just a sentence or two. But he has two pages of very minute
details as to his position and the objects' position and how
they changed. This does not seem dismissable and does not seem
confused, and most of the rest of the sightings have problems as
well. If he was confused one would expect to see evidence of
confusion, such as contradictory details or omitting major
points (such as, supposing hypothetically, he had forgotten to
mention how the objects disappeared).

In fact, it is difficult fitting hardly any of the British sightings to the Cosmos 2238 rocket flight path as it reentered the earth's atmosphere. From most of Britain the reentry should have been sighted to the South not to the SE as most claimed. The reentry path would have gone over roughly Rennes to Angers in north-western France. Look at it on a map. Don't come back at me by exaggerating a few degrees off of Due South as enough to account for 45 degrees east of Due South, which is what SE should be.

Joe's website compiles the sightings which are easily separated into two groups, the main body from Britain generally, and those from SW Britain-Wales.

Those from Britain generally should have seen the Cosmos 2238 rocket reentering towards Angers, France, in the South not the SE yet look at how many of them claim SE, and several are completely discrepant (going W or more specifically WSW), only one heading in the "right direction" of S:

SE
SE
SE
WSW
S

In more detail:

- 22. 31/3/93 01:15, RAF Cosford, near Wolverhampton.
Reported heading: Towards the South-East.
- 24. 31/3/93 01:15, Bridgewater, Somerset.
Reported heading: North to SE.
- 25. 31/3/93 01:17, Penistone, South Yorkshire.
Reported heading: SE (towards Sheffield).
- 10. 31/3/93 01:09, Rugeley, Staffordshire.
- 11. 31/3/93 01:10, Rugeley, Staffordshire.
- 23. 31/3/93 01:15, Rugeley, Staffordshire.
Reported heading: Initially directly over witness's house,
heading towards Hazelslade (WSW).
- 21. 31/3/93 01:15, Grateley, Hampshire.
Reported heading: North to South

Only from West Wales and Cornwall could such a flight path appear to their SE and yet here we see the opposite effect, sightings of objects seen heading to the S even the W or the opposite or wrong direction, to the N:

S
S
SE
W
N
SE
S
SE
[N]

- 8. 31/3/93 00:15, Haverfordwest, West Wales.
Reported heading: North to S
- 9. 31/3/93 00:55, Bristol.
Reported heading: S
- 12. 31/3/93 01:10, Plymouth, Devon.
Reported heading: SE
- 14. 31/3/93 01:10, East Harptree, nr. Bristol.
Reported heading: East to W
- 13. 31/3/93 01:10, Treharris, Merthyr Tydfil, South Wales.
Reported heading: Moving North [OPPOSITE
HEADING FROM THAT OF COSMOS 2238 REENTRY]
- 16. 31/3/93 01:10, Crymych, Pembrokeshire (W.Wales).
Reported heading: Northwest to SE
- 19. 31/3/93 01:10, Moorswater, Liskeard, Cornwall.

Reported heading: North to S

20. 31/3/93 01:10, Liskeard, Cornwall.

Reported heading: SE

26. 31/3/93 01:20, Braunton Burrows, Devon.

Reported heading: From the South. [OPPOSITE
HEADING FROM THAT OF COSMOS 2238 REENTRY]

Much of this discrepancy is surely due to poor investigation or lack of any investigation.

I have been working with a civilian expert on satellite decays, Alan pickup, to try to refine the reentry parameters. Based on the last NORAD orbital element set (elset) which is assumed to be at an epoch about 1-1/2 hours before the reentry, or at about 2238 Z time March 30, 1993 (which is GMT or UTC time) Alan generated the following table with all times Z time. However the preceding NORAD elsets were too inaccurate to generate a retroactive decay prediction.

Ground track of SL-11 R/B (Decayed 1993-03-31) 1 22586U 93018 B 93089.94291199 .44383781 33000-4 34235-3 0 64 30 Mar 1993 to 31 Mar 1993

Time	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude
30 Mar 1993 22:55	05=B013'01"N	046=B035'11"E	206.3 km
30 Mar 1993 22:56	01=B031'30"N	048=B003'12"E	210.4
30 Mar 1993 22:57	02=B009'48"S	049=B030'44"E	214.4
30 Mar 1993 22:58	05=B050'44"S	050=B058'39"E	218.4
30 Mar 1993 22:59	09=B031'03"S	052=B027'47"E	222.2
30 Mar 1993 23:00	13=B010'34"S	053=B059'03"E	226.0
30 Mar 1993 23:01	16=B049'02"S	055=B033'24"E	229.6
30 Mar 1993 23:02	20=B026'13"S	057=B011'53"E	233.0
30 Mar 1993 23:03	24=B001'49"S	058=B055'40"E	236.2
30 Mar 1993 23:04	27=B035'32"S	060=B046'07"E	239.2
30 Mar 1993 23:05	31=B006'58"S	062=B044'48"E	241.9
30 Mar 1993 23:06	34=B035'40"S	064=B053'35"E	244.3
30 Mar 1993 23:07	38=B001'05"S	067=B014'41"E	246.5
30 Mar 1993 23:08	41=B022'31"S	069=B050'47"E	248.3
30 Mar 1993 23:09	44=B039'04"S	072=B045'07"E	249.7
30 Mar 1993 23:10	47=B049'38"S	076=B001'37"E	250.7
30 Mar 1993 23:11	50=B052'45"S	079=B045'00"E	251.4
30 Mar 1993 23:12	53=B046'34"S	084=B000'47"E	251.6
30 Mar 1993 23:13	56=B028'40"S	088=B055'10"E	251.4
30 Mar 1993 23:14	58=B056'01"S	094=B034'34"E	250.8
30 Mar 1993 23:15	61=B004'49"S	101=B004'33"E	249.7
30 Mar 1993 23:16	62=B050'39"S	108=B027'50"E	248.2
30 Mar 1993 23:17	64=B008'47"S	116=B041'37"E	246.2
30 Mar 1993 23:18	64=B054'50"S	125=B034'59"E	243.8
30 Mar 1993 23:19	65=B005'46"S	134=B048'28"E	240.9
30 Mar 1993 23:20	64=B040'43"S	143=B057'30"E	237.6
30 Mar 1993 23:21	63=B041'18"S	152=B038'43"E	233.9
30 Mar 1993 23:22	62=B011'04"S	160=B035'31"E	229.8
30 Mar 1993 23:23	60=B014'33"S	167=B040'03"E	225.4
30 Mar 1993 23:24	57=B056'25"S	173=B051'49"E	220.6
30 Mar 1993 23:25	55=B020'49"S	179=B014'51"E	215.6
30 Mar 1993 23:26	52=B031'17"S	176=B004'49"W	210.2
30 Mar 1993 23:27	49=B030'37"S	172=B000'47"W	204.7
30 Mar 1993 23:28	46=B021'02"S	168=B027'01"W	198.9
30 Mar 1993 23:29	43=B004'13"S	165=B018'17"W	193.1
30 Mar 1993 23:30	39=B041'31"S	162=B030'10"W	187.1
30 Mar 1993 23:31	36=B013'59"S	159=B058'59"W	181.1
30 Mar 1993 23:32	32=B042'27"S	157=B041'40"W	175.0
30 Mar 1993 23:33	29=B007'35"S	155=B035'44"W	169.0
30 Mar 1993 23:34	25=B029'56"S	153=B039'05"W	163.1
30 Mar 1993 23:35	21=B049'58"S	151=B049'56"W	157.4
30 Mar 1993 23:36	18=B008'03"S	150=B006'47"W	151.8
30 Mar 1993 23:37	14=B024'32"S	148=B028'20"W	146.4
30 Mar 1993 23:38	10=B039'43"S	146=B053'26"W	141.3
30 Mar 1993 23:39	06=B053'54"S	145=B021'03"W	136.4
30 Mar 1993 23:40	03=B007'20"S	143=B050'11"W	131.9
30 Mar 1993 23:41	00=B039'42"N	142=B019'57"W	127.7
30 Mar 1993 23:42	04=B026'59"N	140=B049'25"W	123.9
30 Mar 1993 23:43	08=B014'15"N	139=B017'42"W	120.4
30 Mar 1993 23:44	12=B001'13"N	137=B043'48"W	117.4
30 Mar 1993 23:45	15=B047'35"N	136=B006'45"W	114.7
30 Mar 1993 23:46	19=B033'04"N	134=B025'22"W	112.5
30 Mar 1993 23:47	23=B017'18"N	132=B038'25"W	110.6
30 Mar 1993 23:48	26=B059'53"N	130=B044'24"W	109.2

30 Mar 1993	23:49	30=B040'21"N	128=B041'38"W	108.1
30 Mar 1993	23:50	34=B018'08"N	126=B028'02"W	107.5
30 Mar 1993	23:51	37=B052'35"N	124=B001'09"W	107.2
30 Mar 1993	23:52	41=B022'51"N	121=B017'59"W	107.2
30 Mar 1993	23:53	44=B047'52"N	118=B014'52"W	107.6
30 Mar 1993	23:54	48=B006'20"N	114=B047'21"W	108.3
30 Mar 1993	23:55	51=B016'30"N	110=B050'04"W	109.2
30 Mar 1993	23:56	54=B016'10"N	106=B016'43"W	110.5
30 Mar 1993	23:57	57=B002'28"N	101=B000'16"W	111.9
30 Mar 1993	23:58	59=B031'45"N	094=B053'41"W	113.6
30 Mar 1993	23:59	61=B039'36"N	087=B051'26"W	115.5
31 Mar 1993	00:00	63=B020'55"N	079=B052'10"W	117.6
31 Mar 1993	00:01	64=B030'29"N	071=B002'03"W	119.8
31 Mar 1993	00:02	65=B003'56"N	061=B037'11"W	122.1
31 Mar 1993	00:03	64=B058'58"N	052=B002'25"W	124.6
31 Mar 1993	00:04	64=B016'02"N	042=B045'23"W	127.1
31 Mar 1993	00:05	62=B058'13"N	034=B008'48"W	129.8
31 Mar 1993	00:06	61=B010'20"N	026=B025'51"W	132.5
31 Mar 1993	00:07	58=B057'40"N	019=B040'12"W	135.3
31 Mar 1993	00:08	56=B025'12"N	013=B048'58"W	138.2
31 Mar 1993	00:09	53=B037'09"N	008=B045'57"W	141.1
31 Mar 1993	00:10	50=B036'55"N	004=B024'01"W	144.1
31 Mar 1993	00:11	47=B027'10"N	000=B036'19"W	147.1
31 Mar 1993	00:12	44=B009'57"N	002=B043'11"E	150.2
31 Mar 1993	00:13	40=B046'51"N	005=B039'37"E	153.4
31 Mar 1993	00:14	37=B019'06"N	008=B017'09"E	156.6
31 Mar 1993	00:15	33=B047'38"N	010=B039'15"E	159.8
31 Mar 1993	00:16	30=B013'14"N	012=B048'44"E	163.1
31 Mar 1993	00:17	26=B036'30"N	014=B047'56"E	166.4
31 Mar 1993	00:18	22=B057'56"N	016=B038'46"E	169.8
31 Mar 1993	00:19	19=B017'56"N	018=B022'54"E	173.2
31 Mar 1993	00:20	15=B036'52"N	020=B001'41"E	176.7
31 Mar 1993	00:21	11=B055'02"N	021=B036'21"E	180.2
31 Mar 1993	00:22	08=B012'42"N	023=B007'58"E	183.6
31 Mar 1993	00:23	04=B030'07"N	024=B037'31"E	187.1

NOTE: This is an idealized ground track and is not accurate to seconds of latitude or longitude, which are for calculational comparison only. Also note that the amateur astronomer John Fitzsimons sighted the Cosmos 2238 reentry from Sligo, Ireland, where he saw it reach 70 degrees elevation (almost overhead) at close approach to his SW. This defines the reentry trajectory to go through a line about 20 miles to the SW of Sligo, which translates to shifting all of the tabulated positions above by about 1/3 to 1/2 degree longitude to the East, and requiring about 2 minute earlier times.

Hence for the key positions at 0009 to 0011 Z time these would change to 0007 to 0009 as follows (with roundoffs):

31 Mar 1993	00:07	53=B037' N	008=B0.4 W	poss 100 km altitude
31 Mar 1993	00:08	50=B037' N	004=B0 W	possibly 80 km
31 Mar 1993	00:09	47=B027' N	000=B0 W	possibly 60 km

This puts the Cosmos 2238 decay track from roughly Boyle, Ireland, to Dartmouth, Devon, England, to Angers, France, and these are larger towns chosen for ease of spotting on a map, not for exactness. These are not the coordinates above necessarily, just closest large cities to a line through the above coordinates.

<snip>

>The time of the Sergeant's sighting was reported by MoD as >0110 Z which is 2:10 AM (BST). Maybe it is wrong but it is not >contradicted by the Sergeant's report which also was not a >verbatim signed document but was an account as written down by >the MoD, and does not specify Z (GMT/UTC) or A (local BST).

>The Sgt.'s typed and signed report appears to me to be a Devon & >Cornwall Constabulary report.

>Martin Shough

Martin is right. I confused this with what is apparently Nick Pope's handwritten MoD record of the Sgt's sighting.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 22:20:23 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 06:56:29 -0500
Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Shough

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 12:12:35 -0600 (CST)
>Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

>If I wasn't so incredibly lazy, I might look up the date and
>time of the photo, then find a chart showing the phase Venus was
>in at the time. If it turned out to be a quarter-crescent, that
>would add more weight to the idea that it was Venus. I suppose
>one could also get one of those extra-fancy astronomy programs
>and figure out exact where Venus might be in the lunar sky on
>that date and time, too.

Just look at the photo. As I pointed out days ago the phase of
this "Venus" is just about diametrically opposed to the obvious
direction of illumination on the lunar surface, unless there are
now two suns in the solar system.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso -

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 14:38:15 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 07:00:47 -0500
Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso -

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 19:26:22 +0000
>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 08:36:17 -0800
>>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:28:46 -0000
>>>Subject: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>>Thanks to ICIS for sending this Google.ca URL, don't know how
>>>long it'll stay active:

>>><http://tinyurl.com/yxwxv8>

>>>It's the google-video featuring Art Bell's 1997 interview with
>>>Colonel Corso - with confirmation of his background and personal
>>>history by Dr. Alexander who had the military access for
>>>checking.

>>>Personally, it seems to me that most of what I'd heard about
>>>Col. Corso was plain wrong - disinformation for the purpose of
>>>discrediting him. Interview was well worth listening to.

>>Thanks for bringing this interview to our attention.

>>Mike Kemp, who had little prior knowledge of Corso and no
>>opinion about his veracity, conducted a Voice Stress Analysis
>>of this entire program.

>><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2000/aug/m16-020.shtml>

>>Ed

>>So here we go again about Corso! I strongly suggest that anyone
>>who thinks Corso was credible read through the UFO UpDates
>>Archives to see what the strong consensus of the comparatively
>>scholarly UFO community is on that point.

Hi Richard,

I agree; the UpDates archives is where to begin, after you
listen to the interview. The problem with your "strong consensus
of the comparatively scholarly UFO community" is that there
isn't a scholarly community, and there isn't a censuses.

The Day After Roswell has sold more than a million copies.
Philip Corso was an important player. I suggest you and the
UpDates List listen to the entire show, and carefully consider
his direct words, unambiguous and candid. Then we can discuss
Col. Corso.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 18:54:03 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 07:03:24 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Friedman

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 20:57:22 +0000
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:16:10 EST
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>So, I agree that Dr. Doleman found what he thought might have
>>been a remnant of the gouge, his research indicated that what he
>>found was a coyote hole, but that does not mean that the gouge
>>witnessed by Bill Brazel, Arthur Exon and others was a coyote
>>hole, especially given the dimensions.

>Kevin:

>Isn't Bill Brazel the son of Mac?

>Mac's description of where the debris site was located and what
>was there is final testimony and the most reliable. Everybody
>else is just guessing.

>Further, are you saying that you and Don never interviewed Mac -
>who would have been able to provide first hand testimony.

>As for Bill, he was just a little boy at the time, and might
>have thought he was looking at a really big gouge. The mind of a
>child sees things much larger than they are. Everybody was in
>fact looking at a caved in coyote/fox hole. In the
>excitement/hysteria they could have thought it was part of the
>debris field.

>Exon? He was not part of the original group who was at the
>debris site and was a first hand witness.

Kathy you need to do some homework.

Bill was Mack's son, but was in his 20's in 1947 and is now
deceased. Mack was dead well before I began the civilian
investigation of the Roswell Incident in the mid 1970s. I found
Bill in the late 1970s.

Are you confusing Bill with Jesse Marcel junior who was 11 in
1947?.. and who was not out at the crash site... and who is
still alive having managed to spend a year in Iraq as a colonel,
flight surgeon, helicopter pilot.. despite his relatively
advanced age.

Kevin can certainly verify that it was no picnic over there.
Incidentally both put in time in Vietnam as well.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso -

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 18:57:34 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 07:05:35 -0500
Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso -

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 19:26:22 +0000
>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 08:36:17 -0800
>>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:28:46 -0000
>>>Subject: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>>Thanks to ICIS for sending this Google.ca URL, don't know how
>>>long it'll stay active:

>>><http://tinyurl.com/yxwxv8>

>>>It's the google-video featuring Art Bell's 1997 interview with
>>>Colonel Corso - with confirmation of his background and personal
>>>history by Dr. Alexander who had the military access for
>>>checking.

>>>Personally, it seems to me that most of what I'd heard about
>>>Col. Corso was plain wrong - disinformation for the purpose of
>>>discrediting him. Interview was well worth listening to.

>>Thanks for bringing this interview to our attention.

>>Mike Kemp, who had little prior knowledge of Corso and no
>>opinion about his veracity, conducted a Voice Stress Analysis
>>of this entire program.

>><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2000/aug/m16-020.shtml>

>So here we go again about Corso! I strongly suggest that anyone
>who thinks Corso was credible read through the UFO UpDates
>Archives to see what the strong consensus of the comparatively
>scholarly UFO community is on that point.

There is a piece on Corso on my website as well at:

<http://www.stantonfriedman.com>

Lt. Colonel Corso was a real person who seems to have
exaggerated his role in a number of areas.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

NASA & NSA Airbrushing Satellite Photos Of Moon?

From: **Joachim Koch** <lists.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 00:16:04 +0100
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 07:08:40 -0500
Subject: NASA & NSA Airbrushing Satellite Photos Of Moon?

Hello List,

Below is the URL for a short clip from the Greatest Story Ever Denied video featuring the testimony of Donna Hare and Karl Wolfe discussing how photos of moon bases were airbrushed.

It appears that many of the claims of the moon being an arid desert with no atmosphere are contrived as authors such as Fred Steckling (Alien Base on the Moon) have been saying for decades.

<http://tinyurl.com/ycuk9x>

Does anybody know this footage and have you comments on that?

Joachim Koch

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

Investigation Uncovers Spy Game Surrounding UFO

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 07:29:45 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 07:29:45 -0500
Subject: Investigation Uncovers Spy Game Surrounding UFO

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/yjucoz>

November 21, 2006

Investigation Uncovers Spy Game Surrounding UFO Mystery
Gary S. Bekkum

Were secure vaults breached at Los Alamos, a USAF base, and other locations?

A national security issue buried in a UFO tale has been interpreted to an official under the Office of the Director of National Intelligence. Starstream Research investigates in a new on-line feature series titled, "Exempt from Legal Recourse."

Truth is often stranger than fiction. Nowhere is this more apparent than in the latest revelations detailed by the on-line investigative report produced by Starstream Research. I am the de facto editor and senior writer for Starstream Research, a private provider of intelligence and analysis on futuristic national and international defense, security and risk developments. I founded Starstream Research to explore areas of 'exotic' technologies that often remain outside of the bounds of conventional intelligence publications, like those produced by the esteemed "Jane's Information Group." Exploring cutting edge and 'over the edge' topics, such as the application of cold fusion research for new weapons of mass destruction technology, or the U.S. Government's twenty-year interest in using parapsychology for intelligence collection, are the norm for Starstream Research. In other words, we often explore topics which Jane's might not touch with the proverbial "ten foot pole."

Over the past few years Starstream Research has evolved from numerous contacts with scientists located around the world. They share a common vision of new and more advanced understanding of the laws of nature, and their application to practical technologies. As a result we have also bumped heads with members of the intelligence community, or their proxies in the private sector. Our latest series of stories, "Exempt from Legal Recourse," developed from sources working with the intelligence sector of the government.

As editor of Starstream Research, I have been attempting to walk a thin line between sources' requests for anonymity and the need to move our investigation forward. The result has been something of a mixed bag, leaving both readers and sources less than satisfied with the details we have provided. I am in the process of reviewing a considerable amount of material, and determining what may and may not be released to the public.

The present controversy revolves around stories released by Starstream Research, and distributed by The American Chronicle. Those stories revealed that in late August of this year, three

agents of the Washington Bureau of the FBI met with an undisclosed party and discussed a UFO tale involving several former and present government intelligence officers. The UFO tale surrounds a loosely knit and unofficial group of government insiders known as the AVIARY, because they were once covertly identified only by bird names. Some members of the AVIARY remain unknown, with several of their real identities in dispute.

When questions were raised over reported entry by UFO researchers, including a former counter-intelligence officer, into secure government vaults at Los Alamos National Laboratory, a USAF base, and other locations, I knew we had a story that needed to be told to the public. We received a considerable amount of information thanks to on-going contact with U.S. Government officers and consultants by an independent investigator reporting to Starstream Research. Confirmation that the FBI had been apprised of some of the activities was independently confirmed by another unnamed source who requested not to be identified.

The most interesting aspect of the case appears to be the release of personal and confidential email messages to an independent investigator close to Starstream Research, via Dan T. Smith, a private citizen who received copies forwarded from an officer of the Defense Intelligence Agency under the Office of the Director of National Intelligence. The DNI is the highest level of the U. S. intelligence community. I subsequently received confirmation of concerns over the leak of messages from a second source, as did another independent contributor.

The purpose of the transfer of the messages remains an issue of discussion, but suggests a counter-intelligence operation. A mutual undisclosed source confirmed that the issue was briefly brought before the FBI when reviewing national security clearance and procedure.

Although our focus has been on documented government interest in unusual phenomena for intelligence gathering, we suspect the use of phenomenology for intelligence may be closely tied to real cloak and dagger activities, both past and present. More disturbing is the apparent disconnect displayed in messages between parties involved in their official capacities regarding a topic far removed from any 'UFO tale.' Access to secure government vaults is a very serious matter, as was illustrated by the discovery of classified information from Los Alamos National Laboratory during a recent drug bust. The deliberate use of the so-called UFO "giggle-factor" as cover for nefarious deeds involving espionage on behalf of foreign powers is a genuine concern.

Our renewed investigation was born from a special series at the Starstream Research website titled "Invasion: Earth?" which examined claims from government officers and consultants about an alien presence based upon the moon. Substantial government sourced documentation, recently released by the CIA under Congressional mandate, provided new corroborating evidence for the claims of former CIA test subject Ingo Swann, as originally told in his out of print book, "Penetration."

Although we have made no judgment about the reality of an 'alien presence,' there is no question that the tale has left a mysterious trail in official government records.

After reviewing new information presented by Starstream Research on the Ingo Swann affair, a former CIA senior analyst wrote to me, "You do a service. Excellent analysis from what is officially released material needs constancy of theme and purpose, not simply 'expose' morning coffee. You do excellent analysis. I sure as heck am learning things I didn't know, but which fit like my hands in gloves I was shown but never allowed to try and put on."

Apart from boosting our egos up a notch or two, the confirmation that our investigation had elicited a positive response suggested there was more to the tale left to be uncovered.

Additional details are presently under review, as the investigation remains on-going. The result of the investigation, reviews of CIA documents, and additional details are available at the Starstream Research web site:

www.starstreamresearch.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 11:13:28 +0100
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 07:37:08 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 20:57:22 +0000
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:16:10 EST
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>So, I agree that Dr. Doleman found what he thought might have
>>been a remnant of the gouge, his research indicated that what he
>>found was a coyote hole, but that does not mean that the gouge
>>witnessed by Bill Brazel, Arthur Exon and others was a coyote
>>hole, especially given the dimensions.

>Kevin:

>Isn't Bill Brazel the son of Mac?

>Mac's description of where the debris site was located and what
>was there is final testimony and the most reliable. Everybody
>else is just guessing.

>Further, are you saying that you and Don never interviewed Mac -
>who would have been able to provide first hand testimony.

>As for Bill, he was just a little boy at the time, and might
>have thought he was looking at a really big gouge. The mind of
>a child sees things much larger than they are. Everybody was in
>fact looking at a caved in coyote/fox hole. In the
>excitement/hysteria they could have thought it was part of the
>debris field.

>Exon? He was not part of the original group who was at the
>debris site and was a first hand witness.

Kathy,

How can you be so affirmative on Roswell when you are not even
sure that Bill was the son his father Mack!

I am impressed to find so many mistakes in a single, short
message.

Let's be brief. It is well known that Brazel changed his
testimony after passing a day or so at the base. So his story in
the evening of July 8, under military escort, is certainly not
the "final testimony". Then we have the testimony of Major
Marcel - and others. Have you missed it? He was not guessing, he
had spent a day on the field. Bill Brazel was not a little boy,
he was a young adult at the time. He was working in Albuquerque,
read in the newspaper that his father was detained at the base,
and hurried to the ranch to take care of his sheep. And I see no
reason to to discard the testimony of General Exon who saw the
two sites from a plane.

BTW, I have seen the DVD of the recent speech of Dr Jesse Marcel Jr at the last MUFON conference. At the end, there was a prolonged exchange, and one person said that he was the son of the manager of the bar in Corona, and he remembered that Bill had talked there about having found and kept some debris. It's a new confirmation of the veracity of Bill's story.

Gildas Bourdais

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Ballester Olmos

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <ballesterolmos.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 14:34:22 +0100 (CET)
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 11:28:01 -0500
Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Ballester Olmos

>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 12:12:35 -0600 (CST)
>Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

>If I wasn't so incredibly lazy, I might look up the date and
>time of the photo, then find a chart showing the phase Venus was
>in at the time. If it turned out to be a quarter-crescent, that
>would add more weight to the idea that it was Venus. I suppose
>one could also get one of those extra-fancy astronomy programs
>and figure out exact where Venus might be in the lunar sky on
>that date and time, too.

Could not Mr Robert Morningstar provide here the exact date and
time of the photograph, for due checking work?

Thanks,

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos

FOTOCAT Blog <http://fotocat.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

Re: Cosford 1993 - Shough

From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 13:36:01 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 11:29:40 -0500
Subject: Re: Cosford 1993 - Shough

>From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 16:58:36 EST
>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 14:50:53 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

>>>From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 01:46:07 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

<snip>

>>So there are some aspects of this report that do not add up
>>very
>>satisfactorily, in addition to the reported 1-hour time
>>discrepancy, and in isolation one might want to keep the case
>>open.

>The bottom line here is that thanks to Martin's excellent work
>in tracking down the Lantoom Quarry it is clear that the Quarry
>was to the S of the road the police Sgt was traveling. My
>reading of the Sgt's report was correct, that the Quarry was
>located to his south and left, and Joe M's strained
>interpretation that the Sgt was turned around is wrong.

>Joe argues that the Sgt's left was to the E and thus consistent
>with the reentering Cosmos 2238 rocket stage. But in fact the
>Sgt correctly related his left to the W (or southwest) over the
>Quarry where the objects disappeared, exactly as I had
>contended.

Well, I would like to reinstate my caveat that there is some ambiguity in the phrases "the high ground of the quarry" and "immediately on my left". They can be interpreted to mean high ground in the area of the quarry, directly south of the Sgt's position, rather than the location of the quarry excavation itself which lies to the WSW. If the lights disappeared in the WSW and went near the zenith at "12 o'clock high" they could not possibly have been on a "dead straight North-South" trajectory. So this would make the Sgt's evidence incoherent.

Gary Anthony has provided me some more data for the track produced by his simulation. They are pretty close to those provided by Brad. Taking Gary's figures for comparison, the ground track would run from Broad Haven at the NW tip of County Mayo, roughly through Bude, Launceston and Plymouth, to graze Bolt Tail, the southern promontory of Bigbury Bay, Devon and the altitude would put the max elevation at approximately 60 degs in the SW from the Irish astronomer Fitzgerald's position (if in or near Sligo town). He estimated 70 degs which seems close enough to me if it was a naked eye observation (if he determined this using setting circles then some adjustment of ground range and/or altitude would be needed).

The max elevation of this track would be about 80 degs in the NE from Lantoom in Cornwall. This is pretty close to "12 o'clock high" by any ordinary standards. Perhaps the Sgt got out facing W then craned his head right back and simultaneously swivelled to watch the lights, passing high overhead almost behind him, in such a way that he was slightly disorientated?

I accept that this report and the interlocking topographical references do suggest that he was describing a view framed by a SW aspect, rather than the NE aspect that would seem more natural given the ground track of the Cosmos booster. I can't definitely explain why that should be so, but I still feel that invoking a UFO plus a booster doesn't seem realistic. So some sighting and/or reporting error, or some misinterpretation on our part, remains the most likely explanation of apparent discrepancies in this case, though I still find it interesting to investigate them.

Martin Shough

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 09:01:11 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 11:34:19 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 14:49:30 -0800
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:06:17 EST
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>And another question, why does everyone seem to believe the
>>crash was in a straight line? Since this was a piloted craft, we
>>certainly could expect it to maneuver or not, as the pilot
>>chose.

>Hi Kevin,

>Yes, under normal circumstances that's correct, but can't we
>also contend that the craft was malfunctioning in some way and
>perhaps the pilots didn't have complete control?

>I don't understand your point. The Foster ranch, where the
>debris-field you studied is located, is in a direct line with
>Six-mile Hill and the Wilmot home and the Woody ranch. Woody
>wasn't sure about the date but other information he supplied
>indicates that both he and the Wilmots saw the same object on
>July 2nd, during the late evening.

Good Morning, Ed, List, All who are still following this -

My point is that there are questions that never seem to be asked
and assumptions made that might be correct, but again have never
been challenged. Of course if the object (if it really was the
one that Wilmot saw) is the one that crashed, it could have
flown straight over Roswell toward its destiny in the desert (a
bit of alliteration there). I'm only saying that we must keep in
the back of our minds that (a) the object Wilmot saw isn't
necessarily the one that crashed and (b) it might not have flown
in a straight line.

>Paul's testimony certainly needs to be considered, and
>buttresses the argument for a midair explosion, over the Foster
>ranch. That same explosion was heard by Mack, late in the
>evening during a rain storm. He found the debris the following
>day. Is there any reason why we can't agree to this?

With the proviso there might have been a coincidence involving
two separate events...

>I don't have any argument with the Foster ranch location for the
>debris Mack found. I guess our disagreement is whether there was
>a midair explosion. What do you think happened?

<snip>

>>According to Bill, the gouge wasn't all that deep. If you have
>>dug on that specific field, you'd know that the top soil (and by

>>this I mean the loose dirt) only goes down about 18 inches.
>>After that there is a much more compacted, tougher clay that it
>>difficult to dig through. So, believe, or don't, but that is the
>>testimony from one of the few witnesses who hasn't seemed to
>>embellish his tale and who was actually on the debris field.

>My problem with a gouge is that it implies a solid object
>hitting the ground with such impact that it digs up the dirt and
>sand and leaves a disrupted desert surface. I don't believe that
>happened and if it had, there would still be visible evidence of
>that disruption. Mack didn't mention severe burning or indicate
>an explosion. Most witnesses who described the debris field said
>the material appeared to be dropped or scattered from above. I
>think all this evidence adds up to a midair explosion directly
>over the Foster Ranch.

All the evidence doesn't add up to that because you have conveniently ignored the description of the gouge because it doesn't fit in with your scenario. You refuse to entertain the possibility that there was a gouge even when that description is provided by one of the few witnesses who actually walked the fields in 1947 and was intimately familiar with the terrain... Unlike your MP who didn't live in the Corona area.

<snip>

>>>I'm not convinced. A fifty year old gouge would be easily seen.
>>>That's the type of disruption of the desert terrain that's hard
>>>to repair.

>>Same argument as above... although there was talk that we might
>>be able to use infrared film that would detect the disturbance
>>even after all this time. But the gouge wasn't all that deep and
>>according to what Bill Brazel told me on the field, not all that
>>wide. Maybe ten feet at its widest and tapering toward the ends.

>I don't understand. What would finding a gouge in the desert
>prove? What's the point of all this? I think it would be great
>if we could agree on the exact location of the debris field, and
>I think it might be fruitful to search for overlooked debris. I
>>would love to spend a day there, but the general location was
>sufficient for my calculations. I was trying to figure out where
>the rest of it came down.

Corroboration of Bill Brazel's memory. Seems to me that you challenge me to prove that we have done our research properly and then ask why we've done it. You wanted to know who had assisted in the search of the debris field and now reject, out of hand, the findings of the research and the descriptions provided by the eyewitnesses.

><snip>

>>>>verification from you that the name he used was an actual MP, in
>>>>Squadron T.

>>>>Actually, Squadron T was not an MP unit. Those were the 1395 MP
>>>>Company and 390th Air Service Squadron. The MP Company was
>>>>responsible for patrolling the town, etc. and the Service
>>>>Squadron responsible for base security. Both units fell under
>>>>the direction of the provost marshal, Edwin Easley.

>>>The MP indicated that he had guard duty at the gates. He was
>>>on the base most of the time.

>>Yes, but you see, Squadron T had no responsibility for the MP
>>functions and members of Squadron T were not MP's, which is not
>>to say that they might not have supplied soldiers for some of
>>these tasks... but your other problem is that your guy is a
>>sergeant and they rarely, if ever, would be assigned guard duty.
>>Sergeant of the Guard, yes... but not standing around at the
>>gate.

>Yes he was at the gate. He may have been in charge, I don't
>think he was just standing around. He did whatever the members
>of Squadron T were assigned to do. There were ten or eleven Sgts
>in the squadron, and about the same number of PFCs, and seven
>Cpls. Somebody had to man the gate. Or are you implying that the
>person who wrote me was using this MP's name and simply feeding
>me a load of BS?

My point is that he was not assigned to either of the military police units on the base, but in another unit that did not have the responsibility for guarding the gate. While I suggest he might have found himself at the gate infrequently, it would not have been a permanent assignment so this is conflict with what we know. Is it a major problem? No, it is a blip on the radar, of which we must take notice.

><snip>

>>>And "which others" are those? As far as I know, the MP's >>>testimony is in a class by itself. Which witnesses do you >>>believe? Is there anyone with a coherent story besides the MP?

>>Well, many of the witnesses tell coherent stories. That's >> certainly not a criterion for determining the truth. However, >> your boy's story conflicts with Glenn Dennis who is the one who >> talked about hands with four fingers and no thumbs,

>Yes but he was not an eye witness. He didn't see anything > himself.

Let's see. You ask about which others are in conflict with the story of the MP and I point out Glenn Dennis and you say, he is not an eyewitness... to which I can say, sure he was... to certain events. You're changing the rules here.

>>your friend Kaufmann.

>I never met him but have watched his testimony on many occasions > and read his accounts. He's not important to me, but I do have > an open mind since I tend to think of him as a double agent who > gave some valuable, solid evidence, mixed with misinformation.

No, Kaufmann was making it up, based on what he had seen on TV and what he had read in various books and magazines. I tend to think of him as a liar who had fun pulling our chains and who added nothing of value to our research but certainly has confused the issue. Nothing of importance told to us by him has ever checked out and the little things he got right were more coincidence than anything else. The sooner you jettison his information, the better you are going to be.

>who gave them five fingers and who, when shown the > alien autopsy film pointed out many features that were incorrect

>Yes this is an example of the misinformation.

I prefer to think of it as an example of a lie, but then, you wanted to know who was in conflict with your MP and Kaufmann (a witness whose testimony you accept in part) was one of those.

>>(which I mention only because you seem to think Kaufmann might >> have known something).

>Yes I think he knew his part in the drama.

Of course he was part of the drama, but not until 1990. He had no role in 1947.

>>Len Stringfield, in his Crash Retrieval >> Reports wrote a description of the aliens that included only >> four fingers and no opposable thumb (which matches Dennis' >> description).

>Where did Len get his information?

Person interviews and letters from the witnesses.

>>Anna Willmon, (as opposed to Wilmot) said that the >> bodies she saw were normal and the hands and feet were normal, >> meaning five digits rather than four or six.

>I don't know her.

But she said she was an eyewitness who saw the bodies and her descriptions don't match those of your MP.

>>I think you can look at the testimonies provided by Bill Brazel, >> Loretta Proctor, Marian Strickland, Jesse Marcel (Sr. and Jr.), >> Edwin Easley, Patrick Saunders, Arthur Exon, Thomas DuBose,

>>Robert Smith, Pappy Henderson (or actually Sappho), Phyllis
>>McGuire, Elizabeth Tulk, Frankie Rowe... do I need to go on or
>>is this list sufficient.

>Yes they all may have a part of the truth but none have a
>complete story as the MP does. He was there, an eye witness. His
>testimony is about the crashed craft and should not be mixed
>with the Foster ranch witnesses.

I think you're splitting a fine hair here in that you asked for a list of whose stories I accept but now you want to qualify them in a different way. Of those I listed, Edwin Easley, who was the chief MP had as much knowledge as your MP because Easley was their commander. Chester Barton, and officer who worked for Easley also talked about a visit out to one of the crash sites, which, BTW, he put closer to Roswell than the debris field. The same came be said of Lewis 'Bill' Rickett who talked of a trip of less than an hour out there. Jesse Marcel, Sr. certainly had as much information because he was there as well, so I reject your point.

>There is another MP from Squadron "T": Thomas Gonzales. Do you
>remember him?

Obviously, since you quote from him...

><http://dspace.dial.pipex.com/ritson/scispi/roswell/reporter.htm>

>You wrote: "Thanks to the efforts and cooperation of John Price
>of The UFO Enigma Museum in Roswell and Don Ecker of UFO
>Magazine, we have yet another first hand witness to the
>recovered bodies.

>Thomas L. Gonzales, a sergeant with Squadron T. of the 509th
>Bomb Group, was one of those assigned to guard the impact site.
>He has corroborated its location close to Roswell and the
>retrieval of bodies which he called, "little men."

>Gonzales described them as having eyes and heads that were
>slightly larger than human. He also referred to the crashed
>object as an "airfoil."

>Did anyone ever follow up with Sgt. Gonzales? Is he still alive?

Of course. I talked to him about what he saw and what he remembered and tried to confirm what Don Ecker had written about him. All this is in my Roswell Encyclopedia under the heading of Thomas Gonzales, a book you clearly have not read.

>>Of course the MP Story conflicts with some of the documentation.
>>While your MP is in the Yearbook, he was assigned to neither of
>>the MP units, but Squadron T... so there is a conflict for you.

>It isn't for me; for some reason I fail to grasp, I can see it
>is for you. He never caimed this single incident was anything
>but what it was - a one night assignment that he never could
>forget. On the night of July 3rd, he and some other MP were
>loaded into jeeps and driven for three hours to the crash site.
>They stood guard that night and next morning. Then they returned
>to the base. He never bragged or made it more than it was.

Because you represent him as an MP but he was not assigned to the military police. So, he wasn't an MP, which is not to say he didn't go out to the field, but calling him an MP is not quite right. And for those of you keeping score at home, I have now split a hair... though one that is not very fine.

>>>>The MP and Mac were involved with separate events.
>>>>Mac's site was almost exactly five miles from the MP's site.
>>>>Their recoveries took place at different times. The MP's craft
>>>>and creatures were found and recovered on the 3rd & 4th of July,
>>>>and Mac's debris was collected on the 8th & 9th of July.

>>>>Which, ironically, is the same suggestion that Kaufmann made, or
>>>>rather, that the bodies were found on July 4... of course, we
>>>>know that Kaufmann was making up his involvement.

>>>No it isn't the same. The MP said that the recovery started on
>>>the 3rd of July and was completed by the morning of the 4th of
>>>July.

>>Well, Kaufmann had them cleaning the area on July 4 and he
>>provided documentation.

>The morning of July 4th is still the 4th. The MP's dates agree
>with Kaufman's date. Where is the conflict?

Didn't you just tell me that they weren't the same? And now you're
saying they are.

>>Your guy, as I understand it, is relying
>>on his memory... If he has some documentation, that would
>>certainly help you out here. If not, then he could certainly be
>>off by a day or two.

>Why would that be necessary? I don't see the problem.

Because we have had so many people telling us stuff and they
have no documentation to back it up. Wouldn't it be nice to have
something other than a now nearly 60 year old memory to
corroborate the story, especially when there is some conflict
with the other witnesses and the available documentation? Don't
you understand that some people might be lying to you like, oh,
I don't know, Gerald Anderson, Jim Ragsdale, and the guy who
told me his father, a colonel flew in to Roswell and took him
out to the crash site. And let's not forget Kaufmann.

>How is the MP off "by a day or two". They both agree that
>cleanup was happening on the 4th. The MP says it also happened
>on the 3rd of July. He only knows what he could know and yes
>they may have found more bodies on the 4th, but this doesn't
>discredit his testimony. He would have no way of knowing
>anything but what he observed with his own eyes or in his
>discussions with Pappy Henderson.

I was merely suggesting that he could easily have misremembered
the date given the length of time that has passed and that some
written record would be nice to confirm these points. Nothing
more sinister than that.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso - Clark

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 09:41:04 -0600
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 12:12:50 -0500
Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso - Clark

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 14:38:15 -0800
>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 19:26:22 +0000
>>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 08:36:17 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>>>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:28:46 -0000
>>>>Subject: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>So here we go again about Corso! I strongly suggest that anyone
>>who thinks Corso was credible read through the UFO UpDates
>>Archives to see what the strong consensus of the comparatively
>>scholarly UFO community is on that point.

>I agree; the UpDates archives is where to begin, after you
>listen to the interview. The problem with your "strong consensus
>of the comparatively scholarly UFO community" is that there
>isn't a scholarly community, and there isn't a censuses.

Well, here's my own personal censuses (sic): Anybody who thinks
the Corso book is anything other than a mediocre science-fiction
novel is nobody I am going to waste valuable (or, actually, any
other) time with.

>The Day After Roswell has sold more than a million copies.

Yeah, I guess that clinches the case.

Jerry Clark

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

Mike Fortson Eye Witness To Enormous V-Shaped UFO

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 10:07:44 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 12:16:24 -0500
Subject: Mike Fortson Eye Witness To Enormous V-Shaped UFO

Here is the link to Part I of an exclusive interview with eye-witness Mike Fortson of an "enormous V-shaped craft" aka The Phoenix Lights:

<http://tinyurl.com/yf7bgm>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 22](#)

Re: NASA & NSA Airbrushing Satellite Photos Of

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 11:20:08 -0600 (CST)
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 12:19:37 -0500
Subject: Re: NASA & NSA Airbrushing Satellite Photos Of

>From: Joachim Koch <lists.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 00:16:04 +0100
>Subject: NASA & NSA Airbrushing Satellite Photos Of Moon?

>Below is the URL for a short clip from the Greatest Story Ever
>Denied video featuring the testimony of Donna Hare and Karl
>Wolfe discussing how photos of moon bases were airbrushed.

>It appears that many of the claims of the moon being an arid
>desert with no atmosphere are contrived as authors such as Fred
>Steckling (Alien Base on the Moon) have been saying for decades.

><http://tinyurl.com/ycuk9x>

>Does anybody know this footage and have you comments on that?

The testimony of people who say they either witnessed or participated in airbrushing anomalous features such as UFOs or alien mining facilities from NASA photos is interesting.

Without the actual photos, however, what are we supposed to do? Just take their word for it? Blurred areas in available NASA photo montages are often there just to fill in blank spots and don't necessarily hide towers or flying saucer fleets. One of the photos in Escamilla's movie trailer shows fingerprint markings implying just such a fleet.

If someone were able to shove a few of the unretouched photos into their pockets along with the retouched ones for comparison, maybe we'd have something besides interesting stories to go on.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

Re: NASA & NSA Airbrushing Satellite Photos Of

From: Dave Haith <visions.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 14:56:44 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 06:55:45 -0500
Subject: Re: NASA & NSA Airbrushing Satellite Photos Of

>From: Joachim Koch <lists.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 00:16:04 +0100
>Subject: NASA & NSA Airbrushing Satellite Photos Of Moon?

>Below is the URL for a short clip from the Greatest Story Ever
>Denied video featuring the testimony of Donna Hare and Karl
>Wolfe discussing how photos of moon bases were airbrushed.

>It appears that many of the claims of the moon being an arid
>desert with no atmosphere are contrived as authors such as Fred
>Steckling (Alien Base on the Moon) have been saying for decades.

><http://tinyurl.com/ycuk9x>

>Does anybody know this footage and have you comments on that?

There was nothing in the sound commentary to suggest the pictures are genuine NASA footage or somebody's simulations. If they are genuine, why would NASA leave smudges when the technology has existed for years to take out artifacts not wanted, completely seamlessly?

Unless of course for purposes of disinformation they wanted us to think the pictures had been tampered with.

There was something suspect in the Karl Wolfe testimony about being suddenly shown these anomalies by an instant whistle-blower for no apparent reason - an action which could have cost the young man his career.

Could Karl have been 'set up' in some psychological experiment to discover how such revelations affect people?

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 10:37:34 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 07:00:22 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 09:01:11 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 14:49:30 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:06:17 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

><snip>

>>>And another question, why does everyone seem to believe the
>>>crash was in a straight line? Since this was a piloted craft, we
>>>certainly could expect it to maneuver or not, as the pilot
>>>chose.

>>Hi Kevin,

>>Yes, under normal circumstances that's correct, but can't we
>>also contend that the craft was malfunctioning in some way and
>>perhaps the pilots didn't have complete control?

>>I don't understand your point. The Foster ranch, where the
>>debris-field you studied is located, is in a direct line with
>>Six-mile Hill and the Wilmot home and the Woody ranch. Woody
>>wasn't sure about the date but other information he supplied
>>indicates that both he and the Wilmots saw the same object on
>>July 2nd, during the late evening.

>Good Morning, Ed, List, All who are still following this -

>My point is that there are questions that never seem to be asked
>and assumptions made that might be correct, but again have never
>been challenged. Of course if the object (if it really was the
>one that Wilmot saw) is the one that crashed, it could have
>flown straight over Roswell toward its destiny in the desert (a
>bit of alliteration there). I'm only saying that we must keep in
>the back of our minds that (a) the object Wilmot saw isn't
>necessarily the one that crashed and (b) it might not have flown
>in a straight line.

Hi Kevin,

If the craft the Wilmots didn't see is responsible for the
Foster Ranch debris, then it could have flown in from anywhere.
But my assessment of the known evidence is that the craft the
Wilmots and the Woodys observed is the one that Mack heard
explode, which became the source of the debris he found the next
day.

>>Paul's testimony certainly needs to be considered, and
>>buttresses the argument for a midair explosion, over the Foster
>>ranch. That same explosion was heard by Mack, late in the

>>evening during a rain storm. He found the debris the following
>>day. Is there any reason why we can't agree to this?

>With the proviso there might have been a coincidence involving
>two separate events...

Anything is possible, but there isn't any evidence for your
other "events".

>>>According to Bill, the gouge wasn't all that deep. If you have
>>>dug on that specific field, you'd know that the top soil (and by
>>>this I mean the loose dirt) only goes down about 18 inches.
>>>After that there is a much more compacted, tougher clay that it
>>>difficult to dig through. So, believe, or don't, but that is the
>>>testimony from one of the few witnesses who hasn't seemed to
>>>embellish his tale and who was actually on the debris field.

>>My problem with a gouge is that it implies a solid object
>>hitting the ground with such impact that it digs up the dirt and
>>sand and leaves a disrupted desert surface. I don't believe that
>>happened and if it had, there would still be visible evidence of
>>that disruption. Mack didn't mention severe burning or indicate
>>an explosion. Most witnesses who described the debris field said
>>the material appeared to be dropped or scattered from above. I
>>think all this evidence adds up to a midair explosion directly
>>over the Foster Ranch.

>All the evidence doesn't add up to that because you have
>conveniently ignored the description of the gouge because it
>doesn't fit in with your scenario.

There isn't any evidence for a gouge. If there was a gouge, it
would still be there. Please understand this; I don't care if
there's a gouge or not. It has no bearing on the MP's story at
all. All the evidence points to a midair explosion.

>You refuse to entertain the possibility that there was
>a gouge even when that description is provided by one of the few
>witnesses who actually walked the fields in 1947 and was intimately
>familiar with the terrain... Unlike your MP who didn't live in the
>Corona area.

The MP's understanding of the desert has no bearing at all on
this discussion. He isn't arguing with you about this, I am and
I do understand the desert and have visited and explored the
area near this site. There would still be clear evidence on the
ground for such a disruption. If I walked the site, I'm sure I
could find it. If you can make arrangements, I'll be more than
happy to spend a few days searching.

>><snip>

>>I was trying to figure out where
>>the rest of it came down.

>Corroboration of Bill Brazel's memory. Seems to me that you
>challenge me to prove that we have done our research properly
>and then ask why we've done it.

I don't understand this point. I agree that there was a debris
field. The gouge isn't important to my arguments, one way or the
other.

>You wanted to know who had
>assisted in the search of the debris field and now reject, out
>of hand, the findings of the research and the descriptions
>provided by the eyewitnesses.

They're describing the debris field and the MP was at the site
where the rest of the craft landed. The site that I have found,
led by the MP's account, isn't connected to the Foster ranch
part of the story. I'm trying to not get them confused. These
are two separate events, but both involve the same craft. Mack's
discovery of the debris on the 3rd of July was five miles from
the recovery drama that was being played out at the MP's site.

>><snip>

>>>Yes, but you see, Squadron T had no responsibility for the MP
>>>functions and members of Squadron T were not MP's, which is not
>>>to say that they might not have supplied soldiers for some of

>>>these tasks... but your other problem is that your guy is a
>>>sergeant and they rarely, if ever, would be assigned guard duty.
>>>Sergeant of the Guard, yes... but not standing around at the
>>>gate.

>>Yes he was at the gate. He may have been in charge, I don't
>>think he was just standing around. He did whatever the members
>>of Squadron T were assigned to do. There were ten or eleven Sgts
>>in the squadron, and about the same number of PFCs, and seven
>>Cpls. Somebody had to man the gate. Or are you implying that the
>>person who wrote me was using this MP's name and simply feeding
>>me a load of BS?

>My point is that he was not assigned to either of the military
>police units on the base, but in another unit that did not have
>the responsibility for guarding the gate. While I suggest he
>might have found himself at the gate infrequently, it would not
>have been a permanent assignment so this is conflict with what
>we know. Is it a major problem? No, it is a blip on the radar,
>of which we must take notice.

What were the functions of Squadron "T"?

>><snip>

>>Yes but he was not an eye witness. He didn't see anything
>>himself.

>Let's see. You ask about which others are in conflict with the
>story of the MP and I point out Glenn Dennis and you say, he is
>not an eyewitness... to which I can say, sure he was... to
>certain events. You're changing the rules here.

Glenn wasn't at the MP's site. He didn't see anything himself.
Why is he so believable?

>>>your friend Kaufmann.

>>I never met him but have watched his testimony on many occasions
>>and read his accounts. He's not important to me, but I do have
>>an open mind since I tend to think of him as a double agent who
>>gave some valuable, solid evidence, mixed with misinformation.

>No, Kaufmann was making it up, based on what he had seen on TV
>and what he had read in various books and magazines. I tend to
>think of him as a liar who had fun pulling our chains and who
>added nothing of value to our research but certainly has
>confused the issue. Nothing of importance told to us by him has
>ever checked out and the little things he got right were more
>coincidence than anything else. The sooner you jettison his
>information, the better you are going to be.

Again Kaufmann's testimony isn't important for the MP's story.
For that matter, unless we can find the MP and/or his son, the
MP's information will soon become another small part of the
ongoing Roswell legend.

What is important is that the information he and others have
supplied has led me to a site that qualifies as a likely
candidate for the real Roswell crash site. This site, on public
lands, needs to be carefully examined.

<snip>

>>There is another MP from Squadron "T": Thomas Gonzales. Do you
>>remember him?

>Obviously, since you quote from him...

>><http://dSPACE.dial.pipex.com/ritson/scispi/roswell/reporter.htm>

>>You wrote: "Thanks to the efforts and cooperation of John Price
>>of The UFO Enigma Museum in Roswell and Don Ecker of UFO
>>Magazine, we have yet another first hand witness to the
>>recovered bodies.

>>Thomas L. Gonzales, a sergeant with Squadron T. of the 509th
>>Bomb Group, was one of those assigned to guard the impact site.
>>He has corroborated its location close to Roswell and the
>>retrieval of bodies which he called, "little men."

>>Gonzales described them as having eyes and heads that were
>>slightly larger than human. He also referred to the crashed
>>object as an "airfoil."

>>Did anyone ever follow up with Sgt. Gonzales? Is he still alive?

>Of course. I talked to him about what he saw and what he
>remembered and tried to confirm what Don Ecker had written about
>him. All this is in my Roswell Encyclopedia under the heading of
>Thomas Gonzales, a book you clearly have not read.

Why would you think that I might have read it? So why didn't you
mention this? Did you find him to be a reliable witness? If so,
don't you think this has relevance to my MP(?) who also is a
Sgt. from Squadron "T"? Are their stories similar? You'll have
to fill us in since you have the information.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso -

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 11:13:09 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 07:02:38 -0500
Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso -

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 09:41:04 -0600
>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 14:38:15 -0800
>>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>The problem with your "strong consensus
>>of the comparatively scholarly UFO community" is that there
>>isn't a scholarly community, and there isn't a censuses.

>Well, here's my own personal censuses (sic):

Hi Jerry,

I thought you might take the bait. Scholars are so easy to hook,
but hard to play.

>Anybody who thinks
>the Corso book is anything other than a mediocre science-fiction
>novel is nobody I am going to waste valuable (or, actually, any
>other) time with.

Scholarly wisdom and sound advice, but we're not asking you to
waste time, valuable or not, with us. We're nobodies! We're
asking you to take two hours to listen to the direct words of
the person we think you've unfairly criticized. Then if you want
to waste some with us, you can.

>>The Day After Roswell has sold more than a million copies.

>Yeah, I guess that clinches the case.

I'm devastated by your comparatively scholarly humor and can't
continue. Someone, bring me my salts.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catraja.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 19:13:24 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 07:05:54 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kasten

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 18:54:03 -0400
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 20:57:22 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>Kevin:

>>Isn't Bill Brazel the son of Mac?

>>Mac's description of where the debris site was located and what
>>was there is final testimony and the most reliable. Everybody
>>else is just guessing.

>>Further, are you saying that you and Don never interviewed Mac -
>>who would have been able to provide first hand testimony.

>>As for Bill, he was just a little boy at the time, and might
>>have thought he was looking at a really big gouge. The mind of a
>>child sees things much larger than they are. Everybody was in
>>fact looking at a caved in coyote/fox hole. In the
>>excitement/hysteria they could have thought it was part of the
>>debris field.

>>Exon? He was not part of the original group who was at the
>>debris site and was a first hand witness.

>Kathy you need to do some homework.

>Bill was Mack's son, but was in his 20's in 1947 and is now
>deceased. Mack was dead well before I began the civilian
>investigation of the Roswell Incident in the mid 1970s. I found
>Bill in the late 1970s.

>Are you confusing Bill with Jesse Marcel junior who was 11 in
>1947??... and who was not out at the crash site... and who is
>still alive having managed to spend a year in Iraq as a colonel,
>flight surgeon, helicopter pilot... despite his relatively
>advanced age.

Stan: I have no idea what Marcel Jr.'s spending a year in Iraq
has to do with a 1947 debris field.

But, you might be right that I am confusing Marcel Jr. with Bill
Brazel. However, being 20 years old doesn't mean Bill was
focused on what was going on around him. He was just one wild
and crazy 20 year old. Possibly more interested in drinking lots
of beer and chasing women.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

Re: Cosford 1993 - Sparks

From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 18:01:15 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 07:08:22 -0500
Subject: Re: Cosford 1993 - Sparks

>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 13:36:01 -0000
>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

>>From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 16:58:36 EST
>>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

>>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 14:50:53 -0000
>>>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

>>>>From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 01:46:07 EST
>>>>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

<snip>

>>>>So there are some aspects of this report that do not add up very
>>>>satisfactorily, in addition to the reported 1-hour time
>>>>discrepancy, and in isolation one might want to keep the case
>>>>open.

>>>>The bottom line here is that thanks to Martin's excellent work
>>>>in tracking down the Lantoom Quarry it is clear that the Quarry
>>>>was to the S of the road the police Sgt was traveling. My
>>>>reading of the Sgt's report was correct, that the Quarry was
>>>>located to his south and left, and Joe M's strained
>>>>interpretation that the Sgt was turned around is wrong.

>>>>Joe argues that the Sgt's left was to the E and thus consistent
>>>>with the reentering Cosmos 2238 rocket stage. But in fact the
>>>>Sgt correctly related his left to the W (or southwest) over the
>>>>Quarry where the objects disappeared, exactly as I had
>>>>contended.

>>>>Well, I would like to reinstate my caveat that there is some
>>>>ambiguity in the phrases "the high ground of the quarry" and
>>>>"immediately on my left". They can be interpreted to mean high
>>>>ground in the area of the quarry, directly south of the Sgt's
>>>>position, rather than the location of the quarry excavation
>>>>itself which lies to the WSW.

I did not want to simply repeat the entire text of Martin's
posting as I was only addressing the point that by reading the
Sgt's statement I was easily able to infer, correctly as
Martin's reserach has shown, that the Quarry was to the Left or
South side of the A38 road from the Sgt, and that Joe's argument
to the opposite was wrong. This attests to the Sgt's coherence
and makes it hard to force this to fit the Cosmos 2238 reentry.

Martin raises a good point on relative directions which raise
two questions in my mind: (1) What was the Sgt's exact position
relative to the Lantoom Quarry's high ground to his direct due S
and the A38? (2) Why would anything be in the WSW direction?

1. If the Sgt was on the part of road A38 heading NW then he could see something off to his 2 o'clock position, as he reported, which would be almost precisely to his due N. But Martin has written his posting (see original, not quoted above) as if the Sgt was making his Left curving turn where A38 curves to the W while he was having his sighting. I am not sure how he arrived at that conclusion. Was it from studying the location of the Quarry on the maps perhaps?

One problem I see with that is that if the Sgt observed the two lights while in the middle of making a Left curving turn then the lights would appear to head off to his relative Right and as Martin pointed out they would go towards 3 o'clock position not 1 o'clock (and then continuing on to 12 o'clock).

If the Sgt was already past the Left curve and was heading W then his 2 o'clock position would be towards about the NNW and thus more consistent with Cosmos 2238 rocket's path and where it should have first appeared. But then the lights should have moved to the Sgt's Right, going from 2 o'clock to 3 o'clock to 4 o'clock, and they did not do that according to his report.

The lights instead moved from his 2 to 1 to 0 o'clock positions (0 o'clock is 12 o'clock, but easier to see the smooth progression when numbered 2 to 1 to 0).

2. I am confused as to why anything would have been seen by the Sgt out towards the WSW at disappearance. The Quarry excavation to the WSW (from exactly where?) would not hide anything would it? Only the Quarry "high ground" to the S would block the view of the lights passing the overhead point heading S, right?

>If the lights disappeared in the WSW and went near the
>zenith at "12 o'clock high" they could not possibly have
>been on a "dead straight North-South" trajectory. So this
>would make the Sgt's evidence incoherent.

As for "impossibility" of seeing a "dead straight North-South" trajectory offset to the WSW this is not a problem if it was in fact to the S not the WSW. As I questioned above, I do not see why a WSW direction enters into anything here at all. (A N-S trajectory can be seen off to one side but it is not a head-on or "dead straight" path in that case.)

Keep in mind that the Sgt did not say the lights disappeared at exactly 12 o'clock high position but indicates it was right afterward. One infers that since he did not mention an 11 o'clock position that the lights disappeared somewhere between 12 and 11 o'clock positions, as referenced to his original orientation of his patrol car (since he was now at this point outside his vehicle). This disappearance would have been to his S behind the high ground of the Quarry, if I understand Martin's mapping data correctly.

>Gary Anthony has provided me some more data for the track
>produced by his simulation. They are pretty close to those
>provided by Brad. ...

>The max elevation of this track would be about 80 degs in the NE
>from Lantoom in Cornwall. This is pretty close to "12 o'clock
>high" by any ordinary standards.

But this is in the wrong direction for the Quarry to block the Sgt's view. The Quarry was to the S not to the NE. Why would the reentering Cosmos 2238 rocket have disappeared suddenly to his NE in clear skies? He said the Quarry blocked his view.

Also my adjusted satellite ground track obtained from Alan Pickup would not agree with these figures from Martin, if it passed to the SW of the amateur astronomer John Fitzsimons in Sligo, Ireland, at 70 degrees elevation, as Fitzsimons reported. My adjusted track through that position would run about 20 miles E of the Sgt at about his 4 o'clock position, nowhere near his reported directions and nowhere near the Lantoom Quarry on the opposite side of the road to his S or W (depending on when and where we put the poor Sgt!).

My rounded off estimated positions for the Cosmos 2238 rocket upper stage are again:

31 Mar 1993 00:07 53 37' N 008.4 W poss 100 km altitude
31 Mar 1993 00:08 50 37' N 004 W possibly 80 km
31 Mar 1993 00:09 47 27' N 000 W possibly 60 km

(Please note that the altitudes in km given in the lengthy table supplied by Alan Pickup in my last posting, are idealized as if the satellite was not decaying. Alan explained that what happens at the point of the last orbit of reentry is that at perigee, the lowest point, the atmospheric drag is at its greatest. That is when it pulls down the satellite or space debris and if this is the terminal trajectory then it does not rise in altitude from perigee, or does not rise much, but begins to descend. In this case the Cosmos 2238 rocket reached perigee of about 107 km above the earth's surface at about 2352 Z time, roughly 15-20 minutes before final burnup presumably over France.)

>Perhaps the Sgt got out facing W then craned his
>head right back and simultaneously swivelled
>to watch the lights, passing high overhead almost behind
>him, in such a way that he was slightly disorientated?

This does not explain the continuity of the Sgt.'s directional data, with the lights moving from 2 to 1 to 0 o'clock (= 12 o'clock), and again is the wrong side of the road for the Quarry to block his view so the objects could disappear.

In trying to make this sighting fit Cosmos 2238, I have even considered the possibility that the Sgt somehow got his "o'clock" directions mirror reversed, perhaps he was even dyslexic. However that makes things even worse! If his 2 o'clock becomes 10 o'clock direction, then his 1 o'clock becomes 11 o'clock and then 12 o'clock gets to remain the same, then from the direction of the heading of A38 road, the Sgt would have first sighted the objects to the S, moving N !!!

>I accept that this report and the interlocking topographical
>references do suggest that he was describing a view framed by
>a SW aspect, rather than the NE aspect that would seem more
>natural given the ground track of the Cosmos booster. I can't
>definitely explain why that should be so, but I still feel that
>invoking a UFO plus a booster doesn't seem realistic. So some
>sighting and/or reporting error, or some misinterpretation on
>our part, remains the most likely explanation of apparent
>discrepancies in this case, though I still find it interesting
>to investigate them.

>Martin Shough

I am not saying it was a UFO either. I just can't make it nicely fit the Cosmos 2238. This is not a case of a vague, third-hand, one-line report but is a first-hand 2-page report with a great amount of spatial position detail. What was needed was an on-site investigation with an on-site timed, measured and calibrated reenactment of the whole sighting with the Sgt. When a witness provides such a large amount of specific detail with no apparent internal contradictions this would be worth singling out for a scientific on-site reenactment. You would not do that willy-nilly for all cases indiscriminately, for every two-bit worthless reports.

This case is in a different league altogether and would have been worth serious study at the time it occurred. Maybe an in-person investigation at the time would have neatly resolved it into the Cosmos 2238 and resolved the directional data that conflict with the reentry path. Whether an on-site investigation would be fruitful if carried out today 13 years after the fact is debatable, and we don't have the Sgt's name.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Groff

From: Terry Groff <terrygroff.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 16:29:16 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 07:10:01 -0500
Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest - Groff

>From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <ballesterolmos.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 14:34:22 +0100 (CET)
>Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

>>From: Tim Shell <tshell.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 12:12:35 -0600 (CST)
>>Subject: Re: 2 New Articles At UFO Digest

>>If I wasn't so incredibly lazy, I might look up the date and
>>time of the photo, then find a chart showing the phase Venus was
>>in at the time. If it turned out to be a quarter-crescent, that
>>would add more weight to the idea that it was Venus. I suppose
>>one could also get one of those extra-fancy astronomy programs
>>and figure out exact where Venus might be in the lunar sky on
>>that date and time, too.

>Could not Mr Robert Morningstar provide here the exact date and
>time of the photograph, for due checking work?

Apollo 16 made its Lunar landing on April 21, 1972. It landed at
the Lunar coordinates 8:58:23 S 15:30:01 E (Descartes Highlands)

=46rom this location Venus rose on Apr 22, 1972 at 11:18:54 P.M.

Its phase at rising -: 42.0%, Phase angle: 99.24=B0 as viewed from
the Lunar surface.
24 hours later - 40.9%, Phase angle: 100.44=B0 (Alt: 11:55:43)
Another 24 hrs - 40.3%, Phase angle: 101.24=B0 (Alt: 23:44:14)

After rising, Venus would have been visible the entire mission.
as it did not set until May 7. It would have had a similar phase
throughout the mission.

One more note. The lit area would have been on the upper half of
the Venusian sphere as viewed from the Moon.

Also, Mars was around 3=B0 from Venus at the time.

Terry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

Reporting Results Especially If Negative

From: Luis R. Gonzalez <lrgm.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 14:00:09 +0100
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 08:41:22 -0500
Subject: Reporting Results Especially If Negative

Almost 10 years ago, Greg Sandow wrote in The Anomalist #7,
Winter 1998/99:

"The Abduction Conundrum"

<snip>

"Are these things true? I can't verify any of them, and I can fault Hopkins for not even keeping statistics. How many abductees report scoop marks on their legs, photographs of which Hopkins has displayed in his books, in lectures, and on his website? He can't tell us. What we're dealing with, as I said right at the start, is hearsay - stories Hopkins and Jacobs talk and write about, which any of us can only accept out of a personal conviction that they (and the experiencers who tell many of the stories in the first place) aren't lying.

I'm working with Jacobs, I should add, to try to document all this, for a future report in IUR."

<snip>

Probably I missed it, but I really would like to know the results of such efforts... even (or specially) if they were negative. Negative results must also be published.

The same could be said about that CUFOS investigations about abductions that was pending some statistical analysis

Luis R. Gonzalez Manso

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 16:18:31 +0100
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 10:40:10 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 09:01:11 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 14:49:30 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 12:06:17 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

><snip>

>>>And another question, why does everyone seem to believe the
>>>crash was in a straight line? Since this was a piloted craft,
>>>we certainly could expect it to maneuver or not, as the pilot
>>>chose.

>>Yes, under normal circumstances that's correct, but can't we
>>also contend that the craft was malfunctioning in some way and
>>perhaps the pilots didn't have complete control?

>>I don't understand your point. The Foster ranch, where the
>>debris-field you studied is located, is in a direct line with
>>Six-mile Hill and the Wilmot home and the Woody ranch. Woody
>>wasn't sure about the date but other information he supplied
>>indicates that both he and the Wilmots saw the same object on
>>July 2nd, during the late evening.

>My point is that there are questions that never seem to be asked
>and assumptions made that might be correct, but again have never
>been challenged. Of course if the object (if it really was the
>one that Wilmot saw) is the one that crashed, it could have
>flown straight over Roswell toward its destiny in the desert (a
>bit of alliteration there). I'm only saying that we must keep in
>the back of our minds that (a) the object Wilmot saw isn't
>necessarily the one that crashed and (b) it might not have flown
>in a straight line.

Kevin, Ed and All,

According to the descriptions made of the debris field and the
gouge, there was a cone of debris oriented from northwest to
southeast. I refer to the figure 1 in the article "At the
Roswell site", 1989 by Mark Rodeghier, published by CUFOs. So,
the craft would have been coming from the north, not the south.
Kevin, do you agree with that ?

Gildas Bourdais

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais

From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 16:26:03 +0100
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 10:42:01 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais

>From: Kathy Kasten <catraja.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 19:13:24 +0000
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 18:54:03 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>But, you might be right that I am confusing Marcel Jr. with
>Bill Brazel. However, being 20 years old doesn't mean Bill was
>focused on what was going on around him. He was just one wild
>and crazy 20 year old. Possibly more interested in drinking
>lots of beer and chasing women.

Kathy,

You don't know who Bill was but you know that he was no good.
Wonderful in depth analysis!

Roswell case Closed.

Gildas Bourdais

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

Re: Cosford 1993 - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 15:23:26 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 10:45:51 -0500
Subject: Re: Cosford 1993 - Shough

>From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 18:01:15 EST
>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

>>From: Martin Shough <parcellular.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 13:36:01 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

>>>From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 16:58:36 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993
<snip>

>>>The bottom line here is that thanks to Martin's excellent work
>>>in tracking down the Lantoom Quarry it is clear that the
>>>Quarry
>>>was to the S of the road the police Sgt was traveling. My
>>>reading of the Sgt's report was correct, that the Quarry was
>>>located to his south and left, and Joe M's strained
>>>interpretation that the Sgt was turned around is wrong.

>>>Joe argues that the Sgt's left was to the E and thus
>>>consistent
>>>with the reentering Cosmos 2238 rocket stage. But in fact the
>>>Sgt correctly related his left to the W (or southwest) over
>>>the Quarry where the objects disappeared, exactly as I had
>>>contended.

Hi Brad and List

It's unusual for Brad and I to have a conflict of logic so I must be misunderstanding something here. But I'll just set it out as I see it. I draw attention to the words "west or southwest over the quarry where the object disappeared".

>>Well, I would like to reinstate my caveat that there is some
>>ambiguity in the phrases "the high ground of the quarry" and
>>"immediately on my left". They can be interpreted to mean
>>high ground in the area of the quarry, directly south of the
>>Sgt's position, rather than the location of the quarry
>>excavation itself which lies to the WSW.

I hope this isn't too opaque. Brad infers that the objects disappeared on the Sgt's left "to the west or southwest over the quarry", in contrast to "Joe's interpretation" that they disappeared on the Sgt's left which was to the east. What point of the compass was really on the Sgt's left? We can't be sure. My point here is simply that the Sgt's phrase "the high ground of the quarry" does not necessarily mean the quarry proper which is indeed "to the west or southwest" (WSW) but could mean the hill S of his position. A horizon crossing behind this hill is definitely consistent with the Sgt's reported "N- S" zenith-crossing path, but further, unlike Brad's proposed horizon crossing above the quarry in the WSW, could also be squared with the horizon crossing of the Cosmos track in the SE.

>I did not want to simply repeat the entire text of Martin's
>posting as I was only addressing the point that by reading the
>Sgt's statement I was easily able to infer, correctly as
>Martin's reserach has shown, that the Quarry was to the Left or
>South side of the A38 road from the Sgt, and that Joe's
>argument
>to the opposite was wrong. This attests to the Sgt's coherence
>and makes it hard to force this to fit the Cosmos 2238 reentry.

>Martin raises a good point on relative directions which raise
>two questions in my mind: (1) What was the Sgt's exact position
>relative to the Lantoom Quarry's high ground to his direct due
>S and the A38?

I already stated that position as nearly as I could determine it, as the basis of my previous reply. But part of the problem is that we don't know exactly what the elevation profile of the nearby hill shoulder to the S and SE would be from his exact position by the roadside, and can only guess whether a NW-SE booster track would cross his local horizon "behind" a part of this hill (Lantoom Hill) or whether it should have been seen to go down over the adjacent valley, which is a possible line of objection.

(2) Why would anything be in the WSW direction?

This was your contention, quoted above, that the Sgt's left was "towards the W or SW where the objects disappeared".

>1. If the Sgt was on the part of road A38 heading NW then he
>could see something off to his 2 o'clock position, as he
>reported, which would be almost precisely to his due N.

Yes, whilst driving. But he was not heading anywhere at the time we are discussing. He was out of the car.

>But
>Martin has written his posting (see original, not quoted above)
>as if the Sgt was making his Left curving turn where A38 curves
>to the W while he was having his sighting. I am not sure how he
>arrived at that conclusion. Was it from studying the location
>of the Quarry on the maps perhaps?

"As I began to negotiate a sweeping uphill left hand bend at the bottom of Lantoom Hill, I became aware of what appeared to be two stars just above the horizon in the approximate position of 2 o'clock..... As I negotiated the bend I continued to observe them... approach the 1 o'clock position..... I brought the car to a halt."

>One problem I see with that is that if the Sgt observed the two
>lights while in the middle of making a Left curving turn then
>the lights would appear to head off to his relative Right and
>as Martin pointed out they would go towards 3 o'clock
>position not 1 o'clock

Correct, this is a possible small anomaly.

>(and then continuing on to 12 o'clock).

This is not intended as a relative bearing I believe. See below.

>If the Sgt was already past the Left curve and was heading W
>then his 2 o'clock position would be towards about the NNW and
>thus more consistent with Cosmos 2238 rocket's path and where
>it should have first appeared. But then the lights should have
>moved to the Sgt's Right, going from 2 o'clock to 3 o'clock to
>4 o'clock, and they did not do that according to his report.

Yes, I think this is more or less what I was saying. It's a niggle.

>The lights instead moved from his 2 to 1 to 0 o'clock positions
>(0 o'clock is 12 o'clock, but easier to see the smooth
>progression when numbered 2 to 1 to 0).

I don't see anywhere where he says the lights moved to his relative 12 o'clock position during the turn. That would certainly make the point I already raised more anomalous, but I don't see it. The reference to 12 o'clock is later when he is out of the parked car and refers (as I take it) not to an

azimuth but to the zenith, c.f. the phrase 'passed directly overhead at "12 o'clock high"'.
>2. I am confused as to why anything would have been seen by the
>Sgt out towards the WSW at disappearance.

Well so am I really! As I understood it you brought this up ("the Sgt correctly related his left to the W or southwest over the Quarry where the objects disappeared, exactly as I had contended") based on my information about the location of the quarry, and I have been repeating my caveat that "the high ground of the quarry to my immediate left" could be the hill roughly S of his position, not the quarry itself in the WSW.

>The Quarry excavation to the WSW (from exactly where?)

As near as we can tell, from the map ref I stated which is where the Sgt said he was standing by the parked car 100 yds E of the layby at the Lantoom Quarry entrance.

>would not hide anything would
>it? Only the Quarry "high ground" to the S would block the view
>of the lights passing the overhead point heading S, right?

The latter is just what I proposed, as an alternative to your apparent contention that the objects disappeared "to the W or Southwest over the quarry" which is about WSW from the position.

I pointed out that the phrase "high ground of the quarry" could be misleading if it causes us to look further SW when it possibly refers to the hill directly S of the position. If this was your own real view all along then I apologise for the misunderstanding but I don't see how I could have interpreted you differently here.

>>If the lights disappeared in the WSW and went near the
>>zenith at "12 o'clock high" they could not possibly have
>>been on a "dead straight North-South" trajectory. So this
>>would make the Sgt's evidence incoherent.

>As for "impossibility" of seeing a "dead straight North-South"
>trajectory offset to the WSW this is not a problem if it was in
>fact to the S not the WSW.

I'm sure it must be me, but I'm having real trouble understanding this. A straight N-S trajectory passing through the zenith obviously terminates due S, yes, but then it is not "offset to the WSW" is it?

>As I questioned above, I do not see
>why a WSW direction enters into anything here at all.

Well, again, I thought you brought it in.

>(A N-S trajectory can be seen off to one side but it is not a
>head-on or "dead straight" path in that case.)

If it is off to one side and not dead straight then it does not answer the witness's description of dead straight and directly overhead.

But I'm not insisting it had to be dead straight N-S and directly overhead because I think the witness could have been approximate in judging one or more of these variables.

>Keep in mind that the Sgt did not say the lights disappeared at
>_exactly_ 12 o'clock high position but indicates it was right
>afterward. One infers that since he did not mention an 11
>o'clock position that the lights disappeared somewhere between
>12 and 11 o'clock positions, as referenced to his original
>orientation of his patrol car (since he was now at this point
>outside his vehicle).

I don't know where he makes any connection between the point of horizon crossing and "12 o'clock high". Two previous relative bearings of 2 o'clock and 1 o'clock referred to the frame of reference of the moving car heading roughly NW around a bend, whilst "12 o'clock high" referred to the witness's view when out of the car and was I believe used just to mean "approximately at the zenith". So I don't see a coherent sequence of bearings here that would predict an unstated "11 o'clock" as the next

term in a sequence. The zenith is the first term in an independent sequence, but we can't predict anything from one term so the next position could a priori be anywhere. All we have is the witness's statement that the path was "dead straight N - S", which we ought to respect given certain circumstances (an experienced local officer on a local road whose E - W direction at this point was probably well known to him) but which is not unassailable because of other circumstances.

>This disappearance would have been to his
>S behind the high ground of the Quarry, if I understand
>Martin's mapping data correctly.

Quite so, at least approximately.

He said the lights disappeared behind "the high ground of the quarry" and to the S. There is high ground to the S which as I pointed out could possibly be construed as "the high ground of the quarry" even though the quarry works proper (where I thought you previously wanted to locate the point of disappearance, see above) are WSW of the position. Gary Anthony has today directed me towards a local govt chart showing the quarry area, and the hill in question (which the police Sgt identifies in his report as Lantoom Hill) lies within the 1.5 km circle defining the Lantoom Mineral Consultation Area. So I think it's reasonable that this could be called "the high ground of the quarry".

But the problem is that a horizon crossing behind this general high ground could have been anywhere within an arc many degrees either side of due S owing to uncertain topography. Could there have been a protruding shoulder of hill limiting his view to the SE back down the valley, which he characterised as part of the "high ground of the quarry"? Quite possibly. The Mineral Area boundary extends over the whole region as far as Looe Mills. So although the map is not inconsistent with his "N - S" it isn't necessarily inconsistent with Cosmos's "NW - SE" either.

>>Gary Anthony has provided me some more data for the track
>>produced by his simulation. They are pretty close to those
>>provided by Brad. ...

>>The max elevation of this track would be about 80 degs in the
>>NE from Lantoom in Cornwall. This is pretty close to
>>"12 o'clock high" by any ordinary standards.

>But this is in the wrong direction for the Quarry to block the
>Sgt's view. The Quarry was to the S not to the NE. Why would
>the reentering Cosmos 2238 rocket have disappeared suddenly
>to his NE in clear skies? He said the Quarry blocked his view.

I don't see where he said it disappeared in the NE, nor did I imply that. I said the max elevation on the NW-SE track would be only 10 degrees from the zenith, obviously this is 10 degs to the NE of the zenith.

Let me try to lay this out again: As it passed "directly overhead" the booster track would descend towards the SE horizon. This is the point of possible contention because he said the lights went dead straight N - S. Dead straight N - S would certainly be consistent with his description of vanishing behind the "high ground" identified above, but inconsistent with Cosmos.

So we hypothesise that he got his directions a bit confused, but then there is a minor question about whether the hill extends far enough to block his horizon view towards the SE because then the line of sight is back down the river valley over Moorswater, so would he have been in a position to say that he lost track of Cosmos behind this high ground? I pointed out that this is a valid doubt, and this implication that what he saw might not have been Cosmos is supported by the inference that he could have been oriented broadly towards the W whilst observing rather than towards the E (with the quarry ground to the S "immediately on my left").

But this is a weak inference because he could have meant "on my left as I sit in the car writing my notes or on the radio", or he could have got out of the car facing W and craned his head right back to see the lights go over without ever turning fully to face the E, so they would descend on his left. Whatever, we

can't build a very strong argument on these kinds of issues, and as I pointed out we don't know the exact local topography in enough detail.

>Also my adjusted satellite ground track obtained from Alan
>Pickup would not agree with these figures from Martin, if it
>passed to the SW of the amateur astronomer John Fitzsimons in
>Sligo, Ireland, at 70 degrees elevation, as Fitzsimons
>reported.

>My adjusted track through that position would run about 20 miles
>E of the Sgt at about his 4 o'clock position, nowhere near his
>reported directions and nowhere near the Lantoom Quarry on the
>opposite side of the road to his S or W (depending on when and
>where we put the poor Sgt!).

This ground track and altitude would put the booster at no more than about 60 deg elevation in the East, so yes, this is a long way from "directly overhead at 12 o'clock high". If it is possible to be very precise about the trajectory of decaying fragments then maybe this could be sharpened up into a stronger argument. But at present I'm having trouble getting past the fact that this Cosmos booster did decay and was described in a similar fashion by a number of others at what we have every reason to think was the same time.

<snip>

>>Perhaps the Sgt got out facing W then craned his
>>head right back and simultaneously swivelled
>>to watch the lights, passing high overhead almost behind
>>him, in such a way that he was slightly disorientated?

>This does not explain the continuity of the Sgt.'s directional
>data, with the lights moving from 2 to 1 to 0 o'clock (= 12
>o'clock), and again is the wrong side of the road for the
>Quarry to block his view so the objects could disappear.

The "2 to 1 o'clock" is relative azimuthal motion whilst in the moving car, "12 o'clock" relates to the apex of the trajectory when standing outside the parked car. I don't think there is true continuity.

<snip>

>I am not saying it was a UFO either. I just can't make it nicely
>fit the Cosmos 2238. This is not a case of a vague, third-hand,
>one-line report but is a first-hand 2-page report with a great
>amount of spatial position detail. What was needed was an on-
>site investigation with an on-site timed, measured and
>calibrated reenactment of the whole sighting with the Sgt. When
>a witness provides such a large amount of specific detail with
>no apparent internal contradictions this would be worth
>singling out for a scientific on-site reenactment. You would
>not do that willy-nilly for all cases indiscriminately, for every
>two-bit worthless reports.

>This case is in a different league altogether and would have
>been worth serious study at the time it occurred. Maybe an in-
>person investigation at the time would have neatly resolved it
>into the Cosmos 2238 and resolved the directional data that
>conflict with the reentry path. Whether an on-site
>investigation would be fruitful if carried out today 13 years
>after the fact is debatable, and we don't have the Sgt's name.

I agree with all this. As I said on another List, if someone at the time had done a "La Paz - style" reconstruction with the witnesses at the actual sites (instead of just logging vague and largely useless verbal opinions like "it was going east") then it should have been possible to either get a reasonable 3-D plot proving a common high-altitude source (the Cosmos booster) or to prove there were separate UFO sightings. In the absence of such evidence and weighing the economies and probabilities, despite certain anomalies my money is on Cosmos to explain sighting #19 too.

Martin Shough

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 23](#)

Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso - Clark

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 09:40:43 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 10:50:41 -0500
Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso - Clark

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 11:13:09 -0800
>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 09:41:04 -0600
>>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 14:38:15 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

Ed,

>I thought you might take the bait. Scholars are so easy to hook,
>but hard to play.

A small word of rhetorical advice:

"Taking the bait" probably isn't the metaphor one who swallows
and ingests Corso's whoppers ought to be employing against
somebody who doesn't.

Cheers,

Jerry Clark

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

Re: Ufologyuk [was: What Should Ufology Be

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 16:34:28 +0000 (GMT)
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 08:57:56 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufologyuk [was: What Should Ufology Be

>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 16:33:48 +0000
>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 19:36:16 +0000 (GMT)
>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

><snip>

>>Why do you think that was?

>Hello Stuart, List,

<snip>

>Smartgroups etc.

>80% of the messages bounced! etc.

>214 valid registered members etc.

>it seems that I don't need to, as you somehow know the single
>reason why in the order of 130 individuals have as yet not
>migrated. Please let me in on the secret.

<snip>

etc.....

Fresh and reinvigorated from a long weekend in the cultural wasteland that is Venice, I have had time to reflect on my behaviour and to recognise the error of my ways. Life is far too short for back stabbing, character assassination, and petty squabbling and so I would like to apologise unreservedly if my remarks over the previous week in any way implied criticism of anyone's behaviour, research methods and conclusions, or in the case of Andy Roberts, their general mental cohesion.

Furthermore I withdraw any inferences to problems or dissatisfaction with any other mailing List, the manner and content of postings made on such List by certain individuals or indeed the management of that List.

Furthermore, I have personally resolved that should any frustrations or disagreements or objections arise again in the future, I will not sit there in silence for weeks, conspiratorially exchanging mail with other like-minded individuals while keeping silent on that List. I will not suddenly explode with pent up emotion after yet another menstrual missive from this List's eccentric simply because he ordered us to bow down and pay homage to a collection of individuals I regard as a bit ropey. I will learn to get a perspective.

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/nov/m14-026.shtml>

Re: Ufologyuk [was: What Should Ufology Be

I shall do what I have been told to do by both Joe and Martin; I shall shut up.

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso -

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 09:05:02 -0800
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 21:22:47 -0500
Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso -

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 09:40:43 -0600
>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 11:13:09 -0800
>>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso
>>I thought you might take the bait. Scholars are so easy to hook,
>>but hard to play.

>A small word of rhetorical advice:

>"Taking the bait" probably isn't the metaphor one who swallows
>and ingests Corso's whoppers ought to be employing against
>somebody who doesn't.

Hi Jerry,

I'd like to discuss these "whoppers", one at a time, sans humor
and rhetorical playfulness. You think I'm a gullible fool,
and I think you've rushed to judgment.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

Re: Types Of Entities? - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 18:21:27 -0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 21:24:57 -0500
Subject: Re: Types Of Entities? - Dickenson

Hello List,

Would anyone care to recommend a breakdown of 'entities' encountered, classified by type? And maybe giving a geographical spread?

I've recently been reminded of one particular type - smallish creatures described as biological and living but also variously said to be 'incomplete' 'damaged' 'with added organs' or 'missing facial organs', also 'distressed' or 'bereft'.

Have the impression that these are geographically limited, most reports I've read seem to be from Latin America, with one or two from Italy and perhaps a few in Russia.

There's a scenario - which I think is too complex for _all_ isolated &/or naive witnesses to anticipate and contrive - that these are biologically engineered ('uplifted') entities used as agents, pilots, etc., and that they had been lost (or abandoned) after getting damaged or sick, or after their craft has gone down.

Examples are Varghina-types (and chupacabras) in South America and Puerto Rico, and the Italian Caponi case described by Tim Good (which was apparently followed or preceded by a brief sighting of similar but flying (undamaged) entity in another, nearby, region of Italy).

Recent reminder was Corso's weary but dogged repetition that he thought what he saw was not a humanoid but a 'thing' - a living part of the craft.

That scenario has implications:- 1) we're being visited, observed by one or more races capable of quite skilled biological construction - which could be much cheaper than hi-tech; 2) they're not necessarily all that far ahead of us technology-wise - we could forecast similar capabilities in one or two hundred years or so; if we chose that path).

And that at least one of those races don't seem very compassionate towards their own living creations.

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso -

From: Jason Gammon <BoyintheMachine.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 18:44:08 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 21:27:26 -0500
Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso -

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:28:46 -0000
>Subject: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>Hello List,

>Thanks to ICIS for sending this Google.ca URL, don't know how
>long it'll stay active:

><http://tinyurl.com/yxwxv8>

>It's the google-video featuring Art Bell's 1997 interview with
>Colonel Corso - with confirmation of his background and personal
>history by Dr. Alexander who had the military access for
>checking.

>Personally, it seems to me that most of what I'd heard about
>Col. Corso was plain wrong - disinformation for the purpose of
>discrediting him. Interview was well worth listening to.

I find it amazing that Corso was so very close to describing the
aliens as 'cyborgs.'

I have seen past interviews where Corso describes the aliens as
being specifically manufactured for space travel. In this early
interview, however, he seems to suggest that there is far more
to story.

I've often wondered if Corso chose to withhold certain
information, perhaps in an attempt to give the public time to
digest what he has already disclosed?

- Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

Re: Cosford 1993 - McGonagle

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 05:47:13 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 21:29:28 -0500
Subject: Re: Cosford 1993 - McGonagle

Hello List,

I shall be replying to Brad's post from a couple of days ago, and will be quoting extensively from the following transcription of the image of the documents at:

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford/moo310110-2.gif>

and:

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/cosford/moo310110-3.gif>

I thought it would be useful for future reference in the UpDates archives to post a transcription of the document here, since it is possible that the files may be renamed or otherwise unavailable at a later date.

Joe

--- Begin transcription ---

[Heading] Devon and Cornwall Constabulary

[Subtitle] Witness statement

Statement of [obliterated]

Age if under 21: 0 21 (if over 21 insert "over 21")

This statement (consisting of 2 pages each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false or do not believe to be true.

Dated this 31st day of March 1993

Signature [illegible signature]

I am a sergeant in the Devon and Cornwall Constabulary stationed at Liskeard in Cornwall.

At about 0110 hrs on the morning of Wednesday 31st of March 1993 I was on duty in uniform and driving a marked police vehicle along the A38 at Looe Mills near Liskeard travelling in the direction of Dobwalls.

This was naturally during the hours of darkness and the weather was fine and dry, with some patchy cloud; the moon and a number of stars being visible. I was unaccompanied.

As I began to negotiate a sweeping uphill left hand bend at the bottom of Lantoom Hill, I became aware of what appeared to be two 'stars' just above the horizon in the approximate position of 'two o'clock'. They were due North of me and motionless in the sky. My attention was drawn to these 'stars' because apart from being in a part of the sky where there are usually no stars, they were of a darker hue bordering on yellow instead of silvery-white. They were reasonably close together but as I

could not begin to estimate their distance from me, I likewise cannot estimate how far they were from each other.

As I negotiated the bend I continued to observe them when I suddenly saw them begin to move what appeared to be vertically upwards. They maintained a parallel course and identical speed and as they approached the 'one o'clock' position they began to leave vapour trails. I brought my car to a halt, switched off the engine, and got out. My location now was

Signature [obliterated] signature witnessed by [no signature]

[second page]

Form MG11A(T)

Continuation sheet No. [not completed]

Continuation of statement of [obliterated]

approximately 100 yards the Liskeard side of the lay by outside Lantoom Quarry, map ref 230 650.

My view of these objects was very good; the sky being clear, and they continued their Ascent still at an identical speed to each other and still on a parallel course. I realised that they were not ascending vertically but rather curving overhead and heading due South. As they gained in height the vapour trails became much more pronounced. I was still unable to estimate their height because of their small size (identical to a star in the night sky) but I believe they were very high. As they passed directly overhead at '12 o'clock high', the heavens at this point were bright and moonlit and I could see that there was nothing between the objects apart from empty sky. They were definitely two seperate objects.

At about this time I became aware of what I believed to be a third object visible only by its vapour trail which was considerably less obvious than the vapour trail of the other two objects. This third object seemed to the right hand side of the left hand object (as I was looking up), and slightly to the rear of it. It maintained the identical speed and parallel course of the other objects.

As the objects continued on a dead straight north south course, they seemed to be travelling at a similar speed to a high flying passenger jet aircraft. I lost sight of them owing to the high ground of the quarry immediately on my left.

During the total duration of this sighting, which was between 45 seconds and one minute, my observation of these objects was continuous and uninterrupted. There was no sound whatever, the night being very still with no traffic.

Signature [obliterated] Signature witnessed by [not signed]

--- End transcription ---

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

Where SETI Astronomers Can Find Aliens

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 21:39:47 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 21:39:47 -0500
Subject: Where SETI Astronomers Can Find Aliens

Source: Featured Articles.Com - Sungai Buloh, Selangor, Malaysia

<http://tinyurl.com/ykynha>

23rd November 2006

Aliens: Where SETI Astronomers Can Find Them
Gersiane De Brito

SETI, or Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence, has been an ongoing endeavor for many decades. In the early days, it was expected to be a quick success, so much so that national governments even helped fund these projects. Like the Moon race, there was something of a "find E.T." race. All that is gone now. Government funding has virtually evaporated around the globe. The explanation is simple: no one likes a perpetual failure. The few remaining SETI efforts have to count on private funding to scrape along. Well, the objective of this article is to come to the rescue of the last of the SETI astronomers, to tell them where they can find E.T. so that they can regain the world's respect.

That last sentence was not meant to be cynical. As noted in my article "Decoding Book Resurrects the Ancient Astronaut Theme," author Morten St. George claims that some of the prophecies of Nostradamus are of alien origin, and that those prophecies tell us how to calculate the galactic coordinates of where E.T. came from. In a follow-up interview, I posed the following question to St. George: Do you agree that contact with intelligent aliens could be of immense importance to humankind? He agreed. Then I asked: Do you agree that this is more important than your selling a few copies of your cryptic thinking book? He agreed. This article will be a little longer and a little more technical than the others.

According to St. George, three prophetic stanzas combine to provide information about E.T.'s location. The first of these is stanza number I-81. Here, the first four words of the fourth verse are the most relevant: "Kappa, Thita, Lambda, mors." St. George says he has an etymological dictionary that defines "mors" as the "bite of a scorpion." Consequently, Kappa, Theta, and Lambda are the names of three stars in the tail section of the constellation Scorpius. (Note that the use of Greek letters for star names postdates Nostradamus). It's a star arrow, and Lambda is the arrow head. Elsewhere, the prophecies name three cities to form an arrow circling around the globe; thus, St. George believes the three stars should be viewed as points on a sphere.

Where does the star arrow point? St. George says he followed the star arrow upwards on a star map and it hit or passed close to a dark cloud called Barnard 72, for which he already had other indications. So that's the answer. E.T. came from or near Barnard 72. But we're not done yet. We're looking for precise coordinates.

The second stanza is numbered IV-32. According to St. George's decoding, this stanza tells a story: Once upon a time, Triton, Pluto, and Charon formed a triple planet system with a distinct orbit around the Sun. Then one day, the aliens came along, grabbed hold of Triton, and pushed it into a retrograde orbit around the planet Neptune. In route to Neptune, Pluto and Charon hung on for a while, then broke loose and drifted off into a new orbit. When did this happen? St. George says the aliens were here in 580 A.D., so that would be his guess for the date of these planet manipulations.

I mentioned that I had read on the Internet that Pluto has been downgraded, that it is no longer considered a planet. St. George responded: "I believe that was because they found that Pluto didn't clear away debris in its orbit. But since 580 A.D., a contemporary date in terms of solar system history, Pluto in its new orbit would have made only a handful of complete orbits around the Sun, hardly enough time to clear away much debris. In any case, the astronomers got it all wrong. What they should really be looking for is Triton's original orbit, a cleared-out orbit with no planet in it. And I doubt that we're talking about an orbit at the outer edges of the solar system. Triton's original orbit may have been closer to the Sun than Neptune. They need to figure out where Triton could have been in 580 A.D., then they will know where to look for that orbit."

Why did the aliens move Triton? A display of power? St. George remarked that the star arrow only provides longitudinal coordinates. We also need to know the latitude. The planets have to be in the right position at the right time. And the three stars too, for that matter.

The last stanza of the three stanzas is numbered IV-33. It begins: Jupiter closer to Venus than to the Moon, appearing in full whiteness. According to St. George, Earth and Jupiter would have to be pretty much on opposite sides of the Sun for this to be true, and the full Moon (more likely seen from the Alien Planet than from Earth) serves as a timer. The alignment is Alien Planet, Sun, Moon.

The next line of IV-33 goes: Venus hidden under the whiteness Neptune (discovered more than two hundred years after the death of Nostradamus). Note that there's no "of" between whiteness and Neptune. Meanwhile, the French words following "hidden" (used elsewhere in the prophecies to cryptic ends), "soUS la bANcheUR," allows the extraction of "Uranus." Thus, the whiteness (partial rather than full) is Uranus, not Neptune, and we have a second alignment: Alien Planet, Uranus, Venus.

IV-33 has one more line: From Mars struck through the white granary. Since Neptune was left hanging at the end of the preceding line, it should come into play here, meaning Neptune from Mars struck through the white granary. According to St. George, the "white granary" refers to a star cluster called the Pleiades, which happens to lie at the opposite side of the sky from Barnard 72. Until recently, St. George thought the planetary arrow to Barnard 72 commenced with Mars, so he not yet had a chance to check out if a Mars, Neptune, Pleiades alignment, or a Pleiades, Mars, Neptune alignment, is even feasible.

Overall, there are only a limited number of possibilities. IV-33 gives us two inner planets, Venus and Mars, and two outer planets, Uranus and Neptune. Mars lines up with Neptune to hit the Pleiades, and Venus lines up with Uranus to hit the Alien Planet. Depending upon the Pleiades direction, Uranus and Neptune need to be together on the same side of the solar system or on directly opposing ends of the solar system. Also remember to check that you're viewing a full Moon from the Alien Planet, and that Jupiter is closer to Venus than the Moon at the same time. When? The skies and planets are in constant motion. In what year does all this happen?

St. George is convinced that the date of the given configuration should somehow or somewhere be provided in the prophecies. Other than the stanza number, 433, we have 580, 700, 703, 882, 883, 1204, 1559, 1571, 1607, 1609, 1649, 1666, 1689, 1690, 1700, 1727, 1781, 1813, 1832, 1858, 1859, 1861, 1928, 1952, 1955, 1979, 1982, 1999, 2001, and 2006. The years 1571, 1607, 1781, 1859, and 1982 were astronomy related. St. George suspects one of the later years is more likely mainly because astronomers may

not have accurate data the position of the outer planets in earlier times. "We have to assume that they want us to get it right, and precision is clearly required here."

2006? What happened in 2006? St. George replied: "Absolutely nothing. 2006 was a second, inferable date in a London catastrophe stanza. The date does not necessarily have to come from an astronomy stanza. It can be provided elsewhere."

Please excuse the digression. My final question was: Do you realistically expect SETI astronomers to take you up on this coordinate stuff? St. George responded: "Yes. It would be foolish of them not to. The way they're going now, their odds of finding E.T. are not even one in a billion. If my theories merely reduce the odds to one in a thousand, their chances improve immensely. Moreover, I think they've forgotten; SETI was originally based on the premise that there are lots of intelligent civilizations out there, which in turn implies that at least one of them should have succeeded in exploring the entire galaxy by now."

So there you have it, SETI astronomers, go forth and become famous, work out the coordinates, point your radio telescope there, and tell the world you heard from E.T.!

About the Author

By Gersiane De Brito with special thanks to Morten St. George and:

<http://www.crypticthinking.com>

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

Paradigm Research Group Update - 11/24/06

From: **Stephen G. Bassett** <PRG.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 03:07:17 -0800
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 21:43:29 -0500
Subject: Paradigm Research Group Update - 11/24/06

PRG
Paradigm Research Group

Paradigm Shift Newsletter - November 24, 2006

PRG's Work Written up in Roll Call

The advocacy efforts of PRG and X-PPAC have been featured in a leading Washington political newspaper, Roll Call.

The article by Tory Newmyer significantly introduces some of the history of the disclosure movement including the Sci Fi Channel's Coalition for Freedom of Information, John Podesta, the Out of the Blue documentary, the Steven Schiff (R-NM) GAO Roswell inquiry, the 1996 Congressional hearing sought by then Rep. Gerald Ford, President Jimmy Carter's sightings - even the alleged comments by President Reagan to Steven Spielberg at a White House screening of ET: The Extraterrestrial.

The article does include certain phrasings which are common to this kind of coverage in higher-tier publications and is often necessary to get editorial approval. No matter. Tory did extensive research, asked all the right questions, and PRG personally thanked him for his piece.

Trust in the U. S. Congress recently reached a historic low of 16%, and the pressure for Congress to take action on a range of issues and produce for the American people is mounting. PRG will add to that pressure soon.

Full article at:

www.rollcall.com/issues/52_47/vested/15964-1.html

Media Coverage Archive

<http://www.paradigmclock.com/newsitems2006.html>

This word searchable archive of mainstream news coverage of extraterrestrial phenomena and exopolitics since 1942 is now 1200+ articles the largest archive of its kind on the Internet.

Wiktionary

<http://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/exopolitics>

PRG continues to shepherd a formal definition for "Exopolitics" via listings at the Merriam-Webster Open Dictionary and Wiktionary

National UFO Conference

www.nufoc.org

The 2006 National UFO Conference has been rescheduled for the fall of 2007. Details TBA.

International UFO Conference

www.ufocongress.com/index.html

The next major conference is the IUFOC in Laughlin, NV at the Flamingo Hotel Resort February 25 - March 2, 2007.

New Documentary about Disclosure and Contact to Premier

The motion picture premiere of Fastwalkers: They are Here will be held in the beautiful high mountains of Northern Arizona on December 13, 2006. Filmed, edited and mastered in High Definition 24P the 98 minute feature opens at the WME Theater [www.whitemountainmovies.com] in Show Low, Arizona.

Much of the footage for Fastwalkers was filmed at X-Conference 2005. This densely packed film includes interviews with 37 researchers, activists and witnesses!! Stephen Bassett, Executive Director of PRG, is in the film and plans to appear at the premier along with producer/writer Robert D. Miles and other special guests to talk about the issues in the movie and take Q&A.

Film Website: www.fastwalkers.com/

Living Directory Network / PRG Net Bay Area Events

Living Directory Network

<http://livingdirectory.net/>

has upcoming relevant presentations in the Bay Area of San Francisco. Those on the PRG Updates mail List are invited to attend and to check out the LDN. Stephen Bassett's January 12 presentation will be his last on the West Coast before returning to Washington, DC. PRG Net

<http://livingdirectory.net/PRG>

is one of 200+ groups within the LDN.

December 5 - James J. Hurtak, Ph.D.

December 12 - Jack Sarfatti, Ph.D.

January 12 - Stephen Bassett

Full details:

http://livingdirectory.net/display/events.php?login_group=PRG

New PRG Lobbying Relationships

PRG has filed lobbying registrations for two more clients: the Exopolitics Institute [www.exopoliticsinstitute.org] and Exopolitics.com. Any organization which seeks the end of the government imposed truth embargo regarding an extraterrestrial presence and would like to have a pro bono registration filed on their behalf, please email Paradigm Research Group.

PRG Contributions

PRG is slowly building an underwriter base as the number of citizens concerned about U. S. government policies regarding extraterrestrial-related phenomena, sequestered technology and excessive secrecy increases. Aside from the ongoing advocacy work, the next major project is a third X-Conference [www.paradigmclock.com/X-Conference/conference.htm] in 2007. To date, \$10,000 has been committed of the \$50,000 needed to proceed. [Note: PRG is not a 501(c)(3) organization in order to maximize its ability to advocate without restraint from IRS regulations. While it operates in the manner of a non-profit, contributions are not tax deductible.]

More info: www.paradigmclock.com/underwriters.htm

PRG/X-PPAC Updates

PRG and X-PPAC Updates have been sent out periodically since February of 1999 to inform of developments affecting the Disclosure process. The Paradigm Shift newsletter is the new format for these updates and is emailed in both "html" and "text" styles.

Previous Updates:

www.paradigmclock.com/Press_Releases/Press_release_index.html

Paradigm Research Group is an advocacy organization in pursuit of truth in government. To remove your address from this list, please email PRG.nul with "Remove" in the Subject line. Mailing Address: 4938 Hampden Lane, #161, Bethesda, MD 20814. Phone: 202-215-8344.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

Re: Cosford 1993 - McGonagle

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 11:41:20 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 21:46:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Cosford 1993 - McGonagle

>From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 01:46:07 EST
>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

>>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 20 Nov 2006 16:28:38 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Cosford 1993

>>>From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 15:55:33 EST
>>>Subject: Cosford 1993 [was: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?]

>>>>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Thu, 16 Nov 2006 03:20:31 +0000
>>>>Subject: Re: What Should Ufology Be Focusing On Today?

Hello Brad, List,

<snip>

>>>As Don Ledger has rightly pointed out there are serious problems
>>>trying to fit this Cornwall police Sergeant's sighting report to
>>>the Cosmos 2238 rocket re-entry.

>>>The Sergeant said he was driving on road A38 at Looe Mills just
>>>past Liskeard heading towards Dobwalls, which by reference to a
>>>map is seen as heading NW (northwest). The geodetic map
>>>co-ordinates are easily determined to be about 50-27.4 N,
>>>4-29.5 W.

>>The map at a reasonable scale can be viewed at the following
>>link:

>><http://tinyurl.com/yf373b>

>>The witness provided a grid reference, if you enter "SX230650"
>>in the multimap "find" field, it will indicate where this is.

>Those are round numbers for grid refs 230 and 650, the
>Sergeant's detailed description specifies his location as on the
>A38 road "at" Looe Mills, which is at about grid refs 232 and
>648 if you play around with the map input codes "SX232648."

I obtained my reference from the witness statement, not by
"playing around" with random numbers:

"My location now was approximately 100 yards the Liskeard side of
the lay by outside Lantoom Quarry, map ref 230 650."

In order to provide even a general reference, he would have had
to consult a map. Why would he provide a general reference and
not a specific one if he knew where he was at the time? Why
would he specifically write "map ref" rather than "grid square"?
Why would he use a 6-figure reference when all he needed to use
was a 4-figure one (2365)? Furthermore, the grid square

described by the provided reference if it was intended as a generalisation describes the square to the North and East of that point (the square encompassing High Wood) - clearly a non-contender, as it doesn't tally with his description of his route in any way.

>>>The Sergeant said he sighted two lights at about his 2 o'clock
>>>position (60 degrees to his right) or at "due North" as his
>>>report states. The two lights started moving towards him,
>>>appearing to ascend in angular elevation because they were
>>>headed to come straight overhead. As they did he noticed they
>>>had moved to his left to about the 1 o'clock position and at
>>>this point he saw luminous trails being left behind. He stopped

>>Okay, so far, though it must be remembered that he was driving a
>>moving car at the time.

>No that is incorrect. The quote telling how he stopped and got
>out was clipped. You then try to smear this "driving a car"
>excuse through his entire sighting when you know perfectly well
>he got out of his patrol car when the lights got to about his 1
>o'clock position.

=46rom the report:

"At about 0110 hrs on the morning of Wednesday 31st of March 1993 I was on duty in uniform and driving a marked police vehicle along the A38 at Looe Mills near Liskeard travelling in the direction of Dobwalls.

This was naturally during the hours of darkness and the weather was fine and dry, with some patchy cloud; the moon and a number of stars being visible. I was unaccompanied.

As I began to negotiate a sweeping uphill left hand bend at the bottom of Lantoom Hill, I became aware of what appeared to be two 'stars' just above the horizon in the approximate position of 'two o'clock'. They were due North of me and motionless in the sky. My attention was drawn to these 'stars' because apart from being in a part of the sky where there are usually no stars, they were of a darker hue bordering on yellow instead of silvery-white. They were reasonably close together but as I could not begin to estimate their distance from me, I likewise cannot estimate how far they were from each other.

As I negotiated the bend I continued to observe them when I suddenly saw them begin to move what appeared to be vertically upwards. They maintained a parallel course and identical speed and as they approached the 'one o'clock' position they began to leave vapour trails. I brought my car to a halt, switched off the engine, and got out."

It is crystal clear from his statement that when he provided the "approximate" positions of 'two o'clock' and 'one o'clock' that he was inside the car and moving:

"I brought my car to a halt, switched off the engine, and got out."

Now who is making a bogus statement?

With regard to the "smear", the terminology "negotiated" in itself suggests that a level of concentration was required to drive safely.

>>>his patrol car and got out to watch. They were "curving overhead
>>>and heading due South."=C2 Then he watched as "they passed
>>>directly overhead at '12 o'clock high.'" He spotted a third
>>>object from its vapor trail.

At a height of in the order of 100,000 feet (approximately 30 km) (it could have been either higher or lower than this but it is useful as a yardstick), a variation of 5 degrees from vertical (12 O'clock) equates to a ground-distance of 1.66 miles $((1/\tan 85) * 100,000 / 3 / 1760)$. A 10 degree variation would result in a ground track over 3 miles either side of his position $((1/\tan 80) * 100,000 / 3 / 1760)$. I pointed out before that policemen aren't precision instruments, and it is perfectly reasonable to expect that his estimate of 90 degrees could actually be 80 or 85 degrees, or up to 3.34 miles from his position on the ground in either direction.

>>From the standing position, he would have needed to crane his neck to the maximum.

>So what? Is craning one's neck to look "directly overhead" a physiological impossibility for a human body?

No it isn't, but it is an unnatural position, and not conducive to making accurate estimates.

>>Even if it was not directly over him, he
>>could very easily have got the impression that it did. I find
>>that I get quite disoriented when observing aircraft passing
>>"directly over" me, especially at speed. I hope that I'm not
>>alone in that?

>This police officer with 14+ years of experience made a special point of saying that from his standing position outside his patrol car the objects "passed directly overhead at '12 o'clock high' " (military air crew terminology) on a "dead straight North South course" which is an extremely explicit statement, not a casual one, and indicating pretty much 90 degrees elevation approximately. Only way he could have been any more explicit than that is if he had been an astronomer, and then he would have said it passed through his "zenith" or said 90 degrees elevation angle.

See above re the effects of only a 5-10 degree margin of error in his estimate. By all means, check my calculations - I could quite possibly have made an error. I re-iterate that even policemen are not precision instruments. I'm not sure what significance you attach to the use of "military aircrew terminology"? Anyone who has watched a war movie or even some sci-fi programmes would have some awareness of it.

>>>The objects were "on a dead straight _North_South_ course"
>>>traveling at about the angular velocity of a "high flying
>>>passenger jet" which is easily calculated to be about 1-1/2
>>>degrees per second, which means for a total sighting duration of
>>>about 45-60 seconds, about 60 to 90 degrees of arc of sky
>>>covered from the north to overhead which seems about right and
>>>provides a check on consistency of sighting details which the
>>>witness would have had no idea how to bias or fabricate. The
>>>objects disappeared behind the "high ground" of the Lantoom
>>>Quarry to his _left_ to the _West_.

>>As the object passed Southwards, he would have turned to face
>>it. this would place his left to the East, not the West as I ...

>The Sergeant indicates it disappeared behind the Lantoom Quarry just after its "12 o'clock high" passage "directly overhead" so he had _not_ "turned" and was _not_ facing South. All you have to do since you are in the UK and I am not is locate the dang Lantoom Quarry with respect to the A38 road and Looe Mills, and settle this with facts to either confirm or correct the witness. Lantoom does not show up on Multimap or Streetmap (which has Ordnance Survey maps online).

What makes you so adamant that he didn't turn to follow the path of an object passing very nearly straight over him? Granted, he could have stayed stock-still, bent his knees, and leaned precariously backwards to maintain his view, but it would be far more sensible to turn and follow the path. This brings to mind a story that was circulating in the Falkland Islands that as aircraft passed over penguin colonies, the penguins all fell over backwards trying to do exactly what you describe!

>I notice you completely ignore the angular velocity data.

What data? you provided a description, how do you intend to use it to make a point? You have also made many assumptions - how do you know the angular field of view which he had? On a flat surface, with no obstructions, he would have been able to observe the transit for 180 degrees. You assume for no good reason that I can fathom that once it was directly overhead, he lost sight of it. To quote from his statement:

"My view of these objects was very good; the sky being clear, and they continued their Ascent still at an identical speed to each other and still on a parallel course. I realised that they

were not ascending vertically but rather curving overhead and heading due South. As they gained in height the vapour trails became much more pronounced. I was still unable to estimate their height because of their small size (identical to a star in the night sky) but I believe they were very high. As they passed directly overhead at '12 o'clock high', the heavens at this point were bright and moonlit and I could see that there was nothing between the objects apart from empty sky. They were definitely two separate objects.

At about this time I became aware of what I believed to be a third object visible only by its vapour trail which was considerably less obvious than the vapour trail of the other two objects. This third object seemed to the right hand side of the left hand object (as I was looking up), and slightly to the rear of it. It maintained the identical speed and parallel course of the other objects.

As the objects continued on a dead straight north south course, they seemed to be travelling at a similar speed to a high flying passenger jet aircraft. I lost sight of them owing to the high ground of the quarry immediately on my left."

At about the time that the object passed over him, he then had sufficient time to identify the third, smaller object. He had sight of it for long enough to notice that "It maintained the identical speed and parallel course of the other objects". In order to do so, he would have had to be able to observe it's progress for an appreciable distance. Of course, trying to balance with his knees bent leaning over backwards could have distracted him a little.

>>>The problem for a Cosmos 2238 rocket explanation aside from the >>>question of the clock time, is that in Cornwall the reentry

>>I don't think there is any question in relation to the clock >>time? The Policeman reports that the time was 01:10. He doesn't >>specify A or Z time, but normal practice for him would be to >>express the time as A - BST. This being so, the signal must be >>in error.

>This is pretty disingenuous to deny that there is even a >_question_ on the time. This is like debunkers who cannot even >admit there is a _question_ about the identification of a UFO >because to admit there is any doubt whatsoever starts them >down what they see as a slippery slope they cannot return from.

1. The policeman would usually express time in local time.
2. He reported the time as 01:10.
3. The difference between "Z" time and "A" time had only developed two days earlier, having been the same for 5 months.
4. The reported time of the sighting in the MoD report had to be manually transcribed by a human being, quite capable of making an error. (In fact, I talked to an ex-army data telegraphist last week who left the army as an acting sergeant, and he confirmed that such errors are not uncommon).

>The MoD teletype was not written by the Sergeant so your >argument about what the Sergeant's "normal practice" on >timekeeping is irrelevant. The military's timekeeping is what is >relevant and they usually kept Z time (GMT/UTC). The time of the >Sergeant's sighting was reported by MoD as 0110 Z which is 2:10 >AM (BST). Maybe it is wrong but it is not contradicted by the >Sergeant's report which also was not a verbatim signed document >but was an account as written down by the MoD, and does not >specify Z (GMT/UTC) or A (local BST).

You are factually incorrect here - the police report was written in first person by the police sergeant and does contradict the timing in the signal.

Now, what are you suggesting?

- that the police sergeant used "Z" time in his report without making it explicit?
- that the police sergeant made a gross error in his statement and should have described the event as occurring at 02:10?

- that there were two separate events involving different policemen from the same constabulary from the same location exactly one hour apart, and with the later witness making no reference to the earlier sighting?

I am interested to see your proposed solution to the time discrepancy between the signal and the police report - personally I am content that it was a human error by the military operator.

>>>track was far to the EAST and the course was toward the
>>>_Southeast_. Moreover, even if the police Sergeant had his
>>>cardinal directions off by 45 degrees and it was actually coming
>>>toward him from say the NE not the N, it still would not even
>>>come close to accounting for moving from his 2 o'clock to his 1
>>>o'clock to his 12 o'clock then appearing directly overhead of
>>>him in Cornwall, heading Southwest in that case not
>>>_Southeast_, disappearing behind high terrain of the Lantoom
>>>Quarry to the West. This is entirely the wrong part of the
>>>sky.

>My typo here. It should have read that even if the Sergeant had
>for sake of argument gotten his cardinal directions off by say
>45 degrees and it was actually coming toward him from say the NW
>instead of his clear statement of North, it still would not
>explain the sequence of motion starting from his 2 o'clock going
>to his 1 o'clock then to his 12 o'clock, which is Right to Left,
>and would still come from the North headed South as he clearly
>stated but off to his Left, or W, side.

I think you are confused here - do you mean from his 2 o'clock to his one o'clock? the 12 O'clock reference appears to me to be in relation to the vertical azimuth, the 1 and 2 o'clock directions to his horizontal position. If so, I re-iterate (contrary to your mistaken assertions) that the 1 o'clock and 2 o'clock were estimates made from a moving car while "negotiating" a fairly sharp bend, and have to be taken in the context that there could be a significant margin of error. I am confused as to where you get the Southwest direction from, based on what the witness has written and uncertainty about his exact position - I see no reference to the object appearing to his left while driving the car (to the contrary, it was to his right given his clock directions), and as I have already pointed out, when he mentioned a position to his left from a standing position, it is most likely that he was facing south or thereabouts, with the object towards the West of South.

>>He gave his estimates of direction (2 o'clock, 1 o'clock) from a
>>moving car (driven by him), which was moving around a reasonably
>>sharp bend to the left. He had to retain control of the car while
>>he made his observations, so there is every reason to expect that
>>his estimates are very approximate and fleeting.

>This is bogus. He got out of his patrol car at about the 1
>o'clock position of the objects. Pray tell how he could have
>seen anything "directly overhead" through his car windshield
>(when it was at 12 o'clock)??? He was no longer in the car by
>that point!

Do I really need to repeat the text from his own statement relating to his 1 and 2 O'clock estimates?

>All this tendentious argument about he got things confused
>because he was having to "retain control of the car" - wow what
>a terribly difficult thing for a long-time police officer to do.
>I guess walking and chewing gum is something hard to "retain
>control" of too.

There is no comparison between chewing gum and walking and driving a car around a bend whilst focussing attention on something else. You can chew gum and still look where you are going. You can't look along a left-hand bend and focus attention on a small group of star-like objects off the centre-line of travel for any length of time. a few snatched glances is all that I would risk, and I am interested in UFOs.

>To maintain view of an object that may have been as high as 50
>Km (>150,000 feet) as it passed level with his position, even if
>it was some distance to one side or another would require that
>he craned his head to the maximum. This would give the

>impression that the object was directly over his position, even
>if it wasn't. See my comment above about him turning to face
>south, with East to his left.

>>We know there was a re-entry over the general area at the exact
>>time of his report. He doesn't mention seeing any other events
>>shortly before or after this report. What reason is there to
>>think that this was anything other than the re-entry?

>You've already taken it upon yourself to change the time
>reported by MoD then turn around and claim things about what
>happened at this time, as changed by you. At least own up to
>having to change or "correct" the time when you make
>presumptuous arguments like this. At the actual time reported
>by MoD for the Sergeant's sighting, at 2:10 AM BST, there
>was no Cosmos 2238 rocket reentry going on, it would have
>been an hour or so earlier.

I ask you again, what is your proposed explanation for the time
discrepancy? I have exposed mine, let me see yours.

>I've already gone over the difficulties with correlating the
>Cosmos 2238 rocket reentry with the Sergeant's sighting, which
>"reasons" you disregard then ask me what "reasons" there are. I
>have the intellectual integrity to admit that a time change has
>to be made in order to make the sighting fit.

It would seem that you are disregarding my reasoning (and
misinterpreting or perhaps just over-interpreting a lot of
detail in the documents to boot). I fail to see the logic behind
much of your reasoning.

>>>Also, I am still waiting to read the accounts of actual amateur
>>>satellite observers as to what they saw when the Cosmos 2238
>>>rocket stage decayed. We should have that as a control and a
>>>check on the other sightings that night. We should also have a

>>I don't know where you are expecting to get these from, possibly
>>from astronomical journals from the time? I don't have any ready
>>access to them.

>You and your website on the Cosford case repeatedly make
>reference to the webpage by Gary Anthony and yet you do not know
>what you have linked to?? On that webpage it states:

>"A space debris re-entry of satellite rocket booster (R/B) 22586
>from Cosmos 2238 occurred over Ireland, Devon, and Belgium
>around 01:10 am local time on the 31st March 1993...."

>What's not generally known in the UFO community - up until now
>- is that this particular spectacular space debris was
>anticipated and observed and recorded by space enthusiasts here
>in the England, in Ireland and France and that it featured in
>Phillip Clark's "Worldwide Satellite Launches, 1993, "Decay
>from orbit was observed in Ireland and Belgium, the final burn-
>up coming approximately at 00.10 GMT on March 31."

I think you'll find two references to Gary's page, one explicit
and one an active link from his name. I am not accountable for
what Gary wrote, and I have every confidence in his accuracy. I
don't have confidence that I can accurately repeat or understand
anything that he might want to contribute. If you doubt it, you
should take it up with him - cut out the middle-man.

>So where are these space observer sightings from England,
>Ireland and France of the Cosmos 2238 rocket decay?? As I said
>before we should have these space observer sightings of the
>reentry as a control sample to check against the alleged UFO
>sightings that night.

See above.

Regards,

Joe

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

Triangular UFO Over Caracas Venezuela

From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 08:14:02 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 21:51:20 -0500
Subject: Triangular UFO Over Caracas Venezuela

Source: Archivos Forteanos Latinoamericanos

Date: 11/24/06

Venezuela: Triangular UFO Over Caracas

A report from Hector Escalante

CARACAS - November 2006. Three people witnessed the transit of a triangular UFO on November 16 as it passed over a state banking institution located on Av. Urdaneta, bordering the central district of Caracas, the Venezuelan capital.

According to information provided by Israel Urbaneja, the appearance of this strange object lasted from 9:30 to 10:00 PM approximately, allowing two of his relatives to join the observation from their home on Av. Baralt.

Likewise, he said that the object "was white in color but presented luminous variations of blue, red and green" and moved with a "zig-zagging" motion from south to north over the capital area's airspace until it became lost in the clouds, which was present at all times, although with various degrees of clearing, accompanied by heavy rains earlier during the day.

"This is the first time that I've seen anything like it and I'm certain it wasn't an airplane or satellite by the way it moved. Furthermore, the object left a glowing, cone-shaped white wake as it flew, which caused a sensation akin to nausea," said the witness.

It should be noticed that this is not the first time that an unknown object with intelligent behavior has been seen over the central district of the Venezuelan capital, where major government offices are located.

Other witnesses have reported the presence of similar objects near the presidential palace and Fort Tiuna (army garrison) on Paseo de los Proceres, as well as in the vicinity of the majestic El Avila National Park to the north of Caracas.

Translator's note - the health effects produced by flybys of these triangular objects have been reported in other cases, both in the US and abroad.

Translation (c) 2006, S. Corrales, IHU.
Special thanks to Jose Martinez, ARGUS-PR and Liliana Nunez
- AFLA

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

UFO Over Spain's Canary Islands?

From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 09:18:23 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 21:54:09 -0500
Subject: UFO Over Spain's Canary Islands?

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
November 23, 2006

Source: Canarias 24 Horas

<http://tinyurl.com/yx3o66>

Date: 11.22.06

TENERIFE - A number of phone calls have been received by this newsroom from the Tacoronte sector in northern Tenerife, reporting - not without a degree of nervousness - sightings of strange lights on Tuesday evening. Some of the witnesses have spoken openly of UFOs. Those who claim having witnessed this phenomenon report that even household appliances have died for a few seconds and others report seeing static white lights diving into the Tacorontan coast.

This is hardly the first time that various witnesses report seeing "strange" things in the sky, moving at high speed among the stars or emerging from the bottom of the sea.

According to experts consulted by Canarias24horas.com, the lights are nothing more than satellites, which give the impression of being stars despite their rapid movement. The lights entering and exiting the ocean are interpreted by some as large shooting stars that never reach the Earth's surface and vanish into the horizon; some reports mentioned green, blue and red lights - colors proper to a shooting star as it enters our atmosphere. As far as what was seen on Tuesday, the evidence points to a series of helicopters which were flying over the area. Two of these late model aircraft were surveying the coastline between northern Tenerife and the island of La Palma.

But what caused the household appliances to fail? The explanation is a simple one, and appears to be connected to the impending visit of Their Majesties the King and Queen of Spain to the Canaries.

This isn't the first that the passing of a royal motorcade on a highway causes all appliances liable to perceive or emit radio signals to stop working for a few seconds. Refrigerators also shut down. This effect is produced by devices known as signal inhibitors, which mask anything that could represent a safety hazard to a head of state. Iceboxes shut down because they signal inhibitors cannot distinguish between one appliance and another. Even the Internet is disconnected.

Could this be the answer to the experiences of Tacoronte's residents on Tuesday? We can only say that for the Royal Family's security detail, shutting off devices at a distance is mere child's play.

Translation (c) 2006, S. Corrales, IHU)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

Re: Reporting Results Especially If Negative -

From: Greg Sandow <greg.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 11:34:50 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 21:56:39 -0500
Subject: Re: Reporting Results Especially If Negative -

>From: Luis R. Gonzalez <lrgm.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 14:00:09 +0100
>Subject: Reporting Results Especially If Negative

>Almost 10 years ago, Greg Sandow wrote in The Anomalist #7,
>Winter 1998/99:

>"The Abduction Conundrum"

><snip>

>"Are these things true? I can't verify any of them, and I can
>fault Hopkins for not even keeping statistics. How many
>abductees report scoop marks on their legs, photographs of
>which Hopkins has displayed in his books, in lectures, and on
>his website? He can't tell us. What we're dealing with, as I
>said right at the start, is hearsay - stories Hopkins and
>Jacobs talk and write about, which any of us can only accept
>out of a personal conviction that they (and the experiencers
>who tell many of the stories in the first place) aren't lying.

>I'm working with Jacobs, I should add, to try to document all
>this, for a future report in IUR."

><snip>

>Probably I missed it, but I really would like to know the
>results of such efforts... even (or specially) if they were
>negative. Negative results must also be published.

I never did this work, so I have no results to publish, positive
or negative.

Any implication that I might not have published negative results
is nonsense.

I did most or all of my UFO work in the '90s. In 2000, I got
married, and around that time my professional work as a
classical music composer and commentator started to expand quite
a bit. Now there were two things in my life very happily
competing for time with UFOs. UFOs lost out, especially since my
UFO work didn't pay me anything.

Which doesn't mean that I've lost interest in UFOs, or that I've
necessarily changed the views I've held in the past. I wish
somebody would do the work with Dave Jacobs that I never did. I
have no preconception about what the results would be, but
whether they were positive or negative, they'd be important. And
on top of that, the researcher would have the great pleasure of
Dave's company. Dave, I should also add, always (at least with
me) was open about his work, and willing to share data and
answer tough questions.

Greg Sandow

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 24](#)

Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso - Salla

From: Michael Salla <exopolitics.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 07:26:36 -1000
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 22:00:01 -0500
Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso - Salla

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 18:57:34 -0400
>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 19:26:22 +0000
>>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

<snip>

>><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2000/aug/m16-020.shtml>

>>So here we go again about Corso! I strongly suggest that anyone
>>who thinks Corso was credible read through the UFO UpDates
>>Archives to see what the strong consensus of the comparatively
>>scholarly UFO community is on that point.

>There is a piece on Corso on my website as well at:

><http://www.stantonfriedman.com>

>Lt. Colonel Corso was a real person who seems to
>have exaggerated his role in a number of areas.

Stan and Richard, I hope you both take a look at my
two part article critiquing Corso's critics. The
article provide a scholarly critique of efforts that I
conclude are really debunking of Corso rather than
objective criticism.

<http://www.exopoliticsinstitute.org/Journal-vol-1-2-Salla.pdf>

<http://www.exopoliticsinstitute.org/Journal-vol-1-3-Salla.pdf>

I am yet to hear back from Stan and others who unfairly debunk
Corso to the detriment of objective scholarship.

Aloha,

Michael Salla

"Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed
citizens can change the world. Indeed, it's the only thing that
ever has!" --Margaret Mead

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 25](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 12:44:30 EST
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Nov 2006 10:07:44 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 10:37:34 -0800
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 22 Nov 2006 09:01:11 EST
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

><snip>

>>>>Yes, but you see, Squadron T had no responsibility for the MP
>>>>functions and members of Squadron T were not MP's, which is not
>>>>to say that they might not have supplied soldiers for some of
>>>>these tasks... but your other problem is that your guy is a
>>>>sergeant and they rarely, if ever, would be assigned guard duty.
>>>>Sergeant of the Guard, yes... but not standing around at the
>>>>gate.

>>>>Yes he was at the gate. He may have been in charge, I don't
>>>>think he was just standing around. He did whatever the members
>>>>of Squadron T were assigned to do. There were ten or eleven Sgts
>>>>in the squadron, and about the same number of PFCs, and seven
>>>>Cpls. Somebody had to man the gate. Or are you implying that the
>>>>person who wrote me was using this MP's name and simply feeding
>>>>me a load of BS?

>>My point is that he was not assigned to either of the military
>>police units on the base, but in another unit that did not have
>>the responsibility for guarding the gate. While I suggest he
>>might have found himself at the gate infrequently, it would not
>>have been a permanent assignment so this is conflict with what
>>we know. Is it a major problem? No, it is a blip on the radar,
>>of which we must take notice.

>What were the functions of Squadron "T"?

Good Morning, Ed, List, All -

From the 509th Unit History for July 1947:

Commercial Transportation Office, transferred under Squadron
"T", issued 160 travel requests, 350 meal tickets, 180 out-bound
bills, 160 in-bound bills of lading, 8 overseas shipments, and
handled 100,000 pounds of air freight.

Supervisor of Transportation reported successful improvement in
vehicle maintenance and indoctrination of drivers to their
responsibilities.

A squadron party was held at Bottomless Lakes, Friday 11 July
1947...

Squadron T was the transportation squadron and the above gives a
hint as to their functions. Notice that none of those functions
come under the heading of military police... though their
mechanics would be responsible for the maintenance of the
military police vehicles.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 25](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Nov 2006 15:00:11 EST
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Nov 2006 10:11:01 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 16:18:31 +0100
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>Kevin, Ed and All,

>According to the descriptions made of the debris field and the
>gouge, there was a cone of debris oriented from northwest to
>southeast. I refer to the figure 1 in the article "At the
>Roswell site", 1989 by Mark Rodeghier, published by CUFOs. So,
>the craft would have been coming from the north, not the south.
>Kevin, do you agree with that ?

Gildas, List, All -

I've gone back to look at the various notes and transcripts
about the orientation of the debris field. It ran from northwest
to southeast.....

However, Brigadier General Exon said, on tape, "...as I remember
flying the area later, that the damage to the vehicle seemed to
be coming from the southeast to the northwest but it could have
been going in the opposite direction but it doesn't seem likely.
So the farther northwest pieces found on the ranch, those pieces
were mostly metal."

This would seem to indicate a flying direction from southeast to
northwest... but again, we're dealing specifically with a memory
rather than documentation, and we have Exon's suggestion that he
might be mistaken.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 25](#)

UFOs The Anomalous Phenomenon

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Nov 2006 10:32:08 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Nov 2006 10:32:08 -0500
Subject: UFOs The Anomalous Phenomenon

Source: Edward Charkow's UFO Hidden Secrets Site

<http://tinyurl.com/y74o6u>

November 24, 2006

UFOs, The Anomalous Phenomenon
bu UFO Secrets

There are many things that can't be explained to this day. The disappearance of ships and planes in the Bermuda Triangle, the origin of Stonehenge in the United Kingdom and if UFOs really exist.

No one has yet been able to come up with a logical explanation for any of these which as created a lot of speculation of the existence of beings in the universe.

Some say aliens captured those who entered the Bermuda triangle. Stonehenge was a marker left by beings from a different planet and that UFOs are making observations of those on Earth for an impending invasion soon to come.

These rumors have no basis whatsoever which is why the scientific community doesn't put that much attention to them and give priority to other things such as cures for diseases and the evolution of man.

The reason why some of the sightings are considered hoaxes is because they were reported by people who are hysterical and have been inconsistent in the past. Though a majority of these cases have been explained, there is still a smaller percentage that still needs to be analyzed.

These are the ones witnessed by doctors, military personnel, law enforcement officers and ordinary professionals who have nothing to lose and believe that the incidents really took place.

This has made even some of the most brilliant people question the possibility and in turn created a field of study called Ufology. There are other organizations that have been established all over the world who continue to believe that UFOs really exist.

Despite the government's inquiry many years ago, there are many incidents that haven't been explained. Records procured because of the US Freedom of Information Act will show of times that weapon systems didn't work when fighter planes were sent to intercept the UFOs or how the flybys of these alien spacecraft caused disruptions in military installations.

The government can try to hide the truth but this can only go so far. This has been the motivation of those who choose to believe and will continue with the struggle until the secret has been revealed.

Though not all of the reported sightings are true, people should know when not to go over board. There is a scientific explanation for everything and those that are questionable should only be the ones given proper attention.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 26](#)

BBC News The MoD & Illinois UFO

From: Dale Ulmer <dale200499.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Nov 2006 09:15:02 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Sun, 26 Nov 2006 09:09:08 -0500
Subject: BBC News The MoD & Illinois UFO

The following is an e-mail I sent to BBC News:

http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/4981720.stm

<http://www.ufocasebook.com/illinoissightings.html>

Mr. Mark Simpson should contact the Ministry of Defence and inform them on the Illinois incident where 4 police officers witnessed an incredible and huge craft. This is only one account of actual real sightings.

In my opinion the report by the MoD is an unrealistic and unreliable piece of information considering the countless reports of numerous credible witnesses all over the entire globe.

A follow up by BBC should be performed on the determination of the existence of UFOs by the MoD.

BBC should investigate such reports by asking the MoD to do an investigation into the Illinois incident which I have provided a link to in this email. BBC should also conduct an investigation into these sightings as well and have their own conclusive report to compare with the MoD.

If we are to gain anything from the UFO phenomena then news agencies have to be willing to step into the light with courage and find the truth.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 26](#)

Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso -

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Nov 2006 22:11:39 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 26 Nov 2006 09:20:32 -0500
Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso -

>From: Michael Salla <exopolitics.nul>
>To: <Ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 23 Nov 2006 07:26:36 -1000
>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 18:57:34 -0400
>>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

>>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 21 Nov 2006 19:26:22 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: 1997 Art Bell Interview With Col. Corso

><snip>

>>><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2000/aug/m16-020.shtml>

>>>So here we go again about Corso! I strongly suggest that anyone
>>>who thinks Corso was credible read through the UFO UpDates
>>>Archives to see what the strong consensus of the comparatively
>>>scholarly UFO community is on that point.

>>There is a piece on Corso on my website as well at:

>><http://www.stantonfriedman.com>

>>Lt. Colonel Corso was a real person who seems to
>>have exaggerated his role in a number of areas.

>Stan and Richard, I hope you both take a look at my
>two part article critiquing Corso's critics. The
>article provide a scholarly critique of efforts that I
>conclude are really debunking of Corso rather than
>objective criticism.

><http://www.exopoliticsinstitute.org/Journal-vol-1-2-Salla.pdf>

><http://www.exopoliticsinstitute.org/Journal-vol-1-3-Salla.pdf>

>I am yet to hear back from Stan and others who unfairly debunk
>Corso to the detriment of objective scholarship.

<snip>

I've posted plenty to UFO UpDates about Corso:

<http://tinyurl.com/uule9>

- as did many others.

Michael you are obviously free to believe everything every
so-called whistleblower claimed. I don't.

Corso, in a sworn statement, said he was a member of the NSC.
That claim was false.

He has provided no basis for the July 6,1947, date in Fort

Riley... that is the date Marcel and Cavitt went out to the Brazel ranch.

We know flights were made from Roswell to Dayton.

Corso said he was a good buddy of J.Edgar Hoover. His FBI file refers to him as "a rat".

Kevin has noted, as I have, that leaving an alien body un-guarded in a warehouse makes no sense.

Etc., etc., ad nauseum...

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 26](#)

Has UFO Phenomena Become Common Place?

From: **Greg Boone** <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Sun, 26 Nov 2006 10:40:01 EST
Fwd Date: Sun, 26 Nov 2006 17:10:22 -0500
Subject: Has UFO Phenomena Become Common Place?

I've had to realize something recently. Quite a big cognition regarding the reality of the UFO phenom and it's offshoots.

I study a wide array of sciences and subjects and I get emails from not only this List but dozens and dozens ranging from art to history, news, music, religion and on and on.

In the other areas of non-UFO interest I've noticed over the years that UFO stories will turn up in every one of the other areas of interests.

Then I realized that the stories of sightings and abductions are now so common, so permeating the general global culture that I would read or hear about more UFO-related stories than stories about good gas mileage or weight loss problems!

I mean it's really gotten so commonplace it's losing or lost it's mystery factor!

I never thought I'd see the day when I could literally sit here and see this subject of UFOs so run-of-the-mill that the fear factor has waned.

People know the drill cold! I guess it's all the books and lectures and TV specials and radio programs that you all have been part of or produced. I truly believe it's become so accepted as part of our lives and the government non-compliance with addressing the issue has really sunk in as we who spend much time investigating it already know.

This common acceptance has grown over the years. I've mentioned it before but nowadays it's just astounding to see!

I don't think it's a major task anymore to convince people it's real, what is needed is what to do when one encounters a UFO event. Maybe it's the disclosures of how government operates these past post 9/11 years that has opened the public's eyes or just the saturation of UFO data thanks to the availability of the internet. Whatever it is I find it no longer a chore nor challenge to discuss the matter with people. They'll bring up the subject without my chiding or prodding and they'll discuss it as casually as they'll discuss the latest new lawnmower.

Somehow, somewhere, the old stigma has or is wearing off. Maybe soon we'll have more breaking stories and data.

Worth keeping a weather eye out for.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 27](#)

Re: Has UFO Phenomena Become Common Place? - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Sun, 26 Nov 2006 18:32:43 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 07:06:22 -0500
Subject: Re: Has UFO Phenomena Become Common Place? - White

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 26 Nov 2006 10:40:01 EST
>Subject: Has UFO Phenomena Become Common Place?

<snip>

>Somehow, somewhere, the old stigma has or is wearing off. Maybe
>soon we'll have more breaking stories and data.

Based on what your original email stated, the problem with
quality and quantity of reporting may well be deliberate cover
up, rather than "stigma". That's my guess.

And if that is so, it's a very different problem from stigma.
And one I'd love to see creative focus on. That's what I had
hoped Exopolitics was going to be about.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 27](#)

Mystery Of Orange UFOs

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 07:16:33 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 07:16:33 -0500
Subject: Mystery Of Orange UFOs

Source: The Argus - Brighton, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/y6uqlk>

November 27, 2006

Mystery Of Orange UFOs
By Andy Dickenson

Bright lights in the skies have baffled police and air-traffic controllers.

The unidentified flying objects have been likened to similar strange orange glows seen over Liverpool and Essex.

Witnesses said thousands of people may have seen the bizarre floating orbs.

Air-traffic controllers at Shoreham Airport and Brighton police said they had received inquiries about the UFOs, with as many as eight seen in the sky at one time.

James Gordon-Johnson, of Preston Park Avenue, Brighton, said he was leaving a restaurant in Shoreham with his brother at 11.30pm on November 18 when he saw "what appeared to be a very big orange light in the sky".

He added: "It was very, very bright but static - it wasn't rising or falling. Another one then appeared in mid-air. Then another.

"It must have been somewhere over Hove Lagoon but the lights were so bright thousands of people must have seen them.

"The next morning I got a phone call from a friend of mine who saw the exact same thing."

Mark Sztapel, from Brighton, told The Argus he saw seven lights moving slowly in an easterly direction across the city at midnight.

He added: "I'm a big plane enthusiast so I know what the lights and characteristics of a plane look like at night, and I can tell you now that these definitely weren't planes."

A spokeswoman for Shoreham Airport said staff had received emails from people inquiring about the glowing objects.

She said: "We closed at 7pm so there was nothing happening here. We've no idea what they could have been."

Police said they also received a report from a man in Hove who said he saw "approximately eight planes coming in over the sea, with no flashing navigation lights, towards Gatwick, in a dead line".

Officers contacted air traffic controllers at Gatwick who said they knew of no movement in the area.

Sue Heard, a police spokeswoman, said: "We were called out and made checks to establish it wasn't a known aircraft.

"It certainly seems pretty baffling on the face of it but there was a meteor shower on Saturday so maybe the two are connected."

A cloud of comet dust produced a Leonids meteor shower over the weekend, which managers of Herstmonceux Observatory said peaked on Saturday night with a display of shooting stars.

UFO spotters have also linked the orange lights to sightings in Liverpool and Essex, recently revealed as hoaxes created using open flames suspended under plastic bags to produce mini hot-air balloons.

But Mr Gordon-Johnson, 33, said: "These weren't meteors - there's no way they were meteors. They were far too big and bright and low in the atmosphere.

"They looked like they were about 3,000 or 4,000ft up. As I kept looking at them they just sat there. I was trying to think of explanations but couldn't think of one.

"It was very odd. If it was just a balloon you wouldn't have seen another just appear at the same altitude. There was no sound. Nothing at all."

Do you know anything about these strange lights in the sky? Call The Argus on 01273 544538 or email andy.dickenson.nul

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 27](#)

Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark!

From: Loren Coleman <lcoleman.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 09:21:07 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 09:46:29 -0500
Subject: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark!

Jerome Clark's birthday is November 27th.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 28](#)

Is John A. Keel A "Demonologist"?

From: Loren Coleman <lcoleman.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 10:31:11 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 06:54:56 -0500
Subject: Is John A. Keel A "Demonologist"?

John Frick writes on the Mothman Lives e-mail List:

>As far as Keel being a demonologist. He really isn't as far as I
>know. Keel has mentioned that the UFO and MIB phenomena is tied
>into demonology, but I've never read him claim to be an actual
>demonologist. From all the reading I have done on Keel he seemed
>to think demons came from the same source as ghosts and UFOs.

"Ufology is just another name for demonology," John Keel told me, a week before the September 11th attack on the World Trade Center, which occurred just a couple of miles from where he lives.

- Loren Coleman, in Mothman and Other Curious Encounters, page 114, (NY: Paraview, 2002).

Jerome Clark has noted that Keel's speculations placed him closer to being an occultist, and has written that Keel is "nothing so much as a demonologist."

Clark goes on: "Whereas traditional ufologists took a relatively conservative approach, seeking testimony from trained observers and putting a premium on radar/visual and multiply-witnessed sightings, and viewed exotic claims with suspicion, Keel vigorously championed everything from Mothman to contactees.

Many ufologists doubted that the former a monstrous birdlike entity reported over a several month period by persons living along the Ohio River had anything to do with UFOs, and they had long ranked the latter (individuals who claimed ongoing communications with friendly extraterrestrials) among the crooked and the crazy.

Keel, however, argued that 'ultraterrestrials' supernatural 'transmogrifications' of paranormal energy from an unimaginable other reality have been pestering, frightening, manipulating, and even destroying human beings from the beginning of mankind's history.'

- Loren Coleman, in Mothman and Other Curious Encounters, pages 118-119, (NY: Paraview, 2002).

Although the publisher deleted my direct references to Clark's quotes, they did retain my bibliography; one can locate these quotations through these sources:

Clark, Jerome. "Mothman." Encyclopedia of Strange and Unexplained Physical Phenomena. Detroit: Gale, 1993, pp. 228--231.

"Keel, John Alva." The UFO Encyclopedia 2nd Edition: The Phenomenon from the Beginning, Volume 1. Detroit: Omnigraphics, 1998, pp. 550--552.

Sincerely,

Is John A. Keel A "Demonologist"?

Loren Coleman

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 28](#)

Re: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark! - Lehmberg

From: **Alfred Lehmberg** <alienview.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 09:33:56 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 06:56:03 -0500
Subject: Re: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark! - Lehmberg

>From: Loren Coleman <lcoleman.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 09:21:07 -0400
>Subject: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark!

>Jerome Clark's birthday is November 27th.

Happy birthday to you
And to John Rimmer, too...
When his 'bleak' day rolls 'round at last...
Still, Happy Birthday to you!

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>
U F O M a g a z i n e -- www.ufomag.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 28](#)

Re: Happy Birthday To Jerome Clark! - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 17:40:42 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 07:06:24 -0500
Subject: Re: Happy Birthday To Jerome Clark! - Hall

>From: Loren Coleman <lcoleman.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 09:21:07 -0400
>Subject: Happy Birthday To Jerome Clark!

>Jerome Clark's birthday is November 27th.

Happy Biirthday to that young pup, Jerry Clark, the dean of UFO historians who knows more about the subject than the next 10 UFO people combined. Many happy returns.

- Dick Hall

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 28](#)

Re: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark! - Rimmer

From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 19:05:06 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 07:12:00 -0500
Subject: Re: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark! - Rimmer

>From: Loren Coleman <lcoleman.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 09:21:07 -0400
>Subject: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark!

>Jerome Clark's birthday is November 27th.

That's nice. Happy Birthday Jerry. For the sake of completeness,
my birthday is on 22nd August.

--
John Rimmer

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 28](#)

Re: Happy Birthday To Jerome Clark! - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 08:45:16 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 10:31:34 -0500
Subject: Re: Happy Birthday To Jerome Clark! - Kaeser

>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 19:05:06 +0000
>Subject: Re: Happy Birthday To Jerome Clark!

>>From: Loren Coleman <lcoleman.nul>
>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 09:21:07 -0400
>>Subject: Happy Birthday tTo Jerome Clark!

>>Jerome Clark's birthday is November 27th.

>
>That's nice. Happy Birthday Jerry. For the sake of completeness,
>my birthday is on 22nd August.

It is a crazy and yet exciting world we find ourselves in, and we all pass annual celebrations of our birth at some point during the year. I would like to wish all a Happy Birthday, no matter what time of year. Jerome Clark certainly deserves a special mention and I hope he took time to do something special for himself. This is a special and exciting ride, and it should be celebrated whenever possible.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 28](#)

Not By Chance

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 11:04:50 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 11:04:50 -0500
Subject: Not By Chance

Source: The National Post - Toronto, Canada

<http://tinyurl.com/8dsg8>

Thursday, December 01, 2005

Not By Chance

From bacterial propulsion systems to human DNA, evidence of intelligent design is everywhere

Stephen C. Meyer
National Post

In December 2004 New Mexico Public Television scheduled, advertised and then, under pressure, canceled a documentary explaining the scientific case for a theory of biological origins known as intelligent design.

In the same month, a renowned British philosopher, Antony Flew, made worldwide news when he repudiated a lifelong commitment to atheism, citing among other factors, evidence of intelligent design in the DNA molecule.

Also in December, the ACLU filed suit to prevent a Dover, Penn. school district from informing its students about the theory of intelligent design.

In February, The Wall Street Journal reported that an evolutionary biologist with two doctorates had been punished for publishing a peer - reviewed scientific article making a case for this same theory.

More recently, the Pope, the President of the United States and the Dalai Lama have each weigh in on the subject.

But what is this theory of intelligent design? And why does it arouse such passion and inspire such apparently determined efforts to suppress it?

According to a spate of recent media reports, intelligent design is a new "faith - based" alternative to evolution - an alternative based entirely on religion rather than scientific evidence.

As the story goes, intelligent design is just creationism repackaged by religious fundamentalists in order to circumvent a 1987 Supreme Court prohibition against teaching creationism in the public schools.

Over the last year, many major U.S. newspapers, magazines and broadcast outlets have run stories repeating this same trope.

But is it accurate?

As one of the architects of the theory of intelligent design, and the director a research center that supports the work of scientists developing the theory, I know that it isn't.

The modern theory of intelligent design was not developed in response to a legal setback for creationists in 1987. Instead, it was first formulated in the late 1970s and early 1980s by a group of scientists - Charles Thaxton, Walter Bradley, Roger Olson, and Dean Kenyon - who were trying to account for an enduring mystery of modern biology: the origin of the digital information encoded along the spine of the DNA molecule.

In the book *The Mystery of Life's Origin*, Thaxton and his colleagues first developed the idea that the information - bearing properties of DNA provided strong evidence of a prior but unspecified designing intelligence. *Mystery* was published in 1984 by a prestigious New York publisher - three years before the *Edwards v. Aguillard* decision.

Even as early the 1960s and 70s, physicists had begun to reconsider the design hypothesis. Many were impressed by the discovery that the laws and constants of physics are improbably "finely - tuned" to make life possible. As British astrophysicist Fred Hoyle put it, the fine - tuning of numerous physical parameters in the universe suggested that "a superintellect had monkeyed with physics" for our benefit.

Nevertheless, only the most committed conspiracy theorist could see in these intellectual developments a concealed legal strategy or an attempt to smuggle religion into the classroom.

But what exactly is the theory of intelligent design?

Contrary to media reports, intelligent design is not a religious - based idea, but instead an evidence - based scientific theory about life's origins - one that challenges strictly materialistic views of evolution. According to Darwinian biologists such as Oxford's Richard Dawkins, living systems "give the appearance of having been designed for a purpose." But, for modern Darwinists, that appearance of design is entirely illusory.

Why? According to neo - Darwinism, wholly undirected processes such as natural selection and random mutations are fully capable of producing the intricate designed - like structures in living systems. In their view, natural selection can mimic the powers of a designing intelligence without itself being directed by an intelligence.

In contrast, the theory of intelligent design holds that there are tell - tale features of living systems and the universe that are best explained by an intelligent cause. The theory does not challenge the idea of evolution defined as change over time, or even common ancestry, but it does dispute Darwin's idea that the cause of biological change is wholly blind and undirected.

Either life arose as the result of purely undirected material processes or a guiding intelligence played a role. Design theorists favor the latter option and argue that living organisms look designed because they really were designed.

But why do we say this? What tell - tale signs of intelligence do we see in living organisms?

Over the last 25 years, scientists have discovered an exquisite world of nanotechnology within living cells. Inside these tiny labyrinthine enclosures, scientists have found functioning turbines, miniature pumps, sliding clamps, complex circuits, rotary engines, and machines for copying, reading and editing digital information - hardly the simple "globules of plasm" envisioned by Darwin's contemporaries.

Moreover, most of these circuits and machines depend on the coordinated function of many separate parts. For example, scientists have discovered that bacterial cells are propelled by miniature rotary engines called flagellar motors that rotate at speeds up to 100,000 rpm. These engines look for all - the world as if they were designed by the Mazda corporation, with many distinct mechanical parts (made of proteins) including rotors, stators, O - rings, bushings, U - joints, and drive shafts.

Is this appearance of design merely illusory? Could natural selection have produced this appearance in a neo - Darwinian fashion one tiny incremental mutation at a time? Biochemist Michael Behe argues 'no.' He points out that the flagellar motor depends upon the coordinated function of 30 protein parts. Yet the absence of any one of these parts results in the complete loss of motor function. Remove one of the necessary proteins (as scientists can do experimentally) and the rotary motor simply doesn't work. The motor is, in Behe's terminology, "irreducibly complex."

This creates a problem for the Darwinian mechanism. Natural selection preserves or "selects" functional advantages. If a random mutation helps an organism survive, it can be preserved and passed on to the next generation. Yet, the flagellar motor has no function until after all of its 30 parts have been assembled. The 29 and 28 - part versions of this motor do not work. Thus, natural selection can "select" or preserve the motor once it has arisen as a functioning whole, but it can do nothing to help build the motor in the first place.

This leaves the origin of molecular machines like the flagellar motor unexplained by the mechanism - natural selection - that Darwin specifically proposed to replace the design hypothesis.

Is there a better alternative? Based upon our uniform and repeated experience, we know of only one type of cause that produces irreducibly complex systems, namely, intelligence. Indeed, whenever we encounter irreducibly complex systems - - such as an integrated circuit or an internal combustion engine - - and we know how they arose, invariably a designing engineer played a role.

Thus, Behe concludes - based on our knowledge of what it takes to build functionally - integrated complex systems - - that intelligent design best explains the origin of molecular machines within cells. Molecular machines appear designed because they were designed.

The strength of Behe's design argument can be judged in part by the response of his critics. After nearly ten years, they have mustered only a vague just - so story about the flagellar motor arising from a simpler subsystem of the motor - a tiny syringe - that is sometimes found in bacteria without the other parts of the flagellar motor present. Unfortunately, for advocates of this theory recent genetic studies show that the syringe arose after the flagellar motor - that if anything the syringe evolved from the motor, not the motor from the syringe.

But consider an even more fundamental argument for design. In 1953 when Watson and Crick elucidated the structure of the DNA molecule, they made a startling discovery. The structure of DNA allows it to store information in the form of a four - character digital code. Strings of precisely sequenced chemicals called nucleotide bases store and transmit the assembly instructions - - the information - for building the crucial protein molecules and machines the cell needs to survive.

Francis Crick later developed this idea with his famous "sequence hypothesis" according to which the chemical constituents in DNA function like letters in a written language or symbols in a computer code. Just as English letters may convey a particular message depending on their arrangement, so too do certain sequences of chemical bases along the spine of a DNA molecule convey precise instructions for building proteins. The arrangement of the chemical characters determines the function of the sequence as a whole. Thus, the DNA molecule has the same property of "sequence specificity" that characterizes codes and language. As Richard Dawkins has acknowledged, "the machine code of the genes is uncannily computer - like." As Bill Gates has noted, "DNA is like a computer program, but far, far more advanced than any software we've ever created."

After the early 1960s, further discoveries made clear that the digital information in DNA and RNA is only part of a complex information processing system - an advanced form of nanotechnology that both mirrors and exceeds our own in its complexity, design logic and information storage density.

Where did the digital information in the cell come from? And how did the cell's complex information processing system arise?

Today these questions lie at the heart of origin - of - life research. Clearly, the informational features of the cell at least appear designed. And to date no theory of undirected chemical evolution has explained the origin of the digital information needed to build the first living cell. Why? There is simply too much information in the cell to be explained by chance alone. And the information in DNA has also been shown to defy explanation by reference to the laws of chemistry. Saying otherwise would be like saying that a newspaper headline might arise as the result of the chemical attraction between ink and paper. Clearly "something else" is at work.

Yet, the scientists arguing for intelligent design do not do so merely because natural processes - chance, laws or the combination of the two - have failed to explain the origin of the information and information processing systems in cells. Instead, they also argue for design because we know from experience that systems possessing these features invariably arise from intelligent causes. The information on computer screen can be traced back to a user or programmer. The information in a newspaper ultimately came from a writer - from a mental, rather than a strictly material, cause. As the pioneering information theorist Henry Quastler observed, "information habitually arises from conscious activity."

This connection between information and prior intelligence enables us to detect or infer intelligent activity even from unobservable sources in the distant past. Archeologists infer ancient scribes from hieroglyphic inscriptions. SETI's search for extraterrestrial intelligence presupposes that information imbedded in electromagnetic signals from space would indicate an intelligent source. As yet, radio astronomers have not found information - bearing signals from distant star systems. But closer to home, molecular biologists have discovered information in the cell, suggesting - by the same logic that underwrites the SETI program and ordinary scientific reasoning about other informational artifacts - an intelligent source for the information in DNA.

DNA functions like a software program. We know from experience that software comes from programmers. We know generally that information - whether inscribed in hieroglyphics, written in a book or encoded in a radio signal - always arises from an intelligent source. So the discovery of information in the DNA molecule, provides strong grounds for inferring that intelligence played a role in the origin of DNA, even if we weren't there to observe the system coming into existence.

Thus, contrary to media reports, the theory of intelligent design is not based upon ignorance or religion but instead upon recent scientific discoveries and upon standard methods of scientific reasoning in which our uniform experience of cause and effect guides our inferences about what happened in the past.

Of course, many will still dismiss intelligent design as nothing but warmed over creationism or as a "religious masquerading as science". But intelligent design, unlike creationism, is not based upon the Bible. Design is an inference from biological data, not a deduction from religious authority.

Even so, the theory of intelligent design may provide support for theistic belief. But that is not grounds for dismissing it. To say otherwise confuses the evidence for a theory and its possible implications. Many scientists initially rejected the Big Bang theory because it seemed to challenge the idea of an eternally self - existent universe and pointed to the need for a transcendent cause of matter, space and time. But scientists eventually accepted the theory despite such apparently unpleasant implications because the evidence strongly supported it. Today a similar metaphysical prejudice confronts the theory of intelligent design. Nevertheless, it too must be evaluated on the basis of the evidence not our philosophical preferences or concerns about its possible religious implications. Antony Flew, the long - time atheistic philosopher who has come to accept the case for design, insists correctly that we must "follow the evidence wherever it leads."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 28](#)

UFO Over Perote Veracruz Mexico

From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 09:35:07 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 18:52:50 -0500
Subject: UFO Over Perote Veracruz Mexico

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
November 28, 2006

Source: NOTIVER www.notiver.com.mx
Date: 11.27.06

Mexico: UFO Over Perote, Veracruz

A UFO was photographed by an amateur shutterbug near Cofre de Perote shortly before it snowed. This occurred in the stretch between Los Pecados and Conejos.

This is not the first time that UFOs have been reported in this region. According to experts, the area is a magical one and things considered strange to some - and extraterrestrial to others - have always been seen.

The woman, who submitted the photograph to NOTIVER via e-mail - Olga Lidia - said that she took the picture using a 3.2 megapixel Powershot and that the time was 17:27 hours on November 21.

She says that she saw the photo and was startled to see cigar-shaped, staggered UFOs known as "motherships" to experts in ufology.

Frequent sightings have been reported in the vicinity of Cofre de Perote, such as the one that took place on April 16, 2001 at 2:00 a.m., involving three witnesses who were hunting in the surrounding woods. Another UFO sighting occurred on September 22, 2003 at 12:25 a.m.

Even Fidel Herrera Beltr=Eln, governor of Veracruz, saw a formation of UFOs in 2005 and was moved to say "the Martians are already here." The video of this sighting, which occurred in broad daylight, can be found on the Internet.

It should also be mentioned that orbs were seen at a church near Cordoba in 1998 and that Ms. Edith Terrazas photographed a red sphere in 2006.

Translation (c) 2006, S. Corrales, IHU.
Special thanks to Ana Luisa Cid and A. Calcaneo

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 28](#)

Re: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark! - Clark

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 09:24:33 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 18:54:28 -0500
Subject: Re: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark! - Clark

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 09:33:56 -0600
>Subject: Re: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark!

>>From: Loren Coleman <lcoleman.nul>
>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 09:21:07 -0400
>>Subject: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark!

>>Jerome Clark's birthday is November 27th.

>Happy birthday to you
>And to John Rimmer, too...
>When his 'bleak' day rolls 'round at last...
>Still, Happy Birthday to you!

Thanks for the kind greetings, everybody. I survived the day - marking, groan, my 60th year on earth - and now it's on to whatever awaits beyond.

And remember, folks: John Rimmer on August 22!

Cheers,

Jerry Clark

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 28](#)

Re: Is John A. Keel A "Demonologist"? - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 11:46:04 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 18:56:25 -0500
Subject: Re: Is John A. Keel A "Demonologist"? - Balaskas

>From: Loren Coleman <lcoleman.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 10:31:11 -0400
>Subject: Is John A. Keel A "Demonologist"?

>John Frick writes on the Mothman Lives e-mail List:

>>As far as Keel being a demonologist. He really isn't as far as I
>>know. Keel has mentioned that the UFO and MIB phenomena is tied
>>into demonology, but I've never read him claim to be an actual
>>demonologist. From all the reading I have done on Keel he seemed
>>to think demons came from the same source as ghosts and UFOs.

>"Ufology is just another name for demonology," John Keel told
>me, a week before the September 11th attack on the World Trade
>Center, which occurred just a couple of miles from where he
>lives.

<snip>

Hi Everyone!

Last week I was contacted by a MUFON member from the greater Toronto region about another person who says is troubled by ongoing "alien abduction" experiences and believes he has an "alien implant" in his toe nail. This is just one of many who have contacted me directly after hearing my name on the radio or seeing it on the Internet. From my own experiences visiting many monasteries and talking with the abbots and theologians about spiritual matters, what I have heard from these tormented "abductees" confirms for me the reality of the continuing spiritual warfare on Earth between the forces of light and darkness.

What we ufologists interpret as evidence of ET visitation is really no different than the demonic encounters or actions of the gods that are common in nearly all past cultures which are found in vast amounts in written historical accounts and oral traditions. Ufologists like to believe otherwise, but when the activities of these alleged ET beings encountered by this generation are identical to such accounts of demons and are inconsistent with what one would expect of alien astronaut explorers and scientists (especially when these alleged ET visitors know more about us and our world than we do!), then it is not at all unreasonable to conclude that these beings are not extraterrestrials but what Keels calls ultraterrestrials - a crypto-intelligence or nonhuman entities sharing this world and our reality.

Yes, UFOs do have more than one explanation but they cannot be studied in isolation. It is through our history, including our religious and scientific knowledge, that I think will lead to a fuller understanding of this still mysterious phenomenon.

The previous Saturday I attended the first public screening of the new UFO film documentary 'UFOs, Angels and Gods' by producer Ali Siadatan who interviewed many local area ufologists, including me, as well as many other "experts" on the subject of UFOs and religion such as Dr. Barry Downing, Dr. David Jacobs,

Dr. Chuck Missler and 7th degree Black Belt Grandmaster John Oliver Peel who are in this documentary. I told Ali that although I had some reservations about the modern day evidence presented in his documentary, the timing of this film could not have come at a better time in light of the National Geographic TV documentary about the 'Starchild Skull', which Lloyd Pye suspects is an alien/human hybrid. Ali's documentary identifies just who these "aliens" are that can interbreed with humans (another reason in support that these extraterrestrials are in fact ultraterrestrials, especially if humans were created in their image).

Last Thursday night at an Orthodox church I participated in an adult baptism ceremony which involved an exorcism. I reminded my new godson who we are (the first two words of the universal 'Lord's Prayer' we all once recited at the start of classes at school says it all) and of the protection we have by the Creator of all things visible and invisible.

>Jerome Clark has noted that Keel's speculations placed him
>closer to being an occultist, and has written that Keel is
>"nothing so much as a demonologist."

>Clark goes on: "Whereas traditional ufologists took a relatively
>conservative approach, seeking testimony from trained observers
>and putting a premium on radar/visual and multiply-witnessed
>sightings, and viewed exotic claims with suspicion, Keel
>vigorously championed everything from Mothman to contactees.

>Many ufologists doubted that the former a monstrous birdlike
>entity reported over a several month period by persons living
>along the Ohio River had anything to do with UFOs, and they had
>long ranked the latter (individuals who claimed ongoing
>communications with friendly extraterrestrials) among the
>crooked and the crazy.

>Keel, however, argued that 'ultraterrestrials' supernatural
>'transmogrifications' of paranormal energy from an unimaginable
>other reality have been pestering, frightening, manipulating,
>and even destroying human beings from the beginning of mankind=B9s
>history.'

<snip>

The extraterrestrial hypothesis as an explanation for UFOs and their occupants is no less speculative than what Keel believes. I would argue that there is much more physical evidence, including witness testimonies by trained and credible witnesses, in support of Keel's "supernatural" beliefs than the currently accepted beliefs of mainstream ufologists.

If ufology ever hopes to explain and understand UFOs and truly wants to know the truth, we should be prepared to set aside our personal beliefs and biases and also consider what others, from our parents and all those before them, had accepted as fact. Ufology has made many direct by very dubious connections between UFOs and alien abductions, crop circles and animal mutilations, to name a few even when UFOs were not even seen or reported. The evidence connecting UFOs and ultraterrestrials or demons is much more compelling.

Nick Balaskas

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 28](#)

Re: Not By Chance - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 17:23:29 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 19:00:25 -0500
Subject: Re: Not By Chance - Dickenson

>Source: The National Post - Toronto, Canada

><http://tinyurl.com/8dsq8>

>Thursday, December 01, 2005

>Not By Chance

<snip>

>In December 2004 New Mexico Public Television scheduled,
>advertised and then, under pressure, canceled a documentary
>explaining the scientific case for a theory of biological
>origins known as intelligent design.

<snip>

>But what is this theory of intelligent design? And why does it
>arouse such passion and inspire such apparently determined
>efforts to suppress it?

<snip>

Hi List,

Just reading a good book on scientific observation of animal behavior and a phrase reminded me of this 'intelligent design' controversy - "Do they mean 'supernatural' when they use the word 'instinct'? - Or do they just mean 'an unknown mechanism'?"

In the same pragmatic way Hoyle and Wickramasinghe checked the figures and did the maths, with this result:

[Extract Begins]

"The outstanding question... 2000 or more enzymes are crucial across a wide spectrum of [Earth] life... the chance of obtaining the necessary total of 2000 enzymes by randomly assembling amino acid chains is... p to 1 against, with p minimally an enormous superastronomical number equal to $10^{40,000}$. (1 followed by 40,000 zeros).

The odds ... are only for enzymes ... if all other relevant molecules for life are also taken account of in our calculation the situation for conventional biology becomes ... intrinsically insuperable.

[Extract Ends]

They seemed forced to a maybe temporary conclusion that there's a possibility of a 'cosmic intelligence' on the loose.

The full quote and a link to Stuart Miller's Wickramasinghe interview is at:

<http://tinyurl.com/yda592>

Sorry, you'll have to use that interview link later because Phenomena Magazine site is showing the 'server test page' just now; when it's back the direct interview link is:

<http://tinyurl.com/ppvqx>

An interesting point in that interview - Stuart elicited the fact that Hoyle and Wickramasinghe came under (maybe serious) pressure to 'cease & desist' not only from colleagues but apparently by some shadowy 'agency'. Go find for 'police'.

That reads very much like 'government' to me - and maybe to you also.

Thing is - why?

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 28](#)

Re: Not By Chance - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 14:58:51 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 19:05:55 -0500
Subject: Re: Not By Chance - Shell

On Nov 28, 2006, at 11:04 AM, UFO UpDates - Toronto posted:

>Source: The National Post - Toronto, Canada

><http://tinyurl.com/8dsq8>

>Thursday, December 01, 2005

>Not By Chance

<snip>

>the origin of the digital
>information encoded along the spine of the DNA molecule.

Just to make a point of correct terminology, the term "digital" as we normally use it refers to a binary code system. Ones and zeros. On's and off's. DNA uses four code molecules to encode its sequences, so it is not binary, but quaternary.

A digital bit can be on or off, one or zero, but a code location on the DNA helix can have one of four states, depending on which amino acid is coupled to it.

That's my understanding, anyway. Am I right?

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 15:32:39 +1000
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 07:22:29 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 08:17:02 -0500
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <bourdais.gildas.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2006 10:49:08 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>One question which intrigued me about the gouge is that Major
>>Marcel did not notice it when he inspected the site on the
>>Monday.

>>So, I submit another idea. Could it be that the gouge was made
>>later by the soldiers when cleaning the site? Perhaps they were
>>told to dig and remove the soil at the point of impact, in order
>>to erase any evidence of it?

>Gildas,

>My take on this:

>I don't think there was a deep gouge. I have the impression that
>it was more of a superficial scar where the grass was gone. Mack
>Brazel said it vanished in a couple of years as the grass grew
>back, so it could not have been deep. Maybe it was more of a
>surface scrape, with just the grass and topsoil removed.

>I have the impression of something dragging or being dragged
>along the ground, tearing up the grass in the process. I don't
>think anything Brazel found caused this.

>I'm sure extensive photographic documentation of the site and
>recovery exists somewhere in a government archive.

Bob, List

Another possibility along these lines is Mack Brazel said
the sheep wouldn't cross or go anywhere near the debris.
Could that mean there were properties in the debris, if
it kept the sheep away, could have killed off any grass?
That could explain the explosion in mid-air and the gouge,
maybe done by the clean-up crew?

Regards,

William

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 17:49:39 +1000
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 07:26:32 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

List, Kevin,

Why, confronted with a rational scenario, and an animated response from many List members willing to discuss Ed's site scenario, does Kevin refuse to even entertain Ed's argument?

Right from the start Kevin has defended some cause destructive to valid discussion.

Why did/does he continue to vehemently confuse the issue?

Others seem to get it, but he jumps from Debris Field to Kaufman, who one minute is quoted, the next he's got a made up story.

Ms. Kirsten had a valid point re the coyote hole, but again Kevin jumped!

Ed has been to the site recently and has also done the hard yards Kevin did.

With the greatest respect, this negative approach is a little disconcerting. Is there any reason why you are trying to kill this site scenario, or is it just a personality clash complete with snide comments?

Regards,

William

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

Chris Rutkowski Honoured By University

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 07:48:30 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 07:48:30 -0500
Subject: Chris Rutkowski Honoured By University

Source: CJOB 680 AM - Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

<http://tinyurl.com/vnldg>

November 28th 2006

Ufologist Honoured
CJOB's Lorne Edwards

A man whose name has become synonymous with UFOs in Manitoba has received a prestigious honour from his employer.

The University of Manitoba has presented its Outreach Award to Chris Rutkowski as well as 12 other Faculty and Staff:

Rutkowski was recognized for sharing his knowledge of astronomy, science and UFOs... with the community.

Other winners include Professor Marilyn Sequire in the Faculty of Nursing, Dr. Terry Janzen of the Faculty of Linguistics, and Dr. Elizabeth Comack in the Department of Sociology.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

Roscommon UFOs Cause Bewilderment

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 07:53:37 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 07:53:37 -0500
Subject: Roscommon UFOs Cause Bewilderment

Source: The Athlone Advertiser - Athlone, Westmeath, Ireland

<http://www.athloneadvertiser.ie/index.php?aid=2960>

29/11/2006

Roscommon UFOs Cause Bewilderment
By Mary Mullins

An advertisement for a Roscommon based company in the Association of Garda Sergeants and Inspectors (AGES) annual diary has caused much bemusement throughout the country.

Appearing near ads for a golf course and concrete supplier is one for the UFO Society of Ireland, based in Kiltycreighton, Boyle, Co Roscommon.

It reads: "UFO Society of Ireland - Please contact Betty Meyler in relation to any unusual sightings that are reported." That is followed by a landline phone number, a mobile phone number, email address, web address, and postal address.

So forget the X-Files' Mulder and Scully, get calling.

According to the society's website www.ufosocietyireland.com :

"The UFO Society of Ireland was formed for the purpose of bringing together like-minded people with an interest in the subject of UFOs, whether it be sightings, encounters, or just merely curiosity. Many people now think ... "How can we be the only intelligent life form in the whole Universe?" We know that...They are out there! and we are not alone!"

The site also notes that the society has members scattered throughout the world. "We now have members throughout Ireland as well as in Spain, Netherlands, Costa Rica, and the United States of America."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of [Http://uforeview.net](http://uforeview.net) for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

UFO Nut [Lazar] Sells Spy Poison Online

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:00:19 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:00:19 -0500
Subject: UFO Nut [Lazar] Sells Spy Poison Online

Source: Noah Shachtman's DefenseTech.Org - New York, New York, USA

<http://www.defensetech.org/archives/003017.html>

11/28/06

UFO Nut Sells Spy Poison Online

"The radioactive material that killed a former Russian spy in Britain can be bought on the Internet for \$69," Information Week is reporting.

Polonium-210, which experts say is many times more deadly than cyanide, can be bought legally through United Nuclear Scientific Supplies, a mail-order company that sells through the Web, based in Sandia Park, N.M. Chemical companies sell the Polonium-210 legally for industrial use, such as removing static electricity from machinery. United Nuclear claims that it's "currently the only legal Alpha source available without a license."

The type of Polonium-210 sold emits alpha radiation, which can't penetrate the skin, but is deadly if swallowed, depending on the amount ingested. The Polonium available on United Nuclear's site can be purchased without a license because the level of radioactivity, 0.1 microcurie, does not pose a danger, a spokesman for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission said.

"At that level, it's exempt from licenses," NRC spokesman David McIntyre said. "At any exempt quantity, it's not considered a health hazard."

Such small amounts of Polonium could be used to calibrate devices used to detect radiation, McIntyre said. If used for that purpose, the material would remain in its sealed container, and never actually handled.

United Nuclear is run by Bob Lazar, who, some 20 years ago, claimed to have worked on alien spaceships on a secret military base in Nevada... [That'd be Area 51 --ed.]

In April, United Nuclear was ordered by the Department of Justice to stop selling chemicals that it claimed could be used to make explosives, the Albuquerque Journal reported. At the time, Lazar said he was fighting the legal challenge.

On the site, United Nuclear says it will not sell anything illegal, including explosives or the materials to make explosives. "Because our products can be potentially hazardous in the wrong hands, we will occasionally terminate and refund orders, if we feel you are a juvenile posing as an adult, inexperienced with the materials ordered, or using our products to make any sort of explosive device," the company says.

Wired ran a story about Lazar and other science salesmen a few months back. Somehow, the Area 51 stuff never made it into the

piece.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

Lake Erie Hot Spot For UFO Sightings?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:17:43 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:17:43 -0500
Subject: Lake Erie Hot Spot For UFO Sightings?

Source: NBC24-TV - Toledo, Ohio, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/ygctlp>

11/27/06

[Video Report here: <http://tinyurl.com/uuzec>]

Lake Erie: Hot Spot for UFO Sightings?

(On The Shores of Lake Erie, OH) -- It's become known as the "Lake Erie 'UFO' phenomenon."

Recent sightings of unidentified objects are sparking new interest in the subject. So NBC24 launched a probe into the area's close encounters. Whether you're a skeptic, or a sworn believer, you'll want to see what we found.

Ufologist Aaron Clark is among the area's top investigators. He showed NBC24 video footage of a recent sighting - a dark object that appears to dart back and forth in the sky.

"It's very strange as it moves," Clarks tells NBC24. 'It almost looks like it's changing shape."

Clark says efforts to identify another glowing object have been unsuccessful.

"It's this very strange odd shaped, almost boomerang-shaped object that flies in front of the moon. It's unknown. All we have is the video. It's not anything that's a conventional type of aircraft."

Clark says Ohio's Lake Erie coastline is is a well known hot spot for UFO sightings.

Ufologist Richard Lee has been examining reports for decades.

"Ohio's been famous for the possibility of the connection of the UFO subject from way back in the 1950s," he told NBC24 recently during a meeting of Ufologists.

"This is the oldest continuously operating group as far as we know in the world."

Lee admits most of the incidents the Cleveland Ufology Project has investigated are of this world.

"It might be atmospheric, or it might be miss-identified."

But the trained UFO investigator says there are incidents that still can't be unexplained.

Lee says a police chase with a UFO depicted in the 1970s Steven Spielberg film "Close Encounters of the Third Kind" was inspired by an actual event that happened right here in Ohio. The chase started on the morning of April 17, 1966.

Several police crews followed an object they could not identify. Lee says the deputy who first encountered the object described what he saw.

"We usually say, 'how big was it at arms length?' He said it was like a house 50-feet over your head, and that started the chase that ended up in Pennsylvania they exceeded 100 miles an hour in part of the pursuit."

Police followed it into Pennsylvania and eventually ran out of gas. It was a sighting that looked like a movie scene that make Clark a believer.

"It was a large object bigger than a house that had three rows of white lights and it was rotating about 500-feet away," he says.

Nearly 22 years later, in 1988, the Coast Guard responded to a call of a sighting. NBC24 confirmed a Coast Guard report, in which crews described an unidentified large object, appeared to land on the Lake Erie ice, before it vanished.

"Unexplained. It's like there's no definitive way to define what the phenomenon was." Lee says. "It's just one of those mysteries."

If UFOs are watching over Lake Erie, the real mystery is why? Area Ufologists have heard several theories over the years.

"The lake is being monitored by somebody from elsewhere possibly. people think there are underwater bases," Clark says.

Click here to see video of recent sightings and to report an incident:

<http://www.clevelandufo.com/sightings.html>

Rob Packard

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

Natural Or Supernatural? [was: Is John A. Keel A

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:00:29 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:26:55 -0500
Subject: Natural Or Supernatural? [was: Is John A. Keel A

>From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 11:46:04 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
>Subject: Re: Is John A. Keel A "Demonologist"?

>The extraterrestrial hypothesis as an explanation for UFOs and
>their occupants is no less speculative that what Keel believes.
>I would argue that there is much more physical evidence,
>including witness testimonies by trained and credible witnesses,
>in support of Keel's "supernatural" beliefs than the currently
>accepted beliefs of mainstream ufologists.

>If ufology ever hopes to explain and understand UFOs and truly
>wants to know the truth, we should be prepared to set aside our
>our personal beliefs and biases and also consider what others,
>from our parents and all those before them, had accepted as
>fact. Ufology has made many direct by very dubious connections
>between UFOs and alien abductions, crop circles and animal
>mutilations, to name a few even when UFOs were not even seen or
>reported. The evidence connecting UFOs and ultraterrestrials or
>demons is much more compelling.

Excellent post, Nick! This needed saying. And it needs saying
over and over again.

Maybe we need new terminology, since "angels", "demons", etc.,
carry such heavy religious connotations. I don't for a minute
believe these dudes are supernatural.

In fact, I don't believe there is "supernatural" anything. All
is part of nature. Just because we don't understand something is
no reason to believe it violates any natural laws. It just means
we don't know or understand all the laws yet.

We are encountering some highly advanced technology, and
anything far in advance of us will appear to be magic.

Many things we use daily today would have appeared to be
supernatural 100 years ago.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:08:05 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:29:52 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Shell

>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 15:32:39 +1000
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>Bob, List,

>Another possibility along these lines is Mack Brazel said
>the sheep wouldn't cross or go anywhere near the debris.
>Could that mean there were properties in the debris, if
>it kept the sheep away, could have killed off any grass?
>That could explain the explosion in mid-air and the gouge,
>maybe done by the clean-up crew?

It could have just smelled bad. Sheep have a very keen sense of smell.

So far as I remember, no one has said anything about smell. This stuff must have smelled like something. I wonder if Jess Marcel would remember.

I think it is quite possible that the cleanup crew created the "gouge", now that I have thought about it some more. They would have almost had to if tiny pieces of debris were in the grass.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

Re: UFO Nut [Lazar] Sells Spy Poison Online - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:20:51 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 09:03:55 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Nut [Lazar] Sells Spy Poison Online - Shell

On Nov 29, 2006, at 8:00 AM, UFO UpDates - Toronto wrote:

>FF_192_chem1_f.jpgPolonium-210, which experts say is many times
>more deadly than cyanide, can be bought legally through United
>Nuclear Scientific Supplies, a mail-order company that sells
>through the Web, based in Sandia Park, N.M. Chemical companies
>sell the Polonium-210 legally for industrial use, such as
>removing static electricity from machinery. United Nuclear
>claims that it's "currently the only legal Alpha source
>available without a license."

This is nonsense.

I have Polonium 210 here in my house. Almost every photographer with a darkroom will have it. It is used in Staticmaster anti-static brushes, which have been sold since the 70s.

No license is needed to buy them, and United Nuclear Supply is not involved in their production. I could buy several of them, or the refill cartridges, and take out the Polonium elements and grind into powder if I wanted to poison someone.

<http://www.2spi.com/catalog/photo/statmaster.shtml>

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

Re: Not By Chance - Moller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 15:08:21 +0000 (GMT)
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 12:18:30 -0500
Subject: Re: Not By Chance - Moller

>From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 17:23:29 -0000
>Subject: Re: Not By Chance

>>Source: The National Post - Toronto, Canada

>><http://tinyurl.com/8dsq8>

>>Thursday, December 01, 2005

>>Not By Chance

><snip>

>>But what is this theory of intelligent design? And why does
>>t rouse such passion and inspire such apparently determined
>>efforts to suppress it?

><snip>

>Just reading a good book on scientific observation of animal
>behavior and a phrase reminded me of this 'intelligent design'
>controversy - "Do they mean 'supernatural' when they use the
>word 'instinct'? - Or do they just mean 'an unknown
>mechanism'?"

>In the same pragmatic way Hoyle and Wickramasinghe checked the
>figures and did the maths, with this result:

>[Extract Begins]

>"The outstanding question... 2000 or more enzymes are crucial
>across a wide spectrum of [Earth] life... the chance of
>obtaining the necessary total of 2000 enzymes by randomly
>assembling amino acid chains is... p to 1 against, with p
>minimally an enormous superastronomical number equal to 10
>^40,000. (1 followed by 40,000 zeros).

>The odds ... are only for enzymes ... if all other relevant
>molecules for life are also taken account of in our
>calculation the situation for conventional biology becomes ...
>intrinsically insuperable.

>[Extract Ends]

>They seemed forced to a maybe temporary conclusion that
>there's a possibility of a 'cosmic intelligence' on the loose.

>The full quote and a link to Stuart Miller's Wickramasinghe
>interview is at:

><http://tinyurl.com/yda592>

>Sorry, you'll have to use that interview link later because
>Phenomena Magazine site is showing the 'server test page' just
>now; when it's back the direct interview link is:

><http://tinyurl.com/ppvqx>

>An interesting point in that interview - Stuart elicited the
>fact that Hoyle and came under (maybe serious)
>pressure to 'cease & desist' not only from colleagues but
>apparently by some shadowy 'agency'. Go find for 'police'.

>That reads very much like 'government' to me - and maybe to
>you also.

>Thing is - why?

Hi Ray,

At this moment in time it appears that Phenomena magazine's web site has come down. Anyway, the Wickramasinghe interview was originally published in UFO Review issue 6. This link should take you straight to that issue and it's the first interview in the edition:

<http://www.uforeview.net/documents/issue%206.pdf>

or alternatively, got to:

<http://www.uforeview.net>

key "Previous Issues" button top right, and download issue 6.

While I am loathe to subscribe to a conspiricist slant to this, I remember talking to Professor Wickramasinghe quite clearly and I was surprised by how circumspect he became when I started asking him about what pressure he may have come under while propogating his theories. It was as much what he didn't say as what he did and he became very uneasy. It should also be mentioned that he very obviously, at that time, found the criticism to be very painful and hurtful and now feels a great sense of personal validation as his theories have gradually become more widely and generally accepted within science.

He stated unequivocably that he believed in Intelligent Design and by that he meant in the concept of another, higher form of intelligent life. He was also emphatic about life on Mars. For such an eminent scientist, he surprised me at how far he was prepared to stick his neck out.

He was a great but under-appreciated friend of Ufology and I wish him well in his retirment.

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 29](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 10:32:51 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 12:22:01 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 17:49:39 +1000
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>List, Kevin,

>Why, confronted with a rational scenario, and an animated
>response from many List members willing to discuss Ed's site
>scenario, does Kevin refuse to even entertain Ed's argument?

Oh, but I do entertain Ed's argument. The problem is that we need all the facts. For example, Ed calls his witness an MP, but according to the documentation, the man was in Squadron T which was not an MP unit. This MP tells us that the aliens have six fingers and that the autopsy film is real because the alien he saw looks like the one in the film. I have a problem with that.

But mostly, the discussion that I've been adding to refers to the Debris Field specifically and not these other multiple sites scattered around southern New Mexico.

>Right from the start Kevin has defended some cause destructive
>to valid discussion.

How is attempting to make sure that the facts on the table destructive to valid discussion? It would seem to me that we'd want the terms defined, the locations identified and the players named.

>Why did/does he continue to vehemently confuse the issue?

I'm thinking here that I misunderstood Ed in the beginning. He was talking about another site some 2.5 miles from the Debris Field and that I thought he meant the Debris Field. My confusion then. But then we have the attacks on the Debris Field, the only location to which first-hand witnesses have taken us. Others seem to want to dismiss this out of hand by making up reasons to reject this.

>Others seem to get it, but he jumps from Debris Field to
>Kaufman, who one minute is quoted, the next he's got a made up
>story.

We have to separate the Debris Field from the one or two other sites that Ed is talking about. Second, I said that the MP's story was in conflict with others and Ed asked for examples. I gave him a couple including Kaufmann because Ed seemed to think that Kaufmann could add something to the discussion. I tried to make it clear (and you seem to have understood it) that Kaufmann was unreliable but what he told us was in conflict with what the MP told us, a fact that Ed doesn't seem to get.

>Ms. Kasten had a valid point re the coyote hole, but again
>Kevin jumped!

Here, I'm afraid that she doesn't have a valid point. A coyote hole simply doesn't fit the description of the gouge. Instead of listening to this, she first believes that the information came

from Jesse Marcel Jr., then decides that Bill Brazel was a young kid, and finally tells us he would be too busy drinking beer and chasing women to have gotten a good or accurate look at the Debris Field. Had her assessment made sense in the context of what we had heard from others, then that would have been one thing but when I suggested that the coyote hole, in the context of the discussion didn't make sense and the descriptions by the eyewitnesses tended to rule that out, she ignored it.

>Ed has been to the site recently and has also done the hard
>yards Kevin did.

Ed has been to a site that was described to him by a man who claims to be an MP but who clearly was not. Ed is working with those descriptions and may have found the location described, but that doesn't tell us much and, more importantly, he has but a single witness to it.

On the other hand, the Debris Field that some wish to dismiss so casually, was shown to me by more than one witness. It was described by others. And yes, I understand now that the Debris Field is not the location to which Ed refers.

>With the greatest respect, this negative approach is a little
>disconcerting. Is there any reason why you are trying to kill
>this site scenario, or is it just a personality clash complete
>with snide comments?

No, it's that I'm annoyed with having the same conversations over and over. I'm annoyed with having the goal posts moved each time I score a point and I'm annoyed that some just invent answers to make their points valid. Take Kathy Kasten (and again the same example), she decided, with no evidence that (a) Bill Brazel was a kid when the Debris Field was found so that we could ignore his description and then (b) we could ignore Bill Brazel because he was a 20-year old punk chasing women and drinking beer. Neither assumption was valid.

So, let's return to facts and go from there.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

Re: Not By Chance - Tarbell

From: Michael Tarbell <mtarbell.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 09:33:04 -0700
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 07:15:13 -0500
Subject: Re: Not By Chance - Tarbell

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 14:58:51 -0500
>Subject: Re: Not By Chance

>On Nov 28, 2006, at 11:04 AM, UFO UpDates - Toronto posted:

>>Source: The National Post - Toronto, Canada

>><http://tinyurl.com/8dsq8>

>>Thursday, December 01, 2005

>>Not By Chance

><snip>

>>the origin of the digital
>>information encoded along the spine of the DNA molecule.

>Just to make a point of correct terminology, the term "digital"
>as we normally use it refers to a binary code system. Ones and
>zeros. Ons and offs. DNA uses four code molecules to encode its
>sequences, so it is not binary, but quaternary.

>A digital bit can be on or off, one or zero, but a code location
>on the DNA helix can have one of four states, depending on which
>amino acid is coupled to it.

>That's my understanding, anyway. Am I right?

I think the quaternary aspect of DNA is correct, but I also think it should still be considered a digital system, since it is defined by a finite number of discrete states. The information in the DNA sequence can be expressed equally well as a binary number, it would just take a longer sequence of characters.

Mike

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Clark

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 11:49:20 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 07:18:08 -0500
Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Clark

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:00:29 -0500
>Subject: Natural Or Supernatural? [was: Is John A. Keel A "Demonologist"?]

>>From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 11:46:04 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
>>Subject: Re: Is John A. Keel A "Demonologist"?

>>The extraterrestrial hypothesis as an explanation for UFOs and
>>their occupants is no less speculative than what Keel believes.
>>I would argue that there is much more physical evidence,
>>including witness testimonies by trained and credible witnesses,
>>in support of Keel's "supernatural" beliefs than the currently
>>accepted beliefs of mainstream ufologists.

>Excellent post, Nick! This needed saying. And it needs saying
>over and over again.

I confess that remarks like the above almost make me ill, or at least cause me to consider joining CSICOP (perhaps comparable afflictions). They speak to my worst fears about the intellectual facilities and critical faculties of many individuals who would like to think of themselves as colleagues of ufology's more intellectually restrained representatives.

Rather than engage in pointless dispute, I offer the following for those who don't know the background to this sort of brain fog. This is excerpted from the entry "Paranormal Theories About UFOs," in my UFO Encyclopedia, 2nd ed: The Phenomenon from the Beginning (Omnigraphics, 1998), specifically pp. 699- 702. For reasons of succinctness and readability, I have snipped source citations:

The demonologists

Under [Charles] Bowen's editorship, with [Gordon] Creighton coeditor in all but name, [Flying Saucer Review] pushed a demonological interpretation of the UFO phenomenon. The magazine continued to publish numerous well-documented case studies and thoughtful analytical pieces, and not all - or even most - contributors or readers agreed with the new emphasis on sinister occult explanatory schemes. Still, FSR's new direction was apparent even to the most casual reader. More and more its pages were filled with reports of the highest strangeness and commentary of sometimes marked eccentricity.

There could be no doubt that extreme experiential claims had become a significant element in UFO reporting. Even conservative UFO periodicals, no longer able to ignore them, were now treating seriously accounts of abductions, bizarre entities, and extended human-alien interactions. In the 1950s, when matters seemed more simple, it was usually fairly easy to separate the liars from the honestly puzzled; for one thing, the former tended to tell the wilder stories. Now, however, even patently sane and honest individuals were recounting experiences of a surreal character - experiences that seemed to resist

incorporation into any imaginable version of the ETH.

FSR decided that the high-strangeness episodes were far more important than any other, including those - multiple- witness sightings, radar/visual incidents, close encounters of the second kind - traditionally seen as central to the making of the case for UFOs as genuinely anomalous. As Bowen would remark years later, the magazine "attempted to start the shift from the study of the 'machines' to a study of the occupant reports, with no punches pulled." Because of the bizarre character of some of these reports, he, Creighton, and other writers dismissed the ETH as inadequate and naive. Having satisfied themselves on this score, they felt free to view all that passed before their eyes through the lens of the supernatural.

Bowen expressed the conviction that good and evil entities "from universes parallel with ours but with a different time stream" are using the earth as a battlefield, all the while shrouding themselves in a veil of illusion in order to confuse us as to their true nature and intention. "Radiation" emitted by these manifestations generates hallucinations in the brains of human observers. "Psychic- type projections" also are used to mislead witnesses. Those FSR contributors who addressed the subject had no doubt which faction held the upper hand: the bad guys.

By now even Loch Ness' fabled monsters had entered into the discussion. Malcolm Dickson, Kenneth C. Bayman, and F. W. Holiday cited scriptural authority for the proposition that the monsters are demonic serpents linked in some way with flying saucers. Holiday, who had spent several years investigating reports of the monsters and had even written a book on them (at which point he thought of the creatures as "gigantic mollusks"), became convinced that Ness harbored dragons in the literal sense. Eventually, he enlisted the services of an eccentric Anglican clergyman, the Rev. Dr. Donald Omand, who exorcized the loch on the evening of June 2, 1973. Soon afterwards Holiday, still at the loch, had frightening paranormal experiences, including an encounter with a stranger he took to be one of the men in black. He chronicled these matters at length in FSR articles. His book *The Dragon and the Disc*, published that same year, professed to uncover evidence that Bronze Age peoples knew of UFOs and understood water serpents and aerial anomalies to be "parts of a whole, representing the satanic and the sublime."

FSR assistant editor Dan Lloyd (who would eventually leave the magazine to edit an occult journal celebrating the Austrian metaphysician/visionary Rudolf Steiner) warned that Satan himself is behind the UFO phenomenon. His legions are here to cripple humanity's spiritual evolution. By fostering a false belief in extraterrestrial visitation, a materialistic concept, they are encouraging a "one-sided development of man's intellect.... There could be no greater distortion of what is actually happening at the present time in man's relation to the spiritual world than to spread the delusion that physical machines are coming to earth with physical beings from outer space."

To Creighton it was obvious that (his italics) "Demons... are here already in immense strength." Cataclysmic events will befall the planet "before the close of this century," and soon human beings will "see The Four Horsemen." Demonic entities are here to select those few people whose genetic stock is worth preserving. Meanwhile, entire governments and nations are telepathically controlled puppets of these sinister forces, which also direct individuals to commit acts of "senseless, irrational violence.... For the great mass of mankind who are not - and by their very nature cannot be - awake, events must probably take their course."

It must be stressed that these peculiar beliefs - which represent a flight from reason by virtually any definition - were being expressed not in a fundamentalist Christian publication (where the equation of UFOs and the paranormal with demons has long been an article of faith) but in a magazine then seen as the world's leading journal of ufology....

Demons in spacesuits

Bowen and Creighton were not the only commentators who demonized ufology. Neither ever wrote a book... and neither contributed to any periodical but their own; thus their views directly reached

only those who read FSR. John Keel, however, wrote not only for FSR but for a variety of UFO, psychic, and pulp magazines. He also produced a number of popular books. Beyond that he had one additional claim to attention: personal experience.

...He asserted that on more than one occasion he himself had seen UFOs, MIB, and apparitions. He also said he frequently spoke on the telephone with an entity, "Mr. Apol," whom he thanked on the dedication page of his 1971 book *Our Haunted Planet*. Keel even claimed (privately and, much later, publicly) that "I could actually control UFO events." Once, he said, he conjured up a pseudo-theory that some aliens have gills. Not long afterwards, according to Keel, someone reported an encounter with just such an entity.

Like Creighton, Keel made no effort to conceal his demonological obsessions. He was, in fact, disarmingly frank on the subject:

"The Devil's emissaries of yesterday have been replaced by the mysterious 'men in black.' The quasi-angels of Biblical times have become magnificent spacemen. The demons, devils, and false angels were recognized as liars and plunderers by early man. These same impostors now appear as long-haired Venusians."

Keel also acknowledged his debt to [American occult theorist N. Meade] Layne, who he declared "had worked it all out in the early 1950s, but nobody would listen to him." Layne's "etheric world" and Keel's "superspectrum" are one and the same. Yet, though he did not take specific note of the fact, Keel departed from Layne in one important regard: ... Layne considered the etherians to be wise and benign on the whole; only astrals, who reside in a less advanced place on the vibrational spectrum, harbored ill intentions. But Keel's "ultraterrestrials," like the evil critters they are, without exception behave abominably. They cynically manipulate the human race and individual human beings alike. They "can make us see whatever they want us to see and remember only what they want us to remember." As he put it in a particularly ripe paragraph:

"We are like ants, trying to view reality with very limited perceptive equipment and then basing our theologies and philosophies on what are essentially misperceptions. The real problem is that there is a much larger reality around us that we cannot see but only sense. While we grovel on our way to the 21st Century, someone or some thing is watching with amusement.... We are biochemical robots helplessly controlled by forces that can scramble our brains, destroy our memories and use us in any way they see fit. They have been doing it to us forever."

In Keel's revisionist history ultraterrestrials "posing as gods and superkings" once ruled the earth, but when democracy became a force in human affairs, these "gods" and their descendants (royal families whose ancestors had mated with ultraterrestrials in human guise) lost their power and authority. Ever since then the ultraterrestrials have waged war on *Homo sapiens*. They have generated religions, cults, and secret societies, intervened in the lives of influential historical figures (Thomas Jefferson and Malcolm X among others) at crucial moments, and otherwise directed human life to serve their ends. God himself is an ultraterrestrial dwelling in the superspectrum.

Keel kept his grander notions - those that did not speak directly to the UFO phenomenon - out of FSR's pages, but the articles he published in that magazine from 1967 onward argued for a radical new ufology unlike any UFO "buffs" and "cultists" (as Keel habitually called those who held views different from his) had practiced or could ever grasp. "The celebrated 'scientific method' has proven to be totally unworkable in the case of UFO interpretation," he insisted, apparently under the impression that it had been widely employed in UFO research. Far more important, he said, to concentrate on the life histories of witnesses, including "what they ate for breakfast" and "what happened to them after their report had been published or sent to the Air Force." Look for larger patterns, he urged investigators, and you will be astonished. Keep digging, and "your astonishment will turn to abject horror."

Unfortunately, Keel was so focused on the hoaxes perpetrated, so

he believed, by ultraterrestrials on humans that sometimes he seemed to forget that humans play hoaxes on humans. In his writings even the most blatantly bogus claimants were portrayed as sincere victims of scheming demons. In his magnum opus UFOs: Operation Trojan Horse (1970), Keel swallows whole the testimonies of confidence artists, practical jokers, and assorted other scammers, apparently unable or unwilling to attend to the difficult business of discriminating between them and more reliable claimants. Unwary readers are given no clue that, quite aside from the inherent implausibility of the stories they tell, there is good reason to disbelieve contactees such as Adamski, Howard Menger, Reinhold Schmidt, Aladino Felix, and Ernest Arthur Bryant.

In one particularly revealing episode, Keel used a story told him by a "psychology major at a Maryland university" to underscore two points: that (1) West Virginia contactee Woodrow Derenberger, whom most ufologists regarded as a spinner of absurd yarns, was telling the truth as he saw it (Derenberger allegedly met people from Lanulos and traveled to that planet, whose inhabitants walk about in the nude; the college student reported comparable experiences, noting that some of the women there are "real lookers") and that (2) UFOs "come from outside our time frame and [Keel's italics] _from outside the environment of the known universe_." The student's story is also mentioned in Keel's *The Mothman Prophecies* (1975) in the same context. Subsequently, Tom Monteleone, no longer a student but now a successful science-fiction writer, confessed that he had intended the story as a joke on Derenberger; he wanted to see what the latter's reaction would be when a stranger "confirmed" a tale Derenberger knew to be untrue. For years after Monteleone's revelation, Keel would furiously, if unpersuasively, insist that he had always regarded him as a hoaxer.

Bowen and Creighton, particularly the latter (who at times appeared skeptical only of the existence of hoaxes), seemed to have trouble coming to grips with the reality of phony testimony and false evidence. Bowen, for example, proposed that Adamski and other contactees only _looked_ like hoaxers; in fact, scheming aliens had set them up by planting preposterous and unbelievable messages in their heads. Worse, through their vast powers they induced their victims to take photographs of "objects like overgrown chicken feeders, monstrous lampshades or oversize[d] operating theater lamps," which afterwards the victims unwisely trumpeted as proof of their encounters with Space Brothers. The intended effect was to "make the [UFO] subject sound so silly to down-to-earth types that they shun it for ever!" Creighton believed Adamski to have been the victim of "brainwashing" by aliens. He and Bowen also accepted the authenticity of psychic surgery, notwithstanding a large body of critical literature exposing it as a sleight-of-hand procedure duplicatable by any skilled magician.

In another FSR piece F. W. Holiday attempted to link the famous Socorro CE2/CE3 with fossilized tracks in Texas. In this reading the humanoid New Mexico police officer Lonnie Zamora briefly glimpsed did not step out of the nearby UFO, as the witness thought, but out of a "tempic field different to [sic] the one inhabited by ourselves." The tracks they left, he argued, are like fossilized human prints found near Glen Rose, Texas, amid those left by dinosaurs - evidence, in Holiday's view, that paranormal entities are able to travel backwards and forwards in time. In fact, the fossilized prints are of dinosaur feet; they appear unusual only because sediments had filled in the toe marks before hardening into rock. Moreover, the Texas prints are not small ones like those at Socorro; they are 15 to 20 inches long. Nonetheless, though his argument made no sense on any level, Holiday had nothing but contempt for those who would take issue with it:

"Personally, I wouldn't trust an establishment scientist further than I could throw him as regards interpreting the 'holy footprint' business objectively. Too much is at stake including all the accepted machinery of causation. You would hardly expect high priests of the scientific status quo to take part in the slitting of their own throats."

Keel has also expressed contempt for scientists and academics, once remarking that the American Association for the Advancement of Science "seems headed by Alfred E. Neuman," the *Mad* magazine

signature character. His views go well beyond the sort of good-humored iconoclasm associated with the pioneering anomalist Charles Fort and into genuine hostility to intellectuals, whom he characterizes as devoid of "talent or intellect... so they have to invent their own little world and wallow in it and pretend they are important."

Jerry Clark

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

Secrecy News -- 11/29/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 13:41:19 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 07:21:07 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 11/29/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 121
November 29, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

**BURDEN OF PROOF IN AIPAC CASE IS "NOT INSUBSTANTIAL," COURT SAYS
**NRC IS NOT SECRETIVE ENOUGH, SAY NBC NEWS

BURDEN OF PROOF IN AIPAC CASE IS "NOT INSUBSTANTIAL," COURT SAYS

A federal court this month denied a motion that would have eased the government's prosecution of two former officials of the American Israel Public Affairs Committee who are charged with mishandling classified information.

Prosecutors had argued that they should not be obliged to prove that the defendants "actually knew the disclosure of the information was potentially harmful to the United States."

But in a ruling from the bench on November 16, Judge T.S. Ellis III denied the motion and said they must prove the defendants had such knowledge.

The transcript of the November 16 hearing was placed in the court docket yesterday and a copy was obtained by Secrecy News.

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/jud/rosen111606.pdf>

The latest ruling followed a momentous August 9 decision that non-government personnel who are not accused of espionage could be tried under the Espionage Act for receipt and transmission of classified information. In that decision, Judge Ellis denied a defense motion to dismiss the case altogether on constitutional and other grounds.

But he also imposed significant requirements on the prosecution to show that the defendants knew they were obtaining closely held national defense information; knew that it was unlawful to disclose that information; knew that the recipients in the press and a foreign embassy were not authorized to receive it; and that the defendants knew that disclosure of the information would be potentially damaging to the United States.

In an August 18 motion for clarification, prosecutors objected to the last requirement.

"Case law does not support the notion that 'willful' intent requires the government to prove that a defendant actually knew the information was potentially harmful to the United States," the government argued. See a copy of the motion for clarification here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/jud/rosen081806.pdf>

But it does now, Judge Ellis said.

"To the extent that the motion seeks clarification that the Government need not prove at all that the defendants knew that their disclosure was potentially harmful to the United States, that's not clarification, that's reconsideration. So, I will deny it." (Transcript, page 10).

As a result of the ruling, the prosecution faces a considerable, possibly insurmountable obstacle.

"You prevailed in significant part [against the defendants' earlier motion to dismiss]," Judge Ellis told prosecutors on November 16. But "in prevailing, you have a burden that is not insubstantial."

Likewise, "in losing [the motion to dismiss, defense attorneys] Mr. Nassikas and Mr. Lowell see some benefits to them," Judge Ellis observed.

Given the difficulty of meeting the Court's requirements, the fear that this unprecedented case would have a chilling effect on reporters and public interest advocates who depend upon unauthorized access to classified information has been muted somewhat.

Today, it might be noted, the New York Times published the full text of a classified November 8 memorandum from National Security Advisor Stephen Hadley regarding Iraq.

<http://www.nytimes.com/2006/11/29/world/middleeast/29mtext.html>

A trial date in the AIPAC case had originally been set for August 2006. But due to the complexity of the case the date has been repeatedly deferred. Judge Ellis said he would reserve May and June 2007 for a possible trial.

He also said that a leak investigation into who disclosed pre-indictment reports of the case to CBS News in August 2004 is "ongoing."

NRC IS NOT SECRETIVE ENOUGH, SAYS NBC NEWS

Thousands of sensitive Nuclear Regulatory Commission documents regarding nuclear power plant security and vulnerability are available in public document rooms across the country, NBC News reported on November 27 in a rather breathless "hidden camera" investigation that illustrates the difficulty that some people have in thinking clearly about secrecy and security.

"Many of the documents we were able to access were among the thousands of files the NRC pulled from its Web site after 9/11, deemed too sensitive to be available to the public. But that same effort to clean out sensitive information, it seems, was never made with NRC's document collections in public libraries across the country," reported Lisa Myers of NBC.

<http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15922717/>

"What this means is that we've given the terrorists an easy map in order to find out about our nuclear facilities," former New Jersey Governor and 9/11 Commission Chair Thomas Kean told NBC. "It's the worst possible thing we could be doing."

In fact, however, it is simple to think of worse possible things, beginning with publicizing the supposed existence of "an easy map" for terrorists.

"It is baffling to me that the NRC would consider this information so sensitive that it should be pulled from its on-line database, yet apparently the information was considered safe enough to be left in more than 80 public libraries scattered throughout the nation," wrote Rep. Bart Gordon (D-TN) of the House Science Committee on October 27.

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2006/10/gordon102706.pdf>

But the distinction should not be hard to understand: The local public document rooms serve the communities that are most directly affected by nuclear plant safety and security issues. In contrast, an on-line database is globally accessible to anyone with an internet connection. It is not surprising that this elementary difference would be reflected in official disclosure policy.

"The NRC is aware that a limited amount of [sensitive] information continues to exist in the public domain," according to an official statement from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission responding to the NBC News report.

"However, NRC believes that the usefulness of this information is minimal given its age and subsequent changes to and improvements in security programs and physical modifications that have been made to nuclear facilities since Sept. 11."

"The agency decided not to attempt to retrieve or restrict access to this information and instead has focused its actions on more recent and relevant information available in public electronic systems," the NRC said.

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2006/11/nrc112706.pdf>

That's not good enough, fumed David Lochbaum, a nuclear safety engineer with the Union of Concerned Scientists, who echoed the views of Rep. Gordon.

"I fail to understand why I cannot obtain documents from the NRC's [main] Public Document Room that I can access from... any of the dozens of other local public document rooms across the nation. This profound inconsistency tells me loud and clear that NRC is wrong, either in denying access to the documents in the Public Document Room or in not restricting access to the documents elsewhere."

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2006/11/ucs112806.pdf>

If NRC had unlimited resources and no other responsibilities, then absolute consistency of the kind advocated by the Union of Concerned Scientists might be a virtue. But that is not the case.

In Secrecy News' view, all of these individuals and NBC News have misconstrued the situation. The NRC has acted appropriately under the circumstances.

The fact is that unclassified NRC reports on power plant security and vulnerability -- some of them quite graphic and detailed -- have been deposited in public reading rooms for decades. Their purpose is to address community concerns about safety and security at local nuclear power plants.

There has never been a single case in which the availability of such reports caused or contributed to a public health, safety or security hazard.

Meanwhile, we are living in an era of unprecedented growth in official secrecy. Unclassified government records on a wide variety of matters affecting public health and national policy are increasingly marked "for official use only" and off-limits to citizens and taxpayers.

Scolding government bureaucracies for not being secretive enough undermines efforts to achieve the increased openness that the 9/11 Commission said was needed to prevent future terrorist attacks.

More fundamentally, there are an infinite number of ways to cause destruction and wreak havoc. Using the media to ratchet up public fear over the nightmare scenario du jour is the work of terrorists. It would be a pity to help them.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 10:41:49 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 07:22:32 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:08:05 -0500
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 15:32:39 +1000
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>Another possibility along these lines is Mack Brazel said
>>the sheep wouldn't cross or go anywhere near the debris.
>>Could that mean there were properties in the debris, if
>>it kept the sheep away, could have killed off any grass?
>>That could explain the explosion in mid-air and the gouge,
>>maybe done by the clean-up crew?

>It could have just smelled bad. Sheep have a very keen sense
>of smell.

>So far as I remember, no one has said anything about smell. This
>stuff must have smelled like something. I wonder if Jess Marcel
>would remember.

Bob & List,

The issue of smell, or lack thereof, I think is an important one. Rotting, smelly neoprene balloons might explain the reluctance of sheep to cross the debris field. But no witness has ever mentioned any smell associated with the Foster Ranch debris. E.g., I once asked Jesse Marcel Jr. if he remembered any smell with the debris in the Marcel kitchen, and he again didn't remember any. Neither has any other witness at either the Foster Ranch or back in Roswell.

The only time smell was mentioned was by J. Bond Johnson, photographer/reporter in Gen. Ramey's office in Fort Worth. He recalled the smelly, acrid balloon pictured on the floor there. Of course Marcel and Gen. Dubose both said it was a shill balloon, the cover story to get the press off their backs.

But back at Roswell and the Foster Ranch, nobody mentions smells. If neoprene weather balloons were at the Foster Ranch debris field and then taken back to Roswell, you would think at least one witness would mention their smell. Smell is such a primitive sense that it goes unfiltered direct to the brain. It forms very vivid memories, especially bad smells, like decaying bodies.

Another thing is that Tommy Tyree, the ranch-hand later hired by Brazel, recalled Brazel saying he had to divert the sheep an entire mile around the debris field to get them to water. How many smelly weather balloons would it take to cover at least a half a mile of land such that sheep wouldn't cross? A helluva lot more than would have been on one crashed Mogul balloon train. Maybe if you had a dozen crashed Moguls.

>I think it is quite possible that the cleanup crew created the
>"gouge", now that I have thought about it some more. They >

would >have almost had to if tiny pieces of debris were in the grass.

Yes, I think that is a possible explanation for the "gouge", since Marcel, who spent an entire day at the site, didn't recall any form of impact there and thought the object had exploded in the air. Robert Smith of the 1st Air Transport Unit, recalled a lot of farm soil in the hangar where they were loading crates of metal debris into C-54's.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <r.dickenson.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 21:06:51 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 07:25:40 -0500
Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Dickenson

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:00:29 -0500
>Subject: Natural Or Supernatural? [was: Is John A. Keel A "Demonologist"?]

<snip>

>In fact, I don't believe there is "supernatural" anything. All
>is part of nature.

<snip>

Bravo Bob,

Cheers

Ray

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 13:44:47 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 07:28:05 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 10:32:51 EST
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 17:49:39 +1000
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>List, Kevin,

>>Why, confronted with a rational scenario, and an animated
>>response from many List members willing to discuss Ed's site
>>scenario, does Kevin refuse to even entertain Ed's argument?

>Oh, but I do entertain Ed's argument. The problem is that we
>need all the facts. For example, Ed calls his witness an MP, but
>according to the documentation, the man was in Squadron T which
>was not an MP unit.

Hi Kevin, William, List,

The person who contacted me said he was an MP and he also
mentioned working at the gate. Didn't Thomas Gonzales also claim
to be an MP in Squadron "T"? The person who contacted me used a
name and rank that corresponds with a member of that squadron.

There is always the possibility that this person was creating
the story for my benefit and wanted to confuse the issue. He
didn't seem to me to be a phoney, but anything is possible. I
understand that. But if I get your drift, you're implying that
someone using a name lifted from the squadron "T" roster,
contacted me and filled my head with distorted and misleading
information.

>This MP tells us that the aliens have six
>fingers and that the autopsy film is real because the alien he
>saw looks like the one in the film. I have a problem with that.

He said that one of the creatures he saw had six fingers, and
looked just like the creature in the AA. If he is who he says he
is, this testimony is relevant to my efforts to prove that the
AA is footage of a real event. If he's proved to be a BS artist,
then his testimony isn't valuable, but that still doesn't negate
the AA.

>But mostly, the discussion that I've been adding to refers to the
>Debris Field specifically and not these other multiple sites
>scattered around southern New Mexico.

Yes, and it is confusing the issue. The site I found is where
the remainder of the craft landed. It was basically intact
except for a large hole in its port side.

>>Right from the start Kevin has defended some cause destructive
>>to valid discussion.

>How is attempting to make sure that the facts on the table

>destructive to valid discussion? It would seem to me that we'd
>want the terms defined, the locations identified and the players
>named.

Yes I agree. Here's the bottom line: the testimony of Mack, Wilmots, Woodys and the MP made me consider the possibility that a crash site could be found within five miles of the Foster ranch debris field. Aerial photos of that area showed what appeared to be disrupted desert terrain and also the roads that would get me there. I then drove to this site and found it covered with a strange mud-like material. This site is on public land and can be easily explored, examined and analyzed. It would be great if we could organize a collective effort and set a date for folks to show up and decide for themselves what it all means.

I think I now know what happened at Roswell. I'll be happy to explain it to anyone who cares to ask, but my opinion doesn't matter. What's important is that we now have a site that can be tested with the tools of science. I think we'll be pleasantly surprised.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 17:29:48 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 07:30:16 -0500
Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - White

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:00:29 -0500
>Subject: Natural Or Supernatural? [was: Is John A. Keel A "Demonologist"?]

<snip>

>In fact, I don't believe there is "supernatural" anything. All
>is part of nature. Just because we don't understand something is
>no reason to believe it violates any natural laws. It just means
>we don't know or understand all the laws yet.

Bravo to Bob for that last statement, and bravo to Nick
for his original!

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

Re: Not By Chance - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 19:47:35 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 07:33:09 -0500
Subject: Re: Not By Chance - Maccabee

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 14:58:51 -0500
>Subject: Re: Not By Chance>

>On Nov 28, 2006, at 11:04 AM, UFO UpDates - Toronto posted:

>>Source: The National Post - Toronto, Canada

>><http://tinyurl.com/8dsq8>

>>Thursday, December 01, 2005

>>Not By Chance

><snip>

>>the origin of the digital
>>information encoded along the spine of the DNA molecule.

>Just to make a point of correct terminology, the term "digital"
>as we normally use it refers to a binary code system. Ones and
>zeros. Ons and offs. DNA uses four code molecules to encode its
>sequences, so it is not binary, but quaternary.

>A digital bit can be on or off, one or zero, but a code location
>on the DNA helix can have one of four states, depending on which
>amino acid is coupled to it.

I think "digital" is here generalized to mean something that
occurs in steps... it is one or another of 4 possibilities (but
not "zero"... which would be a hole in the DNA chain of amino
acids) and not a fraction of an amino acid.

Let us not forget that, whereas the DNA is a very sophisticated
'life code', equally sophisticated and amazing are the molecular
'machines' which read the code and translate it into 'actions':
creations of proteins, etc. needed for the processes of life -
including DNA replication.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

Are They Real UFO Images?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 07:39:55 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 07:39:55 -0500
Subject: Are They Real UFO Images?

The People's Daily, in Beijing, China, posted 5 images
of purported UFOs, yesterday, November 29, 2006 at:

http://english.people.com.cn/200611/29/eng20061129_326444.html

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 14:54:12 +1000
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 07:42:09 -0500
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:08:05 -0500
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 15:32:39 +1000
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>Bob, List,

>>Another possibility along these lines is Mack Brazel said
>>the sheep wouldn't cross or go anywhere near the debris.
>>Could that mean there were properties in the debris, if
>>it kept the sheep away, could have killed off any grass?
>>That could explain the explosion in mid-air and the gouge,
>>maybe done by the clean-up crew?

>It could have just smelled bad. Sheep have a very keen sense of
>smell.

>So far as I remember, no one has said anything about smell.
>This
>stuff must have smelled like something. I wonder if Jess Marcel
>would remember.

>I think it is quite possible that the cleanup crew created the
>"gouge", now that I have thought about it some more. They would
>have almost had to if tiny pieces of debris were in the grass.

Bob

As you say 'a keen sense of smell'. Being a New Zealander, we know a little about sheep.(ahem) They are especially stupid and their responses are triggered by fear which combined with the odour would keep them well clear of the area if any unknown feeling or odour present. At least Brazel was able to see that! If it was a "touchdown/crash, I doubt if the sheep would be anywhere near enough for Mack to make that assessment?

It was bound a few pieces on such a large area would manage to bury themselves in the debris field. It would take a couple of years for the grass(?) to grow back.

Just thinking of the sheep.

Best

William

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Nov](#) > [Nov 30](#)

Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <parcellular.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 12:58:48 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 08:23:28 -0500
Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Shough

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 11:49:20 -0600
>Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural?

>In another FSR piece F. W. Holiday attempted to link the famous
>Socorro CE2/CE3 with fossilized tracks in Texas. In this reading
>the humanoids New Mexico police officer Lonnie Zamora briefly
>glimpsed did not step out of the nearby UFO, as the witness
>thought, but out of a "tempic field different to [sic] the one
>inhabited by ourselves." The tracks they left, he argued, are
>like fossilized human prints found near Glen Rose, Texas, amid
>those left by dinosaurs - evidence, in Holiday's view, that
>paranormal entities are able to travel backwards and forwards in
>time. In fact, the fossilized prints are of dinosaur feet; they
>appear unusual only because sediments had filled in the toe
>marks before hardening into rock. Moreover, the Texas prints are
>not small ones like those at Socorro; they are 15 to 20 inches
>long. Nonetheless, though his argument made no sense on any
>level, Holiday had nothing but contempt for those who would take
>issue with it:

>"Personally, I wouldn't trust an establishment scientist further
>than I could throw him as regards interpreting the 'holy
>footprint' business objectively. Too much is at stake including
>all the accepted machinery of causation. You would hardly expect
>high priests of the scientific status quo to take part in the
>slitting of their own throats."

Hello Jerry

Holiday's juxtaposition of Socorro and fossil dinosaur tracks is a pretty striking coincidence, given the prominence of Ray Stanford in both areas. I trust this is just a wierd coincidence!

If you have the reference to hand, can you tell me the issue of FSR that Holiday's article appeared in? Thankyou.

Martin Shough

[[Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

